

Mouton Grammar Library

A Grammar of Mina



# Mouton Grammar Library 36

Editors

Georg Bossong

Bernard Comrie

Matthew Dryer

Mouton de Gruyter  
Berlin · New York

# A Grammar of Mina

by

Zygmunt Frajzyngier  
Eric Johnston

with

Adrian Edwards

Mouton de Gruyter  
Berlin · New York

Mouton de Gruyter (formerly Mouton, The Hague)  
is a Division of Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin.

⊗ Printed on acid-free paper which falls within the guidelines of the  
ANSI to ensure permanence and durability.

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Frajzyngier, Zygmunt.

A grammar of Mina / by Zygmunt Frajzyngier and Eric  
Johnston ; with Adrian Edwards.

p. cm. – (Mouton grammar library ; 36)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-3-11-018565-2 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 3-11-018565-2 (cloth : alk. paper)

I. Mina language (Cameroon) – Grammar. I. Johnston,  
Eric, 1966–. II. Edwards, Adrian C. III. Title. IV. Series.

PL8515.M5541F73 2005

496'.3374–dc22

2005025880

*Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek*

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;  
detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at <<http://dnb.ddb.de>>.

ISBN-13: 978-3-11-018565-2

ISBN-10: 3-11-018565-2

ISSN 0933-7636

© Copyright 2005 by Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, D-10785 Berlin.

All rights reserved, including those of translation into foreign languages. No part of this book may  
be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including  
photocopy, recording or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing  
from the publisher.

Printed in Germany.



## **Acknowledgments**

Work on this grammar has been supported by grants from the National Endowment for the Humanities and the National Science Foundation grant Nr. 9514401. Eric Johnston's work on this project was partially supported by the University of Colorado President's Fund for the Humanities. The project started as a collaborative effort with ORSTOM in Paris, which over the years has made its facilities in Maroua, Cameroon available for Frajzyngier and later for Frajzyngier and Johnston's work. The work on the project continued while Frajzyngier was a guest scientist of the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig, Germany, and visiting professor at the University of Nice. The project was completed at the University of Bayreuth with the support of the Humboldt Research Award to Frajzyngier.

Adrian Edwards lived for many years among the speakers of Mina. In addition to all his work he did collect many Mina stories. Five of his notebooks supplemented the texts gathered by Frajzyngier and Johnston. These texts enabled us to base the grammar on naturally occurring data.

Colleagues from ORSTOM in Maroua, especially Henry Tourneux, have provided an excellent intellectual atmosphere and valuable and generous field support to Frajzyngier. We would like to thank Bouba Abdouraman, Hamadu Oumarou, Saibu, and Hamadou Haman (Konay) for their patience and understanding during our fieldwork. The many hours they spent on answering our queries must have been frustrating at times. For hospitality in Maroua thanks go to ORSTOM and the Institut de la Recherche Agricole and its directors, Seiny Boukar Lamide and Dr. Abba Dalil. Thanks are also due to friends from the Institut de la Recherche Agricole for making the e-mail connection possible over the years. Marian Safran has provided a conscientious editorial assistance with this work. Simone Ashby was most helpful and skillful in implementing the necessary editorial changes at an earlier stage of the work. To Karsten Brunk go our thanks for the maps. Without the help of

the institutions and persons mentioned above, this grammar would not have been possible.

Bernard Comrie carefully read the entire typescript of this grammar and made innumerable comments regarding the substance and the form of this book. We are most grateful for his help!

# Table of contents

List of abbreviations.....	xix
----------------------------	-----

## Chapter 1: Introduction

1. Name, classification, and geographical location.....	1
2. Outline of Mina grammar.....	4
2.1 Phonology.....	4
2.2 Lexical categories.....	5
2.3 Morphology.....	5
2.4 Syntax.....	6
2.5 Discourse structure.....	6

## Chapter 2: Phonology

1. Introduction.....	7
2. The consonantal system.....	7
Phonetic and underlying consonants.....	7
2.2 The underlying segments.....	8
2.3 Consonant devoicing.....	11
2.4 Rhotacization.....	12
2.5 Palatalization.....	12
2.6 Affricate formation.....	13
2.7 Labialization.....	13
2.8 Glide realizations.....	14
2.9 Consonant clusters.....	15
3. The vowel system.....	17
3.1 Phonetic and underlying vowels.....	17
3.2 Rightward vowel fronting.....	18
3.3 Leftward vowel fronting.....	19

3.4 Barriers to vowel fronting .....	20
3.5 Vowel deletion .....	21
3.6 Vowel rounding .....	23
4. Syllabification .....	24
4.1 Syllable structures .....	24
4.2 Syllable reduction .....	26
5. Glide formation .....	27
6. Tone .....	28
6.1 The system and the functions of tone .....	28
6.2 Tone and vowel deletion .....	29
6.3 Tone and vowel replacement .....	30
6.4 The operation of the polar tone .....	30
7. Conclusions .....	32

### Chapter 3: The structure of the noun phrase

1. Introduction .....	35
2. The defining features of the category noun .....	35
3. Noun stems .....	36
4. Derivational morphemes .....	37
4.1 The agentive prefix <i>mə̀</i> .....	38
4.2 The singulative prefix <i>lə̀</i> .....	40
5. Modifying constructions .....	40
5.1 Property concept words .....	41
5.2 Connecting modifiers by the preposition <i>tá</i> .....	45
5.2.1 The modifying construction with a noun as a modifier .....	45
5.2.2 Multiple modifying constructions .....	47
6. Possessive pronouns .....	48
7. Possession, kinship terms, and the addressee .....	51
8. Attributive functions through the genitive marker .....	54
9. Headless genitive constructions .....	55
10. Grammaticalization of the preposition .....	56
11. Modification through juxtaposition of two nouns .....	56
12. Modification through the relative marker .....	58
13. Modification by intensifiers .....	58
14. Modifying constructions and the preposition <i>lá</i> .....	59
15. Plural formation .....	60
15.1 The form of the plural suffix .....	60
15.2 The function of the plural suffix .....	61

16. Coordinating construction through the associative .....	63
17. Modification by quantifiers .....	65
18. End-of-event marker and quantifiers.....	68
19. Coding of the exclusion of other participants .....	69
20. Conclusions.....	69

#### Chapter 4: The verb and its forms

1. Introduction.....	71
2. Verbal stem .....	71
3. The stative form of the verb.....	72
4. Verb reduplication in the relative clause .....	74
5. A non-productive suffix <i>ù</i> .....	75
6. Conclusions.....	76

#### Chapter 5: Argument coding

1. Introduction.....	77
2. The category subject.....	77
3. Existential predicates.....	78
4. Subject pronouns.....	81
5. Possessive subject pronouns .....	86
5.1 The form of possessive subject pronouns .....	86
5.2 The function of possessive subject pronouns.....	88
6. Pleonastic subjects.....	91
7. Arguments of the transitive verb: coding the direct object .....	92
8. Object coding in hypothetical and deontic moods and in past tense..	93
9. Absence of an object .....	94
10. Coding of object in sequential clauses .....	95
11. Pronominal objects.....	97
12. Coding coreferentiality of subject and object .....	101
13. Coding the internal state of the subject .....	102
14. Argument structure of verbs of emotional states .....	103
15. Dative.....	106
15.1 Pronominal dative.....	106
15.2 Interaction between the dative and direct pronominal object .	109
15.3 Nominal dative .....	111
15.4 The dative with body part terms.....	112
15.5 The functions of dative .....	113

16. Coding reciprocity .....	114
17. Conclusions.....	116

### Chapter 6: Coding the event from the point of view of subject

1. The form of the point of view of subject marker .....	117
2. The function of the point of view of the subject.....	118
3. Point of view of the subject and speaker's empathy .....	121
4. Conclusions.....	123

### Chapter 7: Locative predication and locative complements

1. Introduction.....	125
2. Functions of coding means in locative predication.....	127
2.1 Locative Predicate and Locative Complement .....	127
2.2 Locative Predicate and Non-Locative Argument.....	128
2.3 Non-Locative Predicate and Locative Complement.....	130
2.4 Non-Locative Predicate and Non-Locative Complement.....	132
3. Genitive construction in the locative phrase.....	133
4. Locative deictics and anaphors .....	138
5. Prepositional form of pronouns.....	141
6. Preposition <i>ká</i> .....	142
7. Preposition <i>mbéh</i> .....	144
8. Preposition <i>í</i> .....	145
9. Coding the locative source.....	145
10. Preposition <i>gèdáj</i> 'under' .....	146
11. Preposition <i>ndòh</i> 'bottom, inside' .....	147
12. Prepositions <i>dùwáj</i> 'back' and <i>kàbám</i> , 'in front'.....	147
13. [+human] nouns as locatives and the preposition <i>r</i> .....	148
14. From "hand" to preposition: the grammaticalization of <i>r</i> .....	150
15. Direction toward the indirect object: the auxiliary <i>rá</i> .....	151
16. Conclusions.....	152

### Chapter 8: Adjuncts

1. Introduction.....	155
2. The topical adjunct.....	155
3. The associative phrase.....	156
4. Associative through adverbial expressions.....	158

5. Adverbs <i>yà</i> and <i>yám</i> ‘also’ .....	160
6. Adverbs of time.....	162
7. Adverbs of reason .....	165
8. Adverbs of manner .....	166
9. The scope of the adverb <i>tátà</i> ‘alone, only’ .....	167
10. Adding a beneficiary .....	168
11. Adverb <i>bà</i> ‘again’ .....	168
12. Cognate adverbs .....	169
13. Conclusions.....	170

### Chapter 9: Goal-orientation extension

1. Introduction.....	171
2. The form of the goal orientation extension.....	171
3. Function of the goal orientation extension .....	173
4. Grammaticalization of the goal orientation marker .....	177
5. Conclusions.....	178

### Chapter 10: Tenses

1. Introduction.....	179
2. Future tenses .....	180
2.1 The form of the independent future.....	180
2.2 The form of the dependent future.....	180
2.3 Functions of the two future tenses.....	181
2.4 Future through the verb <i>gèr</i> ‘want’.....	186
2.5 Negative future .....	186
3. Independent past tense.....	188
3.1 The reduplicated form of the verb .....	188
3.2 Functions of the independent past .....	189
4. The independent past-plural tense.....	191
5. Unmarked tense/aspect .....	192
6. Dependent past tense .....	194
7. Conclusions.....	196

### Chapter 11: Aspects

1. Introduction.....	199
----------------------	-----

2. Independent habitual .....	200
2.1 The form.....	200
2.2 The functions of the independent habitual.....	201
3. Dependent habitual.....	203
3.1 The form of dependent habitual .....	203
3.2 The function of dependent habitual .....	204
3.2.1 The dependent habitual in affirmative clauses .....	204
3.2.2 The dependent habitual in questions about the truth.....	206
3.2.3 The habitual aspect in negative clauses.....	209
4. Perfect.....	211
4.1 The form of the perfect aspect .....	211
4.2 The function of the perfect.....	212
5. The terminative aspect.....	216
6. The completive aspect .....	216
7. The intentional aspect.....	218
8. Verbs with inherent tense and aspectual values.....	219
9. The iterative aspect.....	222
10. Inceptive aspect.....	224
11. The unmarked aspect.....	224
12. Conclusions.....	225

## Chapter 12: Modality

1. Introduction.....	227
2. Epistemic modality.....	227
2.1 Dubitative modality .....	228
2.2 Hedging.....	228
3. Emotive modality .....	229
4. Imperative .....	231
4.1 The deontic stem .....	231
4.2 Subject coding in the imperative .....	233
4.3 Object coding in the imperative .....	234
5. Polite orders .....	237
6. Debitive mood.....	238
6.1 Debitive with respect to the third person.....	239
6.2 Debitive modality through auxiliary <i>dà</i> .....	243
7. Coding the mood of obligation through the infinitive.....	243
8. Coding the mood of obligation through possessive constructions...	244
9. Coding the mood of obligation through modal adverbs.....	245



10. Comment clause .....	246
11. Coding counterexpectation .....	247
12. Conclusions.....	248

### Chapter 13: End-of-event coding

1. Introduction.....	249
2. The form and syntax of the end-of-event marker .....	249
3. The function of the end-of-event marker.....	250
4. The end-of-event marker in protasis clauses .....	254
5. Evidence from the absence of the end-of-event marker.....	256
6. The end-of-event and the negative clause .....	258
7. Grammaticalization sources of the end-of-event marker .....	259
8. Conclusions.....	260

### Chapter 14: Negation

1. Common formal characteristics of negation.....	261
2. Coding the scope of negation.....	262
3. Negation of verbless clauses.....	262
4. Negation and future tenses.....	263
5. Negation and the dependent aspect.....	264
6. Negation of the perfect .....	265
7. Negation of the habitual .....	267
8. Prohibitive.....	268
9. Negation with interrogative .....	269
10. Negative adverb <i>wílkíl</i> 'fail' .....	270
11. Conclusions.....	271

### Chapter 15: Verbless clauses

1. Introduction.....	273
2. Equational clauses .....	273
3. Identificational clauses .....	275
4. Equational clauses with possessive predicate.....	276
5. Time coding in equational clause.....	277
5.1 Past in equational clauses.....	277
5.2 Future in equational clauses.....	278
6. Adjectival predicates .....	278

6.1 Simple form of the predicate.....	278
6.2 Reduplicated form of the adjectival predicate .....	280
7. Plurality coding through reduplication.....	282
8. Possessive propositions: X has Y.....	282
9. The locative proposition: X is located at Y .....	284
10. Existential predication .....	285
11. Conclusions.....	285

### Chapter 16: Interrogative clauses

1. Introduction.....	287
2. Questions about the truth.....	287
3. Questions about the truth with presuppositions .....	290
4. Specific questions.....	290
4.1 Semantic categories of specific interrogatives.....	290
4.2 Questions about the subject of an equational clause .....	291
4.3 Aspect coding in specific interrogatives.....	292
4.4 Questions about subjects of verbal clauses .....	293
4.5 Questions about the object.....	295
4.6 Questions about manner.....	296
4.7 Questions about dative and benefactive argument .....	297
4.8 Questions about locative adjuncts .....	297
4.9 Questions about the possessor.....	299
4.10 Questions about time .....	300
4.11 Questions about purpose and reason .....	301
4.12 Questions about quantity.....	302
4.13 Questions about the instrumental .....	303
5. The categoriality of interrogative markers .....	303
6. Conclusions.....	303

### Chapter 17: Reference system

1. Introduction.....	305
2. Phrase internal and phrase final forms of pronouns and deteminers	305
3. Deixis.....	307
3.1 Proximate deixis.....	307
3.2 Deictic modification .....	309
3.3 Remote deixis.....	311
4. Full noun phrase as subject.....	312

5. Use of pronouns in reference system .....	315
6. Full noun phrase as the object.....	319
7. Object coding in non-finite clauses.....	320
8. The domain of known referent.....	323
9. The domain of deduced referent .....	328
10. The remote previous mention marker <i>nákáhà</i> .....	334
11. Coding indefiniteness .....	337
12. Locative anaphora .....	338
13. Entity anaphor and switch reference .....	340
13.1 The form and the function of the anaphor .....	340
13.2 Anaphor in a prepositional phrase.....	342
13.3 The event anaphora.....	344
14. Conclusions.....	345

### Chapter 18: Focus constructions

1. Introduction.....	347
2. Focus on the subject .....	347
3. Focus on the object.....	349
4. Focus on object pronouns .....	350
5. Focus on an adverbial expression .....	351
6. Focus on the predicate .....	351
7. Conclusions.....	355

### Chapter 19: Topicalization

1. Introduction.....	357
2. Establishing the topic of a story or narrative .....	357
3. Establishing the topic within a discourse .....	358
4. Borrowed markers of topicalization .....	360
5. Topicalization of pronominal subjects .....	360
6. Non-propositional topics .....	362
7. Aspect in the comment on the topic .....	363
8. Topicalization of the object .....	364
9. Topicalization of adjuncts.....	366
10. Conclusions.....	367

## Chapter 20: Parataxis

1. Introduction.....	369
2. Asyndetic conjoining.....	369
2.1 Same subjects.....	370
2.2 Different subjects.....	372
3. Conjunction <i>mid'</i> .....	372
4. Sequential events coding through the auxiliary <i>nd</i> 'go'.....	373
4. The propositional relator <i>ko</i> .....	378
5. Conclusions.....	379

## Chapter 21: Complementation

1. Introduction.....	381
2. Complements of verbs of saying.....	381
2.1 Verbs of saying and the complementizer.....	381
2.2 The de dicto complementizer.....	382
2.3 Coding of the addressee of the verbs of saying.....	383
2.4 Direct speech.....	385
2.5 Cross reference and disjoint reference coding.....	387
2.6 Deontic complements of verbs of saying.....	390
2.7 Interrogative complements of verbs of saying.....	391
2.7.1 Polar questions.....	392
2.7.2 Specific interrogatives in embedded clauses.....	392
2.7.3 Questions about nonhuman participant.....	393
2.7.4 Questions about possessor.....	393
2.7.5 Questions about the topic.....	394
2.7.6 Questions about the place.....	394
3. Complements of volitional verbs.....	394
3.1 Same subject.....	395
3.2 Different subjects.....	396
4. Object-to-object raising.....	397
5. Complements of verbs of perception.....	397
5.1 Verbs of perception and complementation without raising.....	397
5.2 Subject-to-object raising.....	399
6. Complements of verbs of knowing.....	400
7. Infinitival complements.....	401
7. Conclusions.....	403

## Chapter 22: Temporal and conditional clauses

1. Introduction.....	405
2. Temporal protasis.....	405
3. Temporal protasis coding through demonstratives .....	407
4. Temporal apodosis .....	408
5. Specific time relationships.....	409
6. Conditional clauses .....	410
6.1 The use of the dependent aspect.....	411
6.2 Conditional protasis coding through the particle <i>ángè</i> .....	412
6.3 Conditional protasis coding through the particle <i>má</i> .....	415
7. Conditional apodosis .....	415
8. Conclusions.....	417

## Chapter 23: Purpose, reason, and conclusion clauses

1. Introduction.....	419
2. Purpose clause.....	419
3. Reason clauses .....	420
4. Conclusion clause.....	421
5. Conclusions.....	421

## Chapter 24: Comparative constructions

1. Introduction.....	423
2. Equal comparisons .....	423
3. Unequal equational clause predicates.....	425
4. Unequal comparison with verbal predicates.....	426
5. Conclusions.....	427

## Chapter 25: Relative clause

1. Introduction.....	429
2. Clause-final demonstratives.....	430
3. Relativization of the object .....	432
4. Relativization of the dative .....	434
5. Relativization of the instrumental .....	434
6. Relativization of possessor .....	435
7. Relativization of the topic of a verb of saying.....	435

8. Relativization of locative and temporal adjuncts .....	435
9. Conclusions .....	436

**Chapter 26: Elements of discourse structure**

1. Introduction .....	437
2. Comment clause .....	437
3. Comment on topic .....	437
4. Comment in parataxis .....	438
5. Comment with complementation .....	439
6. Comment marker and emotive modality marker .....	440
7. Change of scene .....	441
8. New action and its consequence .....	446
9. Conclusions .....	451

**Texts**

Text 1. The year of hunger .....	453
Text 2. The four men .....	456
Text 3. The three men .....	459
Text 4. In the time of famine .....	462
Text 5. A frog and a buffalo .....	491
Index .....	501
References .....	507

## List of abbreviations

1	First person	INCL	Inclusive
2	Second person	INF	Infinitive
3	Third person	INTERJ	Interjection
ADDR	Addressee	L(OC)	Locative
ANAPH	Anaphor	NEG	Negative
Ar.	Arabic	PL	Plural
ASSC	Associative	POL	Marker of polite re-
ATT	Attributive	quest	
COM	Comment marker	POS	Point-of-view of
COMP	Complementizer	subject	
CONJ	Conjunction	POSS	Possessive
D	Dependent (aspect)	PRED	Predicator
DAT.OR	Dative orientation	PREP	Preposition
DEB	Debitive	Q	Question
DED	Deduced reference	QUANT	Quantifier
DEM	Demonstrative	REL	Relative marker
DU	Dual	REM	Remote previous
DUB	Dubitative	mention	
EE	End of event marker	SG	Singular
EXCL	Exclusive	STAT	Stative marker
F.	Fula (Fulfulde)	TOP	Topic marker
GEN	Marker of modifica-	UNSP	Unspecified
tion			
GO	Goal orientation		
H.	Hausa		
HAB	Habitual		





# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1. Name, classification, and geographical location

The present study is intended to give a full description of Mina phonology, morphology, and syntax, including a description of the meanings and functions of various constructions. It is the first published account of any kind of the grammatical system of this language. Mina is the self-name of the language referred to in the literature as Hina or Besleri. Mouchét 1967 states that Hina is a Fula word, but the speakers of Mina consider *iná*, a component of *míná*, a Mina word. The name “Besleri,” which can be found in some publications as referring to Mina (Newman 1990, Dieu and Renaud 1983), is unknown to Mina speakers. The word itself may be related to *bétálè* ‘leaf cover for lower front and back part of the body, pubic apron’. There is no reason whatsoever to use this word in reference to Mina. The term Hina, sometimes used in the literature, is probably derived from *iná*, the place name. The self-name *ini-yîi* designates people speaking Mina. A single Mina speaker is called *l-iná*, i.e. one who belongs to the place *iná*. The form *mà* is a productive morpheme deriving, among other nominal expressions, names of languages. There is a noun *mà* ‘mouth’ that is also a potential candidate for the formation of names of languages. However, such a use would normally require a genitive construction, which is absent in names of languages. The initial *h* in *Hina* is a result of glottal epenthesis, described in Chapter 2.

The language is spoken in the western part of Northern Cameroon in the following villages and settlements, some of which very likely do not bear a Mina name: Hina-Marbak; Goungong [gùngón]; Bamguel [bàm-gél]; Lèngél; Mbourdan [mbùrdán]; Palva [pàlvá]; Mbraf [mbráf]; Wuro-Gertodé (a Fula name); Mouldar [mùldár]; Kaftaka [kàftáká]; Bereng [bèréŋ]; Wanaru-Hina [wànàrù hìnà]; *hákùlà*; *bígídín*; and *hùvá*. The old capital of Hina is called *mánjà*. A dialect of Mina called *mùdzùndzùn* by Mina speakers is spoken about 15 kilometers from Hina-Marbak.

The language belongs to the Central branch of Chadic. Newman

1990 has it in Family A 7 of the Biu-Mandara branch. Hoffmann 1971 has Hina as a member of the Daba group. The only information available on another language from the same subgroup is Mouchét 1967 and Lienhard and Gieger (Ms), both on Daba. Our language assistants report that there is no mutual intelligibility between Daba and Mina. There are, however, Daba speakers who live near Mina speakers, and they do understand Mina. According to oral tradition, when Hina settled in their present area, the *dzundzun* were already there. With respect to the origin of Hina, one tradition has it that they came from the Bagirmi area in Chad, and another tradition, from what is now Gongola State, in Nigeria. Thus, the traditions point to diametrically different origins.

Hina are farmers. The main crops are sorghum, peanuts, and cotton, cultivated by men, and sesame, beans, and green peas, cultivated by women. Most Hina appear to be Moslems, but there are some Christians too. In the nineteenth century, Hina were a dominating military force in their region mainly because of their skillful use of cavalry. Hina raiding parties were a threat to many neighbors (Christian Seignobos, p.c., and Hina oral traditions). A description of the demographic situation of Hina in the early 1960s can be found in Podlewski 1965. The situation described in that study has, however, changed in the almost forty years since Podlewski gathered his data. In particular, the number of speakers, education, marriage customs, migration patterns, and linguistic situation are quite different today, according to information provided by knowledgeable observers and by Hina themselves.

There are considerable dialectal variations among speakers even from the same village. We were able to establish the existence of three dialects: Marbak, Kefedjevrenge, and Dzundzun. The bulk of our description is based on the Marbak dialect. Data from the Kefedjevrenge dialect are included as recorded, i.e. without any attempt at unification at the phonological or morphological level. Here are the basic differences between the Marbak and Kefedjevrenge dialects: For nasals in one dialect, there are prenasalized stops in the other dialect. For example, the habitual marker for Kefedjevrenge is *ní* and for Marbak is *ndí*. Word-final back vowels in one dialect have corresponding glides in the other, e.g., the word for child is *mbù* in Marbak and *mbw* in Kefedjevrenge. In Kefedjevrenge, a nasal is systematically inserted between the subject prefix and aspect-tense markers and auxiliary verbs. In Marbak this rule is not systematic, e.g., Kefedjevrenge focus in past tense, first-person singular, has the form *sà nká*, and in Marbak it is *sà ká* or *s ká*. These dialectal differences account for some variation in the transcription of individual lexical items and such grammatical morphemes as subject focus, especially the forms with prefixes, habitual, plural pronouns, demonstratives,

and perhaps a few others.

Most contemporary speakers of Mina are bilingual, Fula being the second language. Because of this bilingualism, the Mina of all but the oldest speakers has a large number of Fula borrowings, including grammatical morphemes, particularly conjunctions and complementizers. We tried to identify Fula borrowings as well as we could, but there might be some lexical items or even grammatical structures borrowed from Fula that we did not identify as such.

Hina from Marbak with whom we talked claim that they do not understand Dzundzun, while Dzundzun claim that they fully understand Mina. Our very limited tests, consisting of playing a tape with Dzundzun recordings, showed that Hina do indeed understand Dzundzun.

The data for this grammar were collected in the summer of 1991, spring of 1993, summers of 1994, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999. A list of language assistants follows: Bouba Abdouraman, born February 18, 1974, had six years of elementary school, and two years of secondary school in 1991. Apart from three years of school in Mokolo, he spent all his life in his native village, Marbak, returning there for vacation each school year. Hamadu Oumarou, born in March 1974 in Hina-Marbak, graduated from the lycée at Mokolo. Like Abdouraman, Hamadu Umaru also spent all his life in Hina-Marbak. Saibu, who divides his time between Hina-Marbak and Maroua, was our assistant for one season. Hamadou Haman (Konay), born in 1960, who finished the first year of Lycée in Garoua, has been our main assistant in the last two years of our work. Keenly interested in his language he has read the examples in the present work, suggesting some changes in transcription and translation. Apart from Mina and French, all language assistants also speak Fula, the vehicular language of Northern Cameroon, and Hamadou Haman can also read English.

Adrian Edwards has been collecting Mina texts for many years, many of them from elderly speakers. Five notebooks of these texts were used in the present grammar. Most examples from Edward's notes have been checked with language assistants, and they were given a tonal transcription, new segmental representation, morpheme-by-morpheme analyses, glosses, and sometimes a new interpretation and translation.

The aim of this work is descriptive and explanatory. The description consists of hypotheses concerning the form of linguistic structures and hypotheses concerning the functions of linguistic structures. For both types of hypotheses, we provide supporting argumentation and evidence. We tried to explain various components of grammar through their relationship to other constructions or subsystems in the language.

On the sound advice of Bernard Comrie given with respect to an-

other grammar, we refrained from drawing implications for past and current theoretical controversies in linguistics. We have also refrained from drawing implications for past and current controversies in comparative Chadic linguistics, an entirely different task, which cannot be made from the narrow perspective of any single language.

When illustrating grammatical paradigms, rules, and patterns, we use elicited examples to limit the material to the issue being discussed. When describing functions we use data from natural texts. The elicited examples frequently lead to incorrect conclusions when it comes to describing the function of a form.

## **2. Outline of Mina grammar**

The purpose of this outline of is to give some typological information and to highlight those elements of the grammar that make this language interesting for a linguist concerned with typology, language universals, and linguistic theory, a specialist in African and Afroasiatic linguistics, or a Chadicist.

### *2.1 Phonology*

The consonantal system of Mina is characterized by the presence of prenasalized and glottalized stops in addition to oral and nasal stops. The language also has a series of continuants, including voiceless and voiced lateral continuants, and it has two affricates. There are clusters with different values for the feature voice. No geminated consonants are allowed except for the lateral liquid. Continuants and affricates are palatalized before a high front vowel. The underlying glides are deleted in word final position after the vowel with identical values for the features [round] or [front]. The vowel system consists of six vowels, including schwa. There is a fronting vowel harmony, whereby if a back vowel follows a consonant that is preceded by a front vowel, the back vowel is fronted. A palatal glide is a barrier to vowel harmony. There is also a very limited leftward vowel harmony, whereby the vowel *a* undergoes fronting when followed by a consonant and a front vowel. An outstanding feature of the phonological system of Mina is the interaction between syntax and phonology, whereby all word-final vowels other than grammatical morphemes are deleted in phrase-internal position. This rule is in fact a marker of phrase-internal position. Its absence marks phrase-final position. The language has two tones, high and low. Tone has a lexical and a

grammatical function. When the final vowel of a word is deleted, its tone is deleted as well.

## 2.2 Lexical categories

We take the defining characteristics of lexical categories to be their inherent properties with respect to the role they play in a proposition or in a discourse, as the case may be. Nouns can be derived from verbs and numerals, and adverbs can be derived from all other lexical categories. We did not find morphological means to derive verbs from other lexical categories. The following lexical categories exist in Mina: nouns, whose inherent function is to serve as arguments of the predication; deictics and anaphors, which serve as arguments in predication but can also modify nouns; a limited number of adjectives, whose function is to modify nouns; verbs, whose function is to serve as predicates in propositions; adverbs; numerals; prepositions, whose function is to code the grammatical relations between the elements of a proposition; and ideophones.

Within the pronominal system, three persons are distinguished: speaker, addressee, and third-person. There are also three numbers: singular, dual, and plural. The category dual exists only for the first person. A description of the lexical categories is included in the chapters dealing with the noun phrase (Chapter 3) and the verbal roots and stems (Chapter 4).

## 2.3 Morphology

There are only a few morphological processes that allow the derivation of one lexical category from another. The stative marker *-yí* allows the derivation of nominalized forms of verbs. A full reduplication of any category derives adverbs of many kinds. This derivation is described in Chapter 8, Adjuncts.

Deictics and anaphors have phrase-internal forms derived through vowel reduction and phrase-final forms derived through the addition of the suffix *-n* to the underlying form. The category nominal plural is a syntactic category in that it is added to the end of the noun phrase. If the noun phrase consists of the noun only, then the plural marker is added to it.

The inflectional morphology of verbs consists of tonal changes that code modality; reduplication of verbs to derive aspectual forms; and pre-

fixes and suffixes to derive adverbs and nominal forms of verbs.

## 2.4 *Syntax*

In pragmatically neutral clauses, the subject precedes the predicate, and the object follows the verb. In object focus constructions, the object follows the subject but precedes the predicate. Such an object must be marked by the preposition *n*, resulting in the structure S PREP O V. The language makes a clear structural distinction between the categories subject and object, and between the direct object and other objects, including locative complements.

Several syntactic characteristics make this language particularly interesting for typologists. Some tense, aspect, and mood markers occur before the verb, and others occur after the verb. The markers of interrogative and negative modality occur in clause-final position. The conjunction used for a conjoined noun phrase in the subject function differs from the conjunction used for a conjoined noun phrase in all other functions. The genitive construction has a different form when it is an argument and a different form when it is a complement of locative predication.

The complex sentences include: Asyndetically conjoined clauses; sequential clauses coding a temporal or cause-and-effect relationship between clauses; counter-expectation clauses; embedded clauses, and relative clauses. The relative clause codes the existential status of its head through clause-final deictics.

In addition to the coding of argument structure, adjuncts, tense, aspect, and mood categories, Mina also codes the category point-of-view. In Mina, this category has only subject or speaker in its scope.

## 2.5 *Discourse structure*

The outstanding characteristic of the discourse structure of Mina is the existence of the category comment clause, which may be used in both simple and complex sentences and which overtly marks a speaker's comment on the proposition. The comment clause may be used in a variety of syntactic constructions.

Another characteristic is the unmarked value of the principle of unity of place. If one of the participants in an event changes scene, such a situation is coded by a special syntactic construction in addition to any verb of movement that may be used.

# Chapter 2

## Phonology

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this chapter is to provide a set of underlying segments, a set of allowed phonological structures, and a set of rules to derive the phonetic realization of morphemic and phrasal structures. The outstanding features of the phonology of Mina are limited vowel harmony and vowel deletion to mark phrase-internal position and vowel retention to mark phrase-final position. The two rules code in this way the syntactic structure of the utterance.

### 2. The consonantal system

*Phonetic and underlying consonants*

*Table 2.1. Phonetic consonants*

	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
<b>Stops</b>					
.....Voiceless	p	t		k	'
.....Voiced	b	d		g	
Prenasalized	mb	nd		ŋg	
Glottalized (voiceless)	ɸ	ɗ			
Ejective	p'				
Affricate		ts dz	č j		
<b>Nasal</b>	m	n		ŋ	
<b>Continuants</b>	f v	s z	š ž	x	h
<b>Lateral continuants</b>		ɬ ɮ			
<b>Glides</b>	w		y		
<b>Liquids</b>		l r			

The lateral fricatives end impressionistically with a stop, viz. [ɬt] and [ɮd]. We have observed this property in several Central Chadic languages in Mandara mountains.

## 2.2 The underlying segments

The underlying set differs from the phonetic set in not having palatalized obstruents. Those consonants are derived through a palatalization rule, which is described later. There is only one underlying back continuant, the glottal voiceless *h*.

Table 2.2. Underlying consonants

	labial	alveolar	palatal	velar	glot- tal
Stops	p	t		k	(')
Voiced	b	d		g	
Prenasalized	mb	nd		ŋg	
Glottalized (voiceless)	ɸ	ɗ			
Ejective	(p')				
Affricate		ts dz			
Nasal	m	n			
Continuant	f v	s z			h
Lateral Continuant		ɬ ɮ			
Glides	w		y		
Liquid		l r			

The evidence for the underlying status of the segments in Table 2.2 is provided by the following near minimal pairs, where the relevant consonants are followed by the same vowels:

Voiceless	Voiced	Prenasalized	Glottalized
Labial stops:			
<i>pàt</i> 'next day'	<i>báytaŋ</i> 'large'	<i>mbál</i> 'to like'	<i>ɸám</i> 'eat (hard food)'
<i>pék</i> 'cover a receptacle'			
<i>pèt</i> 'sharpen'			
<i>pípi</i> 'when?'	<i>bú</i> 'turn'	<i>ngámbù</i> 'friend'	<i>ɸáŋ</i> 'think'
<i>pìts</i> [pìč] 'sun'		<i>mbé</i> 'close'	

The labial voiceless stop *p* does not occur before round vowels *u* and *o*, but does occur before the vowel *a* and before schwa:



- (1) \*pú, \*pù, \*púk  
 \*pó, \*pò, \*pók  
 kà pád 'to roll a mat', 'bandage something'

The voiceless stop before schwa occurs only in the ideophone *pák*, which modifies the verb *gàd* 'push':

- (2) *pák* *gàd* 'push'

There is only one instance of the ejective consonant, *p'*, in the word *p'úm* or *p'ám* 'deep'. The exceptional status of the ejective may well be due to its origin as an ideophone.

The phonological status of the glottal stop is problematic. We do have a minimal pair, where the glottal stop in word final position contrasts with the glottalized consonant. The meanings of the two verbs, are, however, very close. Their tones are different, and that is why we are tentatively postulating the glottal stop to be a part of the underlying segment:

*ká pà'* (ends in the glottal stop) 'to dress a corpse'  
*kà pád'* 'to roll a mat', 'bandage something'

#### Labial continuants

<i>fát</i> 'to skin'	<i>vá</i> 'spend time'
<i>fín</i> 'remain'	<i>ví</i> 'who?'
<i>fúu</i> 'all' (F.)	<i>vú</i> 'interrogative marker'
<i>fàk</i> 'to abandon'	
<i>dàf</i> 'boil'	<i>dàv</i> 'grow'

#### Alveolar stops

<i>ták</i> 'forbid'	<i>dà</i> 'cook'	<i>ndà</i> 'go'	<i>dá</i> 'NEG'
<i>tíkì</i> 'where'	<i>hìdì</i> 'person'	<i>ndì</i> 'HAB'	
<i>làkwát</i> 'river'	---	---	<i>dád'</i> 'pull (weeds)'

The difference between the consonant cluster *nd* and the prenasalized stop is that in the cluster the nasal is a tone-bearing unit, and in the prenasalized stop it is not:

- (3) *hà*    *ká*    *ndà*    *zá*  
 2SG    INF    hit    EE  
 'you hit him'

- (4) *hà kà ndà zá ngíd*  
 2SG INF go EE there  
 'you went there'

Alveolar continuants:

*sàŋ* '1SG independent pronoun'      *za* 'end-of-event marker'

Affricates:

*tsáy* 'then'      *dzáŋ* 'find'

Velars:

*á kà cín* 'here'      *gám* 'chase out'      *ngàz* 'leg'  
*hàzá* 'dog'

The glottal voiceless continuant *h* may occur only in word-initial position preceding vowels and in intervocalic position:

- (5) *nákáhà* 'remote previous mention marker'  
*hà* 'second-person singular independent pronoun'

The velar and glottal voiceless continuants are allophones of the same phoneme. The velar continuant occurs before the high front vowel *i*. Thus *hìdì* 'person' is [xìdì], *hí* 'second-person plural pronoun'. There are instances of word initial *a* 'third-person singular pronoun' and *i*, as in *ìná* 'Hina' and *ìn-yî* 'the inhabitants of Hina'. Based on these distributional facts, we postulate that glottal continuants in word-initial position are underlying.

A glottal continuant also occurs in word-final position, which is also pre-pausal position: *bòh* 'split tree by tearing on a branch' and *váh* 'to spend time, last'. It is in contrast in this position with words without a glottal continuant: *và* 'age of somebody or something', *ndà* 'go', *za* 'end-of-event marker', *kà* 'affected marker'.

The velar nasal *ŋ* occurs only in word-final position. The alveolar nasal *n* cannot occur in word-final position. We postulate therefore that the velar nasal derives from the underlying alveolar nasal. Additional evidence that the velar nasal is not underlying is provided by words that have a velar nasal in word-final position but an alveolar nasal in intervocalic position or in position preceding segments other than velar:

- (6) *bíŋ* 'hut, room'      *bín-yî* 'houses'

Lateral continuants:

*tá* 'measure'                      *ɣà* 'cow'  
*tàh* 'tear apart'                    *ɣà* 'cut'

Liquids:

*tàlàn* 'head'  
*rá* 'dependent habitual marker'  
*ká llà* 'take something from somebody'  
*kà lláh* 'bring a girl to marriage'

Glides:

*kàdwíri* 'clay pot'                      *kà wáy* 'to sleep'  
*ká wà* 'to start'

### 2.3 Consonant devoicing

In normal speech, a voiced obstruent is devoiced before a voiceless obstruent. Here are two examples: The noun *hàzá* 'dog' has the word-medial voiced continuant *z*. When the final vowel is deleted in phrase-internal position, the voiced consonant assimilates to the following voiceless consonant:

(7) *hàzá tɔ́ bitsi* → *hàz tɔ́ bíci* → [hàs tɔ́ bíçi]  
 dog GEN Bitsi  
 'a dog of Bitsi'

The interrogative marker for human participants has the form *và*. In phrase-internal position, the vowel of this marker is deleted. The voiced labiodental continuant may become voiceless when followed by a voiceless consonant:

(8) *á vɔ́ t-í* → [á vtí] and [á ftí]  
 PRED who GEN-Q  
 'whose?'

Some speakers devoice stops after a nasal with a different place of articulation:

(9) *d* → *t/ɲ*\_\_\_\_\_

- (10) *tàlàŋ dèm-ák rà* → [tələŋ dèm-ák rə] and  
 head hurt-1SG D.HAB [tələŋ tèm-ák rə]  
 ‘I have a headache’

## 2.4 Rhotacization

Glottalized stops may be rhotacized in syllable-final position. This rule is not obligatory, as rhotacization has been recorded only with some speakers:

- (11) *mùkàdkádáŋ* → [mùkàrkádáŋ]  
 ‘upside down’

## 2.5 Palatalization

Alveolar continuants and affricates *s*, *z*, *ts*, and *dz* are palatalized before and after front high vowel *i*, producing *š*, *ž*, *č*, and *ǰ*:

- (12) *zìn* → *žìn* ‘return’  
*bítsì* → *bíči* ‘proper name for a first born child’

The voiced continuant *z* is palatalized in the cluster *nz* when followed by stative suffix *-yi*:

- (13) *mə nz-yí* *màrbák* [mə nž-í]  
 REL sit-STAT Marbak  
 ‘he remained at Marbak’

The palatal glide is a barrier to palatalization. Consider the complementizer that is pronounced [sì] or [syì]. We propose that the underlying form of the complementizer is *syì* and that the palatal glide is a barrier to the palatalization rule. Additional evidence for the proposed hypothesis is provided by the effects of the addition of the plural marker /yí/, which does not cause the palatalization of the preceding consonant either:

- (14) *hàz-yî* → [hàzì-yì]  
 dog-PL  
 ‘dogs’

Some speakers also palatalize consonants after a front mid vowel. Thus,

the underlying form *fés* ‘small’ is realized as [fěš].

### 2.6 Affricate formation

Some speakers pronounce the underlying voiced continuant *z* as an affricate after a nasal stop and before a high vowel:

$z \rightarrow dz / Vn \_\_\_ V[+high]$

(15) *nzà* → *ndzà*  
remain, be

(16) *à ndí nzà á màrbák* → [à ndí ndzà]  
3SG HAB live PRED Marbak  
‘he lives in Marbak’

If the affricate is followed by the high front vowel, it is palatalized:

(17)  $z \rightarrow j / n \_\_\_ i.$

(18) *sà m̀ nz-í mbé* → [m̀ nj-í]  
1SG REL be-STAT close  
‘I was close’

### 2.7 Labialization

The back round vowel becomes a labial glide when followed by a low back vowel, i.e., the phonological sequences *u + a* or *o + a* result in labialization, viz., they both become [wá]:

(19) *í lù-á ǹnìŋ* → [í lw-á]  
3PL say-GO 1PL.EXCL  
‘they tell us’

When the low vowel that caused the labialization is deleted in phrase-internal position, the underlying round vowel is realized as such rather than as a labial glide. Thus the word *ŋkwà* ‘goat’ becomes *ŋkù* after the final-vowel deletion:

- (20) *sà*    *kí*    *lìm*    *ḡkù*    *báyà*    *zá*  
 1SG    INF    see    goat    large    EE  
 'I saw a large goat'

## 2.8 *Glide realizations*

Both labial and palatal glides are deleted in word-final position when following a vowel with the same values for the features [front] and [back]:

- (21)  $G[\alpha \text{ front}] \rightarrow \emptyset / V[\alpha \text{ front}] \_\_\_\#.$

The evidence for the existence of this rule, and indeed for the existence of underlying glides in word-final position after high vowels, is provided by their presence when the words receive a vocalic suffix or a suffix beginning with a glide followed by a vowel.

Thus, the verb whose phonetic form is [tì] 'see' becomes [tìyú] after the addition of the third person object marker *u*. We postulate, therefore, that the underlying form of this verb is *tìy*. An alternative analysis whereby a glide is inserted when a high vowel is followed by another vowel is not viable, in view of the rule that deletes final vowels of morphemes when a suffix is added. The fact that vowels of the verbs *mbù* 'give birth' and *tì* 'see' are not deleted indicates that these vowels are in fact not word-final.

The addition of the stative suffix *yi* allows us to determine that verbs that in their phonetic form have the vowel *u* in fact have the underlying labial glide in word-final position. The glide, when not followed by another vowel, is realized as the vowel *u*. The labial glide is realized as a glide when the suffix *í* is added. The epenthetic schwa is inserted between the preceding consonant and the glide:

- (22) *mbà*    *mà*    *mbù-í*    *zá*     $\rightarrow$  [mbàw-í]  
 child    REL    give birth-STAT    EE  
 'the child is born'

The labial glide /w/ is realized as a round vowel when preceded and followed by a schwa. The new vowel assumes the tone of the preceding syllable:

- (23)  $w \rightarrow u/\text{schwa} \_\_\_\text{schwa}$

(24) *ká wà [kúú]*      *zá ká zàm wàdá*  
 INF start      EE INF eat food  
 'he started to eat'

(25) *sà n ká wù [sànkúu]*      *zá ká*  
 1SG PREP INF start      EE INF  
*zàm wàdá*  
 eat food  
 'I started to eat' (Kefedjevrenge dialect)

The labial glide is also deleted between a consonant and the high round vowel:

(26) G[+round] → Ø/C\_\_\_\_\_ u.

(27) *tséy hídè wàcín tàŋ z wùtá* → [zútà]  
 then man that go EE home  
 'So that man went home . . .'

### 2.9 Consonant clusters

There are no three consonant clusters in word initial position. Two-consonant clusters are allowed in word-initial position, word- and phrase-medial position, and in word-final position. The phrase-medial clusters emerge as a result of the rule that deletes word-final vowels in phrase-internal position.

In word-initial position the most frequent are clusters with a sonorant, whether an obstruent followed by a sonorant, a sonorant followed by an obstruent, or a sonorant followed by a sonorant:

(28) zr      *zrúbà*      'proper name, masculine'  
 rn      *r-nàn*      'my hand' or *rè nàn*  
 rt      *r-tòkóŋ*      'our hands' or *rè tòkón*  
 nk      *̀̀kwà*      'goat'  
 ndr      *ndrì*      'sorghum' (nd is prenasalized stop)  
 mv      *mívà*      'feces, refuse'

An affricate may be followed by a stop:

- (29) *tskàb* 'chew'  
*tskò* 'evening'  
*tspáďəp* 'remain crouched'

In word-medial position the following types of clusters are allowed:

Sonorant and sonorant:

- (30) *tərlàn* 'turn'  
*máŋwà* 'pancreas'

Sonorant and continuant:

- (31) *màdìŋwàrzé* 'donkey'

Sonorant and stop:

- (32) *báldəm* 'sweetness, joy, happiness'  
*bárgádán* 'millet'  
*bàrtik* 'dust'  
*tərbás* 'twist'  
*mbárkántá* 'nine'

Stop and sonorant:

- (33) *bìmbřív* 'large'  
*mázèkléw* 'shadow'

Many clusters with two stops occur as a result of the reduplication of morphemes with consonantal onsets and codas:

- (34) *bédbèďén* 'a type of dance'  
*birtíd birtíd* 'quickly'  
*dàďàkdàďàk* 'completely'

However, there are also instances of clusters of two stops with no evidence of a composite structure:

- (35) *dádkùts* 'constellation'

Nasal and stops are very frequent clusters:



- (36) *gàmták* 'chicken'  
*wántà* 'mahogany tree and its fruits'

Stop-continuant clusters do not occur in intervocalic position unless they are products of reduplication:

- (37) *fádfád* 'eight'

Continuant-stop clusters occur only as a product of reduplication:

- (38) *káfkáfá* 'morning', with the form *káf* recorded as well.

When the cluster consists of a nasal followed by a stop, the nasal may assimilate to the following stop: [múnbùrkò] and [múmbùrkò] 'yesterday'.

In word-final position only a cluster consisting of two sonorants can occur:

- (39) *à wáŋ-r tiki*  
 3SG sleep-D.HAB where  
 'where is he sleeping'

An affricate and a stop can also form a cluster, but the only cases recorded involve the reduplication of a syllable:

- (40) *bìbìcìbìcì* 'all day'

No geminated consonants are allowed, except for a geminated lateral liquid. If a geminated consonant were to emerge at morpheme boundary, one consonant is deleted:

- (41) *án kó zəm mí* → [án kó zəm í]  
 what INF eat what  
 'what will he eat?'

### 3. The vowel system

#### 3.1 Phonetic and underlying vowels

There are seven phonetic short vowels and at least three long vowels, *aa*, *ii*, and *uu*. The following figure represents the short vowels:

i	ü	ə	u
e			o
			a

Figure 2.1. Phonetic Vowels

The mid vowels are lower mid rather than higher mid. The high vowels *i*, *ə*, and *u* and the low vowel *a* are much more frequent than the mid vowels *e* and *o*. Moreover, the phonetic vowel *e* is often the product of fronting of /a/ in the environment of a front vowel. The high central vowel, graphically represented by schwa, is the most frequent vowel in language production. We can predict only one vowel in the system, the fronted [ü]. We therefore postulate that all vowels in Figure 2.1 vowels, with the exception of *ü*, are underlying. However, it should be remembered that not every phonetic vowel represents an instantiation of the identical underlying vowel. Many instances of phonetic vowels represent the products of the application of various phonological rules.

### 3.2 Rightward vowel fronting

If a back vowel suffix follows a front mid or high vowel, with a consonant in between, the back vowel is fronted by the rule:

(42) V → [+front] /V[+front]C-\_\_\_\_\_.

For the vowel *a* the nearest front vowel is [e]:

(43) *bér-á* → [béré]  
sell-GO

(44) *lìm-á* → [límé]  
see-GO

(45) *í ká lìm-á nám zà* → [í ká lìm-é]  
3PL INF see-GO 1DU EE  
'they saw us'

(46) *sà bér-á-ŋ ɣà-nàŋ skù* → [sà bér-é-ŋ]  
1SG sell-GO-3SG cow-1SG NEG  
'I will not sell him my cow'

The back round vowels become front round. Thus, the third-person singular object *u* becomes *ü* when it follows a syllable with a front vowel:

- (47) *mèd-ü*  
swear-3SG  
'swear it!'
- (48) *žèb žèb á žèb-ü . . .*  
follow follow 3SG follow-3SG  
'He followed and followed her . . .'
- (49) *déb-ü*  
take-3SG  
'take it there!'

The vowel fronting can spread to clitics as well. Consider the following form, which consists of the verb *giz* 'tell', the goal orientation marker *á*, the first-person singular object pronoun *kù*, and the end-of-event marker *za*. All low vowels are fronted under the influence of the high front vowel of the verb:

- (50) *í giz-á-k zà* → [í giž-é-k sè]  
3PL tell-GO-1SG EE  
'I was told'

### 3.3 Leftward vowel fronting

The vowel of the suffix causes the fronting of the preceding vowel *a*:

- (51) *wà-hín* → [wèhín]  
DEM-DEM  
'this one or those ones' (Throughout the book we represent this form, and other complex determiners, without morphemic division, viz. as *wàhín*)

Vowel fronting operates also across words but only within phrases:

- (52) *ńtá gwíđĩn dáp* → [ńté gwíđĩŋ dáp]  
one only just  
'only one'

If a back vowel directly follows a front vowel, the back vowel is fronted. In the following example, the goal orientation marker *a* is fronted to *e* following a high front vowel, and then it replaces *i* as a result of *i* deletion:

- (53) *i*      *mà*      *sí-á-yí*                      *tətət*      *zá*  
 3PL    REL    run-GO-STAT                      3PL    EE  
 ‘they have returned running’ is realized as:  
 [í mà šé-y tətət zá]

- (54) *i*      *kà*      *sí-á-k*              *zà*      →      [í kà šé-k zá]  
 3PL    REL    run-GO-1SG    EE  
 ‘they ran for me’

A slightly different type of vowel fronting involves schwa. Schwa becomes fronted when separated from the high front vowel by a sonorant. The high round vowel, in turn, becomes central:

- (55) *dùwán*              *ídá*      →      [dèwíndá]  
 back                      house  
 ‘back of the house’ (as a part of the prepositional phrase)

### 3.4 Barriers to vowel fronting

The palatal glide is a barrier to vowel fronting. Consider the behavior of the verb “to see,” which in infinitive form sounds like [kó tì]. The verb must have an underlying palatal glide, which is realized as such when the verb is followed by a low vowel. The evidence for the presence of the palatal glide is provided by the fact that the low vowel is not fronted:

- (56) *ká*      *tìy-á*              *zà*      →      [kó tìy-á zà]  
 INF    see-GO              EE  
 ‘[he went there] to have a look’

- (57) *ká*      *tìy-á-k*              *zà*      →      [kó tìy-á-k zà]  
 INF    see-GO-1SG    EE  
 ‘he looked at me’

We interpret the absence of raising in the examples above as further evidence that the verb ‘to look’ is in fact *tìy*, not *tì*, and that the palatal glide is a barrier to vowel raising. This property allows us to establish

that the underlying form of the plural marker is in fact *yî* and not *i*, as it is most often realized, because the addition of the plural marker never causes vowel fronting, nor does it cause palatalization of the preceding alveolar continuants:

- (58) *hàz-yî* [hàz-iyi]      and not\* [hèz-iyi]  
 dog-PL  
 ‘dogs’

Schwa becomes front when followed by a CV sequence where V is high and front through the following processes (only the relevant part is illustrated):

- (59) Underlying Vowel deletion Schwa insertion Assimilation  
*hàzá-yî* → *hàz-yî* → *hàzá-yî* → [hàziyi]  
 dog-PL  
 ‘dogs’

### 3.5 Vowel deletion

The single most important rule affecting the phonetic structure of an utterance in Mina is vowel deletion in phrase-internal positions, including the position before a suffix. Vowel deletion is a grammatical marker of phrase-internal position, and vowel retention is the marker of phrasal boundary. The consequences of this rule include not only the emergence of various consonant clusters but also the emergence of schwa to prevent a disallowed cluster from emerging because of vowel deletion. Vowels that are grammatical markers, including derivational morphemes, are never deleted phrase internally or before a suffix. First an illustration of vowel deletion:

- (60) *i*      *sì*      *tətàŋ*    →    [í šì tətàŋ ]    →    [ĩštətàŋ ]  
 3PL    run    3PL  
 ‘they escaped’
- hàzá*   *tá*      *bítsì*    →    [hàs tó bíčì]  
 dog    GEN    Bitsi  
 ‘Bitsi’s dog’

*ɓà tá bítì* → [*ɓè tá bítì*]  
 cow GEN Bitsi  
 'Bitsi's cow'

If the final vowel of a word is a derivational morpheme, as is the case with *ɓì* 'meat', most probably derived from *ɓà* 'cow', such a vowel is not deleted in contexts in which lexical vowels are always deleted:

(61) *ɓì tá gáldàm* → [*ɓì tá gáldàm*]  
 meat GEN pig  
 'pork'

Vowel deletion is a means of coding phrasal boundary. Retention of the vowel is a grammatical marker of the syntactic structure of the utterance. The distinction between the predicative construction and the attributive construction, with the same element as either the predicate or attribute, is coded through the system of vowel deletion and vowel retention. In the predicative construction, the last vowel of the subject is retained, thus marking phrasal boundary. In the attributive construction, the final vowel is deleted, thus marking phrase-internal position. Compare the following examples. Equational clauses:

(62) *ɲkwà báytàŋ*  
 goat large  
 'the goat is large'

(63) *s tì ɲkwà báytàŋ*  
 1SG see goat large  
 'I see that the goat is large'

In the attributive construction, the final vowel of the noun *ɲkwà* is deleted:

(64) *í dá-há-k ɲkù báytàŋ*  
 3PL bring-GO-1SG goat large  
 'they brought me a large goat'

A fronted object retains its last vowel, and thus it is coded as a separate phrase within the clause:

- (65) *ʒì tá hàzá sà mbál skù*  
 meat GEN dog 1SG like NEG  
 ‘dog meat, I don’t like it’

Vowel retention and vowel deletion is a means of coding phrasal boundary. Focus on the adverb can be coded by setting it apart as a separate phrase through retention of the preceding vowel:

- (66) *sà lim-é gwáʒá mùm̀b̀ùrkó*  
 1SG see-GO elephant yesterday  
 ‘I saw an elephant, just yesterday’. The relevant portion is realized as: [gwáʒá mùm̀b̀ùrkó]

The deletion of the preceding vowel indicates that the adverb has the same information value as the other elements of the clause:

- (67) *sà lim-é [gwáʒ mùm̀b̀ùrkó]*  
 1SG see-GO elephant yesterday  
 ‘I saw an elephant yesterday!’

Word-initial vowels which are not grammatical morphemes and which do not replace preceding vowels, are deleted in phrase-internal position. In the following phrase the noun *hídà* ‘man’ in isolation has an epenthetic [h]. The glottal continuant [h] does not occur in phrase-internal position. The initial vowel *í* is deleted in phrase-internal position:

- (68) *tséy hídà wàcín [tséy d̀à wàcín] táŋ z wùtá*  
 then man DEM go EE village  
 ‘The man returned home’

### 3.6 Vowel rounding

Schwa and *i* become round when followed by a round vowel or labial glide:

- (69) V[+high, -round] → [+round]/ \_\_\_\_ (C) S [+round].

This rule is particularly interesting for schwa, which itself is a product of vowel insertion. Thus, the noun *ʒà* has its vowel deleted and schwa inserted when followed by a word beginning with a consonant,

and consequently becomes *ɥà* in phrase-internal position. The schwa then becomes *u* if it is followed by a labial glide:

- (70) *ɥà wèhín* → [ɥù wèhín]  
 cow DEM  
 ‘this cow’

Here are other examples:

- (71) *sà gwáɗ zà* → [sù gwáɗ zà]  
 1SG satiate EE  
 ‘I am sated’
- ábà kwóyɗùm* → [ábù kwóyɗùm]  
 ASSC ease (F.)  
 ‘easily’

Schwa may become round when followed by a round vowel in the next syllable, even if the two are separated by an obstruent:

- (72) *kà tók* → [kùtók]  
 INF finish
- ábà hónj* → [ábù hónj]  
 ASSC 2SG  
 ‘with you’

The high front vowel undergoes rounding in the same circumstances:

- (73) *ɗī wùɗá* → [ɗũ wùɗá]  
 put food  
 ‘put food’

## 4. Syllabification

### 4.1 Syllable structures

The following syllabic structures are allowed (period marks syllabic boundary):

- V *a* ‘third singular subject’, *á.bà* ‘associative marker, singular’  
*i* ‘third person plural subject’



- S (S stands for a sonorant). Only nasal consonants can be syllabic peaks: *ńtsà* ‘vulva’, *ńtsúr* ‘nose’, *ńvà* ‘excrement’
- VC An example of the VC syllable is the verb *íf* ‘blow’, the form occurring in verb reduplication. Most VC syllables occur across a morpheme boundaries or as a result of the final vowel deletion in a VCV structure:
- (74) *á wtá tá-kínèŋ* → [áw.tá.tá.kí.nèŋ]  
 PRED village GEN-2PL  
 ‘at your place’
- CV *tá* ‘genitive marker’ (*tá* in phrase-internal position)
- CVC *dòk* ‘horse’, *tár* ‘month’ (in phrase-internal position)
- CSV *grá* ‘find’, *trá* ‘month, time’  
 There are no CSVC syllables.

To prevent a disallowed syllable structure including disallowed consonant clusters from emerging as the result of vowel deletion, a schwa is inserted in lieu of the deleted vowel. Although various syllabic structures are allowed, some structures are preferred over others. Thus although there are structures of the type CSV, in the process of syllabification the structure CVS is preferred over the structure CSV. Consider the word *trá* ‘month, time’. When it is followed by another word within a phrase, the final vowel is deleted. The syllabification process does not insert schwa after the second consonant, but rather after the first. The tone of the morpheme remains the same:

- (75) *trá láy* → tr’ láy → [tár láy]
- tár láy tá mìtãš*  
 month time GEN hunger  
 ‘The year of the hunger.’

Similarly the auxiliary verb *gr’* ‘search’ is realized as *gár*:

- (76) *á gár ká nd-á-k ksám skù*  
 3SG try INF go-GO-1SG body NEG  
 ‘It will not touch me’ (e.g. about an arrow)

The principles of syllabification make it possible to establish some phonetic sequences [nd] and [ng] as prenasalized stops rather than sequences of two underlying consonants. In the process of syllabification

the underlying segments *nd* and *ng* never become \**nəd* or \**nəg* but rather *ndə* or *ngə*:

- (77) *i ndə ká bèr-é . . .*  
 3PL go INF sell-GO  
 ‘They were going to sell . . .’
- ngəd ngəd í ngəd*  
 count count 3PL count  
 ‘They counted . . .’

Syllable-initial sequences of three consonants are not allowed.

The schwa is inserted between words in a clause to prevent a disallowed syllable structure from emerging. In the following example, a verb borrowed from Fula, *naast* ‘enter’, is realized as *nástə* with final schwa because it is followed by a preposition with an initial consonant:

- (78) *nd-á nástə nə yəm*  
 go-GO enter (F.) PREP water  
 ‘and entered into the water’ (natural discourse example)

A schwa is also inserted to prevent a disallowed consonantal sequence from emerging. The sequence of a continuant followed by another continuant is disallowed, and consequently the schwa is inserted between the consonants:

- (79) *ká ndə zá fú → ká nd z' fú → [ká ndə zəfú]*  
 INF go EE always  
 ‘Each time she went . . .’

#### 4.2 Syllable reduction

The problem to be discussed under syllable reduction is presented by the alternation between the forms *wùtá* and *wtá* ‘village’. When this noun is phrase final, it is realized as *wùtá*:

- (80) *ábə nd-á ngən wùtá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG village  
 ‘Then she returned to her village’

*í tsú á wùtá mìd'*  
 3PL go PRED village but  
 'They went home but . . .'

When this noun is in phrase-internal position, it is realized as *wtá*:

(81) *á wtá cíŋ*  
 PRED village his father  
 'at his father's'

The explanation of the phrase-internal form [wtá] is as follows: The high vowel is reduced to Ø after the homo-organic glide if the syllabification conditions allow. Thus *wùtá* (citation form) is reduced to *wtá*. Other examples of the application of high round vowel reduction after labial glide:

(82) *à zəm-á wùdá* or [à zəmá wdà]  
 3SG eat-GO food  
 'he ate the food'

Compare the non-reduced second vowel:

(83) *wùdá nàn*  
 food 1SG  
 'my food'

## 5. Glide formation

There are two sources of phonetic glides. One is the underlying glide; the other is a product of phonological rules. The presence of underlying glides is evidenced by the word-initial forms, as illustrated earlier in this chapter. Here is additional evidence for the underlying glide as the initial segment of the stative marker *-yi* which is reduced to [y] in word final position:

(84) *mə nd-à-y zá* → [mə ndày] or [mù ndày]  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 'he has come'

The underlying *i* becomes a palatal glide following the low vowel:

- (85) *á idá tùkón* → [áyǎ́ tùkón]  
 PRED house 2SG  
 'at your compound'

## 6. Tone

### 6.1 *The system and the functions of tone*

There are two tones, low, marked by grave ` and high, marked by acute ´. Tone has lexical and grammatical functions: *ǎ́* 'cut' and *ǎ̀* 'a single bovine, cow, bull', *yám* 'water' and *yám* 'also', *vák* 'river sand', *hàyák* 'country, earth'.

Tone also may distinguish between grammatical morphemes. Low tone on inherently high tone verbs codes imperative mood.

Some morphemes have inherent tone, and others have polar tone, i.e. the tone opposite to another tone. Some morphemes that have polar tone are: the end-of-event marker *za*, the point-of-view of subject marker *ka*, the dependent habitual marker *ra*, all occurring in verb-phrase-final position. The third person object suffix *-u* has also polar tone. The infinitive marker *kə* has also polar tone: *ká dām* 'to marry' and *kə dām* 'penetrate, ache, pain' and 'wear trousers, shoes'.

The inherent tone of a morpheme can also undergo a change to code a number of functions. Thus tones of subject pronouns that are inherently low become high to code imperative and subjunctive moods. The tones of verbs that are inherently high become low in the imperative mood and also in dependent clauses. In the following example the first instantiation of the verb *ǎ́t* 'take' has high tone in the matrix clause. The second instantiation has low tone, as it occurs in a sequential clause. The third instantiation has high tone, as it again occurs in the matrix clause:

- (86) *kwáyàn*      *ǎ́t*      *gàdzàmbàl*      *ngàn*      *ǎ̀t*      *nákà*  
 squirrel      take      guitar      3SG      take      ANAPH  
*ká*      *kàp-á*      *nd-á*      *ǎ́t*      *dùwáŋ*  
 INF      break-GO      go-GO      take      back  
*ngàn*      *díy-à*      *zà*      *ngàn*      *ká*      *kàdām*  
 3SG      put-GO      EE      3SG      PREP      calabash  
*ábà*      *ndá*      *ngàn*      *á*      *wtá*  
 ASSC      go:GO      3SG      PRED      house  
 'Squirrel took his guitar, the one that he broke, he came to put it  
 on his back, as his calabash, and then he returned home'

The tone on monosyllabic and polysyllabic words borrowed from lan-

guages without tone is not predictable. Most borrowings from Fula have high tones: *gaw* ‘hunter’ → *gáw*; *wurt* ‘leave’ → *wúrt*; *mallum* ‘teacher’ → *màllúm*; *deft* ‘book’ → *déf* ‘book, Koran’; *derewol* ‘paper’ → *déréwól*. But there are also low-tone borrowed words: *gam* ‘because’ → *ngàm*; *deftere* ‘book’ → *déftèrè*. The same word may have different tones, e.g. *nástá* and *nástè* ‘enter’.

## 6.2 Tone and vowel deletion

If a vowel is deleted the tone of the syllable is also deleted. Consider the behavior of object pronouns. In phrase final position the first person object pronoun is *kù*:

- (87) *hìdì wà mà-nd-á-kù dèb*  
 man DEM REL-beat-OBJ-1SG lead  
*nà kità*  
 PREP justice(F.)  
 ‘It was this person who hit me. Take him to be judged.’

In phrase internal position the final vowel of the pronoun is deleted, and there is no tonal shift:

- (88) *ká màl-á-k zà*  
 INF catch-GO-1SG EE  
 ‘He caught me’
- í ká lìm-é-k zà mùmbùrkó*  
 3PL INF see-GO-1SG EE yesterday  
 ‘They saw me yesterday’

- (89) *hìdì wèhín á zá ván á n*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP  
*ká dā á gèr ká nd-á-k*  
 INF fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG  
*kàsám skù*  
 body NEG  
 ‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

### 6.3 Tone and vowel replacement

When a suffix is added to a morpheme ending in a vowel, and the vowel is by itself not a grammatical marker, such a vowel is deleted before suffixation. The tone that the vowel carried is also deleted. The tone of the vocalic suffix becomes the tone of the new syllable. Consider the addition of the goal-orientation marker *á*. The evidence that this marker has high tone is provided by its realization after CVC verbs:

- (90) *à zá ngùl-yù ðámbáy tá màcín*  
 3SG COMP husband-PL stick GEN DEM  
*lùw-á-ŋ màk*  
 say-GO-3SG would you  
 ‘She said, my husband, this stick, say to it’

- (91) *hà ndí dzán-á nám skàn*  
 2SG HAB find-GO 1DU thing  
*màná wà tiki*  
 like DEM where  
 ‘Where do you find us things like this?’

Consider now addition of the goal-orientation marker to a monosyllabic verb *ndà* ‘go’. After vowel deletion in phrase-internal position, the consonant of the verb and the goal-orientation marker form one syllable with high- rather than low tone:

- (92) *tséy mbí dèw ká báy ndá bàt*  
 so 3SG sit like chief go:GO take  
*mámáŋ ábà cín*  
 his mother ASSC his father  
 ‘Then he became a chief, and he came to take his mother and father.’

### 6.4 The operation of the polar tone

The assignment of the tone to a morpheme that has polar tone is based on the preceding or the following tone. Consider the addition of the third person object pronoun *u*. The tone of this pronoun is polar, opposite of the tone of the preceding verb. The evidence that the tone is polar is provided the tone of the suffix when added to CVC verbs. First examples of the third person suffix added to high-tone verbs:

- (93) *mbà à ǵ-á sáŋ sà mà káp-ù*  
 child 3SG say-GO 1SG 1SG REL break-3SG  
 ‘The child said, “It is me that broke it.”’

- (94) *ví à mbál-ù ví à mbál-ù*  
 who 3SG like-3SG who 3SG like-3SG  
 ‘Everybody liked her’

Here is an example of the third person suffix added to a low tone verb. According to the hypothesis about the tone of the suffix being polar, it carries high tone:

- (95) *dzàw í dzàw-ù á dùwán màdingwàrzé*  
 attach 3PL attach-3SG PRED back donkey  
 ‘They attached it to the back of the donkey.’

Similarly the point-of-view of subject marker *ka* has a polar tone, as evidenced by the following examples:

- (96) *wàl màsálád í ndí gám kà*  
 woman lazy 3PL HAB chase POS  
 ‘The lazy woman is chased away.’

- (97) *à zá sà tán tán zà nd-á*  
 3SG COMP 1SG walk walk EE go-GO  
*wídīŋ kà ták kàtəf ká wàcíŋ sà*  
 snake INF block road POS DEM 1SG  
*dīyà nɛ̀d̥ə tán*  
 start beat DED  
 ‘He said, “I was walking, walking, and there was a snake blocking the road, and I started to hit it.”’

The determining factor in assignment of the polar tone is the last preceding tone as realized in the surface structure, rather than the underlying tone. When the point-of-view of subject marker follows a verb with object pronoun and with the goal-orientation marker *á*, i.e. a marker with high tone, the point of view-of-subject marker has low tone. The tone of the point-of-view of subject marker would have been high if it were to be sensitive to the tone of the object marker, which by rule should be low:

- (98) *mbí*      *mà*      *tr-á-k*                      *kà*  
 ANAPH REL save-GO-1SG POS  
 ‘It is he who saved me!’ (*tár* ‘separate people who are fighting’;  
 ‘save’)

Similarly the third person object marker added to the consonantal root, has the tone opposite of the last preceding tone:

- (99) *hà*      *tál ká*      *màl*      *bà*              *wàdá*      *mámáŋ*  
 2SG try INF seize ASSC food his mother  
*mà*      *d-ú*  
 REL cook-3SG  
 ‘If you try to discipline [children] with food [be refusing food] it  
 is the mother who cooks it’

If the object were to be sensitive to the underlying tone of the verb, which is low, the tone of the object marker *u* would have been high.

## 7. Conclusions

The consonantal system of Mina is characterized by the presence of prenasalized and glottalized stops in addition to oral and nasal stops. The language has a series of continuants, including voiceless and voiced lateral continuants, and it also has two affricates. There are clusters with different values for the feature voice. No geminated consonants are allowed except for the lateral liquid. Continuants and affricates are palatalized before a high front vowel. The vowel system consists of six vowels, including schwa. There is a fronting vowel harmony whereby if a back vowel follows a consonant that is preceded by a front vowel, the back vowel is fronted. A palatal glide is a barrier to vowel harmony. There is also a very limited leftward vowel harmony, whereby the vowel *a* undergoes fronting when followed by a consonant and a front vowel. An outstanding feature of the phonological system of Mina is interaction between syntax and phonology whereby all word-final vowels other than grammatical morphemes are deleted in phrase-internal position. Vowel retention is a marker of the phrase-final position, and vowel deletion is a marker of the phrase-internal position. The language has two tones, high and low. The tone has lexical and grammatical functions. Some morphemes have inherent tones and others have polar tones, determined by the following or preceding tone. When the final vowel of a word is deleted, the tone of the vowel is deleted as well.



We describe the operation of tone in various sections, where the tone plays the grammatical role or when the underlying tones of morphemes are affected.



# Chapter 3

## The structure of the noun phrase

### 1. Introduction

The noun phrase may have the following structure: Head (Number) (Modifier) (Determiner) (Number). The head of a noun phrase is that element that can occur alone in lieu of the noun phrase. The head of the noun phrase may be a noun, a pronoun, or a demonstrative.

There are four types of modifying constructions: (1) appositional constructions with no morphological marker; (2) constructions consisting of a noun, the preposition *tá*, and either a noun or an adjective as a modifier; (3) constructions consisting of a noun and the relative clause marker *mà*, which can be followed by either nouns or verbs as modifiers; (4) constructions of the form (Noun) *là* Noun. The plural marker may be added either to the head noun or at the end of the noun phrase, or it may appear in both positions at the same time. The noun phrase may also consist of two noun phrases joined by the associative preposition *b*.

In what follows we describe various components of the noun phrase and the way they interact with each other. In Chapter 16 (Reference system) we discuss the system of reference, which includes the coding of previous mention, deixis, definiteness, coreference, and switch reference. These functions involve the use of the nouns followed by determiners.

### 2. The defining features of the category noun

The defining characteristic of the category “noun” is its ability to function as an argument of a proposition without any morphological modifications. This characteristic distinguishes nouns from verbs, adjectives, and adverbs. Verbs and adjectives cannot serve as arguments without some modification, and this characteristic distinguishes them from nouns. Nouns cannot perform the adverbial function without some previous modification or without prepositions, and this characteristic distinguishes them from adverbs.

### 3. Noun stems

The noun stem may have any of the following forms (phrase-final forms are quoted):

CV	<i>ɣà</i> ‘cow’, <i>mbù</i> ‘child’
CVC	<i>dòk</i> ‘horse’
VCV	<i>iná</i> ‘Hina’ (place name)
CCV	<i>ndrì</i> ‘sorghum’
CCVC	<i>kràm</i> ‘dry season’, <i>vràts</i> ‘mosquito’
CVCV	<i>dámù</i> ‘bush’
CVCCV	<i>máɣwà</i> ‘pancreas’
CVCVC	<i>kàtəf</i> ‘road’, <i>zàvày</i> ‘hump, humpback’
CVCVCV	<i>nèwéné</i> or <i>nèwéni</i> ‘salt’
CVCCVCV	<i>máɣtàgà</i> ‘cloth’
CVCC(C)VC	<i>dúngùr</i> ‘animal hump’, <i>wírɲjìk</i> ‘ashes’
CVCVCVC	<i>tsìsélém</i> [cicélém] ‘wood’

More-complex lexical structures appear to be composites of several morphemes, lexical, derivational and inflectional, described in the next section.

Some nouns have initial consonant *n*, which in other Chadic languages is probably a borrowed marker (Frajzyngier and Ross 1991). The evidence that the initial nasal is a prefix, even if an old one, is provided by the fact that some of these nouns have variants without the initial *n*. Frajzyngier and Ross note that nouns with a nasal prefix in Chadic languages often denote animals or parts of animals, and this appears to be the case in Mina as well.

(1)	<i>ɲkwà</i>	‘goat’	<i>nkw-yíi</i>
	<i>kwà</i>	‘goat’	<i>kw-yíi</i>

For the following nouns only the forms with initial nasals were recorded. The examples (2a) have non-syllabic nasals and (2b) contain initial syllabic nasals:

- (2a) *ndürúk* 'ram'  
*ndəgwáŋ* 'brain'  
*ndòŋ* 'the bottom; the back'  
*ngáŋ* 'torso'  
*ngàwàlà* 'co-wife'  
*ngàz* 'foot; leg; paw; wheel'  
*ngàb* 'herd; flock; crowd'  
*ngàbár* 'rooster'  
*ngèf* 'feather'  
*ngùl* 'husband'
- (2b) *ńtèk* 'sheep'  
*ńtsà* 'vulva'  
*ńtsùr* 'nose'  
*ńvà* 'excrement'

#### 4. Derivational morphemes

Our data show only three derivational morphemes for the formation of nouns, two of which are productive, *mə* and *lə*. The noun-final morpheme *-i* is not productive. The only example containing this morpheme is *ɣì* 'meat', derived most probably from *ɣà* 'a single animal of bovine family, undetermined for sex', glossed further in this work as 'cow'. The lack of other examples prevents us from postulating an underlying tone of this morpheme. The evidence that *i* is a morpheme rather than part of a root is provided by the fact that, unlike a lexical word-final vowel, it is not deleted in phrase-internal position:

- (3) *ɣì*    *tá*    *gáldám*  
 meat    GEN    pig  
 'pork'
- (4) *ɣì*    *tá*    *ɲkù-dámù*  
 meat    GEN    goat-bush  
 'gazelle meat'

The marker *i* may well be a lexicalization of the form that results from the addition of the plural marker *yì* to the noun *ɣà*, with the ensuing final-vowel reduction.

4.1 *The agentive prefix m̀̀*

The prefix *m̀̀*, identical with the relative clause marker, can derive nouns from other lexical categories, more specifically, from verbs and numerals.

When a noun is derived from a verb, the marker *m̀̀* precedes the simple or the reduplicated form of the verb. The verb has the simple form if it is followed by the object. If the verb has inherently low tone, it has high tone in the nominalized agentive form:

- (5) *m̀̀ lám bíŋ*  
REL build room  
'The one who builds a room . . .'

- (6) *ká l̀̀m bíŋ zà*  
INF build house EE  
'He built a room.'

Disyllabic low-low verbs become high-low in the nominalized agentive form:

- (7) *m̀̀ t́̀wèl ǵámbáy*  
REL twirl stick  
'the one who twirls the stick'

Cf.:

- (8) *ká t̀̀wèl ǵámbáy zà*  
INF twirl stick EE  
'He twirled the stick'

The low-high verbs stay low-high in the nominalized agentive form:

- (9) *m̀̀ p̀̀dák njúl*  
REL split grass  
'the one who splits grass'

Cf.:

- (10) *ká p̀̀dák njúl zà*  
INF split grass EE  
'He split grass'

The verb has the reduplicated form when it is not followed by the object. The same tonal rules apply, low tone verbs become high, and low-low verbs become high-low. Compare the tone on the verb *ng̀̀d*

when used in a nominalized expression and when used in a predicative expression:

- (11) *mà ngád ngád pàl á pàl bàtákar*  
 REL count count detach 3SG detach bag  
*ngàd ngàd*  
 count count  
 ‘The one who was good at counting detached the bag and counted [the seeds].’

- (12) *mà mbír mbír*  
 REL jump jump  
 ‘the one who jumps’

Cf.:

- (13) *ká mbír zá*  
 INF jump EE  
 ‘He jumped’

- (14) *mà ðím ðím*  
 REL listen listen  
 ‘the one who is a listener’

Cf.

- (15) *ká ðím zá*  
 INF listen EE  
 ‘He listened’

- (16) *mà tíy tíy*  
 REL look look  
 ‘the one who looks’

Cf.:

- (17) *ká tíy zá*  
 INF look EE  
 ‘He looked’

High tone verbs stay high in the nominalized agentive form.

While it may be claimed that the nominalized agentive forms are simply varieties of relative clauses, nevertheless, the marker *mà* is a derivational morpheme, and it can be used with nominal stems:

- (18) *mà-ðám* ‘side, border’  
*mà-dzàlbán* ‘river shore, steep’

We consider the marker *mà* to be a derivational morpheme even in

words for which no form without *mà* has been recorded. Some of them are names of body parts and animals:

- (19) *mà-núnúk* 'forehead'  
*mà-diŋwàrzé* 'donkey'  
*mà-námnám* 'liver'  
*mà-ndàváy* 'rabbit'  
*mà-kàbám* 'face'

Quite possibly, the noun *màmáy* 'mother of a third-person' is also a derived form, through the addition of the prefix *mà*. Other semantically related items display a different form: *màtsàh* 'your mother', *mànàŋ* 'my mother'.

A form that is probably unrelated, *mà* 'mouth' derives names of languages from nouns:

- (20) *mà-dzùndzùn* [*mə̀ jùnjùn*] 'the language of the *dzùndzùníyì*'  
 4.2 *The singulative prefix là̀*

The prefix *là̀*, whose tone is low with a few exceptions, is added to nouns and to property concept words to mean "one who belongs to X" or "one that has the property X". Nouns derived with the prefix *là̀* are always [+human]:

- (21) *là̀-dábà* 'a Daba person' (PL *dáb-ii*)  
*là̀-márwà* 'a Maroua person'  
*là̀-káftákà* 'a Kaftaka person'  
*là̀-nására* 'a white man' (PL *násár-ii*) from Arabic via Fula  
*nasaara*)

The high tone exceptions are:

- lá-máts* 'sick person' (cf. *máts* 'die', 'sickness')  
*lá-nyáw* 'sick person' (*nyáw* 'sick' in Fula; Mina *ádállà*)

## 5. Modifying constructions

Modifying constructions have different forms, depending on the inherent semantic and categorial properties of the modifier. Property concept words have a variety of subclasses, which determine the way they are used as modifiers. Use of a noun as a modifier requires a still different



means. The present section is organized according to the class of the modifier, and this in turn is determined by the coding means required for its use.

### 5.1 Property concept words

There are three classes of lexical items that are referred to as “property concept words” in the present work. The three classes share the defining characteristic of adjectives, viz., they have an inherent modifying function. All classes of property concept words follow the heads they modify. For all classes the head and modifier are one phrase, as evidenced by the phonological changes on the head noun, viz. deletion of the final vowel of the noun and insertion of the epenthetic schwa if so required by the syllabic structure. The three classes differ in the way they are used in the attributive function.

The first class consists of lexical items that can modify a noun without use of additional syntactic or morphological means. This class is exceedingly small. We were able to identify only the following items as belonging to this class: *báytàŋ* ‘large’, *pár* ‘another’. The form *báytàŋ* appears to have the suffix *n*, the same suffix that is added to pronouns and deictics in phrase-final position. The evidence for this hypothesis is the distribution of the form *báytàŋ*, which occurs only in phrase-final position. In phrase-internal position the form is *báytà*. (The examples that follow each adjective are provided as evidence of the inherent modifying function of the class of adjectives.)

- (22) *sà ká lìm òkù báytà zá*  
 1SG INF see goat large EE  
*á idá tàkóŋ*  
 PRED house 2SG  
 ‘I saw a large goat at your compound’

Compare the phrase above with the predicative construction, which consists of two phrases, the subject phrase and the predicate:

- (23) *òkù wà báytàŋ*  
 goat DEM large  
 ‘That goat is large.’

The form *pár* ‘another’ is an adjective:

- (24) *skàŋ pár*  
 thing another  
 'another thing'
- (25) *vì pár*  
 rainy season another  
 'another rainy season'

The adjectival modifier may be reduplicated, for the coding of plurality of the attribute:

- (26) *ǵámbáy làkwid' làkwid' làkwid' làkwid'*  
 stick straight straight straight straight  
 'a very straight stick.'

The second type of property concept words must have the relative marker *mà* preceding them. The attributive construction is therefore quite similar to the attributive construction where the modifier is a relative clause. The construction forms one phrasal category with the head noun, as evidenced by the phonological reduction of the head noun:

- (27) *ŋkùm fés*  
 goat REL small  
 'a small goat'

Cf.:

- (28) *ŋkwà fés*  
 goat small  
 'The goat is small.'

Some of the lexical items in this class are *jíŋ* 'tall', *dùk* 'short', *cèrcèr* 'narrow', *p'úm* or *p'ám* 'deep' (*p* is ejective), *tàtàdáy* 'shallow, exposed':

- (29) *hìdà mà jíŋ*  
 man REL tall  
 'a tall man'
- (30) *hìdà mà dùk*  
 man REL short  
 'a short man'



range of red, orange, yellow, brown), *délék* ‘green, blue’. Other color terms are: *ùzóŋ* ‘dark’ (e.g. about a cloud-covered sky), *kùlóh* ‘gray’. The adjective *nék* ‘good’ appears to be one of those derived forms. We do not, however, have independently attested base forms without the ending *-ék*. Modification for these property concepts is realized by a construction consisting of the head noun, followed by the genitive marker *tá*, followed by the modifier. Color terms belong to this type of modifier. The lexeme *yàm* ‘water’ partially overlaps with the term “color” and is often used in the description of colors:

(37) *máttàgè*      *tá*      *yàm*      *tú*      *gwàr*  
 cloth            GEN      water      GEN      cola nut (F.)  
 ‘orange cloth’

(38) *rùkùt*      *tá*      *lvèŋ*  
 shirt      GEN      black  
 ‘a black shirt’

Property concept words belonging to this group have to be reduplicated in the predicative construction, a property that is not shared by any other class of lexical items:

(39) *wìzì*              *báy-yii*              *ɓàt*      *ɓám*      *dídèk*      *dídèk*  
 children            chief-PL            take      eat      sweet      sweet  
 ‘The chief’s children took it and ate it. It was sweet.’

For the concept “transparent” an ingenious periphrastic construction is used:

(40) *tìy*      *tóŋ*  
 see      pass  
 ‘transparent’

The reduplication of the adjectival phrase codes intensification of the adjectival concept:

(41) *ɓámáy* *làkwíɗ* *làkwíɗ* *làkwíɗ* *làkwíɗ*  
 stick      straight straight straight straight  
 ‘very straight stick’

Color terms can also be formed through true relative clause constructions. The word *sigine* (no palatalization of *s*) ‘indigo plant’, bor-

rowed from Fula, forms a modifying construction through the relative marker *mà* and the verb *ná* ‘to be like’:

- (42) *rùkùt mà nà sigìné*  
 dress REL like indigo plant  
 ‘the dress like an indigo plant’ (blue)

### 5.2 *Connecting modifiers by the preposition tá*

Several types of expressions are formed through the use of the preposition *tá*, glossed as GEN for genitive. We use the term ‘genitive marker’ as an identifying term rather than as a product of analysis. The modifying constructions coded by this marker include possessive expressions, some attributive modifications, and modification by adverbial expressions. Structurally there is no difference among them, but for a linguist looking for data for typological research, it is useful to divide the section into various semantic concepts that in other languages may have separate formal representations. Hence the subsections below are intended to facilitate reading rather than to represent different structures of the language.

The head noun has final vowels deleted, as amply illustrated in examples below. This indicates that the head noun forms one phrase with the following modifying construction.

#### 5.2.1 *The modifying construction with a noun as a modifier*

The modifying construction using the preposition *tá*, has the form Noun phrase *tá* Modifier. The modifier must be a noun, but the range of functions coded by such modification is quite wide, at least as reflected by the number of categories that cover the same range in other languages. No distinction is coded between alienable and inalienable possession. As per the rule of vowel rounding, the schwa of the marker *tá* is rounded before a round glide or a vowel.

The construction is used to code relationship between the whole and a part:

- (43) *ngàzù wá tú wàl nàŋ*  
 foot DEM GEN wife 1SG  
 ‘That is my wife’s foot.’

- (44) *tàlàn tá závàŋ-yî*  
 head GEN guinea fowl-PL  
 ‘the heads of the guinea fowl’

It is also used for family relations, e.g. wife of X:

- (45) *wàl tá kwáykwáy mèsáw mèsáw*  
 woman GEN hyena grill grill  
*tók zà*  
 finish EE  
 ‘The wife of the hyena finished grilling.’

The construction is used to code the purpose of an object:

- (46) *mívàŋ tá tápá*  
 stone GEN tobacco  
 ‘tobacco stone’
- (47) *tèbéŋ tá ndír dáhà*  
 granary GEN sorghum exist  
 ‘There is a granary of sorghum’
- (48) *tèbéŋ tá kàkàs dáhà*  
 granary GEN beans exist  
 ‘There is a granary of beans’

The construction is also used to code an attribute of an object:

- (49) *hál tá ʒámbáy ngàŋ*  
 limit GEN stick 3SG  
 ‘the area delimited by his stick.’
- (50) *cìkíd tá gwídīŋ*  
 sesame GEN single  
 ‘a single sesame seed’
- láy tá mìtĩs*  
 time GEN hunger  
 ‘time of hunger’

The construction is used to modify an object by its place:

- (51) *hìd tá nfád-yîi*  
 man GEN palace (F.)-PL  
 ‘the men of the palace’

The construction with the genitive marker may also be used to code modification through a demonstrative pronoun in topicalization constructions:

- (52) *à zá ngùl-yîi ðámbáy tá màcîŋ*  
 3SG COMP husband-PL stick GEN DEM  
*lùw-á-ŋ màk*  
 say-GO-3SG would you  
 ‘She said, my husband, this stick, say to it,’

The preposition *tá* is derived from the determiner *tá*, which otherwise codes deduced reference. The evidence for the identity of the two forms is provided by emphatic genitive constructions, when the form *tá* is expanded to the phrase final form *táŋ*. The importance of this form is that it is identical with the phrase final form of the deduced reference marker *tá*:

- (53) *məl ii məl-á-ŋ ðə báytaŋ ðə táŋ*  
 seize 3PL seize-GO-3SG cow large cow GEN  
*ngùl nd-á pá í vəl-á-ŋ kədám*  
 male go-GO give 3PL give-GO-3SG calabash  
 ‘They caught a large cow, a bull, for him, and they gave him a calabash [to fill it with the milk from the bull].’

### 5.2.2 Multiple modifying constructions

Multiple modifying constructions can be formed through the multiple use of the genitive marker *tá*:

- (54) *séy báy bət zánà tá mič*  
 so chief take cloth wrap GEN corps  
*té gwíđiŋ pá á nà wəl*  
 GEN single give PRED PREP woman  
*wàcîŋ*  
 DEM  
 ‘The chief took a single shroud and gave it to this woman.’

## 6. Possessive pronouns

The modifying structure with pronominal possessors is Noun *tá* Pronoun. The marker *tá* does not occur with the first- and third-person singular, because the preposition *t* assimilates completely to the initial nasal consonant of the first and third person pronouns. This fact is an argument against a potential analysis of schwa being a product of vowel reduction rather than vowel insertion. If it were a product of vowel reduction it would not be deleted. Possessive pronouns have low tone:

(55) *bàt á bàt déftèr ngàn*  
 take 3SG take book 3SG  
 'He took out his Koran.'

(56) *wàl nàn*  
 wife 1SG  
 'my wife'

A phonetic realization of the genitive marker in these constructions results in ungrammatical phrases:

(57) *\*wàl tá nàn*  
 wife GEN 1SG  
 for 'my wife'

The evidence that the consonant *t* of the genitive marker is deleted before an alveolar nasal is provided by the fact it does occur before suffixes beginning with other consonants, including the labial nasal.

If a noun is modified by an adjectival modifier in addition to a possessive pronoun, the order of modifiers is Possessive Adjective:

(58) *wàl ngàn màdàràf*  
 wife 3SG favorite (the most loved)  
 'His favorite wife'

Possessive pronouns, except for the third-person singular, like other pronouns in Mina, have different forms in phrase-internal and phrase-final position. In phrase-final position all pronouns have the nasal suffix. In phrase-internal position there is no suffix. The following is the set of possessive pronouns in phrase-final position:



Possessive pronouns			
	Singular	Dual	Plural
1	<i>nàŋ</i>	<i>tá-mù</i>	<i>t-ínéŋ</i> EXCL <i>t-òkóŋ</i> INCL
2	<i>tá-kóŋ</i>		<i>t-íkinéŋ</i>
3	<i>ngàŋ</i>		<i>tàtəŋ</i>

Illustrated on the noun *gàdúri* 'pot':

	Singular	Dual	Plural
1	<i>gàdúr-nàŋ</i>	<i>gàdúr-tá-mù</i>	<i>gàdúr-t-ínéŋ</i> EXCL <i>gàdúr-t-òkóŋ</i> INCL
2	<i>gàdúr-t-kóŋ</i>		<i>gàdúr-t-íkinéŋ</i>
3	<i>gàdúr-ngàŋ</i>		<i>gàdúr-t-ít-yù</i> <i>gàdúr tá-təŋ</i>

The final vowel of the noun, if any, is deleted before the possessive suffix, as illustrated by the following partial paradigm for the possessive form of the noun *rá* 'hand', which becomes *r* in phrase-internal position:

(59)	<i>r</i>	<i>t-òkóŋ</i>	'our hands INCL'
	<i>r</i>	<i>t-íkiníŋ</i>	'your hands' [r-t-íkinéŋ]
	<i>r</i>	<i>tà-mú</i>	'our hands DUAL'
	<i>r</i>	<i>t-iníŋ</i>	'our hands EXCL'

In phrase-internal position possessive pronouns do not have the nasal suffix, and the underlying final vowel of pronouns is deleted. The epenthetic schwa may be added if required by syllabification conditions. The third-person singular possessive pronoun has the same form in phrase-internal and phrase-final position:

#### Possessive pronouns (phrase internal)

	Singular	Dual	Plural
1	<i>n</i>	<i>tá-m</i>	<i>t-ín</i> EXCL <i>t-òk</i> INCL
2	<i>tá-k</i>		<i>t-íkin</i>
3	<i>ngàŋ</i>		<i>tət</i>

Here is an example of the first-person singular pronoun in phrase-internal position:

- (60) *áá wàl nà ká dzán-á*  
 ah wife 1SG INF find-GO  
*skàn pár zè bàdàp*  
 thing another EE again  
 'Ah, my wife found another thing again.'

The nominal plural marker follows the possessive pronoun. The possessive pronoun occurs then in phrase-internal form:

- (61) *ngùl nà dáhà wàži*  
 husband 1SG exist children  
*n-yii dáhà*  
 1SG-PL exist  
 'I have a husband, I have children.'
- (62) *ngwáy á wàži túk-yii*  
 'say' PRED children 2SG-PL  
*dáy dáy á tán fĩs*  
 much much PRED 1SG small  
 'Say, for your children it is a lot, for me it is little.'
- (63) *bàt á bát ǰámbáy ngàn*  
 get 3SG get stick 3SG  
*díyà zéḅ tàḡ*  
 put follow DED  
 'He got his stick and went to follow her.'

Possessive pronouns may be followed by the determiner *tá*:

- (64) *séy má ngùl ngùl ká wà*  
 so REL husband husband INF start  
*kéděḡ ngàn tá zè bádàp*  
 stupidity 3SG DED EE again  
 'Then the husband started again with that stupidity of his.'

The third-person plural possessive pronoun also has two variants, a phrase-internal form *tàt-*, which also occurs before the plural marker *yii*, and phrase-final form *tàtàḡ*:

- (65) *hàz tàt-yii*  
 dog 3PL-PL  
 'their dogs'

- (66) *hàz tətàŋ*  
 dog 3PL  
 ‘their dog’
- (67) *gómbòk-yüi cìbéw á páláh nà fāt fāt*  
 frog-PL all PRED outside go skin skin  
*í fāt ʒì tətàŋ*  
 3PL skin meat 3PL  
 ‘All the frogs went outside and skinned their meat.’

## 7. Possession, kinship terms, and the addressee

Some kinship terms have a different possessive form from the one that would be productively derived through the suffixation of possessive pronouns. The form of the word for “father” differs, depending on whether the reference is to the father of the speaker, the father of the addressee, or the father of a third-person: *vái* ‘my father, daddy’ (reduced to *vá* in phrase-internal position) followed by the first person possessive pronoun, *cèh* ‘your father’, *cíŋ* ‘his father’. The second- and third-person possessive forms are not followed by a pronoun if the possessor is singular:

- (68) *tsú (á) r vá nàŋ*  
 went (PRED) PREP father 1SG  
 ‘He went to my father.’
- (69) *tsú (á) r cíŋ*  
 went (PRED) PREP his father  
 ‘He went to his father.’
- (70) *tsú (á) r céh*  
 went (PRED) PREP your father  
 ‘He went to your father.’

The plural pronominal possessors of the noun ‘father’ is marked by the plural possessive pronouns following the person-marked form of the noun ‘father’:

(71) *tsú* (*á*) *r* *céh* *tákinéŋ*  
 went (PRED) PREP your father 2PL  
 ‘He went to your father.’

(72) *vái tòkóŋ*  
 ‘our father’

The term *cín* ‘father of a third-person’ can be used with a nominal possessor:

(73) *bàt* *ŋkwà* *pà* *á* *vl-á-ŋ* *nà*  
 take goat give 3SG give-GO-3SG PREP  
*cíŋ* *ngámbù* *ngàŋ* *nákà* *wàcíŋ*  
 father.3SG friend 3SG REM DEM  
 ‘He took a goat and gave it to the father of a friend of his.’

Similarly the noun for ‘mother’ *mái* takes second- and third-person possessive pronouns different from the ones occurring with other nouns. The first-person pronoun is the same as with other nouns:

(74) *mái* ‘mother’  
*má* *nàŋ* ‘my mother’  
*má* *tsáh* ‘your mother’  
*mà* *máŋ* ‘his mother’

Similarly with the terms for “grandmother,” the first person is most probably derived through the suffixation of the first person singular possessive pronoun to the noun *dìdí* ‘grandmother’. The argument for suffixation is provided by the fronting vowel harmony affecting the pronoun *náŋ*. Note that the pronoun has high tone, although in other possessive constructions it has low tone. The second- and third-person singular forms are not derived through suffixation, at least not in contemporary language. All plural possessive constructions are formed through the addition of the plural possessive pronouns:

(75)	<i>dìnɛŋ</i>					‘my grandmother’
	<i>dàkwáh</i>					‘your grandmother’
	<i>dàkúnŋ</i>					‘his grandmother’
	<i>dìdí</i>	<i>tàmú</i>				‘our (DU) grandmother’
	<i>dìdí</i>	<i>tìniŋ</i>				‘our (EXCL) grandmother’
	<i>dìdí</i>	<i>tòkónŋ</i>				‘our (INCL) grandmother’
	<i>dìdí</i>	<i>tikinèŋ</i>				‘your grandmother’
	<i>dìdí</i>	<i>tàtànŋ</i>				‘their grandmother’

Some possessive kinship terms have a different form depending on the relationship between the speaker and the addressee. When a wife is addressing her husband or the husband is addressing his wife, each has the option of using either the first-person singular possessive pronoun or the plural nominal suffix without the possessive pronoun:

First-person singular pronoun used:

(76)	<i>ngùl</i>	<i>ngèn</i>	<i>zá</i>	<i>wàl</i>	<i>nàn</i>	
	husband	3SG	COMP	wife	1SG	
	<i>hà</i>	<i>ndí</i>	<i>dzán-á</i>	<i>nám</i>	<i>skèn</i>	
	2SG	HAB	find-GO	1DU	thing	
	<i>màná</i>	<i>wà</i>	<i>tíkì</i>			
	like	DEM	where			

‘Her husband said, “My wife, where do you find us things like this?”’

Plural suffix used:

(77)	<i>hà</i>	<i>tsáf</i>	<i>skù</i>	<i>syì</i>	<i>wàlí-yìi</i>
	2SG	lie	NEG	COM	woman-PL

‘You’re not lying, my wife?’

(78)	<i>à</i>	<i>zá</i>	<i>ngùl-yìi</i>	<i>ǵámbáy</i>	<i>tá</i>
	3SG	COMP	husband-PL	stick	GEN
	<i>màcìŋ</i>	<i>lùw-á-ŋ</i>	<i>màk</i>		
	DEM.L	say-GO-3SG	would you		

‘She said, “My husband, this stick, say to it,”’

The possessive pronoun, not the plural nominal suffix, is used with nouns “wife” and “husband” when one is not addressing one’s own spouse:

- (79) *áwvá*            *ngwáy wàl*    *nà*    *dà*  
 INTERJ            people wife    1SG    cook  
*ksám ngàn*    *vàṅgáy*  
 body 3SG    how  
 ‘The man screamed, “How did my wife cook herself?”’

The pronominal plural possessors have the genitive marker *tá* following the lexicalized forms:

- (80) *máy tòkón*                            ‘our (INCL) mother’  
*mán tinéṅ*                                ‘our (EXCL) mother’  
*máy tà̀mù*                                 ‘our (DU) mother’
- mátsáh tá kìnèṅ*                        ‘your (PL) mother’  
*mámáṅ tà̀tàn*                            ‘their mother’  
*mámáṅ tà̀tìì*                             ‘their mothers’

## 8. Attributive functions through the genitive marker

Several types of property concept terms modify a noun through the genitive particle *tá*. Most lexical items formed by the suffix *-ék* belong to this group:

- (81) *gàmták*            *tá*    *kwèd-ék*  
 chicken            GEN    white  
 ‘white chicken’
- (82) *máttàgè*            *tá*    *gùž-ék*  
 cloth                GEN    red  
 ‘red cloth’
- (83) *máttàgè*            *tá*    *dél-ék*  
 cloth                GEN    green  
 ‘green/blue cloth’

But also other property concept terms require the genitive construction:

- (84) *mbà*    *tá*    *bilèṅ*  
 child    GEN    strong  
 ‘a strong child’

- (85) *ɓà tá livèŋ*  
 cow GEN black  
 'a black cow'

A number of adverbial expressions of place may serve as modifiers of a noun if they are connected by the genitive marker *tá*. One such expression is the locative deictic adverbial *ngíd* 'there'. The schwa of the genitive marker assimilates to the following vowel and becomes [tí ngíd]:

- (86) *dòk tá ngíd*  
 horse GEN DEM  
 'the horse far away' (can be seen with difficulty)

## 9. Headless genitive constructions

Headless genitive constructions, viz. constructions consisting only of the genitive marker *tá* and modifier, are very frequent. Recall that the pronominal object is not coded with a finite transitive verb. Nevertheless, if such an object is modified, the modifying construction alone is used. In the following example, the genitive marker *tá* is followed by a locative prepositional phrase:

- (87) *if á if-é tá n fòram wà dáp*  
 blow 3SG blow-GO GEN PREP horn DEM only  
 'She blew that which was in the horn.'

- (88) *hà ɓàk-á tá r*  
 2SG plant-GO GEN PREP  
*ɓálcéh tà vú*  
 paternal relative DED Q  
 'Did you plant that one which is at your paternal uncle's?'

The expression *tá ngíd* 'GEN DEM' can function as a noun phrase, e.g. as an argument of a clause:

- (89) *tá ngíd wà mí*  
 GEN DEM DEM what  
 'What is that thing there?'

(90) *tá ngíd wà ví*  
 GEN DEM DEM who  
 'Who is that person there?'

(91) *tá ngíd mí*  
 GEN DEM what  
 'What is there?'

(92) *tá ngíd ví*  
 GEN DEM who  
 'Who is there?'

## 10. Grammaticalization of the preposition

The preposition *tá* is a phrase-internal form of the determiner *ta*, whose phrase-final form is *taŋ*. The evidence for this hypothesis consists of the fact that the tone of the determiner is the same as that of the genitive marker, and of the fact that the genitive marker has the same segmental structure as the determiner in phrase-internal position. Both have the expected schwa. There is considerable comparative evidence within Chadic that some genitive markers derive from demonstratives and anaphors (cf. Schuh 1981, Frajzyngier 1997).

## 11. Modification through juxtaposition of two nouns

One way of modifying one noun by another is through juxtaposition. The product of such juxtaposition is a lexicalized item, i.e. an item whose meaning is not fully predictable from the meaning of the components, and where any single item cannot felicitously replace the two items. Hence, the question of which item is the head and which is the modifier cannot be resolved through a synchronic analysis:

(93) *séy cíŋ náf m̀ ngàts-í*  
 so father:3SG heart REL pinch-STAT  
*tsáy zà áb̀ mb̀ táŋ*  
 completely EE ASSC child DED  
 'Then his father is completely angry with the child.'

The two components of the modifying construction form one phrase as evidenced by the fact that the head noun undergoes vowel reduction:



- (94) *tár láy tá mìtáš*  
 month time GEN hunger  
 ‘the year of the hunger.’

The first noun *trá* ‘month, moon’ becomes *tár* through the vowel reduction and subsequent schwa insertion required by the syllabification rules. Juxtaposition without vowel reduction is a coding means for an equational clause. Structures made through juxtaposition can yield compounding, whereby two nouns are lexicalized as one. The term for ‘caterpillar’ is *wàdà gàm ták*, literally “food chicken”:

- (95) *séy b̀at ẁad̀a g̀am ták*  
 so take caterpillar  
 ‘Then he took the caterpillar.’

The nouns *m̀a* ‘mouth’ and *j̀ib* ‘hole’ when juxtaposed produce *m̀i-j̀ib* ‘entrance to the hole’. Kinship terms “brother” and “sister” are coded by the juxtaposition “son--your mother” and “daughter--your mother”:

- (96) *mb̀a m̀atsáh*  
 son mother.2SG  
 ‘your brother’
- (97) *háǵ̀am m̀atsáh*  
 daughter mother.2SG  
 ‘your sister’

Our data have a gap with respect to the expressions corresponding to ‘his brother’ and ‘his sister’.

The genitive construction can be used between *mb̀a /háǵ̀am* and *m̀atsáh*, but its meaning is quite different. It means “son/daughter of your mother.” Such an expression has a strong pejorative meaning and cannot be used in reference to friends’ relatives:

- (98) *mb̀a tá m̀atsáh*  
 son GEN mother.2SG  
 ‘son of your mother’

**12. Modification through the relative marker**

If the property feature has been lexicalized as a verb, the modification of the noun is coded through the relative marker *mà*. If the verb is not followed by its object, it is reduplicated:

- (99) *ɓà      mà      dál-dál*  
 cow    REL    sick-sick  
 ‘sick cow’

The negation of a property that has been lexicalized as a verb is coded through the relative marker followed by the negative perfect marker *ták* ‘prevent, forbid, decline’ and the main verb:

- (100) *láy      mà      ták      dīš*  
 field   REL    NEG    cultivated  
 ‘the field which is not cultivated’
- (101) *ɓì      mà      ták      mèsár/mèsáw*  
 meat   REL    NEG    fry/grill  
 ‘the meat that is not fried/grilled (raw meat)’
- (102) *yàm      mà      ták      dǎf*  
 water   REL    NEG    boil  
 ‘water that is not boiled (fresh water)’

**13. Modification by intensifiers**

The nominal (as opposed to pronominal) intensifier function is coded by the noun *tàlàn* ‘head’ plus a possessive pronoun, occurring after the verb. The form codes that the noun X, and only the noun X, is the argument of a given verb.

- (103) *kwayaŋ              za              dā      sə      tapu*  
 squirrel              COMP              go?    1SG    climb  
*kə      ŋga      kə      məsau    talaŋ    naŋ*  
 INF    break    INF    grill    head    1SG  
 ‘The squirrel said, I will climb, break, and grill it myself’ (written sources, hence no tonal notation).

*skàm dòk nà tàlàṅ tàkón*  
 buy horse PREP head 2SG  
 ‘Buy yourself a horse.’

#### 14. Modifying constructions and the preposition *lá*

There are modifying constructions where the marker *lá*, glossed as ‘of’, occurs between the head and the modifier. In such constructions, the head is the owner of the modifier (schwa may undergo rounding when followed by syllable with a labial glide):

(104) *séy hìdà lá skàn wàcín*  
 so man of thing DEM  
 ‘so the man who has the thing’

(105) *báy lá dálà*  
 chief of money  
 ‘the rich chief’

(106) *hìd lú ṅkwà*  
 man of goat  
 ‘the owner of the goat’

(107) *séy hìd lá skàn wàcín*  
 so man of thing DEM  
 ‘so the owner of that thing’

*bíṅ lá wàdá*  
 room of food  
 ‘the room where food is’

The form *lá* can be the first element of a construction, i.e. with no head preceding it. Such a distribution is in agreement with the analysis proposed earlier in the present chapter where *lá* is considered a derivational morpheme, a form meaning, “having the property X.”

(108) *lá nàsará*  
 ‘It is a white man’

*lá*      *násár*              *tá*      *wàlà*  
of      white man      GEN      woman  
‘It is a white woman’

*lá wàndálàŋ*  
‘He is Mandara’

A potential source of the marker could be the verb *lá* ‘to pull’.

## 15. Plural formation

There is only one plural marker in Mina, suffix *yîi*. The domain of nominal plural marker is phrasal, not lexical. The plural suffix occurs at the end of the noun phrase. If the noun phrase happens to consist of a noun only, then the plural marker is added to the noun. We have only a few cases when the category plural is marked on the head noun and at the end of the noun phrase at the same time.

### 15.1 *The form of the plural suffix*

When the plural marker, phonetically realized as [îi], is added to the noun, it is suffixed to the root rather than to the stem. The final vowel of the singular stem is deleted before the addition of the plural suffix. The plural suffix does not cause the fronting of the preceding vowels nor does it cause palatalization of preceding consonants. Since the morpheme is suffixed and since it does not cause the predictable phonological changes, we postulate that, the underlying form of the morpheme has an initial palatal glide, which constitutes a barrier to the leftward spread of the feature front. Thus, we postulate that the underlying form of the plural marker is *-yîi*.

(109) Singular		Plural
<i>hàzá</i>	‘dog’	<i>hàz-yîi</i> [hàzi]
<i>dòk</i>	‘horse’	<i>dòk-yîi</i> [dòk-îi]
<i>ḡà</i>	‘cow’	<i>ḡ-yîi</i> [ḡîi]
<i>wùtá</i>	‘house’	<i>wùt-yîi</i>
<i>wúlà</i>	‘neck’	<i>wúl-yîi</i>
<i>ḡkwà</i>	‘goat’	<i>ḡkw-yîi</i>
<i>kwà</i>	‘goat’	<i>kw-yîi</i>

If the final vowel of the singular stem is *i*, then the difference between singular and plural is marked only by the vowel length and tone. The tone of the penultimate syllable of the noun becomes low when followed by the plural suffix:

	Singular		Plural
(110)	<i>gàdwìrì</i>	‘gravy pot’	[gèdwìrì]

### 15.2 The function of the plural suffix

Unlike in some other Chadic languages (cf. Frajzyngier 1997), the nominal plural in Mina is a productive category, used independently of the verbal plural, and used with nouns in all grammatical functions, including prepositional phrases. Since in various Chadic languages the coding of nominal plurality is far from predictable, the present section provides a reasonably full description of the functions of plural coding in Mina.

The plural suffix is added to noun phrases in the subject function. The plural suffix is added before the topic marker *wà*:

(111)	<i>hìd-yîi</i>	<i>wà</i>	<i>í</i>	<i>tàtə̀</i>	<i>màkád'</i>
	man-PL	DEM	3PL	3PL	three
	‘There were three men.’				

(112)	<i>hìd-yîi</i>	<i>wècín</i>	<i>í</i>	<i>tàtə̀</i>	<i>nfád'</i>
	man-PL	DEM	3PL	3PL	four
	‘There were four men.’				

(113)	<i>ká</i>	<i>ndə̀</i>	<i>zá</i>	<i>fú</i>	<i>ndə̀</i>	<i>dzánj</i>	<i>záváj-yîi</i>
	INF	go	EE	always go	find	guinea fowl-PL	
	<i>í</i>	<i>màr</i>	<i>rà</i>				
	3PL	graze	D.HAB				
	‘Each time she went she found guinea fowl grazing.’						

If the subject noun phrase has a modifier, the plural marker may occur at the end of the noun and at the end of the noun phrase, or only at the end of the noun phrase:

(114)	<i>hìd</i>	<i>tá</i>	<i>nfád-yîi</i>	<i>zəm</i>	<i>zəm</i>	<i>fák-á</i>
	man	GEN	palace (F.)-PL	eat	eat	leave-GO
	‘The men of the palace all ate and left the remains.’					

Coding plurality on the head and at the end of the noun phrase:

- (115) *hà tós hìd-yîi tük-yîi*  
 2SG gather man-PL 2SG-PL  
 ‘You gather your neighbors . . .’

The plural marker follows the possessive pronouns:

- (116) *kwáykwá-yîi wà í tsú ká tàl-á*  
 hyena-PL DEM 3PL went INF walk:GO  
*ká tàl-á ñkù-ngèn-yîi syì*  
 INF walk goat -SG-PL COM  
 ‘When the hyenas went to tend their goats, . . .’

The plural marker also follows determiners:

- (117) *ndà dzáŋ á dzáŋ dàkáy t-yîi dàmù*  
 go find 3SG find other DED-PL bush  
 ‘He went to search for others in the bush.’

The plural marker is added to the noun phrase even if the head noun is inherently plural. This is the case with the noun *wàží* ‘children’. In the following examples the noun is followed by a possessive pronoun:

- (118) *ngùl nà dáhà wàží*  
 husband 1SG exist children  
*n-yîi dáhà*  
 1SG-PL exist  
 ‘I have a husband, I have children.’

- (119) *ngwáy á wàží tük-yîi*  
 ‘say’ PRED children 2SG-PL  
*dáy dáy á tán fĩs*  
 much much PRED 1SG small  
 ‘Say, for your children it is a lot, for me it is little.’

We have no instances of the plural marker added directly to inherently plural nouns.

The plural marker is added to the object regardless of whether the verb is marked for plurality. Here is an example of the plural aspect and the plural coding of the object in the same clause:

- (120) *ndá tsàm tsàm tsàm á tsámá kíringít-yiì*  
 go gather gather gather 3SG gather:GO bone-PL  
 ‘and she gathered bones’

The plural marker used with kinship terms is a first-person possessive polite marker:

- (121) *ngùl-yiì s kà dzáŋ-á*  
 husband-PL 1SG INF find-GO  
*nám skàn zá*  
 1DU thing EE  
 ‘My husband, I found us something.’
- (122) *há n tsàf skù syì wàl-yiì*  
 2SG PREP lie NEG COM woman-PL  
 ‘“You’re not lying, my woman?”’

If the head of the possessive construction is plural, the plural marker is added to the end of the possessive phrase or added to both the head and the possessive marker:

- (123) *hàz tá bits-yiì*  
 dog GEN Bitsi-PL  
 ‘dogs of Bitsi’

The plural suffix is identical with the third-person plural independent pronoun, and we assume that in Mina, as in many other languages (Frajzyngier 1997), grammaticalization involved the addition of the third-person plural pronoun to the preceding noun phrase.

## 16. Coordinating construction through the associative

The singular form of the associative preposition has been recorded as *ábà*, *áb*, *b*, and the plural form of the preposition has been recorded as *ibà* and *ib*. The alternation between the forms *áb* and *ib* and the existence of the pronouns *á* for the third-person singular and *í* for the third-person plural point to a composite structure of the preposition, consisting of the third-person singular or plural pronouns plus the marker *b*. The final schwa of the preposition can be interpreted as epenthetic, inserted when the syllable structure requires it. The form *ábà* occurs when the first component is singular and when the second component begins with a

segment that cannot follow *b* directly:

- (124) *wàdá ábà yàm*  
 food ASSC water  
 ‘food and water also’

We conclude that the underlying form of the associative preposition is *b*. We gloss the singular form *áb* as ASSC, and the plural form *i-b* as PL-ASSC.

The associative marker indicates that the two nouns belong to one set:

- (125) *tséy mbi dǽw ká báy ndá òàt*  
 so 3SG sit like chief go:GO take  
*mámáŋ ábà cíŋ*  
 his mother ASSC his father  
 ‘Then he became a chief, and he came to take his mother and father.’

- (126) *wàl wàcíŋ óát ndrì ǵàd ǵàd cíkè*  
 woman DEM take sorghum gather gather all  
*ábù wùzì-yù táŋ ábà ngùl táŋ*  
 ASSC children-PL DED ASSC husband DED  
 ‘That woman gathered all the sorghum, and gathered her children and her husband.’

The plural form of the associative is used only when the associative phrase has the role of subject. In most cases the verb also has the plural subject pronoun. The use of associative plural appears to be obligatory if the subject is plural:

- (127) *hìdì wàcíŋ í-bà wàl ngàn*  
 man DEM PL-ASSC wife 3SG  
*mbù mbù í mbù wàǵí gwád*  
 give birth 3PL give birth children many  
 ‘This man with his wife had many children.’

- (128) *ngàlámbrà wàcíŋ gàm ták í-bà kwáyàn*  
 story DEM chicken PL-ASSC squirrel  
*í ndà kà dál-á jáŋaàl*  
 3PL go INF do-GO voyage (F.)  
 ‘This story: The chicken and the squirrel go on a trip.’



- (129) *gómbòk*      *í-b*              *bàkàlàf*      *ì*      *dál*  
 frog              PL-ASSC      buffalo      3PL      make  
*gábà*  
 discussion  
 ‘A frog and a buffalo had a discussion.’

- (130) *séy*      *mbà*      *kwáyàṅ*      *í-bà*      *lákáf*  
 so      except squirrel      PL-ASSC      baboon  
*dīy-á*              *dīs*  
 put-GO              plow  
 ‘Then squirrel and baboon started to plow.’

The plural associative is used even if the associative phrase follows the verb. The necessary condition for the use of the plural associative is that the participant is controlling. In the following example, the participants are singular, as evidenced by the singular possessive pronoun on the second conjunct:

- (131) *séy*      *gàr*      *í*      *gàr*      *tàtàn*      *í-bà*      *ngámbù*  
 so      stand      3PL      stand      3PL      PL-ASSC      friend  
*ngàn*  
 3SG  
 ‘So they got up with their friend.’

As explained in Chapter 7 on Adjuncts, instrumental and other non-controlling participants have the singular associative rather than the plural associative form.

The plural form of the associative is also used in noun phrases that serve as titles of stories, where the protagonists will presumably be the subjects:

- (132) *gímíḡ-yù*      *í-bà*              *kwáyàṅ*  
 monkey-PL      PL-ASSC      hyena  
 ‘The monkeys and the hyena.’

## 17. Modification by quantifiers

Quantifiers are inherent modifiers and as such, they do not have to be marked by a preposition or a relative clause construction. Some quantifiers are *fés* ‘little, a few’, *túwàd* ‘all’, *fú(u)* ‘all’ (probably borrowed from

Fula), *díyà* ‘many’, *gwád* ‘many’, and all numerals. These quantifiers do not require plural coding on the noun phrase:

- (133) *báy tsók rùkùt fúu kà*  
 chief take off cloth all POS  
 ‘The chief took off all his clothes.’
- (134) *dár wàciŋ géebi gwád*  
 dance DEM sort (F.) plenty  
 ‘This dance has many varieties.’
- (135) *ɓà á ɓ-á-ŋ wɪnjíd fés á*  
 cut 3SG cut-GO-3SG intestine little PRED  
*nà mən*  
 PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 ‘Then he cut a small piece of its intestine.’

If there is a determiner, it follows the quantifier:

- (136) *ɓi tàtàn fú tàŋ déb í déb*  
 meat GEN:3PL all DED take 3PL take  
*ká n yàm*  
 PREP PREP water  
 ‘They brought all their meat into the water.’

The notion of everyone and everything is coded by the quantifier *kó(o)* followed by the marker *ví* for human participants and the marker *mə* for non-human participants:

- (137) *kóo ví zá sà déy á kì*  
 QUANT who COMP 1SG also PRED like  
*mbéŋ*  
 ANAPH  
 ‘Each one of them said, “Same with me.”’

The nominal plural marker does occur in negative clauses with the quantifier *kó*, corresponding to the English expression ‘not any’:

- (138) *kó mə láb-yî dá skù*  
 QUANT REL wet-PL exist NEG  
 ‘Not even one [page] was wet.’

Measure terms and numerals are also modifiers. When both measure terms and a numeral modifies a noun, they occur in the following order:  
Noun Measure term Numeral:

- (139) *cikíd*            *bùhù*            *ntá*  
sesame            bag (F.)            one  
'one bag of sesame seed'

The reduplicated quantifier has an adverbial function, coding the manner of the event:

- (140) *báy*    *zá*                      *á*    *z-ú*                      *á*    *dámù*  
chief    COMP                      PRED go-1DU                      PRED bush  
*ciké*    *ciké*  
all      all

'The chief said: let's go to the bush together'

(Some speakers consider this sentence ungrammatical, because they interpret the form *ciké ciké* as meaning "all" and therefore inapplicable to just the dual number. The fact that this sentence was used in natural discourse overrides other speakers' judgments.)

- (141) *í*        *n*        *kà*        *dál*        *mbigin*        *ká*        *dà*  
3PL    PREP    INF    do    mbigin        INF    cook  
*mávù*    *ciké*    *ciké*  
beer    all      all

'They will organize a *mbigin* [a festivity]; they will make a lot of beer.'

If a quantifier serves as an argument rather than modifier of a noun, it occurs in the position appropriate for its function. If a quantifier serves as the subject, it occurs in clause-initial position. The clause that follows such a quantifier is, however, a relative clause, rather than an indicative clause:

- (142) *kó*                      *mà*        *ták*        *bál*        *pày*        *dá*        *skù*  
QUANT                      REL    decline cut        tree        exist    NEG

'Everybody cut a tree' (there was no one who did not cut a tree).

- (143) *kó*                      *mà*        *bál*        *pày*        *dá*        *skù*  
QUANT                      REL    cut        tree        exist    NEG

'Nobody cut a tree.'

In negative clauses, the quantifier may be omitted on the condition that the relative clause marking is retained, a logical possibility given the unambiguous reading of the negative relative clause:

(144) *mà ták dár dá skù*  
REL decline dance exist NEG  
'Everybody danced.'

(145) *mà dár dá skù*  
REL dance exist NEG  
'Nobody danced.'

A numeral can be used alone, without a noun, serving thus as the head of a noun phrase:

(146) *séy tàkár til á nà yàm*  
so turtle leave PRED PREP water  
*màl màl á màl-á dzàbáŋ*  
seize seize 3SG seize-GO five  
'So, the turtle went in the water and caught five.'

## 18. End-of-event marker and quantifiers

Quantifiers have relative freedom of occurrence with respect to the end-of-event marker *za*, glossed as EE. The quantifiers may follow the noun phrase they modify and precede the end-of-event marker *za*, as illustrated in the preceding examples. Elicited data indicate that quantifiers may also follow the marker *za* and be thus separated from the noun they modify:

(147) *kà bám gwàr zà òtá gwídiŋ*  
INF eat cola nut EE one only  
'He ate only one cola nut.'

(148) *ká zàm òtá ngàŋ zà tówàd*  
INF eat meat 3SG EE all  
'He ate all his meat.'

- (149) *kà* *ɔám* *ɓì* *zá* *fés*  
 INF eat meat EE some  
 'He ate a little meat.'
- (150) *títì* *í* *n* *ká* *dzà* *kódòbòk-yù* *zá*  
 3PL 3PL PREP INF kill wild mouse-PL EE  
*dià*  
 many  
 'They killed many wild mice.'
- (151) *ká* *bèr-é-k* *wú* *z* *fés*  
 INF sell-GO-1SG milk EE small  
 'She sold me a little milk.'
- (152) *ká* *bèr-é-k* *wú* *z* *fú* *tàŋ*  
 INF sell-GO-1SG milk EE all DED  
 'She sold me all the milk.'

## 19. Coding of the exclusion of other participants

The adverb *tátà* 'alone' used with the third person independent pronoun *mbí*, (phrase-final form *mbéŋ*) excludes all participants from a given role:

- (153) *à* *gàr* *mbéŋ* *mà* *nzà* *mbí* *tátà* *ngàm*  
 3SG want 3SG REL be 3SG alone because  
*á* *gàr* *mà* *már* *ngùl*  
 3SG want REL control husband  
*á* *mbí* *tátà*  
 3SG ANAPH alone  
 'She wants to stay by herself, because she wants to control her husband herself.'

## 20. Conclusions

The noun phrase in Mina has the structure Head Modifier. If the modifier is an inherent adjective, the modifying construction is coded through juxtaposition alone. Otherwise, the modifier is marked by one of a set of markers, which includes the genitive marker *tá*, and the relative marker *mà*, for modifiers that are inherently verbs.

The plural marker *yù* is added to the end of the noun phrase, to the

end of the noun or to both.

Quantifiers do not form part of the noun phrase in that they can be separated from the head noun by the end-of-event marker *za*.

# Chapter 4

## The verb and its forms

### 1. Introduction

The defining characteristic of the category “verb” is its ability to function without any additional marker as the predicate of a proposition in a non-equational clause. There are additional morphosyntactic criteria that allow one to distinguish the category “verb” from all other categories: verbs can have affixes that other lexical categories cannot have. These are subject pronouns, goal orientation suffix, object marker, and object pronouns. Some intransitive verbs may be followed by pronouns coding the person and number of the subject. Verbs, unlike other lexical categories, have a citation form, which consists of the clitic *kə* followed by the verb stem. This clitic also occurs in purpose complements and in dependent aspects and tenses. Its function partially overlaps with infinitival forms of verbs in many languages of the world.

The verb in Mina is important for a number of reasons. It serves as a configuration center with respect to its arguments. The inherent properties of the verb determine the number of arguments it can take. The verb is a central piece in the coding of the aspectual system. The aim of this chapter is to describe the inflectional and derivational forms of the verb.

### 2. Verbal stem

The category “verbal stem” has been obtained from the quotation form of the verb readily given by speakers, who, it must be remembered, never had any education in Mina or about Mina. We take the verbal stem to be the element that follows the prefix *kə*. The prefix in phrase-initial position has the tone opposite to the tone of the verb. Thus, it is a convenient means of establishing the underlying tone of the verb. The tone is part of the underlying structure of the verb, which in addition must have one of the following segmental structures:

CV: The majority of verbs in this class have high or low vowels. For monosyllabic verbs, we quote the forms with the infinitive marker to illustrate the value of the underlying tone of the verb: *ká sà* ‘drink’; *ká wà* ‘start’; *kà ndá* ‘go’; *kà tsá* ‘put fire into something’; *ká lù* ‘say’; *kà sí* [sí] ‘run’; *kà rá* ‘dig a hole’. Further in this work, we cite verbs without the infinitive marker, since the form of the infinitive marker is fully predictable from the form of the verb.

CVC: All vowels are represented in the CVC structures. The last consonant may be a stop, a continuant, a nasal, or a glide: *wáy* ‘forget’; *wáy* ‘sleep, lie down’; *bák* ‘pour’; *yíp* ‘rest’; *zìn* ‘return’; *giz* [giž] ‘tell’; *bér* ‘sell’; *déb* ‘take somewhere’; *tsòr* ‘make facial marks’ (scarify); *tók* ‘finish’; *bán* ‘wash body’; *zàr* ‘whip (an animal, person)’; *kàh* ‘bury’; *bòh* ‘break off a branch of a tree’; *tìy* ‘see’; *zàm* ‘eat’; *tsár* ‘climb’; *lám* ‘build’; *màts* ‘die’; *dàm* ‘marry’; *ká mbùw* ‘give birth, beget’; *kà díy* ‘put’.

CCV(C): *skàm* ‘buy’; *ɲdá* ‘hit’; *gwòh* ‘wash cloth, dishes’.

CVCVC: Some disyllabic verbs have low-low tone pattern: *kàmàl* ‘unite’; *màsàr* ‘fry’. Other verbs have low-high tone pattern: *màsáw* ‘grill’; *gùrát* ‘scratch body’. There are verbs that have schwa in their citation forms: *ndàrəm* ‘please (about foods)’; *kàdáw* ‘burn’; *kàràd* ‘approach’.

### 3. The stative form of the verb

The stative suffix *-yí* is added to the root of the verb. The suffix has high tone. After stems ending in a consonant the suffix is realized as the vowel [i] without palatalization of the preceding consonant. If the verb is monoconsonantal, the high tone of the suffix becomes the tone of the stem:

(1) *máv*    *mà*    *s-í*                    *zà*  
 beer    REL    drink-STAT    EE  
 ‘One has drunk a lot.’

*láy*    *mà*    *ts-í*                    *zà*  
 field    REL    clear-STAT    EE  
 ‘The field has been cleared with fire’



*jíḃ*    *mà*    *r-í*                    *zà*  
 hole    REL    dig-STAT            EE  
 ‘The hole has been dug up.’

There are a few exceptions with respect to the tone of the stative suffix. One of them is with the verb *bér* ‘sell’ where the stative suffix has low tone. We had no opportunity to re-check this item:

(2)    *ḃà*    *mà*    *bér-ì*                    *zá*  
 cow    REL    sell-STAT            EE  
 ‘The cow is sold’

The addition of the stative suffix *-yí* reveals that verbs that have the vowel *u* in their phonetic form have in fact an underlying labial glide in word-final position. The labial glide is not realized word-final position, but is realized when the suffix *-yí* is added:

(3)    *mbà*    *mà*    *mbù-yí*                    *zà*    [mbèw-í]  
 child    REL    give birth-STAT            EE  
 ‘The child is born.’ (*ká mbù* ‘give birth’)

The stative suffix does not cause fronting vowel harmony, which is the evidence that it does contain the palatal glide. Here are a few CVC structures without the vowel harmony effects. Given the absence of vowel harmony we represent the stative suffix as *-yí* with all verbs that have at least the CVC structure:

(4)    *mà*    *kàh-yí*                    *zà*  
 REL    bury-STAT            EE  
 ‘He is buried.’

(5)    *mà*    *bòh-yí*                    *zà*  
 REL    tear out-STAT            EE  
 ‘It has been torn from the tree’ (about a branch).

(6)    *rùkùt*    *mà*    *gwàh-yí*                    *zà*  
 cloth    REL    wash-STAT            EE  
 ‘The clothing is washed.’ or ‘One has washed a lot of clothing.’

Here are examples of polysyllabic verbs with the stative suffix. These examples provide additional evidence for the high tone of the suffix, since the suffix does not fuse with the verb:

- (7) *kàdǎw-yí*      ‘burn’  
*màsǎw-yí*      ‘grill’

One can also derive the stative forms from intransitive verbs, but the complete clause must include the verb in subject position as well:

- (8) *ší*      *mà*      *ší*                      *zà*  
 run    REL    run:STAT            EE  
 ‘One has run a lot.’

- (9) *ndà*      *mà*      *nd-í*                      *zà*  
 walk   REL    walk-STAT            EE  
 ‘One has walked a lot.’

#### 4. Verb reduplication in the relative clause

The reduplicated form of the verb preceded by the relative marker *mà* is used as a modifier in attributive constructions. Both transitive and intransitive verbs can be reduplicated for the modifying function.

The process of reduplication is leftward, whereby the new syllable precedes the old syllable. The tone of the reduplicated syllable is the same as the tone of the verb. If the verb ends in a vowel, the reduplication involves the reduplication of the consonant alone and insertion of schwa, as required by the constraints on geminated consonants:

- (10) *ndùrúk*              *mà*      *làlà*  
 ram                      REL    take out  
 ‘a castrated ram’ (*là* ‘to take out’)

- (11) *hídà*      *mà*      *nzànzà*  
 man    REL    sit-sit  
 ‘a seated man’ (*ká nzà* ‘sit’)

If the verb ends in a consonant, the reduplication involves the whole verb:

- (12) *ǵà*      *mà*      *fâtǵât*  
 cow    REL    slaughter-slaughter  
 ‘a slaughtered cow’ (*ká fât* ‘slaughter’)

- (13) *hídà mà gàrgàr*  
 man REL stand-stand  
 ‘a standing man’ (*ká gàr* ‘to stand’)

Bisyllabic verbs, which all end in a consonant, are also reduplicated in their entirety. The tonal structure of the simple form is preserved in the reduplicated form. The pause between the reduplicated parts appears to be longer than the pause between reduplicated monosyllabic verbs:

- mèsàr* ‘grill’  
 (14) *ǰì mà mèsàr mèsàr*  
 ‘grilled meat’

- ndàrəm* ‘please (about foods, feelings)’  
 (15) *wùdá mà ndàrəm ndàrəm*  
 mush REL good good  
 ‘good, tasty mush’

- kàdǎw* ‘burn’  
 (16) *láy mà kàdǎw kàdǎw*  
 filed REL burn burn  
 ‘a burned field’

- gùrát* ‘scratch’  
 (17) *pày mà gùrát gùrát*  
 tre REL scratch scratch  
 ‘a scratched tree’

In predicative constructions, one uses the form with the stative marker and the auxiliary *za* at the end:

- (18) *láy mà kàdǎw-yí zà*  
 field REL burn-STAT EE  
 ‘The field has burned.’

### 5. A non-productive suffix *ù*

The verbs *nzà* ‘to sit, leave, be’ has a form with final *u*. The verb *tsú* ‘departed’ occurs only with word-final *u*:

- (19) *í nz-ù í nz-ù í nz-ù í nz-ù*  
 3PL stay-? 3PL stay 3PL stay-? 3PL stay-?  
 'They stayed there a long time.'

- (20) *sà nz-ù sà nz-ù sà nz-ù*  
 1SG stay-? 1SG stay-? 1SG stay-?  
 'I wait, and wait, and wait.'

The final *u* cannot cooccur with the infinitive marker *kə*:

- (21) \**sà ká nz-ù zá mórà žin gwád'*  
 1SG INF be-? EE Mora times many  
 for 'I have been many times in Mora'

The fact that this morpheme appears with a few verbs only and that for one of these verbs the form with *-u* is the only form attested indicates that it is a remnant of a form that was once productive in the language. Comparative data indicate the presence of such a morpheme in other Chadic languages, e.g. Hausa (West Chadic) and Hdi (Central Chadic), where it codes point-of-view of subject. There are not enough examples in Mina to discover what the function of this morpheme is or might have been.

## 6. Conclusions

Verbs are characterized by a very limited number of underlying forms: CV, CVC, CC, CCVC, and CVCVC. The tone constitutes part of the underlying representation of the verb. There are two productive morphological processes operating on verbal forms: derivation of the stative form through the suffix *yí* and reduplication. The reduplication of the verbs ending in a vowel involves reduplication of the consonant alone and schwa insertion. Verbs ending in a consonant are reduplicated in their entirety. The language has traces of a suffix *-u*, whose function cannot be determined due to the paucity of relevant examples.

# Chapter 5

## Argument coding

### 1. Introduction

The present chapter deals only with argument coding in verbal clauses. An argument is a noun phrase that is coded by configuration with respect to the verb. The number of arguments allowed and the semantic roles of those arguments depend to a large degree on the properties of verbs. It is important, therefore, to describe first the properties of verbs and the way a verb can be identified, and then describe how different arguments are marked.

### 2. The category subject

The existence of the syntactic category “subject” is justified by the following facts: if a clause has only one argument, this argument occurs before the verb. When single arguments, whether nominal or pronominal, occur before the verb, they do so without a preposition:

- (1)      *vènjéh*              *túl*  
         pepper              spread  
         ‘Pepper spread . . . ‘

There exists a set of subject pronouns (see section 4 of the present Chapter) that do not have any other function in the language. There also exists a set of subject possessive pronouns that code the single argument that undergoes movement or is otherwise affected (see section 5 of the present Chapter). The subject may be separated from the verb by other constituents, including fronted objects and adverbial phrases. The second case is illustrated in the following example:

- (2) *ká* *ɓàt* *šì* *zá* *gómbòk-yi* *wèhíŋ*  
 INF take run EE frog-PL DEM  
*ábà* *dùwáŋ* *fááh* *tàtàŋ*  
 ASSC back wake-up 3PL  
 ‘It took flight, and afterwards these frogs woke up’

If the subject consists of an associative noun phrase, the preposition used has the plural form *i-b(à)*. If the associative noun phrase is used in any other function, it has the singular associative form *áb(à)*.

The category “subject” does not code a specific semantic function. In example (1), the subject is pepper and is not controlling but affected. In example (2), the subject is controlling. Compare also the following example, where the subject is not controlling and not affected:

- (3) *áb* *lé* *wá* *ndir* *ɓàràŋ*  
 ASSC year DEM sorghum lack  
 ‘This year there is little corn.’

The semantic function of each subject may be computed from the inherent meaning of the verb, morphological markers added to the verb, other arguments present in the clause, or the discourse and speech context.

### 3. Existential predicates

Existential propositions state the existence of an object in general, not in a particular place or at a particular time. One of the properties of existential predicates is that they cannot take deontic modal markers. There is only one productive existential verb: *dááhà* ‘exist’.

The verb *dááhà* is used with indefinite nouns. The subject constitutes one phrase with the predicate *dááhà*, as evidenced by the fact that the word-final vowel of the subject is deleted. The evidence that *dááhà* is not a locative verb is provided by the fact that if there is a locative complement, the verb *dááhà* must be followed by the locative predicator *á*, the predicator that marks locative complements with non-locative verbs:

- (4) *háǵàm* *dááhà* *á* *bíŋ* *ngàŋ*  
 girl exist PRED house 3SG  
 ‘There is a girl at her house.’ (*háǵàmà* ‘girl’)

Existential predication does not distinguish between pragmatically

dependent and pragmatically independent clauses. Here is an example of the use of the verb *dáhà* in a relative clause:

- (5) *séy hìdì mà sà tápá dáhà á*  
 so man REL drink tobacco exist PRED  
*nà máḡ màcìḡ*  
 PREP ANAPH DEM  
 ‘So there is a smoker among them.’

The verb *dáhà* has also a function in the domain of reference in that it explicitly codes that the argument as unknown and unspecified. This in fact appears to be the main function of the existential verb in natural discourse. The existential verb occurs in clause-final position:

- (6) *séy dòktér à zá mìnjé ángà hìdì*  
 so doctor 3SG COMP now if man  
*mà n ká déb-é-k dáhà sà nà*  
 REL PREP INF take-GO-1SG exist 1SG go  
*ká n ká mbál wàl wà kà*  
 PURP PREP INF revive woman DEM POS  
 ‘The doctor said, “If there is a man who can take me there, I will go to cure that woman.”’

- (7) *ḡéḡé wàzám tá mbḡ-iyì*  
 long time ago mountain GEN blacksmith-PL  
*dáhà . . .*  
 exist  
 ‘There was once a mountain inhabited by blacksmiths . . .’

The existential verb has also acquired the function of making an explicit assertion. This function is represented by the use of the verb *dáhà* when it has in its scope a proposition that already has a predicate. In the following sentence, the predication is locative, coded by appropriate prepositions, and the verb *dáhà* occurs in clause-final position:

- (8) *mìd' dáy skàn fú á n hàyák t̀*  
 wind much thing all PRED PREP village 3PL  
*dáhà*  
 exist  
 ‘There is a lot of wind, there are many things in their village.’

In the following example, the verb *dáhà* has as its scope the ensuing

proposition:

- (9) *yàdíri* *ǵéǵé* *dáhà* *i* *ndí* *ǵàk* *á*  
 millet long time ago exist 3PL HAB plant PRED  
*nà* *mà* *kúmbòn-yî*  
 PREP mouth black ant-PL  
 ‘A long time ago they used to plant millet around the black ants’  
 nests.’<sup>1</sup>

The existential predicate *dáhà* may be used in questions about possession:

- (10) *báskùr* *túk* *dá* *vù*  
 bicycle 2SG exist Q  
 ‘Do you have a bicycle?’

However, the existential *dáhà* may not be used in specific interrogatives:

- (11) *báskùr* *túk* *fàkáy*  
 bicycle 2SG where  
 ‘Where is your bicycle?’
- (12) \**báskùr* *túk* *dá* *fàkáy*  
 bicycle 2SG exist where  
 for ‘Where is your bicycle?’

This constraint provides further support for the hypothesis that the existential verb is used only with indefinite, unspecified nouns, and that its function is to code the noun as unspecified, indefinite. The existential verb *dáhà* may not be used with a definite noun:

- (13) *ǵkù* *wà* \**dá* *á* *kàcín*  
 goat DEM exist DEM here  
 ‘The goat is here’

---

1. This practice, intended to take advantage of the favorable chemical content and physical structure of the soil, exposed plants to the risk of being devoured by ants. The only way one can grow plants around an anthill is to transplant them after they have already grown a little. Sorghum is one of the few plants that is able to survive the transplantation (Arnaud de Boissy, p.c.).



The existence of an indefinite object may not be stated without the verb *dáhà*, and the following is ungrammatical:

- (14) \**̀̀kù á kàcín*  
 goat PRED here  
 for 'here is a goat'

Cf.:

- (15) *̀̀kù dá á kàcín*  
 goat exist PRED here  
 'A goat is here'

The fact that the verb *dáhà* is an existential predicate rather than a locative one is interesting because in many languages the existential verbs have developed from all kinds of locative verbs. In contemporary Mina, there is no evidence for such a development. The verb *dáhà* can only be used with indefinite subjects. The existential verb has also acquired the function of explicitly marking assertion.

#### 4. Subject pronouns

Subject can consist of a pronoun alone. Pronominal subjects precede the predicate or tense and aspect markers.

##### Subject Pronouns

	Singular	Dual	Plural
1	<i>sə</i>	<i>nám</i>	<i>na</i> (EXCL) <i>nók</i> (INCL)
2	<i>ha</i>		<i>hi</i>
3	$\emptyset$ , <i>a</i>		<i>i</i>

Pronouns are not prefixes, because the low vowels in the first-person dual and plural and the second- and third-person singular do not undergo vowel fronting. Moreover, subject pronouns can be subjects of non-verbal predicates, e.g. locative, nominal and adjectival predicates. When that is the case, pronouns have high tone (elicited examples):

- (16) *sá/há/á*                      *nà lúmò*  
 1SG/2SG/3SG                  PREP market  
 'I am/you are/he is at the market.'

- (17) *nók/hí/í*                      *nà*      *lúmò*  
 1PL.INCL/2PL/3PL    PREP    market  
 ‘We/you/they are at the market.’

When singular pronouns occur before a verb, they have low tone in the indicative mood, regardless of the tone of the verb:

- (18) *sà/hà/à*                      *dàr-á*                      *nà*      *lúmò*  
 1SG/2SG/3SG                  dance-GO                  PREP    market  
 ‘He danced at the market’

*sà/hà/à*                  *nz-á*                      *nà*      *lúmò*  
 1SG/2SG/3SG stay-GO                  PREP    market  
 ‘I was/you were/he was at the market.’

In expressive speech, when the speaker wants to emphasize some aspect of event that has not been otherwise grammaticalized, the singular pronoun may have high tone. This is the case in the following fragment, sentences (19a and 19c), where most likely the raised tone aims to convey the intensity of the event:

- (19a) *á*      *šì*      *dàp*      *á*      *šì*      *dàp*  
 3SG    run      only    3SG    run      only  
 ‘He runs, he runs.’

- (19a) *gómbòk*                  *òhók*  
 frog                          yes  
 ‘‘Frog?’’ ‘‘Yes.’’

- (19c) *á*      *šì*      *dàp*      *á*      *šì*      *dàp*  
 3SG    run      only    3SG    run      only  
 ‘He runs, He runs.’

Plural pronouns have high tone when occurring before the verb:

- (20) *nók/hí/í*                  *dàr-á*                      *nà*      *lúmò*  
 1PL/2PL/3PL dance-GO                  PREP    market  
 ‘They danced at the market.’

*nók/hí/í*      *nz-á*      *nà*      *lúmò*  
 1PL/2PL/3PL stay-GO      PREP market  
 ‘We/you/they were at the market.’

The first person dual pronoun is not inherently either inclusive or exclusive. Here are two examples of the first person dual pronoun used excluding the addressee (21a) and including the addressee (21b):

(21a) *tó*                      *mìsíl*    *tá*      *nà*      *nigeria*      *wàcín*  
 well (H.)                  thief    GEN    PREP    Nigeria                  DEM  
*à*      *ǵá*      *séy*      *ná*      *ŋ*      *ká*      *bám-á*  
 3SG    say      then    1DU    PREP    INF      meet-GO  
*ábà*    *mìsíl*    *tá*      *n*      *cameroun*      *wàcín*  
 ASSC thief    GEN    PREP    Cameroon              DEM  
 ‘The Nigerian thief said, “I have to go to meet the Cameroonian thief.”’

(21b) *tséy*    *ná*      *ŋ-kó*                      *wàŋ*    *bákà*    *ná*      *gràb*  
 so      1DU    PREP-INF                  sleep    today    DU      together  
 ‘So we will sleep together today.’

The third-person plural pronoun *í* is also used to code the unspecified human subject, which may include the speaker:

(22) *háǵàm*                  *ngèn*    *zá*                      *á*      *kàcín*  
 daughter                  3SG    COMP                      PRED    here  
*í*      *tá*                      *mívà*    *rà*                      *bà*  
 3PL    defecate                  feces    D.HAB                      ASSC  
*nzàd’ skù*  
 night    NEG  
 ‘His daughter said, “Here one does not defecate at night.”’

The third-person singular subject pronoun *a* occurs only in some aspects and moods. More specifically, it occurs in the unmarked aspect, dependent and independent habitual, future tense, and in indicative clauses, whether affirmative or negative. In other aspects and moods, the third-person singular is unmarked. If the subject is nominal, the third-person singular pronoun may still be used, but such use has the pragmatic function of coding the topicalization of the nominal subject. The example below is given just as an illustration of the arrangement of morphemes, rather than of the actual usage in discourse. The natural discourse examples are given in Chapter 18 on topicalization:

- (23) *kònáy*            *à*        *nz-á*            *nè*        *lúmò*  
 Konay            3SG    be-GO            PREP market  
*nákà*    *kàfkàfá*  
 REM morning  
 ‘Konay was at the market this morning’ (and he has returned).<sup>2</sup>

If the subject noun phrase consists of conjoined nouns, then the subject pronoun, if used, must be third-person plural:

- (24) *tàdú*    *i-bà*            *dáwày*            (*i*)    *tsú*        *zà*  
 Tadu    PL-ASSC        Daway            3PL    go        EE  
*nè*        *lúmò*  
 PREP market  
 ‘Tadu and Daway went to the market together.’  
 (Tadu ‘sixth born’, Daway ‘seventh born’)

When the predicate is *tsú* ‘went’, the third-person singular subject pronoun does not occur, even if there is no overt nominal subject:

- (25) *tsú*            *zà*        *á*        *r*        *ngámbù*        *ngàn*  
 departed        EE        PRED PREP friend        3SG  
 ‘He was at his friend’s.’

Other grammatical markers that occur before the verb, such as the infinitive *kə* and the relative marker *mə*, share with *tsú* the absence of overt third-person singular subject coding.

Not every clause must have a subject. If a subject is mentioned in the previous narrative, it may be omitted from subsequent clauses. In the following fragment, the subject is overtly coded in the first clause through a nominal and pronominal expression, a different subject is coded by a nominal expression in the second clause, and the subject, identical with the subject of the first clause is omitted altogether in the third clause:

---

2. *Konay* the fourth born to a mother. The name can be given to a boy or to a girl. A father may have several children bearing the same first name, because the name indicates the order of the birth to a mother. In order to distinguish between children having the same name because they were born in the same order, the mother’s name is given, which also indicates the order of the birth. There is an expectation of 12 children to a mother, and accordingly there are twelve names for the place in the order. A child born beyond twelve receives the name *káfkày* ‘for nothing’, i.e. not planned, predicted).

## Fragment (1)

- (26) *mà ngád ngád pəl á pəl batakàr*  
 REL count count detach 3SG detach bag  
*ngəd ngəd*  
 count count  
 ‘The one who was good at counting detached the bag and counted [the seeds].’

- (27) *mà té gwíđín dá skù*  
 REL GEN single exist NEG  
 ‘One grain was missing.’

- (28) *pəl mindéŋ dāmđámà*  
 detach another normal  
 ‘He detached the other—[it was] normal. (i.e. no grain was missing)’

In the following fragment, the first clause has the pronominal subject *á*, but the second clause does not have an overt subject:

- (29) *séy čáp à məl ǰámbáy wà ká dáp*  
 then chap! 3SG catch stick DEM POS only  
*ndəd ká n skàn ngàn bət*  
 lay down PREP thing 3SG take  
 ‘Then she chap! stopped the stick, put it down, took her thing’

The subject does not have to be overtly coded even if there are intervening clauses with different subjects. In the following fragment, the first sentence has a different subject from that of the second sentence, whose subject is unmarked:

- (30) *ngwáy skàn-yiì ǰəgám rə dáhà*  
 ‘say’ thing-PL talk D.HAB exist  
 ‘There is something talking there.’ (a hyena is talking)

- (31) *điyà lù tá dáp á wəži tükii*  
 put say GEN only PRED children 2SG  
*dáy dáy dáy á tán fəs*  
 a lot PRED 1SG little  
 ‘He [the man] kept on saying: for your children it is a lot, for me it is little.’

## 5. Possessive subject pronouns

A characteristic feature of some intransitive verbs is the presence of possessive subject pronouns, sometimes referred to in the literature as “intransitive copy pronouns”, (Newman 1974). These pronouns appear to have different functions in different languages (Frajzyngier 1977). The term “intransitive copy pronouns” is not particularly felicitous, as in a number of cases, these pronouns are not copies of anything and they are not characteristics of or triggered by intransitivity. Here they are called possessive subject pronouns because they are identical with possessive pronouns and they code the subject of the clause. The third-person possessive subject pronouns may be the only markers of the third person subject in the clause:

- (32) *ábà ndà ngàn n kílviá-yíi*  
 ASSC go 3SG:POSS PREP trash heap-PL  
 ‘She went to the trash heaps.’

*mà nd-à-y ngàṅ màrbák zà*  
 REL go-GO-STAT 3SG:POSS Marbak EE  
 ‘He has come from Marbak.’

Possessive subject pronouns for the other persons share the feature of person and number of the pronominal subject:

- (33) *sà tsú nàṅ*  
 1SG departed 1SG.POSS  
 ‘I am gone’ (metaphorical, when somebody announces his departure).

The possessive subject pronouns can occur in the imperative singular, i.e. in the form without an overt preverbal subject:

- (34) *ndà tàkóṅ*  
 go 2SG:POSS  
 ‘Go!’

### 5.1 *The form of possessive subject pronouns*

The possessive subject pronouns are phonologically identical with the possessive pronouns. Here is the complete set of possessive subject pro-

nouns in phrase final form with their use illustrated on the verb *tsú* ‘departed’:

	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>nàŋ</i>	<i>tàmú</i>	<i>tòkóŋ</i> INCL <i>tìnéŋ</i> EXCL
Second	<i>tàkóŋ</i>		<i>tìkinéŋ</i>
Third	<i>ngàŋ</i>		<i>tàtàŋ</i>

Here are examples of the use of possessive subject suffixes:

- (35) *í tsú tàtàŋ*  
3PL went 3PL  
‘They went away.’
- (36) *nók tsú tòkóŋ*  
1PL.INCL went 1PL  
‘We went away.’
- (37) *ná tsú tìnéŋ*  
1PL.EXCL went 1PL.POSS  
‘We went away.’
- (38) *hí tsú tìkinéŋ*  
2PL went 2PL.POSS  
‘You went away.’
- (39) *hà tsú tàkóŋ*  
2SG went 2SG.POSS  
‘You went away.’

Like all pronouns, except for the third person singular, the possessive subject pronouns have different forms in phrase-internal and in phrase-final position. The final nasal and the preceding vowel do not occur in phrase-internal position. The possessive subject pronouns in phrase internal position are as follows (schwa may be added for syllabification purposes):

	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>n</i>	<i>tàm</i>	<i>tòk</i> INCL <i>tìn</i> EXCL
Second	<i>tàk</i>		<i>tìkì</i>
Third	<i>ngàŋ</i>		<i>tàtə̀</i>

(40) *i-bə̀*            *nd-á*            *tət*            *wùtá*  
 PL-ASSC          go-GO            3PL          village  
 ‘They went home.’ (*tətə̀* instead of *tətə̀ŋ*)

The following examples illustrate the forms in both functions, as possessive subject pronoun and as possessive pronouns:

(41) *sá*    *ndə̀*    *nə̀ŋ*    *á*    *wtá*    *tá*    *nə̀ŋ*  
 1SG    go    1SG    PRED    village    GEN    1SG  
 ‘I go to my village’ (i.e., I am about to go).

(42) *nám*    *ndə̀*    *təmú*            *á*    *wtá*    *təmú*  
 1DU    go    GEN-1DU    PRED    village    GEN:1DU  
 ‘We are about to go to our village’

### 5.2 The function of possessive subject pronouns

Possessive subject pronouns are not obligatory markers:

(43) *tsú*            *ngíd’*  
 departed          somewhere  
 ‘He went somewhere’ (when answering the question “Where is X?”).

Since possessive subject pronouns are not obligatory, they must have some function. The function of the possessive subject pronoun is to code a change in the event:

(44) *tsú*            *ngə̀ŋ*            *ngíd’*  
 departed          3SG.POSS          somewhere  
 ‘He up and went somewhere’ (answer to the question “What did X do?”).



- (45) *séy m̀ tábú ábà sí ng̀̀̀*  
 except REL last born ASSC flee 3SG  
 ‘Except for the last-born: he fled.’

Possessive subject pronouns can be used with borrowed verbs:

- (46) *séy nást̀̀̀ ng̀̀̀ tsákà pá-r-yî*  
 then enter (F.) 3SG inside (F.) other-PL  
 ‘He entered among others’

Possessive subject pronouns are added mostly to intransitive verbs, but they are not markers of intransitivity, since not all intransitive verbs require possessive subject pronouns. Moreover, the inherent intransitivity of the verb is not affected. The types of verbs with which possessive subject pronouns occur are those in which the subject is undergoing movement, a change of posture, or a change of state. Thus, for describing somebody’s behavior, the following clause was used:

- (47) *mbí bák ng̀̀̀ á ǹ̀ m̀̀*  
 3SG die 3SG PRED PREP REL  
*gáy gáy ng̀̀̀*  
 spoil spoil 3SG  
 ‘She died because of her nasty behavior.’

If one simply describes the cause of somebody’s death that did not occur while the person was engaged in some event, one would not use a possessive pronoun:

- (48) *mbí bák á ǹ̀ m̀̀ gáy gáy ng̀̀̀*  
 3SG die PRED PREP REL spoil spoil 3SG  
 ‘She died because of her nasty behavior.’

If one describes some people’s getting up and leaving, one would say:

- (49) *í tsú t̀̀̀ á ẃ́ t̀̀̀̀*  
 3PL go 3PL PRED village 3PL  
 ‘They went home.’

To the question “Where are they?” one would just say:

- (50) *i tsú á wtá tətàŋ*  
 3PL go PRED village 3PL  
 ‘They went home.’

Talking about guinea fowl that “up and flew away,” one would say:

- (51) *záváŋ-yiì í-bà fir tətàŋ*  
 guinea fowl-PL PL-ASSC fly 3PL  
 ‘And the guinea fowl up and flew away.’

Seeing the flight of guinea fowl, one would say:

- (52) *záváŋ-yiì fir*  
 guinea fowl-PL fly  
 ‘And the guinea fowl are flying.’

- (53) *káyéfi í-bà nd-á tətàŋ*  
 strange (F.) PL-ASSC go-GO 3PL  
 ‘Never seen before, they up and left [the room].’

- (54) *wàl náka báf ngəŋ*  
 woman REM jump 3SG  
 ‘The woman jumped out.’

- (55) *èe híd-yiì wá í-bà yàŋ tətà*  
 ah, man-PL DEM 3PL-ASSC move 3PL:POSS  
*á màcín*  
 PREP there  
 ‘Those people moved over there.’

The equivalent of the verb “wake up” requires a possessive subject pronoun because it codes a change in the previous event, which is “to sleep”:

- (56) *gómòk-yiì wàhín ábà dəwəŋ fədáh*  
 frog-PL DEM ASSC after wake-up  
*tətàŋ*  
 3PL.POSS  
 ‘Afterwards these frogs woke up.’

Possessive subject pronouns may also be used with potentially transitive verbs, and the resulting construction has intransitive function:

- (57) *séy ndà báh ngàn ká á màǰám*  
 so go hide 3SG POS PRED bank  
*yàm*  
 water  
 ‘And he hid on the bank of the water.’

## 6. Pleonastic subjects

The semantic function ‘remain’, coded by verbs *fin* and *mín* ‘remain’, requires the third-person singular subject regardless of the person and number of the actual entity that the predication is about:

- (58) *sá n kà ǰá biǰáf ká dzà dàkàytíy*  
 1SG PREP INF say God INF kill others  
*tsáy zà à mín sà tátà*  
 finish EE 3SG remain 1SG alone  
 ‘I will say that God has killed all the others and that I alone remain.’
- (59) *biǰáf ká dzà tátà cíké’ kà*  
 God INF kill 3PL all POS  
*à fin nàmú nám ká tí tày*  
 3SG remain 1DU 1DU INF see DED  
 ‘God has killed them all, there remains only us, we will see.’<sup>3</sup>
- (60) *à ǰá káy à fin nám tátà*  
 3SG say INTERJ 3SG remain 1DU only  
 ‘He [the frog] said, “Look, there remains only us . . .”’

---

3. Our language assistants suggested that the name *biǰáf* is derived from *bày wùǰáf* ‘chief sky’, ‘the chief in the sky’. The term *biǰáf* is given an etymology as meaning ‘chief in the sky’. The term *wùdàf báytaŋ* is the kuli (representation) of *biǰáf*. When one prays to *biǰáf*, people pray to *wùdàf báytaŋ*. The praying is done in a special house dedicated just for this purpose. And it is only the head of the household who is allowed to enter the prayer house and pray to *wùdàf báytaŋ*. Offerings of cooked animals can be made to *wùdàf báytaŋ*. The offerings are placed on a kuli, a clay pot. If the dish with the offerings falls down, it means that either the God or the ancestors did not accept the offering. The God *biǰáf* is imagined as transparent, clean, white, *biǰáf tá kwèdék* ‘white God’, as opposed to a human being which has the attribute of being black.

The choice of the third person singular pronoun as the pleonastic subject indicates that the third person singular is the least marked subject in the pronominal system in Mina.

## 7. Arguments of the transitive verb: coding the direct object

If a proposition has two arguments, there are two means of coding them: One is through the relative position with respect to the verb, with the first argument, which we call the “subject,” preceding the verb and the second argument, which we call the “object,” following the verb, resulting in the SVO order. The second means is through position preceding the verb and the preposition *n*. The configuration SVO occurs in pragmatically neutral clauses:

- (61) *à gàr góng sk-á*  
 3SG want truth NEG-Q  
 ‘He wants the truth, doesn’t he?’ (*góngà* ‘truth’)

Not every noun that follows a verb is its direct object. Inherently locative nouns follow inherently locative verbs without any prepositions, and yet they are not direct objects. The test for the categoriality is provided by the syntax of the complement with respect to the end-of-event marker *za* and its negative counterpart *dã*. These two markers follow the direct object, but precede non-direct object complements, such as locative complements of movement verbs, which are not marked by a preposition, as well as all complements marked by prepositions.

- (62) *hà ká r hidi-yii zà*  
 2SG INF insult man-PL EE  
 ‘You have insulted people.’

- (63) *bíçì kà fát ɓà ká*  
 Bitsi INF skin cow POS  
 ‘Surprisingly, Bitsi slaughtered the cow.’ (the speaker disapproves).

The object follows a reduplicated verb when the subject occurs between the two parts of the verb:

- (64) *ɓàt á ɓàt ʒámáy ngàn . . .*  
 get 3SG get stick 3SG  
 ‘He got his stick and . . .’

Both subject and object can be coded by full noun phrases:

- (65) *séy gáw dàd ngàz à zá*  
 so hunter remove leg 3SG COMP  
*á n kwáyàŋ ɓàt-ú*  
 PRED PREP squirrel take-3SG  
 ‘Then, the hunter took off a leg [of a game animal], and he said to the squirrel, “Take it.”’

## 8. Object coding in hypothetical and deontic moods and in past tense

Transitive verbs in the hypothetical and imperative mood must be followed by an object. If the object is third-person and if it has been mentioned before in discourse, it is represented by the form *w* realized as *u* after consonants:

- (66) *à zá hí ndə lúw-á-ŋ má*  
 3SG COMP 2PL go say-GO-3SG SUBJ  
*dàh-á-w*  
 go-GO-3SG  
 ‘He said, “Go tell her to bring it here.”’

Cf.:

- (67) *à zá hí ndə lúw-á-ŋ má dàh-á*  
 3SG COMP 2PL go say-GO-3SG SUBJ go-GO  
 ‘He said, “Go tell her to come here.”’

The suffix *w* is also used in past-tense coding. If there is a change of object, the new object must be represented by a full noun. Both of these cases are represented in the following example:

- (68) *žèb žèb á žèb-ú ndə dzáy wàl*  
 follow follow 3SG follow-3SG go find wife  
*ngàn nákáhà*  
 3SG REM  
 ‘He followed and followed and found his wife,’

The object marker *w* is also suffixed to the verb of the relative

clause. The antecedent of the object does not have to occur in the relative clause:

- (69) *yí zá mbù fés ngà cíŋ á*  
 3PL COMP child small like DEM PRED  
*dámù kóm̀bì mà ð-ú nók sán*  
 bush maybe REL cut-3SG 1PL.EXCL know  
*skù*  
 NEG

‘They said, “There is a small child like that in the bush. Maybe he cut it out. We do not know.”’

The use of the third-person singular object pronoun *-u* with subject focus is ungrammatical:

- (70) \**í ká ð̀ab-ú zà*  
 3PL INF ask-3SG EE  
 for ‘they asked him’

## 9. Absence of an object

A transitive verb may occur without an object, if its object has been mentioned previously in the discourse. Compare the following fragment, where the same verb *ð́áŋ* ‘to cross’ first occurs with an object, *l̀àkwát* ‘river’, and then four sentences later, it occurs without any object, nominal or pronominal:

- (71) *hìd-yi wá í ð̀íy-á ð́áŋ l̀àkwát*  
 man-PL DEM 3PL put-GO cross river  
 ‘The men started to cross river.’

- (72) *cikíd t́ gwidíŋ nd̀èv ká*  
 sesame GEN single fall POS  
 ‘A single sesame seed fell down.’

- (73) *m̀ ð́im ð́im ź cikíd ḿ*  
 REL listen listen COMP sesame REL  
*nd̀èv-yí ź*  
 fall-STAT EE

‘The one who was good at listening said, “A sesame seed fell down.”’

- (74) *ɣàŋ í ɣàŋ zá*  
 cross 3PL cross EE  
 ‘They crossed [the river].’

Whether an object is overtly marked is not determined by the sub-categorization properties of the verb, but rather by the system of reference, as described in Chapter 16.

When the object is a body part of the subject, the clause has the following form: Subject Verb body part. A possessive pronoun coding the features person and number of the subject is optional:

- (75) *ká ngè ngàzè ngèn ká*  
 INF break leg 3SG POS  
 ‘He broke his leg.’

Coreferentiality of subject and object is marked by the noun *tàlàn* ‘head’ without possessive pronouns (recall that the intensifier also makes use of the noun *tàlàn* ‘head’, but with possessive pronouns, section 14 Chapter 3):

- (76) *ká fâd' tàlàn kà*  
 INF shave head POS  
 ‘He shaved himself.’

- (77) *à fâd' tàlàn*  
 3SG shave head  
 ‘He shaves himself.’

The unmarked object may have a different referent than the immediately preceding object:

- (78) *wàl wà ràz màbíŋ ndà tsáp á màl*  
 wife DEM open door go tsap 3SG catch  
*ká*  
 POS

‘The woman opened the door, went [in] and tsáp caught [it].’

## 10. Coding of object in sequential clauses

In sequential clauses, i.e. clauses that follow another clause or an adverb

of time and clauses that are followed by another clause within the same sentence, the object follows the subject and precedes the verb. Such an object is marked by the locative preposition *n*. The third person subject *á* in such clauses has high rather than low tone. The motivation for the use of the preposition is provided by the fact that two noun phrases precede the verb, and some means must be provided to assure the distinction between the grammatical roles of the two nouns.

Compare the following pair of sentences, each consisting of two clauses in a sequential relationship. The first clause is coded for the first element of the sequence through a construction consisting of a reduplicated verb, with the subject between the two parts of the verb. The following clause must be sequential. In the example below, it is the second clause that has the object, and it is coded by the preposition *n*:

- (79) *zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
*á n kàďám ngàn bət*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘She ate and ate and ate, then she took her calabash.’

The object in a sequential clause may not be coded by configuration, as in matrix clauses. Hence, the following is ungrammatical:

- (80) \**zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
*á bət kàďám ngàn*  
 3SG take calabash 3SG  
 for ‘She ate and ate and ate, then she took her calabash.’

If the adverb of time is fronted, the object must also occur before the verb:

- (81) *áb dèwáŋ mbí á n òváj*  
 ASSC back ANAPH 3SG PREP stone  
*tá tàpáh bət*  
 GEN flat take  
 ‘Afterwards he took the flat stone.’



- (82) *kà dál zà syì*  
 INF do EE COM  
*á n mbà pá* *náz ká jìb*  
 3SG PREP child another throw PREP hole  
 'Each time she did that, she took one child and threw it into the hole.'

The sequence of cause-and-effect relationship among the sequential nature of the clause, the fronting of the object and the use of the preposition *n* is as follows: The fact that the clause is sequential requires the fronting of the object. The fronting of the object requires the use of a preposition to distinguish between the two arguments preceding the verb. The fronting of the object has become a marker of the sequential clause.

## 11. Pronominal objects

Object pronouns follow the verb and they must be preceded by the suffix *á* following the verb. We gloss *á* as GO for 'goal orientation' because we analyze it to be identical with the goal orientation extension. The following is the list of object pronouns:

### Direct Object Pronouns

	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	-kù	nàmú	nènéŋ, nà (EXCL) nòkóŋ (INCL)
Second	-h		híníŋ or hínéŋ
Third	Ø, u		té tètàn

Note that the first and second person plural pronominal forms display vowel harmony. There is no vowel harmony in the first person dual because the labial nasal is [+round], and therefore it is a barrier to round vowel harmony.

Here is an illustration of the form of object pronouns in the habitual aspect where all persons are marked, and in phrase-final position where the non-reduced, and in the case of the third-person the expanded form of the pronoun, is used:

- (83) *à ndí tàw-á-kù*  
 3SG HAB hit-GO-1SG  
 'He hits me.'

- (84) *à ndí tàw-á-h*  
 3SG HAB hit-GO-2SG  
 'He hits you.'

The third-person singular can be unmarked. Mina codes the category 'deduced reference' marked by the form *tà* reduced to *tə* in phrase-internal position, and expanded to *təŋ* in phrase-final position. The deduced reference marker instructs the listener to identify the referent through a process of deduction using knowledge from various sources, including the listener's cognitive system, the speech environment, and previous discourse. This form can function as syntactic object or as determiner of a noun phrase. However, it cannot function as syntactic subject. Unlike other object pronouns, the deduced object marker is not preceded by the goal orientation marker *á*:

- (85) *à ndí táw təŋ*  
 3SG HAB hit DED  
 'He hits him.'

Here are some natural discourse examples of the use of the marker *ta*:

- (86) *syì à ndí dá tə dáp*  
 COM 3SG HAB cook DED only  
 'Then she just cooks it.'

- (87) *séy á tət kám í ndí ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP (F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ɓì-yii zə ká ndá kə dá*  
 meat-PL EE INF go INF cook:GO  
*təŋ*  
 DED  
 'Then, as for them [hyenas], they just catch the meat, [and] bring it for cooking.'

The referential functions of the form *tə* are discussed in Chapter 17, Reference system.

The tone of the third-person singular object suffix *u* is polar, determined by the last tone of the verb as described in Chapter 2, Phonology. The pronoun *u* is used in the independent past tense coded through reduplication, and in deontic and hypothetical moods:

- (88) *dzàw í dzàw-ú á dùwán*  
 attach 3PL attach-3SG PRED back  
*màdìngwàrzé*  
 donkey  
 'They attached it to the back of the donkey.'

The dual and plural object pronouns involve the use of the goal orientation marker *á*. All first-person dual and plural forms consist of a prefix *nà*, whose vowel assimilates to the following vowel by fronting or rounding depending on the front and round characteristics of the following vowel:

- (89) *à ndí tàw-á nènéŋ*  
 3SG HAB hit-GO 1PL.EXCL  
 'He hits us'
- (90) *à ndí tàw-á nòkóŋ*  
 3SG HAB hit-GO 1PL.INCL  
 'He hits us .INCL'
- (91) *à ndí tàw-á nàmú*  
 3SG HAB hit-GO 1DU  
 'He hits the two of us.'

The second-person plural is marked by two means used simultaneously. First, there is the second-person singular object marker *h* following the verb, and then the second-person plural object follows:

- (92) *à ndí tàw-á-h hìnéŋ*  
 3SG HAB hit-GO-2SG 2PL  
 'He hits you.'

The third-person plural does not have the goal orientation marker *á*:

- (93) *à ndí táw tètànŋ*  
 3SG HAB hit 3PL  
 'He hits them.'

- (94) *káyà*            *dīy-á*            *wállá*            *tá*            *bà*  
 INTERJ (F.)    put-GO            help (F.)        3PL            ASSC  
*dà*    *tàŋ*  
 cook    DED  
 ‘She started to help them to cook it.’

Pronouns that constitute syllables, such as plural pronouns, are not suffixed to the verb, as evidenced by the fact that they do not undergo vowel fronting:

- (95) *í*        *n*        *ká*        *lìm-é*            *nók*            *zà*  
 3PL    PREP    INF    see-GO            1PL.INCL        EE  
 ‘They should not see us.’
- (96) *í*        *ká*        *lìm-é*            *nám*    *zà*  
 3PL    INF    see-GO            1DU    EE  
 ‘They saw us.’

The second-person singular has the goal orientation marker *á*, followed by [h]. The glottal continuant is often completely reduced, and only the goal orientation marker *á* signals the second person:

- (97) *ká*        *màl-á-h*            *zà*  
 be        catch-GO-2SG        EE  
 ‘He caught you.’
- (98) *kà*        *ḡd-á-h*            *zà*  
 be        hit-2SG            EE  
 ‘He hit you.’

The 1PL.EXCL object form has two forms, *ná* in phrase internal position and *nènéŋ* in phrase final position. Here are examples of the first person plural pronoun in phrase internal position:

- (99) *í*        *ká*        *lìm-é*            *ná*            *zà*  
 3PL    INF    see-GO            1PL.EXCL        EE  
 ‘They saw us.’
- (100) *í*        *n*        *ká*        *lìm-é*            *ná*            *zà*  
 3PL    PREP    INF    see-GO            1PL.EXCL        EE  
 ‘lest they see us’

The second-person plural is *hí* in phrase-internal position:

(101) *í ká òim-é-h hí zà*  
 3PL INF see-GO-2SG 2PL EE  
 ‘They saw you.’

(102) *í n ká òim-é-h hí zà*  
 3PL PREP INF see-GO-2SG 2PL EE  
 ‘lest they see you’

The third-person plural is *tá* in phrase internal position in both indicative and deontic moods:

(103) *í ká òim tá zà*  
 3PL INF see 3PL EE  
 ‘They saw them.’

(104) *í n ká òim tá zà*  
 3PL PREP INF see 3PL EE  
 ‘lest they see them’

## 12. Coding coreferentiality of subject and object

For most transitive verbs, the coreferentiality of subject and object is coded by the lexeme *ksám* ‘body’ in the object position. The noun *ksám* ‘body’ may be followed by possessive pronouns referring to the subject. In natural data, the form *ksám* is used only when the subject has control over the event:

(105) *séy báy dzà á dzà ksám ngàn ká*  
 so chief kill 3SG kill body 3SG POS  
 ‘So the chief killed himself’

(106) *òàt á òàt wás dzà á dzà ksám*  
 take 3SG take knife kill 3SG kill body  
*ngàn ká*  
 3SG POS  
 ‘He took his knife and killed himself.’

- (107) *ká dzà ksám ngàŋ zà*  
 INF kill body 3SG EE  
 ‘He stabbed himself.’
- (108) *à zá hà tàbál ksám kímí*  
 3SG COMP 2SG tire body why  
 ‘He said to him, “Why do you tire yourself?”’

### 13. Coding the internal state of the subject

The lexeme *tàlàn* ‘head’ has grammaticalized to code a state of the subject not resulting from the physical activity of the predicate of the clause:

- (109) *à ték tàlàn*  
 3SG remember head  
 ‘He remembers.’
- (110) *séy tàkár-yù kà wáy tàlàn tsáy zà*  
 so turtle-PL INF forget head finish EE  
*ká dár*  
 INF dance  
 ‘So the turtles are completely preoccupied with the dance.’
- (111) *kà ték tàlàn zá*  
 3SG remember head EE  
 ‘he recalled’

With the verb *wáy* ‘forget’ the addition of *tàlàn* produces an unexpected meaning:

- (112) *kà wáy tàlàn zá*  
 INF forget head EE  
 ‘He wasted time.’

Cf.

- (113) *kà wáy zà*  
 INF forget EE  
 ‘He forgot.’

The noun *tàlàn* must be used with the verb *mbú* ‘unite’ when it has plural subjects in its scope:

- (114) *wà ángà í kà káh tsáy zà syì í*  
 DEM if 3PL INF bury finish EE COM 3PL  
*ndí mbú tàlàṅ tətə ká hàṅ tús dáp*  
 HAB unite head 3PL INF cry INTENS just  
 ‘If they have buried, they get together to weep profoundly.’

Here is an example where the form *tàlàṅ* is used as the subject with the verb *mbù* ‘unite, gather’ and as the object with the verb *tsúk* ‘isolate’:

- (115) *tàlàṅ m̀ mbùw-yí zà syì kó í*  
 head REL unite-STAT EE COM QUANT 3PL  
*ndə váy í ndə tsúk tàlàṅ tətə*  
 go where 3PL go isolate head 3PL  
*dáp skà vù*  
 just NEG Q  
 ‘If they unite themselves, no matter where they go, they isolate themselves.’

#### 14. Argument structure of verbs of emotional states

The reason we discuss the properties of verbs of emotional states is that the semantic function of the subject is not predictable. It could be either the argument that triggers the emotional state or the argument that is in the emotional state described by the verb. We begin with the verbs of loving.

Some verbs have experiencer as subject; other verbs, have the trigger of the experience as subject. The verb *ndərm* ‘please’ may have only the trigger of the experience as subject and the experiencer as indirect object:

- (116) *mávù á ndərm-á-k rà*  
 beer 3SG please-GO-1SG D.HAB  
 ‘I like beer’ (lit, ‘beer pleases me’) (The dependent habitual marker *ra* must be used in this sentence)

Having the experiencer as subject results in an ungrammatical sentence:

- (117) *\*sə ndərm mávù*  
 1SG please beer  
 for ‘I like beer’

The verb *ndàrm* may be used in different aspectual forms:

- (118) *mávù ká ndàrm-á-k zà*  
 beer INF please-GO-1SG EE  
 ‘I liked beer’ (lit, ‘beer pleased me’)
- (119) *mávù ká ndàrm-á-k dá skù*  
 beer INF please-GO-1SG exist NEG  
 ‘I didn’t like beer’ (when I tried it)

The verb *mbál* ‘like’ may only have the experiencer as subject; the object of liking is the object of the clause. The object may be human or non-human:

- (120) *tàtè nfád tàṅ í mbál wàl tàṅ*  
 3PL four DED 3PL like woman DED  
 ‘The four of them liked the woman.’
- (121) *sà mbál kàkàs skù*  
 1SG like beans NEG  
 ‘I don’t like beans.’

The verb *dám* ‘to ache’ has as its subject the part of the body that aches. The experiencer is the object. The part of the body may have a possessive suffix. Elicited clauses with the verb *dám* and several other verbs of emotional states have the habitual marker *rà* rather than *ndí*:

- (122) *tàlàṅ dām-á-k rà [tàlàṅ tóm-ák]*  
 head hurt-GO-1SG D.HAB  
 ‘I have a headache’ (my head is hurting me).
- (123) *rá dām-á-k rà*  
 hand hurt-GO-1SG D.HAB  
 ‘My hand aches.’

There are several expressions to code the notion of being afraid. One of them consists of the noun *kàtùlák* ‘fear’ as subject, followed by the verb *dál* ‘make’, followed by a dative argument. The evidence that the argument is dative rather than direct object is provided by the fact that the third-person singular is marked by *n*.



- (124) *kàtùlàk*      *dál-á-k*      *rà*  
 fear              make-GO-1SG      D.HAB  
 ‘I am scared’ (lit., fear made me).
- (125) *kàtùlàk*      *dál-á-h*      *rà*  
 fear              make-GO-2SG      D.HAB  
 ‘You are scared.’
- (126) *kàtùlàk*      *dál-á-η*      *rà*  
 fear              make-GO-3SG      D.HAB  
 ‘He is scared.’

The evidence that *kàtùlàk* is a noun is provided by the fact that it may occur as head in possessive constructions:

- (127) *kàtùlàk-ngèη*      ‘his fear’  
           *-nàη*              ‘my fear’  
           *-tkón*             ‘your fear’

The verb *ndín* ‘to be afraid, to scare’ can be transitive or intransitive. When it occurs with one argument only, that argument represents the affected participant. All tenses used with this verb must be dependent:

- (128) *sə*      *ndín*      *rà*  
 1SG    be afraid      D.HAB  
 ‘I am afraid.’
- (129) *à*      *ndín*    *rà*  
 3SG    scare    D.HAB  
 ‘He is afraid.’

In the past tense only a dependent tense can be used:

- (130) *sə*      *kə*      *ndín*      *zà*  
 1SG    INF    be afraid      EE  
 ‘I was scared.’
- (131) *sə*      *ndín*  
 1SG    be afraid  
 ‘I was afraid.’

When the verb is used with two arguments, the first argument is the

trigger of emotion, and the second argument is the affected entity:

- (132) *à ndín-é-k rà*  
 3SG scare-GO-1SG D.HAB  
 ‘It made me scared.’

It remains to be explained why propositions involving emotional states require dependent rather than independent habitual aspect.

## 15. Dative

We use the term “dative” as a conveniently brief term for the category of indirectly affected argument. The argument is indirectly affected when A acts (on B) and thus affects C. The indirectly affected argument can receive an object, be affected through the activity directed at some other object, benefit from the activity, or be adversely affected by the activity (malefactive). All examples below support the indirect affectedness of the argument as the function of the dative argument. The common coding means for the nominal and pronominal dative is goal orientation marker *á* suffixed to the verb and followed by pronominal suffixes. This marker, however, is not limited to dative function as it also occurs with direct object pronouns.

### 15.1 *Pronominal dative*

Except for the third-person singular, the dative and benefactive pronouns and the way they are added to the verb are identical with direct object pronouns. All pronouns, including the third-person singular and plural forms, must be preceded by the goal orientation marker *á*. The set of pronouns is as follows (phrase-final and phrase-internal forms cited, and in that order):

#### Dative Pronouns

	Singular	Dual	Plural
First	<i>-kù, -k</i>	<i>nàmú</i>	<i>nókù, nókòη</i> INCL <i>nènéη, nà</i> EXCL
Second	<i>-h</i>		<i>hínìη</i>
Third	<i>-ηù, -η</i>		<i>-η tètàη, tètà</i>

The full form of pronouns ending in a vowel is preserved in phrase-final position, such as at the end of the clause:

- (133) *í d̂ab-á-kù*  
 3PL ask-GO-1SG  
 ‘They ask me.’

In phrase-internal position, the final vowel of the pronoun is reduced, and the tone of the pronoun shifts to the preceding syllable, as described in Chapter 2. The first- and the third-person singular, however, may be coded by consonants only, in phrase internal and in phrase final position. The consonant-only variant does not cause vowel lowering on the preceding syllable:

- (134) *hìdì wèhín á zá ván á n*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP  
*ká d̂ā á ĝàr ká nd-á-k*  
 INF fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG  
*kàsám skù*  
 body NEG  
 ‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

In accordance with the rule of vowel fronting, the goal orientation marker *a* after the verbs with front vowel *bér* ‘sell’, *lim* ‘see’, and *hildib* ‘to sew’ is realized as [e]:

- (135) *ká bèr-é-k wú z fěš*  
 INF sell-GO-1SG milk EE small  
 ‘She sold me a little milk.’

The first-person plural exclusive has the form *nàníŋ* in phrase-final position and *nà* in phrase-internal position:

- (136) *bítsì m̂à vl-á nàníŋ*  
 Bitsi REL give-GO 1PL.EXCL  
 ‘It is Bitsi that gave it to us.’
- vl-à nà kàdá*  
 give-GO 1PL.EXCL pot for carrying water  
 ‘Give us a clay pot!’

- (137) *i lùw-á nòkóŋ*  
 3PL say-GO 1PL.INCL  
 ‘They tell us.’

*i lùw-á nàmú*  
 3PL say-GO 1DU  
 ‘They tell us.’

- (138) *i d̂ab nòkóŋ*  
 3SG ask 1PL.INCL  
 ‘They ask us.’ (no goal orientation marker)

The full, probably deliberate style form of third-person dative pronominal suffix is *-ŋù*, realized as *ŋ* in phrase-internal position and in non-deliberate style in phrase final position. This pronoun must be used each time there is a third-person dative pronominal object, whether singular or plural. This is in contrast with the third-person pronominal direct object, whose overt coding depends on the type of reference coded rather than on the semantic function within the clause. The third person pronoun is unmarked for number. If it is not followed by the plural pronoun, however, the default value of the third person pronoun is singular:

- (139) *ká m̀al-á-ŋ zà*  
 INF catch-GO-3SG EE  
 ‘He caught it for him.’

*i d̂ab-á-ŋù*  
 3SG ask-GO-3SG  
 ‘They asked for him.’

The direct object follows the verb with its dative suffix:

- (140) *ǰáŋ i ǰáŋ híd-yî ndá b̀at i*  
 send 3PL send man-PL go get 3PL  
*b̀at-á-ŋ k̀ad̂ám ẁacíŋ dà í*  
 get-GO-3SG calabash DEM bring 3PL  
*d̀a-há-w*  
 bring-GO-3SG  
 ‘They sent people and they went and got the calabash for him and brought it.’

The third-person plural dative is coded by the third-person singular

*ηù* followed by the third-person plural pronoun *tá*, *tàtə̀*, or *tətə̀η* (in phrase-final position):

- (141) *kwáykwáy dǎb í wə̀η sùlúdsùlúd*  
 hyena ask 3PL sleep two by two  
*wà mət dǎl-á-η tətə̀ mí*  
 but what happen-GO-3SG 3PL what  
 ‘Hyena asked, “They sleep two by two, but what happened to them?”’

The third person plural form may be reduced to the form *tə̀η* as evidenced by the following example:

- (142) *áa dǎmà wəl wə̀ bà á*  
 ah, good woman DEM again 3SG  
*lúw-á-η tətə̀*  
 say-GO-3SG 3PL  
 ‘“It’s good,” the woman told them again.’

The second-person dative is coded by the suffix *h* in phrase-internal position:

- (143) *à zá sət nd-á kət tǎr-á-h*  
 3SG COMP 1SG go-GO INF ask-GO-2SG  
*á pát tət nə̀η*  
 PRED tomorrow common work 1SG  
 ‘He said, “I came to ask you for help. Tomorrow is my work day.”’

The second-person plural dative must have the second-person singular coded on the verb and the second-person plural as an independent pronoun:

- (144) *í dǎb-á-h hìnə̀η*  
 3SG ask-GO-2SG 2PL  
 ‘They ask you.’

### 15.2 Interaction between the dative and direct pronominal object coding

The addition of pronominal direct objects to verbs with dative objects is

realized as follows. The deictic object is marked by the form *wàcín* ‘this’ following the verb:

- (145) *mbí m̀ b̀̀r-é-k ẁ̀cín*  
 3SG REL sell-GO-1SG DEM  
 ‘It is he who sold this one to me.’

If the third person is the recipient and the second person is the object given, the second person is affixed to the verb and the third person is coded through a prepositional phrase in the unmarked aspect:

- (146) *s̀ vl-á-h ǹ mbéŋ*  
 1SG give-GO 2SG PREP 3SG  
 ‘I give you to him.’

In the unmarked aspect, the overt coding of the direct object is obligatory for transitive verbs. Therefore, if no nominal object occurs, the deduced marker *tá* must be used. The dative is suffixed to the verb and the direct object is marked by the independent pronoun *ta* (*təŋ* in clause-final position):

- (147) *s̀ vl-á-h t̀̀ŋ*  
 1SG give-GO-2SG DED  
 ‘I give it/him/her to you.’

*s̀ d̀̀f-é-h t̀̀ŋ*  
 1SG introduce-GO-2SG DED  
 ‘I am showing it to you’ (rather than selling it).

In the dependent aspect coded by the form *kə . . . za* the third-person singular direct object suffix to the verb may not be used (for a similar situation in Mupun, a West Chadic language cf. Frajzyngier 1993):

- (148) *s̀ ḱ vl-á-h z̀̀*  
 1SG INF give-GO-2SG EE  
 ‘I gave it to you.’

*s̀ ḱ d̀̀f-é-h z̀̀*  
 1SG INF show-GO-2SG EE  
 ‘I have shown him to you.’

## 15.3 Nominal dative

The structure of the clause with nominal dative has the form: Verb-*á-η* Object (PRED) *n* Dative. The verb codes the presence of a dative argument through the suffix *η*. The locative preposition *n* is used in all cases except when the underlying dative is a person whose body part is affected. If between the direct object and the dative argument there exists a part-whole relationship, the nominal dative is not coded either by the locative predicator or by the preposition. The dative argument is coded as possessor of the direct object. However, the verb still codes the presence of the dative argument:

- (149) *à fâd-á-η tàlàn tá záváη-yii*  
 3SG shave-GO-3SG head GEN guinea fowl-PL  
*r bàhá*  
 D.HAB again  
 ‘She was shaving the heads of the guinea fowl again.’ (for the benefit of the guinea fowl)

If the verb is inherently directional, the locative predicator *á* is not used. This is the case with the verb of saying, whose addressees are coded only by the preposition *nà*:

- (150) *báhámàn là á lúw-á-η nà kámbáy*  
 Bahaman say 3SG say-GO-3SG PREP stick  
*nákà wà*  
 REM DEM  
 ‘Bahaman spoke to the stick.’

The verb *bèr* ‘sell’ is also inherently directional, and consequently the argument coding the buyer is not preceded by the locative predicator *á*:

- (151) *à bèr-é-η ndrì ánà association*  
 3SG sell-GO-3SG sorghum PREP association  
 ‘He sells sorghum to the association.’

*à ndí bèr-é-η kàkàs ná bitsì*  
 3SG HAB sell-GO-3SG beans PREP Bitsi  
 ‘He always sells beans to Bitsi.’

If between the verb *vəl* ‘give’ and the recipient there is some other

material, such as an adverb, the recipient is preceded by the locative predicator *á*. We have no explanation as to why the recipient is not also preceded by the preposition *n*:

- (152) *ha vəl-a-η wudə zə nek*  
 2SG give-GO-3SG food EE well  
*a misil zə vu*  
 PRED thief EE Q  
 ‘Will you give food to a thief?’ (written sources)

The auxiliary *za* follows the direct object but precedes the dative argument:

- (153) *ká bèr-é-η kàkàs zá nà bitsi*  
 INF sell-GO-3SG beans EE PREP Bitsi  
 ‘He sold beans to Bitsi’

- (154) *mbi bát kàdām d-àh*  
 ANAPH take calabash bring-GO  
*á dà-há-η ká nà báy*  
 3SG bring-GO-3SG PREP PREP chief  
 ‘He took the calabash and brought it back to the chief.’

The third-person dative argument marked by *η* cannot be coreferential with the subject. Thus in the above example, the dative pronoun *η* can refer to any third-person other than the subject of the clause.

#### 15.4 *The dative with body part terms*

If the dative object pronoun is followed by a body part noun, this construction codes the affectedness of the dative object. The body part noun is not followed by the possessive pronoun:

- (155) *hìdì wèhìj á zá ván á n*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP  
*ká dā á gèr ká nd-á-k*  
 INF fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG  
*kàsám skù*  
 body NEG  
 ‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’



- (156) *záván-yî*                      *zá*                      *fâd-á*                      *ná*  
 guinea fowl-PL                      COMP                      shave-GO                      1PL  
*tàlàŋ ká gí*  
 head POS please  
 ‘The guinea fowl said “Shave our heads, please.”’

*séy*                      *à*                      *ndí*                      *fâd-á-ŋ*                      *tà*  
 so (H.)                      3SG                      HAB                      shave-GO-3SG                      3PL  
*tàlàŋ fâd fâd fâd*  
 head shave shave shave  
 ‘So, she shaved and shaved and shaved their heads.’

- (157) *ká*                      *tìy-á-k*                      *màcékwèr*                      *zà*  
 INF                      look-GO-1SG                      knee                      EE  
 ‘He examined my knee.’

When the dative is marked by the suffix to the verb, the body part noun may not be followed by the possessive pronouns:

- (158) *ká*                      *tìy-á-k*                      *màcékwèr*                      *\*nán zà*  
 INF                      look-GO-1SG                      knee                      1SG                      EE  
 ‘He examined my knee.’

### 15.5 The functions of dative

The dative argument can be added to any verb, regardless of whether the verb is inherently malefactive, benefactive, or neither. The dative indicates that the argument so coded is indirectly affected by the event, without specifying the nature of indirect affectedness. The following example has dative as a beneficiary of the event:

- (159) *ká*                      *báŋ-á-k*                      *tsákàr zá*  
 INF                      smith-GO-1SG                      lance                      EE  
 ‘He smithed a lance for me.’

In the following example the dative argument is affected neither positively nor negatively:

- (160) *mótà tá wàḥáf ká fir-é-nók*  
 motor GEN sky INF fly-GO-1PL.INCL  
*z í tàlàn (tòkòḥ)*  
 EE above head 1PL.INCL  
 ‘An airplane flew above us all.’

In the following example, there are two instantiations of the dative construction. The first one codes a benefactive activity (finding oneself a wife) and the second a malefactive activity (to pinch one’s heart):

- (161) *wàl ḡim mà r skú kà*  
 woman hear mouth D.HAB NEG INF  
*gám kà ká gr-á-h pár*  
 chase POS INF search-GO-2SG another  
*ngàm á ngáts-á-h náf rà*  
 because 3SG pinch-GO-2SG heart D.HAB  
 ‘The woman who does not obey should be chased away. You have to find yourself another, because this one pinches your heart.’

Here are examples of the malefactive use of dative pronouns:

- (162) *á zm-á-k wùdá z vày*  
 3SG eat-GO-1SG food EE why  
 ‘Why did he eat my food?’
- (163) *í ká ßl-á-nòk páy kà*  
 3PL INF cut-GO-1PL.INCL tree POS  
 ‘They cut a tree on us.’
- (164) *í ká ßl-á-nà páy kà*  
 3PL INF cut-1PL.EXCL tree POS  
 ‘They cut a tree on us.’

## 16. Coding reciprocity

Reciprocity is a situation in which A(s) act on B(s), and B(s) act on A(s). The main strategy for coding the reciprocal function is the noun *ksám* ‘body’ which must be followed by an appropriate plural possessive pronoun. Here is an illustration of all forms:

(165) *ná*                    *mèn*    *ksám*    *tín*                    *zà*  
 1PL.EXCL    help    body    1PL.EXCL    EE  
 ‘We are going to help each other.’

*nám*    *mèn*    *ksám*    *tóm*    *zà*  
 1DU    help    body    1DU    EE  
 ‘We are going to help each other.’

*nók*                    *mèn*    *ksám*    *tók*                    *zà*  
 1PL.INCL    help    body    1PL.INCL    EE  
 ‘We are going to help each other.’  
*hí*    *mèn*    *ksám*    *tíkín*    *zá*  
 2PL    help    body    2PL    EE  
 ‘You are going to help each other.’

The distinction between reciprocal and coreferential function may be blurred in some instances:

(166) *à*            *zá*                    *àn*            *gàmták*            *ázà*    *ká*    *dzà*  
 3SG    COMP                    PREP    chicken            go    INF    kill  
*ksám*    *tàm*    *ká*  
 body    1DU    POS  
 ‘He [squirrel] said to the chicken, “Let’s kill ourselves.”’

Control over an event does not necessarily mean active participation in the event itself:

(167) *ázà*    *tòk*    *ká*    *kàh*    *ksám*    *tòkón*    *skù*  
 go    1PL    INF    bury    body    1PL    NEG  
*syì*    *ká*    *dâl-á-η*            *á*                    *vàngáy*  
 COM    INF    do-GO-3SG    PRED                    how  
 ‘[Since the blacksmith is dead] we have to bury each other, otherwise, how can we go about it?’

The other means of coding the reciprocal function involves the use of the marker *žéη* following the verb. This means has been recorded with verbs of saying:

- (168) *kwákwá-yî* wà lù žéŋ í zà  
 hyena-PL DEM say RECIPR 3PL EE  
*hìd-yî* wà kà dá dǎpdàp  
 people DEM here exist only  
 ‘The hyenas said to each other, there are some people in here.’

## 17. Conclusions

The subject of a clause can be coded by nouns or by pronouns. A special set of pronouns codes the subject. With respect to the third person, the subject may be coded by a noun and a pronoun at the same time, but this coding has a pragmatic function. If the subject has been mentioned in a preceding clause, it does not have to be mentioned in the subsequent clause. The conjoined noun phrase in the subject function has a different form than the conjoined noun phrase in any other function.

The direct object is marked by the position following the verb. The third-person singular object may be unmarked, or it may be marked by the determiner *tá* or the pronoun *u*, depending on the requirement of the reference coding and the aspect of the clause. The direct object differs from locative arguments that may also follow the verb directly in that the end-of-event marker *za* and the auxiliary *dâ* ‘exist’ follow the direct object but precede all other complements.

Pronominal objects, direct or dative, are marked by the goal orientation marker *á* suffixed to the verb and by pronouns following the marker *á*. The third-person singular dative object pronoun, unlike the direct object pronoun, must always be overtly marked. The dative object pronoun must also be used if the dative argument is nominal.

## Chapter 6

### Coding the event from the point of view of subject

Mina has grammaticalized a function of representing the event from the point of view of subject. This function is in contrast with the unmarked clause, which represents the event without taking any specific point of view. Since this function is not commonly known (but see Frajzyngier 1999, Frajzyngier with Shay 2002) the description that follows provides the evidence for the existence of this function.

#### 1. The form of the point of view of subject marker

The point of view of subject marker is *ka*, glossed as POS. It occurs at the end of the verb phrase, but before adverbial phrases, if any. In phrase-final and phrase-internal position, it has polar tone, opposite to the tone of the preceding syllable. The syllable that counts for the polar tone is the syllable actually produced rather than the underlying syllable. Thus the noun for ‘dog’ is *hàzá*. In phrase-internal position it is reduced to *hàz*, and accordingly the tone on the marker *ka* is high rather than low:

- (1) *bítsì ká bèr hàz ká*  
Bitsi INF sell dog POS  
‘Bitsi sold a dog.’ (elicited)

The marker *ka* is reduced to *kə* in phrase-internal position.

- (2) *ɓì tàtàŋ fú tàŋ dèb í dèb ká*  
meat 3PL all DED carry 3PL carry POS  
*n yàm*  
PREP water  
‘All their meat, they took it into water (for themselves).’

## 2. The function of the point of view of the subject

Representation of the event from the point of view of the subject indicates that the event is represented as beneficial or as detrimental for the subject. In the following examples, the events are beneficial for the subject. The marker *ka* can occur with the controlling subject, and in such a situation, it is in complementary distribution with the pronominal coding of the dative argument, which codes the beneficiary of the event. The third-person dative pronoun cannot occur with the third-person subject and be coreferential with it. Instead, the marker of affectedness is used for the same function:

- (3) *báy nà kàdǎm ngàn b̀at*  
 chief PREP calabash 3SG take  
*déb á déb ká á idá*  
 carry 3SG carry POS PRED home  
 ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> took his<sub>j</sub> calabash and carried it home.’ (for himself)

The evidence that the marker *ka* codes the point of view of the subject is provided by the fact that if one would like to add a beneficiary other than the subject, the form *ka* cannot be used:

- (4) \**báy nà kàdǎm ngàn b̀at*  
 chief PREP calabash 3SG take  
*dèb á dèb ká á idá*  
 carry 3SG carry POS PRED home  
*ná wàl ngàŋ*  
 PREP wife 3SG  
 for ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> took his<sub>j</sub> calabash and carried it home for his wife.’

- (5) *ɓì t̀at̀aŋ fú t̀aŋ dèb í dèb*  
 meat GEN:3PL all DED bring 3PL bring  
*ká n yàm*  
 POS PREP water  
 ‘They brought all of their meat into the water.’ (for themselves)

- (6) \**ɓì t̀at̀aŋ fú t̀aŋ dèb í dèb ká*  
 meat 3PL all DED carry 3PL carry POS  
*n yàm nà ngám̀b̀a t̀at̀aŋ*  
 PREP water PREP friend 3PL  
 for ‘All their meat, they took into water for their friend.’

The point-of view-of the subject indicates the state of the subject as the result of the event. Such subjects could be affected, directly or indirectly. The point of view of the subject may also involve a more general category, viz. how the event should be seen. Consider the following fragment. The first clause sets the background. In the second clause the marker *ka* indicates that the subject of the first clause was affected:

- (7) *hìdì-yî wá í díy-á ǰán làkwát*  
 man-PL DEM 3PL put-GO cross river  
 ‘The men started to cross the river.’

- (8) *cikíd tá gwídíŋ ndàv kà*  
 sesame GEN single fall POS  
 ‘A single sesame seed fell down.’

In the third clause, a simple statement is made about the event concerning the sesame seed. However, it is made as if it did not concern the subject:

- (9) *mà ǰím ǰím zá cikíd*  
 REL listen listen COMP sesame  
*mà ndàv-yí zà*  
 REL fall down-STAT EE  
 ‘The one who was good at listening said, “A sesame seed fell down.”’

The marker *kà* may represent the point of view of the topic, when the subject is unspecified human:

- (10) *wàl mà sálàd í ndí ǰám kà*  
 woman ATT lazy 3PL HAB chase POS  
 ‘The lazy wife is chased away’ lit. ‘The lazy wife they chase away’

- (11) *wàl tátàn í ndí káw kà*  
 woman good 3PL HAB keep POS  
 ‘The good wife is kept.’

The representation of the event from the point of view of the subject is not narrowly defined to positive or negative effects on the subject. Thus in the following example the outcome is neither positive nor nega-

tive, and yet the form *ka* is used:

- (12) *tíl á ndà zá bíŋ*  
 depart 3SG go EE room  
*à n mì bíŋ dzáŋ á dzáŋ kà*  
 3SG PREP mouth room close 3SG close POS  
 ‘He went to the room and closed the door.’

In order to render the semantic nuance involved, one would probably have to translate it as ‘He went to the room and closed the door behind him.’

Translations of clauses with the point-of-view-of-subject marker into a language that does not have such a category may not reveal the meaning carried by the marker:

- (13) *sà n ká bèr tá bèr bèr*  
 1SG PREP INF sell 3PL sell sell  
*túwàd kà*  
 finish POS  
 ‘I will sell them all.’ or ‘I will sell out’

Polish does have such a marker; it is either the accusative reflexive *się* or the dative reflexive *sobie*. Most of the Mina sentences with the marker *ka* would be translated into Polish using one of those markers:

- (14) *Wy-przedam się*  
 out-sell:FUT:1SG REFL  
 ‘I will sell all of my things’

An event that has a dative argument may also have a point-of-view of subject marker:

- (15) *hà n kà kál-á-ŋ kà*  
 2SG PREP INF refuse-GO-3SG POS  
*ngàm á n mà géy géy ngàŋ*  
 because PRED PREP REL bad bad 3SG  
 ‘You will deny her [things] because of her bad [conduct].’

Intransitive predications may also have the form *ka*:



- (16) *děw kà ká vəl-á-ŋ*  
 sit POS INF give-GO-3SG  
*kà tál nà mà dá skù*  
 INF taste PREP mouth exist NEG  
 'He sat down, and there was nobody to give him anything to eat.'

- (17) *séy kùràk á kùrk-á ngàn ká*  
 so descend 3SG descend-GO 3SG POS  
 'Then he got down.'

### 3. Point of view of the subject and speaker's empathy

The choice of the point-of-view of the subject marker is the speaker's choice. It is not determined by any element of the clause. If the subject is adversely affected, the choice of the point of view of the subject indicates speaker's sympathy and empathy. Consider the following sentence where the description of the death of some members of a group has the marker *ka*:

- (18) *bìḡáf ká dzà tətə cíké' kà*  
 God INF kill 3PL all POS  
*à fín nàmú nám ká tì tən*  
 3SG remain 1DU 1DU INF see DED  
 'God has killed them all, there remains only us, we will see'

If one were to talk about one's enemies, where no sympathy is involved, instead of the marker of the point of view of subject *ka*, one would use the end-of-event marker *za*:

- (19) *bìḡáf ká dzà tətə cíké' zà*  
 God INF kill 3PL all EE  
*à fín nàmú nám ká tì tən*  
 3SG remain 1DU 1DU INF see DED  
 'God has killed them all, there remains only us, we will see.'

Consider again the clause:

- (20) *zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
*á n kàďám ngàn b̀àt*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘She ate her fill, then she took her calabash.’

If, instead of the verb *b̀àt* ‘take’, a verb that does not affect the subject, one uses the verb *k̀àp* ‘break a vessel’, the speaker may represent the event with the marker *ka*, expressing his sympathy for the subject’s loss:

- (21) *zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá á n*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE 3SG PREP  
*k̀àďám ngàn k̀àp k̀á*  
 calabash 3SG break POS  
 ‘She ate her fill, and then she broke her calabash.’

The following examples illustrate the speaker’s attitudes toward the proposition, most likely the attitude of sympathy, although it is not always clear who is the object of sympathy:

- (22) *tíl á r̀à m̀allúm k̀à gr̀á*  
 leave PRED PREP marabout PREP want  
*m̀injìv̀èk k̀á dz̀à ng̀à-ẁàl ng̀àn k̀á*  
 medicine INF kill co-wife 3SG POS  
 ‘She went to a marabout to get medicine to kill her co-wife.’

- (23) *há k̀à t̀ák k̀à*  
 2SG INF attack POS  
 ‘If you prevented her . . .’

The marker *ka* may occur in specific interrogative clauses. Its position in such clauses is before the interrogative marker:

- (24) *m̀à b̀ál p̀áy ẁá k̀á ví*  
 REL cut tree DEM POS who  
 ‘Who cut this tree?’

The marker *ka* may also be used in questions about the truth:

- (25) *ká bál páy kà*  
 INF cut tree POS  
 ‘Did he cut a tree?’ (The interrogative modality is obtained through the raised intonation over the whole sentence.)

The marker *ka* is mutually exclusive with the marker *za*:

- (26) *ká ngà ká \*zà*  
 INF break POS EE  
 ‘He broke it.’ (He was affected by the breaking.)

or:

- (27) *kó ngà zá*  
 INF break EE  
 ‘He broke it.’

#### 4. Conclusions

The point-of-view of subject is a separate domain, different from the domain of semantic relationship between the verb and its arguments and adjuncts, and different from grammatical relations. The category point-of-view of subject is a counterpart of the goal-orientation category only when the latter has the object in its scope.



## Chapter 7

### Locative predication and locative complements

#### 1. Introduction

This chapter deals with two issues: the coding of locative arguments and the coding of adjuncts. Locative arguments are those arguments that code the goal or the source of movement. There are also locative arguments of a stative locative verb, equivalent to “to be in place.” Arguments can be added only to some verbs. Locative adjuncts can be added to any verb, not only verbs of movement. Locative arguments and adjuncts constitute one semantic domain, as evidenced by the complementary nature of the coding means used.

The system of coding locative predication includes configuration, the predicator *á*, prepositions *ká* and *n*, and the auxiliary *za*. Locative predication in Mina presents two problems. The first problem has to do with the functions of various coding means, which can be used alone or in conjunction with other coding means. Here is the illustration of the coding means used.

The locative predication can be coded by juxtaposition alone:

- (1) *ábà nd-á ngàn wùtá*  
ASSC go-GO 3SG village  
‘Then she returned to her village.’

The preposition *n* can occur alone. The nasal is followed by a schwa in predictable phonological environments:

- (2) *tsáy m̀ tí tí nd-á nástá*  
then REL look look go-GO enter (F.)  
*ǹ yàm*  
PREP water  
‘Then the one who was good at looking entered into water.’

The predicator *á* also can occur alone:

- (3) *i*      *ŋ*      *kə*      *ŋdəv-a*      *a*      *kayak*  
 3PL    PREP    INF    fall-GO      PRED    earth  
 ‘They will fall down on the ground.’ (written sources)

Finally, the predicator and the preposition can occur together:

- (4) *ván*    *ďá*                      *rà*                      *màná*    *á*  
 rain    fetch:GO              D.HAB                      like    PRED  
*nə*    *lùmò*  
 PREP    market  
 ‘It was raining from the direction of the market.’

These data present us with two sets of questions. The first has to do with the conditions under which the predicator and the prepositions are used, while the second has to do with the categoriality of the form *á*.

The second problem has to do with the form of possessive constructions that constitute a part of the locative predication. It is usually assumed that the form of a syntactic structure A is independent of the higher syntactic constituent B. Thus, the structure of a noun phrase is assumed not to depend on the syntactic structure of which the noun phrase is a part. In Mina, however, the structure of a genitive phrase does depend on the higher constituent of which the genitive construction is a part. A genitive construction that functions as a subject or object must contain the genitive marker *tá*:

- (5) *hìdà*    *tá*      *kwákwáy-yî*                      *wàcín*  
 house    GEN    hyena-PL                      DEM  
 ‘house of those hyenas’

If a genitive construction functions as a locative complement in some locative predications, however, the genitive marker *tá* is not used:

- (6) *hós*    *á*      *ídà*                      *kwákwáy-yî*                      *wàcín*  
 arrive    PRED    compound                      hyena-PL                      DEM  
 ‘She arrived at the compound of the hyenas.’

This alternation must be explained, since there is no theoretical reason why the internal structure of a construction should change depending on the type of a higher construction in which it occurs. As will be shown, the solution to problem 1 allows us to postulate a hypothesis explaining the problem 2.

## 2. Functions of coding means in locative predication

Lexical items involved in a locative predication may be inherently locative or not. Toponyms are inherently locative nouns, as is the word *dámù* ‘uncultivated area(s), bush’. Items like these are referred to as ‘locative complements’. Inherently non-locative nouns include [+human] nouns and pronouns. Directional verbs of movement and stative locative verbs are inherently locative and are referred to as ‘locative predicates’.

Following is a summary of the interactions among coding means in the domain of locative predication:

- Locative predicate and locative complement:  
Coding through juxtaposition (example 1);
- Locative predicate and non-locative complement:  
Predicate *n* Noun (example 2);
- Non-locative predicate and locative complement:  
Predicate *á* Noun (example 3);
- Non-locative predicate and non-locative complement:  
Predicate *á n* Noun (example 4)

### 2.1 Locative Predicate and Locative Complement: Coding through juxtaposition

When both the predicate and the complement are inherently locative, no locative prepositions are used; the properties of the lexical items involved ensure a locative predication interpretation. The inherently locative verbs include the pair: *ndà* ‘go’, *tsú* ‘went’, and the borrowed verb *nástà* (F.) ‘enter’. These verbs do not usually take the locative predicator *á* in natural discourse. If the locative complement is also an inherently locative noun, no preposition occurs between the verb and the complement:

- (7a) *yá*      *í-bà*                      *ndà*      *tàtà*                      *bíŋ*  
call      PL-ASSC                      go      3PL.POSS                      room  
‘They went into the room.’
- (7b) *ábà*      *nd-á*                      *ngàn*      *wùtá*  
ASSC go-GO                      3SG      village  
‘Then she returned to her village.’

The evidence that a locative argument after a verb is a complement rather than a direct object is provided by the behavior of the end-of-event marker *za* (phrase-internal forms: *z*, *zə*). This marker occurs **before** a locative complement (8, 9) but **after** a direct object (10):

- (8) *hìdì ká ndə zə dāmù mə pər ká báy í*  
 man INF go EE bush REL first PREP chief 3PL  
*n kə dāl-á-ŋ mə*  
 PREP INF do-GO-3SG mouth  
 ‘If anybody goes to the field before the chief, they will make him a lot of problems.’

- (9) *tséy hìdì wàcíŋ táŋ z wútà à b́á*  
 so man DEM return EE house 3SG say  
*á n médíŋ ngəŋ wàcíŋ ngám̀b̀ù*  
 PRED PREP neighbor 3SG DEM friend  
*há kə déb-é-ŋ dāl*  
 2SG INF bring-GO-3SG money  
*nə hìd̀ə wà dāl v̀ànú*  
 PREP man DEM money how much  
 ‘When the man came back to the house, he said to his neighbor, “Friend, you brought money to this man. How much money?”’

End-of-event marker after a direct object:

- (10) *áá wəl nə kə dzán-á skən pár*  
 ah wife 1SG INF find-GO thing another  
*zə b̀ádá̀p*  
 EE again  
 ‘Ah, my wife found another thing again.’

## 2.2 *Locative Predicate and Non-Locative Argument: Predicate n Noun*

When the predicate is locative but the complement is non-locative, the complement must be marked for its locative role. This is done by the preposition *n*, whose function is to mark a non-locative noun as a locative complement:



- (11) *mìnjée mbà mà mármàr ká nàz-á*  
 now boy REL pasture INF abandon-GO  
*kw-yii zá nà láy*  
 goat-PL EE PREP field  
 ‘Now the shepherd left the goats in the field.’

The evidence that *lay* is inherently non-locative is that it can also mean ‘time’:

- (12) *tár láy tá mìtàs̃*  
 month time GEN hunger  
 ‘The year of the hunger.’

Further evidence for the non-locative nature of the noun *lay* is that it may be used, without additional marking, as the subject or object of a clause:

- (13) *taŋ a nda-ha nda tii a tii*  
 go 3SG go-GO go:GO see 3SG see  
*lay mi dish-yi tseey za*  
 field REL cultivate-STAT finish EE  
 ‘She came to see that the field has been cultivated completely.’

- (14) *guzak naŋ kə vl-a-k lay za*  
 uncle 1SG INF give-OBJ-1SG field EE  
 ‘My uncle gave me a field.’ (both examples from written sources, hence no tonal notation)

Mina has a locative anaphor, *màŋ*, that is used to refer to inherently non-locative nouns. As opposed to inherently locative anaphors, *màŋ* is treated as inherently non-locative, as evidenced by the fact that it requires the preposition *n*:

- (15) *bàk bàk á bàk-á-ŋ bà wìrnjik*  
 fill fill 3SG fill-GO-3SG ASSC ash  
*ká nà màŋ*  
 PREP PREP ANAPH  
 ‘He filled them [the shoes] with ash.’

### 2.3 *Non-Locative Predicate and Locative Complement: Predicate á Noun*

A locative predication whose predicate is non-locative must be marked by the particle *á*. This particle marks a non-locative predicate as having a locative function. The particle *á* follows the direct object, if any. If the complement is inherently locative, it occurs without the preposition *n*. The verb *yà* ‘call’ is inherently non-locative. The nouns *bín* ‘room, hut in a compound’, and *idá* ‘house’ are inherently locative:

- (16) *nd-á yà ngùl ngàn á bìŋ*  
 go-GO call husband 3SG PART room  
 ‘And [she] called her husband into the room.’

The verb *fât* ‘skin’ also is inherently non-locative and therefore the locative predication with this verb must be marked by the predicator *á*:

- (17) *ŋkwà tá làvéŋ hì ká skàm-á zà*  
 goat GEN black 2PL INF buy-GO EE  
*hì fât kà á káyàk*  
 2PL skin POS PART earth  
 ‘A black goat, when you have bought it, you skin it on the ground.’

Even verbs involving motion may be inherently non-locative. The verb *til* ‘leave, move’ is inherently non-locative, and so requires the predicator *á* if the locative complement is to be used:

- (18) *til ngən a wta*  
 leave 3SG PART house  
 ‘He returned home.’ (written sources)

Similarly, the verb *déb* ‘carry’:

- (19) *bày ñ kádâm ngàn bāt déb á*  
 chief PREP calabash 3SG take carry 3SG  
*déb ká á idá*  
 carry POS PART home  
 ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> took his<sub>j</sub> calabash and carried it home.’

A non-directional verb, such as *yàn* ‘move house’, must be followed by the particle *á* even when followed by a deictic marker or locative

anaphor. If the anaphor is inherently locative, it is not preceded by the preposition *n*:

- (20) *kwáykway-yiî*      *wà*    *zá*                      *ngà*    *há*  
 hyena-PL                      DEM    COMP                      if      2SG  
*mbál-ù*      *há*    *yàn*    *á*      *kàcínj*  
 want-3SG      2SG    move    PRED here  
 ‘The hyenas told her, “If you want to, you can move in here.”’

- (21) *èe*    *hìd-yiî*                      *wà*    *í-bà*                      *yànj*    *tàtè*  
 eh,    man-PL                      DEM    PL-ASSC                      move    3PL  
*á*    *màcínj*  
 PRED there  
 ‘Those people moved over there.’

The predicator *á* is used after the verb *dáhá* ‘exist’ when this verb has a locative complement. This fact is the evidence that the verb is indeed an inherently non-locative verb:

- (22) *hájàm*                      *dáhá*    *á*      *bìj*    *ngèn*  
 daughter                      exist    PRED house    3SG  
 ‘There is a girl at her house.’

Although the particle *á* codes a locative predication, it is not a predicate for the whole proposition. This particle is a ‘local predicator’, viz. a predicator with a limited scope extending only to the next complement.

If the clause has no predicate, the locative predication is coded by the particle *á* and a locative complement. This is evidence that the particle *á* alone functions as a locative predicate:

- (23) *kwáyànj*                      *zá*                      *ǵì*    *mè*    *màts-yí*  
 squirrel                      COMP                      meat    REL    die-STAT  
*bàytánj*                      *á*      *dámù*  
 large                      PRED bush  
 ‘The squirrel said, “There are a lot of dead animals in the bush.”’

- (24) *mìméŋ*      *à*      *zá*                      *àmmá*      *bìǰáv*      *à*  
 leopard      3SG      COMP                      truly      God      3SG  
*mbál-á-kù*      *nd-á*                      *ǰì*      *gwád á*      *bìŋ*      *nàŋ*  
 like-GO-1SG      go-GO                      meat      plenty PRED room      1SG  
 ‘The leopard said, “God truly loves me, as there is a lot of meat  
 in my room.”’

The phrase beginning with a local predicator is a new phrase, as evidenced by the fact that lexical forms preceding it occur in phrase-final form. In the following example the adjective *bàytán* ‘large’ has the phrase final form rather than the phrase internal form, which is *bàytá*:

- (25) *séy*      *mùà*                      *bàytán*                      *á*      *dámù*      *zìbìr zìbìr*  
 so      tamarind                      large                      PRED bush      dark dark  
 ‘There is a large tamarind tree in the bush; it is dark.’

#### 2.4 *Non-Locative Predicate and Non-Locative Complement: Predicate a n Noun*

If neither the predicate nor the complement is inherently locative, the locative predication is marked by the locative predicator *á* and the preposition *n*, marker of the locative complement:

- (26) *séy*      *tàkár*      *tíl*      *á*      *nà*      *yàm*  
 so      turtle      leave      PRED PREP      water  
*màl*      *màl*      *á*      *màl-á*                      *dzàbáŋ*  
 seize      seize      3SG      seize-GO                      five  
 ‘So, the turtle went in the water and caught five.’

- (27) *séy*      *wàl*                      *wàcíŋ*      *kúl*      *skù*      *à*      *dál-áhà*  
 so      woman                      DEM      able      NEG      3SG      make-GO  
*séy*      *dáb*      *íi*      *dáb*      *á*      *nà*      *làptál*  
 so      take      3PL      take      PRED PREP      hospital  
*ká*      *hùrgà*      *tàŋ*  
 INF      cure      DED  
 ‘This woman was not well, she was sick. So she was brought to a  
 hospital for treatment.’

- (28) *í n ká zàm ábà bày màtá*  
 3PL PREP INF eat ASSC chief same place  
*zàngár á nà lày mà ntá*  
 lizard PRED PREP place REL one  
 ‘They will eat with the [large red-headed] lizard in the same place.’
- (29) *hà táŋ táwàr á nà fálà tətàŋ*  
 2SG DED suffer PRED PREP among 3PL  
 ‘You suffer a [a lot] among them.’

The locative predicator *á* and the preposition *n* are also used to code the addressee of the verb of saying, evidence that the verb is inherently non-locative, and that the addressee is coded as locative complement. The verb, however, is also coded for the dative predication through the third person singular object marker *ŋ*, the form used only with dative complements:

- (30) *hà ŋ ká lùw-á-ŋ zín á nà ví*  
 2SG PREP INF say-GO-3SG then PRED PREP who  
 ‘Who are you going to tell it to?’
- (31) *à zá á nà gimiǰíá áz*  
 3SG COMP PRED PREP monkey go  
*tùmù médìgì ngáǰ ngáǰ í ngáǰ-á*  
 1DU neighbor pull pull 3PL pull-GO  
*pám á midìgìá kwáyàŋ*  
 until PART court squirrel  
 ‘He said to the monkey, “Let’s go, neighbor.” They went to the squirrel’s courtyard.’

### 3. Genitive construction in the locative phrase

It is often assumed that the form of a syntactic structure A does not depend on the larger syntactic structure B, of which A is part. Coding of the possessive relationship in Mina contradicts this assumption. Here, the same semantic relationship between two nouns is coded in two different ways, depending on the hierarchically higher form in which this relationship is realized. If two nouns in modifying relationship are a part of the argument of the clause, the modifying relationship is coded by the preposition *tá*:



- (39) *hós á idà kwáykwáy-yí wàcíŋ*  
 arrive PRED compound hyena-PL DEM  
 ‘She has arrived at the compound of hyenas.’ (elicited)

Cf. the modifying construction as an argument of a clause:

- (40) *hìdà tá kwáykwáy-yí wàcíŋ*  
 house GEN hyena-PL DEM  
 ‘house of those hyenas’ (elicited)

An explanation for the intriguing behavior of the genitive construction lies in the inherent properties of locative predicates and the locative predicator *á*. As shown in section 2, especially 2.3 and 2.4, the form *á* is a locative predicator that is used in a locative clause whose predicate is not inherently locative. The form *á* thus may be said to be a predicator, not of the entire clause, but of the verb phrase: It codes the complex of verb and following noun as a locative predication.

An important component of the proposed solution to problem 2 is the hypothesis that the genitive marker *tá* also is a predicator, but a modifying predicator: It says, ‘this head noun has a property X’, where the modifier codes the property X. The reason that the genitive marker *tá* cannot be used in a locative construction when it is immediately preceded by the locative predicate is that the same argument--the head noun of the genitive construction--would thus be marked as part of two different predications, the locative predication (as marked by the presence of an inherently locative verb or the locative predicator *á*) and the genitive predication, as marked by *tá*. Thus, there appears to be a constraint that prevents the same noun from being a constituent of two different predications at the same time.

The evidence for the proposed hypothesis consists of several facts. First, in some contexts, the forms *á* and *tá* are interchangeable, providing evidence that they have the same categorial function. Since it has been shown that *á* is a predicator, therefore the function of *tá* should also be that of a predicator:

- (41) *ǰà tá ngid á tákón*  
 cow GEN DEM PRED GEN:1PL  
 ‘The cow over there is ours.’
- (42) *ǰà tá ngid tá tákón*  
 cow GEN DEM GEN GEN:1PL  
 ‘The cow over there is ours.’

Second, the two forms cannot co-occur, which provides evidence that they perform functions in the same domain:

- (43) \**ḥà tá ngid' á tá tákóŋ*  
 cow GEN DEM PRED GEN GEN:1PL  
 'The cow over there is ours.'

In a locative construction whose complement is not inherently locative, the complement is marked by the preposition *n* (cf. sections 2.2 and 2.4). This preposition may co-occur with the genitive marker. However, if the complement of the locative construction is inherently locative, and therefore is not marked by the locative preposition *n*, the genitive marker is omitted in the locative predication. In 44, the first modifying construction, *dáwáŋ kwàkwàyà* 'back of the hyena', has no genitive marker, while the second, *má tá kwàkwàyà* 'mouth of the hyena', is marked by *tá*. The locative predicator *á* cannot co-occur with *tá*, since the presence of *tá* would mark the noun *dáwáŋ* as both part of the locative predication and head of the genitive construction. The verb of the second clause, 'put', is inherently locative and so has the same properties as the predicator *á*. However, there is an important difference between the locative complements of the two predicators. The expression *dáwáŋ tá kwàkwàyà* 'back of the hyena' is much more inherently locative than the expression *má tá kwàkwàyà* 'mouth of the hyena'. Back of an animal is typically the place where the load is carried. The evidence for the inherent locative characteristic of the 'back of hyena' is that *dáwáŋ tá kwàkwàyà* 'back of the hyena' is not marked by the locative preposition *n*, while the expression *má tá kwàkwàyà* 'mouth of the hyena' must be preceded by the locative preposition *n* in a locative predication. Since the locative predicator *dám* 'put' is separated from the genitive construction *má tá kwàkwàyà* by the locative preposition *n*, the genitive head *má* cannot be construed as the argument of two different predications. For this reason, the genitive marker *tá* is not omitted, but marks *má* as head of a genitive predication:



- (44) *séy* *ɓàt* *skàŋ-yii* *wàcìŋ* *dzáw* *dzáw* *ciké*  
 so take thing-PL DEM tie tie all  
*á* *dáwáŋ* *kwàykwàyà* *ɓàt* *lìjì*  
 PRED back hyena take bridle (F.)  
*dám* *nà* *má* *tá* *kwàykwàyà*  
 put PREP mouth GEN hyena  
 ‘He took those things and attached them all to the back of the hyena. He took the bridle and put it in the hyena’s mouth.’

The natural discourse data fully support the proposed explanation. Here are a few more examples of possessive constructions without the genitive marker in locative predications with inherently locative complements. Since the complement is inherently locative, there is no preposition *n*, and so the genitive particle is omitted:

- (45) *wàl* *wà* *kà* *dzáŋ* *rùkút* *tàtə̀*  
 woman DEM INF close clothes 3PL  
*kà* *á* *bìŋ* *ngùl* *ngən*  
 POS PRED room husband 3SG  
 ‘The woman<sub>i</sub> has locked their<sub>j</sub> clothes in the room of her husband<sub>j</sub>.’
- (46) *à* *zá* *á* *nə̀* *gimíŋíá* *áz*  
 3SG COMP PRED PREP monkey go  
*tùmù* *médìgì* *ngáɓ* *ngáɓ* *í* *ngáɓ-á*  
 1DU neighbor pull pull 3PL pull-GO  
*pám* *á* *mìdìgìá* *kwáyàŋ*  
 until PRED court squirrel  
 ‘He said to the monkey, “Let’s go, neighbor.” They went to the squirrels’ courtyard.’

A spatial specifier followed by a noun is an inherently locative construction. It is not marked by the preposition *n* and so the genitive marker is not used:

- (47) *nd-á* *déw* *ká* *á* *bàr* *málùm*  
 go-GO sit POS PRED side marabout  
*wácìŋ*  
 DEM  
 ‘He came and sat down next to the marabout.’

A genitive phrase following the preposition *ká* also does not contain

the genitive marker *tá*. In 48-49, each genitive construction is part of a locative phrase marked by *ká*, and the genitive relationship is coded by apposition alone. From this, it follows that the preposition *ká* also is an inherent predicator:

- (48) *à zá wàcín nék skù náz ká*  
 3SG COMP DEM good NEG throw POS  
*dùwáŋ dà*  
 behind house  
 ‘He said this isn’t good. He threw it behind the house.’

*gimíjíd káts wál ngàŋ-yî ábà wàz-yî*  
 monkey gather wife 3SG-PL ASSC children-PL  
*tán nd-á ciké ká dùwáŋ*  
 DED go-GO all PREP behind  
*dà kwáyàŋ*  
 compound squirrel  
 ‘The monkey gathered his wives and children. They all stayed behind the squirrel’s house.’

The preposition *nà* may be derived from the verb *ndà* ‘to go’. The evidence that the verb and preposition are related is independently provided by another product of grammaticalization of the same verb. When the verb “to go” is used as an auxiliary verb, i.e. the first verb in a sequence of verbs, it has a variant *nà* along with *ndà*:

- (49) *nà\ndà gr-á nám yàm*  
 go find-GO 1DU water  
 ‘Go bring us some water.’

The variant *ndà* is in the speech of the same speaker who uses the variant *ndí* for the habitual form.

#### 4. Locative deictics and anaphors

The locative deictics are *kà* ‘here’ and *mà* ‘there’, the latter having also anaphoric function. These forms are followed by suffixes *hín* or *cín* in phrase-final position. Depending on the dialect, the vowels of the forms *mà* and *kà* are fronted when followed by the suffix with the high front vowel:

- (50) *á kàcín* [á kècín] and [á kàcín]  
 ‘It is here.’ (in the same compound, but cannot be seen)

*kújì mècín/hín*  
 Kuji DEM  
 ‘Kuji is there.’

The deictic forms occur without the preposition *nà*, which is the evidence that they are inherently locative:

- (51) *kwáykwáy-yiì wà zá ñgà há*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP if 2SG  
*mbál-ù há yàn á kàcín*  
 want-3SG 2SG move PRED here  
 ‘The hyenas said to her, “If you want, you can move in here.”’

- (52) *èe híd-yiì wá í-bà yàn*  
 eh man-PL DEM 3PL-ASSC move  
*tàtá á màcín*  
 3PL:POSS PRED there  
 ‘Those people moved over there.’

With directional verbs, the deictics occur without the predicator *á* and without any prepositions:

- (53) *dá kàcín*  
 bring here  
 ‘Bring it here!’

*dá kàhín*  
 bring here  
 ‘Bring it here!’

- (54) *nd-á kàhín*  
 go-GO here  
 ‘Come here!’

The auxiliary *za* is placed before the locative deictic:

- (55) *sà zàm zá kàcín*  
 1SG eat EE here  
 ‘I will eat here.’

- (56) *sà zàm zá màcín*  
 1SG eat EE there  
 ‘I will eat there.’ (either deictic or anaphoric reference)

In phrase-internal position the form *mà* or *kà* is used:

- (57) *hí skàm kà zá kà vù*  
 2PL buy cow EE here Q  
 ‘Will you buy a cow here?’

The evidence for the deictic function of *kà* is provided by its use when it refers to an entity in the environment of speech:

- (58) *kwákwá-yù wà lù zéy í zà*  
 hyena-PL DEM say RECIP 3PL COMP  
*hìdì wà kà dá dǎpdàp*  
 people DEM here exist only  
 ‘The hyenas said to themselves, there are people in here.’

- (59) *mà zá báytà gómbòk-yù zá syì*  
 REL EE large frog-PL COMP COM  
*hí kàm fú tàŋ hí wàn kà*  
 2PL TOP all DED 2PL sleep:IMPER down  
*mùkàdkádǎŋ sùlúf sùlúf*  
 upside down two two  
 ‘The largest of the frogs said, “You all lie down on your backs in pairs”’

In some cases, it is not possible to say whether low tone *kà* is the deictic locative marker or the affected marker occurring after a high tone morpheme:

- (60) *kwákwáy zá mbíŋ kám k̀ì*  
 hyena COMP ANAPH TOP meat  
*tìkìniŋ má nzà hín k̀à*  
 GEN:2PL SUBJ stay you here  
 ‘The hyena said, “If it is like that, your meat should remain with you.”’

If the remote marker *mà* is used, the goal-oriented marker must be added to the verb. The form *mà* indicates a location other than the place

of speech or a place not within visual range:

- (61) *hí skàm-á ǰà zá mà vù*  
 2PL buy-GO cow EE there Q  
 ‘Will you buy a cow there?’

The form without a locative deictic is unspecified for place:

- (62) *hí skàm ǰà zá vù*  
 2PL buy cow EE Q  
 ‘Will you buy a cow?’

The antecedent of the locative *mà* may be a place previously mentioned in discourse, or whose existence can be easily deduced from the preceding discourse:

- (63) *ndà ǰàgám syì m̀l á m̀l-á-ŋ*  
 go talk COM hit 3SG hit-GO-3SG  
*tá ñd̀ t̀t̀ mà bá syì*  
 3PL strike 3PL there again COM  
 ‘They talked to [to the stick]. It started beating them over there again.’

The form *ngíd* indicates an unspecified location different from the place of speech, ‘somewhere’, and ‘someplace’. Because of the meaning of this form, it cannot be used with *m̀* or *k̀* or with *cín* or *hín*. The adverb may be preceded by the locative predicator *á* but not by the preposition *n* (elicited examples):

- (64) *s̀ dī (á) ngíd*  
 1SG put PRED somewhere  
 ‘I put it somewhere.’
- (65) *s̀ k̀ dī (á) ngíd*  
 1SG INF put PRED somewhere  
 ‘I put it somewhere.’

## 5. Prepositional form of pronouns

After the locative predicator *á*, pronouns occur in the genitive form, i.e. the form that incorporates the genitive marker *tá* (*t́* in phrase-internal

position).

- (66) *á nà̀n* or *á tá nà̀n* ‘for me’ (in phrase-internal position it becomes *á tán*)  
*á t̀ukón*  
*á ng̀èn*  
*á t̀ù-m̀ù* (1DU INCL)  
*á t̀òkòn* (1PL INCL)  
*á t̀inén*  
*á t̀ikìnéŋ* (phrase internal *á t̀ikìní*)  
*á t̀àtán*

- (67) *ngwáy*                      *á*                      *wàží*                      *t̀uk-ỳi*  
‘say’                              PRED                      children                      2SG-PL  
*dáy*    *dáy*    *á*            *tán*            *fíš*  
much    much    PRED 1SG    small  
‘Say, for your children it is a lot, for me it is little’

- (68) *màllú*                      *zá*            *á*            *tán*                      *wérèh-nè*  
teacher                      COMP PRED GEN:1SG                      trick-1SG  
*dáhà*  
exist  
‘The teacher said, “As for me, I have my means.”’

## 6. Preposition *ká*

Preposition *ká* is a directional marker of adjuncts, coding location or movement inside a contained space. It is thus a spatial specifier. The spatial specifier *ká* precedes the locative predicator *á*. This phenomenon is interesting in view of the fact that in many Chadic languages (Mupun, Frajzyngier 1993, Hausa, Newman 2000) spatial specifiers occur after locative prepositions. The fact that in Mina the spatial specifier occurs before the form *á* provides another argument against *á* being a preposition. Here are examples coding the stative locative, in this case the location where the event takes place:

- (69) *káf*                      *í*            *yá*            *í*            *yá-há-w*                      *̀bàt*  
morning                      3PL            call            3PL            call-GO-3SG                      take  
*í*            *̀bàt*            *zá*            *dzán*            *ká*            *á*            *biŋ*  
3PL    take    EE            find    PREP    PRED    room  
‘In the morning, they called him and locked him up in the room’

If the verb is locative and the noun is not locative, i.e. requires the preposition *n*, the spatial specifier *ká* occurs before the preposition *n*:

- (70) *nd-á*            *náz*    *á*            *náz*    *ká*            *nà*            *láy*  
 go-GO            throw 3SG    throw POS    PREP    place  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘He went and threw it into its place [in the bag].’

The preposition *ká* also occurs before the preposition *n*:

- (71) *lám*    *bíŋ*    *ǵá*            *hámás nd-á*            *hàǵ*    *ká*  
 build house cut straw go-GO            thatch POS  
*wán*    *ká*            *nà*            *máŋ*  
 lie    inside PREP L.ANAPH  
 ‘(He) . . . built a house, cut straw, thatched the roof, and lay down inside it.’

If both the verb and the noun are inherently locative, the preposition *ká* is the only preposition used if movement into is involved:

- (72) *èe,*            *á*            *nà*            *mbà*    *té*            *gwidíŋ*            *nàz*  
 ah,            3SG            PREP child GEN one            put  
*ká*            *jíḃ*  
 in            hole  
 ‘Then she threw one child into the hole.’

The preposition *ká* is also used for constructions coding the change of X into Y:

- (73) *mà*            *mbàd-yí*            *ká*            *ngùl*  
 REL transform-STAT            into man  
 ‘He changed into a man.’
- (74) *gùkúḃ* *mà*            *mbàd-yí*            *ká*            *fálfálwáḃà*  
 larva REL turn-STAT            into butterfly  
 ‘The larva has changed into a butterfly.’

- (75) *kwáykwáy-yiì nákà fú mà mbàd-yí*  
 hyena-PL REM all (F.) REL transform-STAT  
*ká wír žídèp áb tèbéŋ-yiì nákáhà*  
 PREP gravy only ASSC granary-PL REM  
 ‘The hyenas became [meat for] her gravy, and the granaries [be-  
 long to her].’
- (76) *báy ɓàt zó ngèn déb ká idá*  
 chief get EE 3SG carry POS home  
 ‘The chief took it [the stick] and carried it home.’

## 7. Preposition *mbéh*

The preposition *mbéh* (*mbé* in phrase-internal position) means “close to”. It can occur alone or be followed by locative deictics:

- (77) *dī mbéh*  
 put close  
 ‘Put it nearby.’

The presence of a deictic following *mbéh* indicates that *mbéh* is a preposition rather than an adverb:

- (78a) *dī mbé mèhín*  
 put close DEM  
 ‘Put it near by there.’
- (78b) *sà mbé mèhín*  
 1SG close DEM  
 ‘I am nearby.’

The preposition *mbéh* derives from the verb of the same form which functions as auxiliary:

- (79) *sà mbé kà ndáv-áhà*  
 1SG approach INF fall-GO  
 ‘I almost fell down.’



## 8. Preposition *í*

The preposition *í* means “above” or “behind” but excludes contact between the object and the locative reference point. Like other spatial specifiers, this preposition is used regardless of the locative features of nouns and verbs:

- (80) *mótà tá wàǰáf fir í tàlàn tókón*  
 motor GEN sky fly above head 1PL  
 ‘An airplane flew above us.’

- (81) *sà kà dí í ngíd*  
 1SG INF put above somewhere  
 ‘I put it over there.’

## 9. Coding the locative source

The direction “from” is coded by verbs of movement and the marker *zà*, which follows a locative complement:

- (82) *báy zá gár kà zà*  
 chief COMP leave here EE  
 ‘The chief said, “Get out of here!”’

- (83) *tsú ngàη màrbák zà*  
 went 3SG.POSS Marbak EE  
 ‘He left Marbak.’ (cannot be said in Marbak)

- (84) *tsú ngàη kà zà*  
 went 3SG.POSS here EE  
 ‘He left from here.’

Note that the adverb *kà* ‘here’ keeps its vowel in phrase-internal position. Unlike the point-of-view of subject marker it may not be reduced to *kà* in phrase-internal position.

- (85) *tsú ngàη mà zà*  
 went 3SG.POSS there EE  
 ‘He left from there.’ (either deictic or anaphoric)

If the noun is not inherently locative, it is made so by the preposition *nà*:

- (86) *séy ábà nd-á ngàŋ nà yàm zá*  
 so ASSC go-GO 3SG PREP water EE  
 ‘Then, he came out of the water.’

- (87) *séy b̀at b́akátàr d̄er tàlàn*  
 so take bag balance head  
*kà d̄iy-á ngàŋ zá*  
 INF put-GO 3SG EE  
 ‘He took the bag, balanced it on his head, and started to return.’

If the main verb is in the perfect aspect, the locative noun phrase must be preceded by the locative predicator *á*:

- (88) *m̀ ndá-y ngàŋ á màrbák zá*  
 REL return-STAT 3SG PRED Marbak EE  
 ‘He has returned from Marbak.’

The use of the auxiliary *za* in clause final position to code the source of movement provides a piece of evidence for the hypothesis that *za* derives from a verb ‘to be’, and even ‘to be at a place’. In the West Chadic language Mupun, the source of movement is coded by the verb ‘to be’ following the noun phrase expressing the source (Frajzyngier 1993). Although the two verbs are not cognates, the use of the same construction, which is exceptional in both languages, provides an argument that the constructions emerged through a similar grammaticalization process. Hence, the argument that the markers following the source noun phrase have the same meaning.

## 10. Preposition *g̀dán* ‘under’

The form *g̀dán* or *k̀dán* ‘under’ has two functions. One is to narrow down the location of the object or subject with respect to the head of the locative phrase. Such markers narrow the spatial orientation to inside, outside, on the side, and so on, of a locative center. These forms are also locative prepositions because they cannot co-occur with the preposition *nà*. The structure of the locative phrase with these forms is as follows: (*á*)-*g̀dán*-Noun:

- (89) *à nzá kàdáy páy wàcín*  
 3SG be under tree that  
 'He was under that tree but he is here now.'

The use of the preposition *n* before the preposition *kàdáy* makes the sentence ungrammatical:

- (90) \**à nzá ná gèdáy páy wàcín*  
 3SG be PREP under tree that  
 'He was under that tree.'

### 11. Preposition *ndòŋ* 'bottom, inside'

The notion 'inside' is coded by the marker *ndòŋ* 'bottom, inside':

- (91) *kù wàŋ-á zà á ndòŋ bíŋ*  
 INF sleep-GO EE PRED inside house  
 'He slept in the house.'

### 12. Prepositions *dùwáŋ* 'back' and *kàbám*, 'in front'

The noun *dùwáŋ* 'back' can serve as a preposition meaning 'behind'. The decision on whether something is 'in front' or 'behind' is different for objects and people. Objects in front of the speaker are *á kàbám*, 'in front' (*kàbám* "front part" of anything). Objects behind those objects are *á dùwáŋ* 'in back' (*dùwáŋ* 'back', starting at the root of the neck going to the waist). If people are involved, then the determining factor is their face from the point of view of the second participant. If the two persons are facing each other, they are *á kàbám* 'in front' of each other. If one person is facing the back of another than this person is *á dùwáŋ* 'in back' of the other person.

- (92) *kwíl kàbám kàdá*  
 kuli in front kida  
 'the kuli (a small clay pot) is in front of the kida ( a larger pot for water).'
- (93) *kàdá dùwáŋ kwílí*  
 kida behind kuli  
 'the kida is behind the kuli.'

For persons facing each other one would say:

- (94) *kònáy á kàbám kàdú*  
 Konay PRED front Kadu  
 ‘Konay is in front of Kadu.’

For persons facing somebody’s back:

- (95) *kàdúm dùwáy kónàý*  
 Kadum back Konay  
 ‘Kadum is behind Konay.’

The noun *tàlàŋ* ‘head’ is a spatial specifier for ‘surface, just above’:

- (96) *ɓək a ɓəka taləŋ yəm*  
 pour 3SG pour head water  
 ‘He threw it on the surface of the water.’ (written sources)

### 13. [+human] nouns as locatives and the preposition *r*

A [+human] noun cannot serve as a locative argument or adjunct without an additional coding means. One of the means is the use of another head noun of which the [+human] noun is a modifier. The nouns that serve as heads of locative expressions with a human goal are *wùtá* ‘home’ and *brà* ‘side’:

- (97) *tsú wùtá cín*  
 went home his father  
 ‘He went to his father.’  
*í tsú tàtàŋ á wíá tàtàŋ*  
 3PL went 3PL PRED village GEN:3PL  
 ‘They departed to their place.’

The evidence that locative marker *bàr*, phrase internal form of the noun *brà*, has indeed the meaning ‘side’, is provided by its use with inanimate nouns:

- (98) *sə ná nzá á bàr mìsyòŋ*  
 1SG HAB stay PRED side mission  
 ‘I stay at the mission.’ (speaker A)

- (99) *sà nzá á bàr bín kùlí*  
 1SG stay PRED side house deity  
 ‘I stay at the mission.’ (speaker B)<sup>4</sup>

Here is an example of the use of this noun as a locative marker with human locative complements:

- (100) *áskà tsú ngàṅ bàr wàží*  
 actually went 3SG side children  
 ‘But actually she went to be with her children.’

Another means of coding a [+human] noun as a locative argument or adjunct is through the preposition *r*:

- (101) *sey kwaikway til a rə kwayaṅ*  
 so hyena go PRED PREP squirrel  
 ‘So, Hyena went to Squirrel.’ (written sources)

- (102) *tán á nd-á r báy tàṅ*  
 go 3SG go-GO PREP chief DED  
 ‘He went to the chief’s.’

The preposition *r* is often realized as [rə] when syllabification constraints require it, i.e. when a disallowed consonant cluster would otherwise emerge:

- (103) *séy wàl wà til rə ngàṅ*  
 so woman DEM leave PREP 3SG  
 ‘So the woman went to him.’

- (104) *séy kwáykwáy til rə kwáyàṅ*  
 so hyena leave PREP squirrel  
 ‘So the hyena went to the squirrel.’

---

4. This is an interesting formation given the fact that the term *kùlí* refers to ancestral spirits, clay pots used in the cult of ancestral spirits, but also to anything that is under the protection of an ancestral spirit. The term may well have originated as meaning ‘pot’, because even today it also refers to the pot used for keeping beer.

- (105) *á r ngámbù ngàn*  
 3SG PREP friend 3SG  
 'He is at his friend's.'

The preposition *r* and the lexical means can substitute for each other:

- (106) *sà ndà rà céh*  
 1SG go PREP your father  
 'I am going to your father.'
- (107) *sà ndà wùtá céh [sàndú tɛ cé]*  
 1SG go house your father  
 'I am going to your father.'

The preposition [*r*] may be preceded by the locative predicator *á*:

- (108) *sà skàm-á (á) r mbà má-tsà*  
 1SG buy-GO PRED PREP son mother-2SG  
 (zà)  
 EE  
 'I bought it from your younger brother.'
- (109) *á skàm-á ɓà á r bitsi*  
 3SG buy-GO cow PRED PREP Bitsi  
 'He bought a cow at Bitsi's.'
- (110) *à ní nzà á r-nàŋ*  
 3SG HAB stay PRED PREP-1SG  
 'He lives at my place.' (said outside of the speaker's place)

#### 14. From "hand" to preposition: the grammaticalization of *r*

Although the predicator *á* and the preposition *nà* have cognates in closely and remotely related Chadic languages, the preposition *r* does not (cf. Frajzyngier 1987c). One of the possible sources of the preposition *r* is the lexeme *rà* 'hand'. Vowel reduction from *rà* to *r* is predictable in phrase-internal position. The word *rà* 'hand' in phrase-internal position is also reduced to *r*:

- (111) *ná*                    *ḵàgám*                    *mà*    *tá*    *r*                    *tá*  
 1PL.EXCL    talk                    REL    GEN    hand    GEN  
*kásàmà*  
 Kasuma  
 'We talked about the hand of Kasuma.'

- (112) *nòk*                    *ká*    *lù*                    *nə/\*á*  
 1PL.INCL    INF    talk                    PREP/PRED  
*r-t*                    *kásàmà*  
 hand-GEN    Kasuma  
 'We talked about the hand of Kasuma.'

The absence of the genitive marker in the locative construction is also a predictable characteristic of the behavior of genitive constructions in locative complements.

### 15. Direction toward the indirect object: the auxiliary *rá*

An interesting characteristic of the Mina grammatical system is that it has a special category, movement toward the indirect object. The movement toward the indirect object is coded through the auxiliary *rá*, glossed as DAT.OR for "dative orientation" occurring at the end of the verbal phrase. Although the marker has the same segmental structure as the dependent habitual marker and it occupies the same syntactic position, it is not a dependent habitual marker because it has a high tone (dependent habitual has a polar tone) and it co-occurs with the future tense marker:

- (113) *à*    *n*                    *ká*                    *skàm-á-h*                    *ndir*                    *rá*  
 3SG    PREP    INF    buy-GO-2SG    sorghum                    DAT.OR  
 'He will buy sorghum for you and will bring it to you (here or at another place).'
- (114) *à*    *n*                    *ká*                    *skàm-á-ŋ*                    *ndir*                    *rá*  
 3SG    PREP    INF    buy-GO-3SG    sorghum                    DAT.OR  
 'He will buy sorghum for him and will bring it to him.'
- (115) *à*    *n*                    *ká*                    *skàm-á-k*                    *ndir*                    *rá*  
 3SG    PREP    INF    buy-GO-1SG    sorghum                    DAT.OR  
 'He will buy sorghum for me and bring it to me.'

A clause without the auxiliary *rá* does not imply movement toward

the indirect object:

- (116) *à n ká skàm-á-k ndrì*  
 3SG PREP INF buy-GO-3SG sorghum  
 ‘He will buy sorghum for me.’

If there is no overt dative marker, the marker *rá* indicates that the event is for the benefit of the subject or object and that there is subsequent movement toward the beneficiary’s place:

- (117) *à n ká skàm ndir rá*  
 3SG PREP INF buy sorghum DAT.OR  
 ‘He will buy sorghum for himself and go with it.’

- (118) *báy zá hí nd-á tìkìn ábà mà*  
 chief COMP 2PL go-GO 2PL ASSC mouth  
*tskò wàl-yîi déb-é-η wùdá rá*  
 evening woman-PL take-GO-3SG food DAT.OR  
 ‘The chief said, “Return in the evening. The women will bring him food.”’

The directional function of *rá* explains why it cannot be used with intransitive predicates, because there is no dative argument:

- (119) *\*à n-ká wán rá*  
 3SG PREP-INF wash DAT.OR  
 for ‘he will wash and . . .’

## 16. Conclusions

There are three fundamental means in the coding of locative arguments and adjuncts. If the verb is inherently locative, i.e. a directional verb of movement, or a locative stative verb, and the noun is inherently locative, the locative complement is marked only by the position following the verb. If the predicate is not a directional verb of movement or a locative stative verb, the locative predication is marked by the locative predicator *á*. If the noun is not inherently locative, such a noun must be marked by the preposition *nà*. Human arguments, if they are to occur as locative complements, are marked by the preposition *r*, most likely derived from the lexeme *rà* ‘hand’.

Mina has also grammaticalized a category of the movement toward a



dative argument. This category is coded by the form *rá* following the object. The dative orientation marker provides additional evidence for the existence of the category “dative”.



## Chapter 8

### Adjuncts

#### 1. Introduction

The category “adjunct” includes functions that can be added to any clause, regardless of the inherent properties of the verb. Inherent adjuncts are added without any prepositions. The non-inherent adjuncts can be added only by one or more prepositions. The present chapter describes all adjuncts other than locatives, which have been described in the previous chapter.

#### 2. The topical adjunct

The topical adjunct is a topic of a verb of saying. This adjunct is coded by the noun *tàlàŋ* ‘head’ preceding a noun that is the topic of a verb of saying. The topical adjunct occurs after the end-of-event marker, if any:

- (1) *nòk ká lù zà tàlàŋ dòk*  
1PL INF talk EE head horse  
‘We talked about a horse.’

The topical phrase with *tàlàŋ* may also be preceded by the locative predicator *á*. When this is the case, the auxiliary *za* does not occur:

- (2) *nòk ká lù á tàlàŋ kàsəmà*  
1PL INF talk PRED head Kasuma  
‘We talked about Kasuma.’

The form *tàlàŋ* is an obligatory component of the topical phrase; its omission results in an ungrammatical expression:

- (3) \**nòk ká lù á kásàmà*  
 1PL INF talk PRED Kasuma  
 for 'We talked about Kasuma'
- (4) \**nók ká ǵàgám á dòk*  
 1PL INF talk PRED horse  
 for 'we talked about a horse.'

If another part of the body is used, *tàlàṅ* 'head' is not used, but the topic is then the specific part of the body. Moreover, the part of the body occurs in the possessive construction, i.e., it is followed by the genitive marker *tá*, and it must be preceded by the preposition *nà*:

- (5) *nók ká lù nà/\*á ngàz tá dòk*  
 1PL INF talk PREP/PRED leg GEN horse  
 'We're going to talk about the leg of the horse.'

The locative predicator *á* may be combined with the preposition *nà* to code topical case:

- (6) *nók ká lù á nà ngàz tá dòk*  
 1PL INF talk PRED PREP leg GEN horse  
 'We're going to talk about the leg of the horse.'

### 3. The associative phrase

The associative phrase is a separate phrasal category, i.e., it is not a part of the verb phrase. The evidence for this claim is provided by the fact that final-vowel-deletion phenomena do not operate in front of the associative phrase. The associative phrase is marked by the preposition *b(à)*. The form without the singular-participant-coding vowel *á* occurs when the first component of the associative construction is a pronoun, a demonstrative, or a definite marker:

- (7) *sà bà ñkù ntá*  
 1SG ASSC goat one  
 'I have one goat.'

- (8) *skú syì ká zàm skàŋ-yù wá b̀̀ mí*  
 NEG COM INF eat thing-PL DEM ASSC what  
 ‘Or else what to eat those things with?’ (tone on *wá* is high because it has ‘absorbed’ the vowel with its high tone from the associative preposition *áb̀̀*)
- (9) *k̀̀ pék mì jíp náka b̀̀ mbéŋ*  
 INF cover mouth hole REM ASSC it  
 ‘to cover the entrance hole with it’

The associative preposition has the third-person singular pronoun *a* when (a) there is no preceding noun; or (b) when the preceding noun phrase is singular. The associative preposition is used to code an additional participant in the event, the commitative:

- (10) *ii n ká zàm áb̀̀ báy màtázàngár*  
 3PL PREP INF eat ASSC chief lizard  
*á ǹ̀ láy mà ntá*  
 PRED PREP place REL one  
 ‘They will eat with the large red headed lizard in the same place.’

Recall from Chapter 3 on noun phrase structure that subjects or more precisely the controlling co-participant has the plural associative preposition. When the second participant is non-subject or non-controlling, the singular preposition is used:

- (11) *tséy bákà s̀̀ n ká wàŋ*  
 so today 1SG PREP INF sleep  
*áb̀̀ wál t̀̀k wàcín*  
 ASSC wife 2SG DEM  
 ‘Today I will sleep with your wife.’

The associative marker codes the role of the noun phrase that follows it rather than being primarily a marker of the relationship between two noun phrases:

- (12) *dál mélèz áb̀̀ kilif*  
 do seasoning ASSC fish  
 ‘He made traditional seasoning with fish . . . ‘

- (13) *má sà yàm ábà mbí tàŋ*  
 SUBJ drink water ASSC ANAPH DED  
 ‘He should drink water with that.’

The associative preposition is also used to code clause-initial adverbial phrases of time, when the adverb is an inherent noun. In this function, the associative has the form *áb*. The associative phrase coding adjuncts is never coded by the plural form *í-b*.

- (14) *áb ðiwáŋ mbéŋ*  
 ASSC back ANAPH  
 ‘afterwards’

The associative preposition may also have a verbal complement. Such a complement consists of the verb and its object, if any:

- (15) *káyà ðiyà wàllà-tà bà dà tàŋ*  
 INTERJ (F.) start help-3PL ASSC cook DED  
 ‘She started to help them cook.’

#### 4. Associative through adverbial expressions

There is also another way of coding an associative function, viz. through adverbs corresponding to ‘together’. Mina has two forms that distinguish number in the associative function. The adverb *gràb* is the associative for a singular co-participant. The adverb *lánlány* is used for plural co-participants. The associative adverbs follow the second component of the construction. The second co-participant is always represented through plural pronouns, the first-person plural, second-person, and third-person plural. The calculus involved in this representation is as follows: Any person plus first person = first-person plural. The form of the adverb codes the number of the second co-participant:

- (16) *á nzà nù lúmò nà gràb*  
 3SG be PREP market 1PL.EXCL together  
 ‘He was at the market with me.’
- (17) *á nzà nù lúmò nòk lánlány*  
 3SG be PREP market 1PL.INCL together  
 ‘He was at the market with us.’

Any person plus second person is coded by second-person plural. Whether the second person is singular or plural is coded by the form of the adverbs:

(18) *à nzá nù lúmò hí gràb*  
 3SG be PREP market 2PL together  
 'He was at the market with you (sg).'

(19) *à nzá nù lúmò hì lánlán*  
 3SG be PREP market 2PL together  
 'He was at the market with you (pl).'

We see here the interaction of various systems at work. If it were not for the form of the associative adverbs, there would not be a distinction between the singular and plural co-participants in the first and second person.

For a second co-participant that is third person, the functional domains are different. If the first co-participant is controlling the event, any person plus the third-person singular is coded by the third-person singular pronoun:

(20) *tsú n lúmò á gràb*  
 went PREP market 3SG together  
 'He went to the market with her/him.'

If the two participants have equal status, the second co-participant is coded by the third person plural pronoun *ii*, and the singular associative adverb *gràb*:

(21) *kó wàl ngàn ndà váy*  
 even wife 3SG go where  
*ii gràb dàp*  
 3PL together always  
 'No matter where his wife goes, he is always with her.'

(22) *à nd-á á idá zèbèrà à n ká*  
 3SG go-GO PRED house follow 3SG PREP INF  
*dè wàdá í gràb dàp*  
 prepare food 3PL together always  
 'When she returns home, and when she cooks he is always with her.'

Any person plus third-person plural is coded by the third-person plural pronoun:

- (23) *à nzá nù lúmò tətá lánlán*  
 3SG was PREP market 3PL together  
 ‘He was at the market with them.’

The third person singular pronoun coding the second co-participant can be omitted:

- (24) *kà dál-á gəzəd zá gráb*  
 INF do-GO work EE together  
 ‘He worked with him/her together.’

The first person dual has the singular associative adverb:

- (25) *tséy ná η-ká wən bákà ná gráb*  
 so 1DU PREP-INF sleep today DU together  
 ‘So we will sleep together today.’

The notion of disassociation is coded by the adverb *párpár* ‘separately’:

- (26) *dáli í-bə dáwáy í tsù zà á*  
 Dali PL-ASSC Daway 3PL went EE PRED  
*nə lúmò párpár*  
 PREP market separately  
 ‘Dali and Daway went to the market separately.’

## 5. Adverbs *yà* and *yám* ‘also’

The function of the adverb *yà* is to indicate that the proposition that was true with respect to some preceding clause is also true for the clause in which *yà* occurs. The adverb *yà* at the end codes identity of objects.

- (27) *ngùlày dàmà trùwáy dàmà yà/\*yám*  
 red sorghum good yellow sorghum good also  
 ‘Red sorghum is good and yellow sorghum is also good.’



- (28) *dirif tə ʒek dāha ya*  
 song GEN seed exist also  
 'There is also a song of seeds.' (written sources)

The adverb *yà* is not a conjunction, as it cannot be used just to conjoin the elements of a sentence or proposition.

- (29) *wàs ngùlày wàcín trùwáy*  
 this red sorghum this white sorghum  
*wà bá viyíd \*yà*  
 and still millet  
 'This one is red sorghum, this one is white sorghum, and this one is millet.'

The adverb *yà* also codes an event in a series of other events. In such usage, *yà* codes the beginning of a new event. The following utterance follows an utterance in which the subject's other activities were described:

- (30) *sey bət gadət ya*  
 so take arrow also  
 'Then he also took an arrow, . . .' (written sources)

The adverb *yám* 'also' occurs after its scope. It signifies that the truth of the proposition in its scope obtains as well as the truth of some preceding proposition:

- (31) *sə bì dām yám*  
 1SG chief of war bush also  
 'I am also a member of the warrior clan.'

If the scope is fronted for topicalization, the marker *yám* is also fronted, following its scope:

- (32) *hìdì mindí yám á káwù*  
 man other also 3SG take care (F.)  
*kùzák zá vù*  
 maternal uncle EE Q  
 'The other person, also, can he take care of the maternal uncle?'

The marker *yám* may occur after the noun phrase or at the end of the clause. The scope of the adverb is whatever precedes it:

- (33) *tìtí yám gáw-yî*  
 3PL also hunter -PL  
 ‘As for them also, they are hunters.’
- (34) *títíy gáw-yîyám*  
 3PL hunter -PL also  
 ‘They are also hunters.’
- (35) *sà mbál yàm yám*  
 1SG like water also  
 ‘I also like water.’
- (36) *wàs hàz tá kùjì tá ngíd wà*  
 this dog GEN Kuji GEN there DEM  
*hàz tá bitsì yám*  
 dog GEN Bitsi also  
 ‘That’s Kuji’s dog and the one over there is Bici’s dog.’
- (37) *mìnjé hìdì áb hídè ngàn yám*  
 now man ASSC compound 3SG also  
 ‘Let’s say that a man has his own compound also’ (like everybody else).

The marker *yám* has a number of sentential and discourse functions that are described elsewhere in the grammar.

## 6. Adverbs of time

Time adverbs can be lexical or phrasal. Lexical time adverbs are not additionally coded by a morphological marker. Inherently temporal adverbs may occur in clause-final or clause-initial position. Adverbs of time may be followed by demonstratives. In such a case, their final vowels are deleted. Thus, the adverb *bákàhà* ‘today’ is reduced to [bákà]:

- (38) *kóo hà báy ká vâl-á-ŋ*  
 even 2SG think INF give-GO-3SG  
*háǵàm túk nà hìdì bákà wàcìŋ*  
 daughter 2SG PREP man today DEM  
 ‘Even if you are planning to give your daughter away to some-  
 one today . . .’

Compare the adverb in clause-initial position. Although there is a noun in the topicalization position, the adverb occurs right after the topicalized object:

- (39) *kwík kwàlkwàl-yûi bákàhà ká mál tà*  
 kwík leper-PL today INF seize 3PL  
*pát í n kà rèh-é í*  
 tomorrow 3PL PREP INF escape-GO PREP  
*tìkì nók tìyú*  
 where 1PL.INCL see:3SG  
 ‘Lepers. Today we will catch them. Tomorrow we will see from  
 where they will escape.’

- (40) *túm hà tspádâpù ní yàm dáp*  
 always 2SG remain crouched PREP water only  
 ‘You always remain crouched in one place in the water.’

There are two prepositions used in the coding of adverbial phrases of time: the associative preposition with third-person singular marker *áb*, the locative preposition *nà* and the locative predicator *á*. Adverbial phrases marked by prepositions may occur at the beginning or at the end of the clause. The choice of preposition depends on the head of the adverbial phrase. The associative preposition *áb* is used with the following heads: *nzád* ‘night’; *píc* ‘sun’; names of seasons, e.g. *kràm* ‘dry season’; and some times of day, e.g. *ábà tskóh* ‘evening’ and *áb káfâ* ‘in the morning’:

- (41) *háǵàm ngàn zá á kàcìŋ*  
 daughter 3SG COMP PRED here  
*í tá mívà rà bà nzád skù*  
 3PL defecate feces D.HABASSC night NEG  
 ‘Her daughter said, “Here one does not defecate at night.”’

- (42) *sey abə bici i kə du wuda*  
 so ASSC sun 3PL INF prepare food  
*za*  
 EE

‘Then, at the sunrise, when they prepared the food . . .’

- (43) *kàsəmà á ní skəm ndrì*  
 Kasuma 3SG HAB buy sorghum  
*ábə/\*á krəm*  
 ASSC/PRED dry season

‘Kasuma buys sorghum during dry season.’

- (44) *ábə bíts/nzádũ/ [ábènzádù]*  
 ‘during the day/night’

The word *nzádũ* ‘night’ cannot occur with the preposition *nə* or the predicator *á*:

- (45) *\*án nzádũ*  
 ‘at night’

*\*á nzádũ*  
 for ‘at night’

The locative predicator *á* precedes words like *pát* ‘next day’. Other prepositions may not occur with this noun:

- (47) *á pát*  
 PRED next day  
 ‘tomorrow’

*\*ábə pát*  
 ASSC next day  
 for ‘tomorrow’

*\*ánə pát*  
 PREP next day  
 for ‘tomorrow’

The combination *á pát* has the meaning ‘future’:

- (48) *à zá á pát mbáŋ zídèp wàl*  
 3SG COMP PRED tomorrow cut now woman  
*ngàn à zá sèn mbál ngùl*  
 3SG 3SG COMP 1SG like husband  
*wà skù*  
 DEM NEG  
 ‘He said, “From now on, I will not do it.” His wife said, “I don’t like this husband.”’
- (49) *mábàr zá mbúdàp názá-k kà*  
 lion COMP sorry leave-1SG POS  
*á pát mbáŋ sá gèr kà dál*  
 PRED next day break 1SG want INF do  
*yàw ábà hà skù*  
 contempt ASSC 2SG NEG  
 ‘The lion said, “Excuse me, from now on I won’t give you any problems.”’
- (50) *há gèr kà dál á pát skù*  
 2SG want INF do PRED next day NEG  
 ‘In the future, don’t do it.’

The preposition *n* occurs with the noun *trá* ‘a unit of time, month’:

- (51) *án tár láy tá ǵàk*  
 PREP month time GEN sow  
 ‘during the time of sowing’
- (52) *\*á/ábà tár láy tá ǵàk*  
 PRED/ASSC month time GEN sow  
 ‘during the time of sowing’

## 7. Adverbs of reason

Adverbial phrases of reason occur in clause final position. The adverbial phrase is marked by the preposition *ká* ‘in’. The benefactive argument can be added to clauses with intransitive verbs. The pronominal arguments are coded by possessive pronouns preceded by the preposition *tá*:

- (53) *dáwày nd-á ká tá tánàŋ*  
 Daway go-GO PREP GEN 1SG  
 'Daway came here for me, because of me, thanks to me'
- (54) *bíci ǰàgám ábà báy ká tá tükóŋ*  
 Bitsi talk ASSC chief PREP GEN 2SG  
 'Bitsi talked to the chief about you/for you'

The preposition *ká* also codes the notion of using X for Y:

- (55) *kwáyàŋ bát gádzàmbàl ngàn bət náká*  
 squirrel take guitar 3SG take REM  
*ká kàp-á nd-à bát dùwáŋ*  
 INF break-GO go-GO take back  
*gàn díyà zà ngàn ká kàdǎm ábà*  
 3SG put EE 3SG PREP calabash ASSC  
*ndá ngàn á wtá*  
 go:GO 3SG PRED house  
 'Squirrel took his guitar, the one that he broke, put it on his back as his calabash, then he returned home.'

## 8. Adverbs of manner

Adverbs of manner can be derived from any lexical category through reduplication. Reduplicated lexical items are not preceded by any prepositions. Thus, from the noun *cìdǎ* 'pile' the reduplication derives the adverb *cìdǎ cìdǎ cìdǎ cìdǎ* 'in piles':

- (56) *wirnjìk díy-à bək-áhà*  
 ash put-GO pour-GO  
*cìdǎ cìdǎ cìdǎ cìdǎ á kàtǎf*  
 pile pile pile pile PRED road  
 'Ash was pouring out of the shoe in small piles on the road.'

The form *dàp* occurs only in clause-final position. Its functional range involves limitation of an argument, be it subject or object, or limitation of the event to the one explicitly stated in the clause. In the present grammar it is variously glossed as 'only', 'just', 'like that':

- (57) *ndà* *dīy-á* *i* *dī* *ǰámbáy* *wà*  
 go put-GO 3PL put stick DEM  
*ká* *n* *fádà* *tà* *dàp*  
 in PREP court (F.) DED like that  
 'They went and put the stick in the court of the chief.'

The adverb *tátà* 'alone' is derived from the numeral *ntá* 'one' (note the deletion of initial nasal in the word-internal position):

- (58) *sà* *tátà* 'I alone'  
*hà* *tátà* 'you alone'

The adverb *tátà* can also be used with plural pronouns, which is the evidence that *tátà* has already grammaticalized to become an adverb:

- (59) *à* *ǰá* *káy* *à* *fīŋ* *nám* *tátà*  
 3SG say INTERJ (F.) 3SG remain 1DU only  
 'He said, "Look, only the two of us remain."'

The adverb *bìbìc-bìbìc* 'all day' is derived from the noun *bìbìc* 'day'. Adverbial reduplication from bisyllabic sources is accompanied by the reduction of the last vowel in the first reduplicated part: *nzádû* 'night' → *nzádnzádû* 'all night'. Similarly one can derive adverbs from adjectives, e.g. from *dámà* 'good' one can derive an adverb *dámámà* 'well'; from *mbéh* 'close', *mbéhmbéh* 'recently':

- (60) *mà* *lìm* *gwáǰ* *mbéhmbéh* *wà* *ví*  
 REL see elephant now DEM who  
 'Who just saw an elephant?'

## 9. The scope of the adverb *tátà* 'alone, only'

The adverb *tátà* narrows the scope of the preceding noun by excluding all other potential arguments. If the only argument in the clause is the subject, and if the subject is to be in the scope of the adverb, the anaphor *mbí* must be used before the adverb:

- (61) *ngàz* *bù* *mbí* *tátà*  
 leg turn ANAPH one-one  
 'The wheel turned itself.'

- (62) *dàvər tər̀làn m̀bí t̀t̀t̀*  
 hoe turn ANAPH one-one  
 ‘The hoe turned itself.’

If the object is in the scope of the adverb, the adverb is not preceded by the anaphor *m̀bí*:

- (63) *s̀ n k̀ bú ng̀z t̀t̀*  
 1SG PREP INF turn wheel one  
 ‘I want to turn the wheel only.’

If the clause has a subject and an object, and if the scope of the adverb is the subject, the anaphor *m̀bí* must be used before the adverb:

- (64) *b̀ts̀ bú ng̀z m̀bí t̀t̀*  
 Bitsi turn wheel ANAPH one  
 ‘Bitsi turned the wheel alone.’

When there is no anaphor *m̀bí* then the scope is the last noun phrase:

- (65) *s̀ n k̀ bú ng̀z s̀ t̀t̀*  
 1SG PREP INF turn wheel 1SG one  
 ‘I want to turn the wheel alone (only me, nobody else).’

## 10. Adding a beneficiary

The adjunct coding the beneficiary is marked by the genitive preposition *t̀*:

- (66) *a-ndə r sku m̀bí m̀*  
 3SG-go D.HAB NEG 3SG ANAPH  
*žeber t̀ t̀k̀*  
 follow GEN 2SG  
 ‘She does not leave in order to follow for you.’

## 11. Adverb *b̀* ‘again’

The adverb *b̀*, ‘again’ can occur at the end or at the beginning of the clause:



- (67a) *ká zàm tá ngàn cík zidèp bà wà*  
 INF eat GEN 3SG full now again DEM  
*há n kà lùw-á-ŋ á vàngáy*  
 2SG PREP INF say-GO-3SG PRED how  
 ‘Given that he has already eaten his fill again, what are you going to tell him?’

- (67b) *áa dàmà wàl wà bà à*  
 ah, good woman DEM again 3SG  
*lùw-á-ŋ tàŋ*  
 say-GO-3SG 3PL  
 ‘‘It’s good,’’ the woman told them again.’

In negative clauses, the adverb acquires a polar meaning, ‘anymore’:

- (68a) *á sán skà bà*  
 3SG know NEG more  
 ‘She does not know anymore!’

- (68b) *wàl wà hàn hàn kà dál vàngáy*  
 woman DEM cry cry INF do how  
*á sán skà bà*  
 3SG know NEG again  
 ‘This woman cried, ‘‘What should I do?’’ She did not know anymore!’’

## 12. Cognate adverbs

The term cognate adverb designates adverbs formed with the same root as the verb. This term is formed on analogy with the term cognate object, where the object is cognate with the verb. The cognate adverb in Mina is formed through the preposition *í* preceding the verbal stem. Such an adverbial phrase follows the verb without an object. The cognate adverb is used to confirm somebody’s presupposition, with an explanation to follow:

- (69) *à zá sà mìsil-é í mìsil*  
 3SG COMP 1SG steal-GO PREP steal  
 ‘He said, ‘‘I stole it’’’ lit. ‘I stole it by stealing’

### 13. Conclusions

There are two types of coding means for adjuncts: lexical, i.e. the item is an inherent adjunct and therefore not additionally marked by a preposition; and phrasal, where the adjunct is marked by a preposition. Two prepositions are used for the coding of adjuncts: the associative preposition *áb* and the locative preposition *n*. In addition, the locative predicator *á* is also used.

Adverbs of manner are derived from other lexical categories through reduplication.

# Chapter 9

## Goal-orientation extension

### 1. Introduction

In African and Chadic linguistics, the term “verbal extensions” has come to designate a wide range of suffixes added to the verb. Mina has just one extension, labeled here ‘goal-orientation extension’, and glossed as GO. This extension is an important element of the grammatical system as it interacts with the coding of argument structure and locative predication.

### 2. The form of the goal orientation extension

The goal orientation extension is coded by the suffix *á* in phrase-internal position and *áhà* in phrase-final position. The change from *áhà* to *á* follows predictable phonological rules, viz. deletion of the final vowel, followed by deletion of the glottal continuant in phrase-internal position. Pronominal objects follow the goal orientation extension:

- (1) *i n kà ták-á-k kà*  
3PL PREP INF forbid-GO-1SG POS  
*kà nd-á á pàt*  
INF go-GO PRED next day  
‘They will forbid me to come tomorrow.’

With a long pause between the clause and the adverbial phrase:

- (2) *í n kà ták-á-k kà*  
 3PL PREP INF forbid-GO-1SG POS  
*kà nd-áhà á pàt*  
 INF go-GO PRED next day  
 ‘They will forbid me to come tomorrow.’

Without the goal orientation extension the verb ends in schwa:

- (3) *í n-kà tàk-á-k kà*  
 3PL PREP-INF forbid-GO-1SG POS  
*kà ndà á pàt*  
 INF go PRED tomorrow  
 ‘They will forbid me to go tomorrow.’

The tone on the suffix *á* is high. If the suffix replaces the vowel of the verb, it carries its own tone, viz. the high tone. Consider the verb *dà* ‘cook’. In phrase-internal position, it is reduced to *d*, and schwa is inserted if required by syllabification. If there is a goal orientation suffix, it assumes the position of the vowel of the verb and it has high rather than low tone. The tone of the goal orientation suffix has no effect on the tone of the infinitive marker *kə*, which, in phrase-initial position, keeps its tone as determined by the underlying tone of the verb:

- (4) *ká dà zá*  
 INF cook EE  
 ‘He cooked it.’ (unspecified place)
- (5) *ká d-á zà*  
 INF cook-GO EE  
 ‘He cooked it.’ (not in the place of speech)
- (6) *ká sà máv zà*  
 INF drink beer EE  
 ‘He drank beer.’ (unspecified place)
- (7) *ká s-á máv zà*  
 INF drink-GO beer EE  
 ‘He drank beer.’ (not here)

If the verb has inherently high tone, the goal orientation extension has high tone as well:

- (8) *kà ts-á láy zà*  
 INF burn-GO field EE  
 'He burned the field.' (not here)

Cf.

- (9) *kà tsá láy zà*  
 INF burn field EE  
 'He burned the field.' (unspecified place)

The vowel of the goal orientation suffix is raised to *e* if the preceding vowel is [+front]:

- (10) *sà zìn-é nà lúm zà*  
 1SG return-GO PREP market EE  
 'I returned from the market.'

### 3. Function of the goal orientation extension

The general function of the goal orientation extension is to indicate that the event happened at a specific place, that the movement is directed toward a specific place. The specific place may be overtly coded by a locative expression, or it may be omitted. The direction involved may be toward the speaker or another deictic center (ventive) or away from the speaker or another deictic center (allative, andative). The possibility of two directions provides the evidence that the function of the marker cannot be either ventive or allative. The scope of the goal orientation extension may be either the subject or the object.

With intransitive verbs, the subject is in the scope of the goal orientation. The goal orientation extension interacts with the inherent meaning of the verb. Thus the verb *nd* means 'depart, go' without the goal orientation extension, and 'arrive' with the goal orientation extension:

- (11) *séy tán á nd-áhà à zá*  
 so go 3SG go-GO 3SG COMP  
 'So he came and said . . .'

- (12) *ván wílkil ká nd-áhà*  
 rain fail INF go-GO  
 'The rain had not yet come.'

- (13) *à nd-á zá vù*  
 3SG go-GO EE Q  
 ‘Will he come?’

Cf.:

- (14) *á ndà zá vù*  
 3SG go-GO EE Q  
 ‘Will he go?’

The deictic center can be the place where the story is unfolding:

- (15) *séy, áb dùwáŋ mbí*  
 then (H.) ASSC back ANAPH  
*lák wát má nd-à-y zá*  
 river REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘And afterwards the river came.’ (Seasonal rivers fill up after the rains.)

If the story is unfolding at the place of speech, the goal orientation extension may have the ventive interpretation. In the following example, the object is expected to move to the place of speech:

- (16) *ndà y-áhà-w*  
 go call-GO-3SG  
 ‘Go call him here.’

Compare the form without the goal orientation extension: The verb does not imply movement of the person to the place of speech.

- (17) *ndà y-ù*  
 go call-3SG  
 ‘Go call him.’

The extension does not have to imply movement toward the place of speech. It may simply indicate that the event occurred at the place that the listener should be able to deduce either from the previous discourse or from general knowledge:

- (18) *séy hidi wàcín á ndà kà tál-áhà*  
 so man DEM 3SG go INF walk-GO  
 ‘Then that man went for a walk.’

Compare the above sentence with the one where the man comes for a walk:

- (19) *séy hidi wàcíŋ á nd-á kà tál*  
 so man DEM 3SG go-GO INF walk  
 ‘Then that man comes for a walk.’

- (20) *mìnjée mbà mà mármár ká nàz-á*  
 now boy REL pasture INF abandon-GO  
*kw-yîi zá nà láy*  
 goat-PL EE PREP field  
 ‘Now the shepherd left the goats in the field.’

In the following fragment, the verb *màr* ‘shepherd’ is used with the goal orientation marker. The verb *ndá* ‘go’ is used without the goal orientation extension:

- (21) *í ndí màr-áhà à zá nà*  
 3PL HAB shepherd-GO 3SG COMP PREP  
*mbà mà tá gwíđín ndá*  
 child REL GEN single go  
*màrà-ha dákàytii wùtá*  
 shepherd-GO others house  
 ‘They have the habit of pasturing somewhere else. He asks one of the children to go and pasture. The others are at home.’

The form without the extension has no implication as to where the event has taken place.

Systematic evidence for the goal orientation function is provided by the constraint that does not allow the use of the goal orientation marker and the proximate demonstratives within the same clause. Such a use would constitute an internal contradiction:

- (22) *\*í nká skàm-á zà ɓà ntá*  
 3PL INF buy-GO EE cow one  
*ábà ñkù ntá á kèčín*  
 ASSC goat one PRED here  
 ‘They bought a cow and a goat here.’

Compare the following sentence, which is grammatical without the goal orientation marker:

- (23) *í nká skàm zà ɓà ntá*  
 3PL INF buy EE cow one  
*ábà ñkù ntá á kèčín*  
 ASSC goat one PRED here  
 'They bought a cow and a goat here.'

With non-movement verbs, the goal orientation indicates that the event happened at a place other than the place of speech:

- (24) *sà nz-á màrbák*  
 1SG stay-GO Marbak  
 'I was in Marbak.' (said in Maroua)

The following exchange illustrates the function of the goal orientation extension. To the question:

- (25) *hà mbál wàdá ká zàm vù*  
 2SG want food INF eat Q  
 'Do you want to eat?'

The answer with the goal orientation marker indicates that speaker ate somewhere else:

- (26) *òò s ká zàm-á zà*  
 no 1SG INF eat-GO EE  
 'No, I already ate [somewhere].'

The answer without goal orientation marker does not specify the place:

- (27) *òò s ká zàm zá*  
 no 1SG INF eat EE  
 'No, I already ate.'

The verb *ɓàt* 'take' does not inherently indicate goal. The addition of a goal requires addition of the goal orientation extension:

- (28) *s ká ɓàt-á yàm ká páláh*  
 1SG INF take-GO water PREP outside  
 'I took the water out of the room to outside.'



If no movement into some other place is involved, the goal orientation extension is not used:

(29) *bàt á bát déftèr ngàn*  
 take 3SG take Koran (F.) 3SG  
 'He took out his Koran.'

(30) *bàt á bát kàdád' ngàn*  
 take 3SG take arrow 3SG  
 'He took his arrows...'

(31) *í ndà ká bèr-é cìkíd'*  
 3PL go INF sell-GO sesame  
*bùhù ntá*  
 bag (F.) one  
 'They were going to sell one bag of sesame seeds.'

One can use the goal orientation extension in interrogative clauses about place. In the following sentence, the goal orientation marker is obligatory because the situation requires the addressee to be at the place of speech and the question implies that the speaker does not know where the event took place:

(32) *há wàn-á á tíkì*  
 2SG sleep-GO PRED where  
 'Where did you sleep?'

The goal-orientation extension is not required for the future tense; the place of speech is the possible location for the event:

(33) *há ñ kú wàn á tíkì*  
 2SG PREP INF sleep PRED where  
 'Where are you going to sleep?'

#### 4. Grammaticalization of the goal orientation marker

There exist cognates of the goal-oriented marker suffixed to the verb in other Chadic languages, from the Central (Hdi, Frajzyngier with Shay 2002, Gidar, Frajzyngier to appear) and West branches (the 'applicative extension' in Hausa, Newman 2000 and references there). Therefore,

the grammaticalization of the marker most likely preceded the split of Proto-Central Chadic, and very likely is even older. One can only speculate how the marker *á* grammaticalized as the goal orientation marker. A possible process of grammaticalization is as follows: First, the form *á* had a locative function coding direction of movement (Frajzyngier 1985, 2002). The locative center might have been a place or a person. Subsequently, the locative marker became attached to the preceding verb. In a subsequent stage of grammaticalization, the locative predicator occurring before the pronominal object became a formal requirement for pronominal objects, regardless of whether the pronoun represents the indirect or the direct object. This situation to a certain degree parallels that of the Spanish locative preposition *a*.

## 5. Conclusions

Unlike some other Central Chadic languages spoken north of Mina (cf. Frajzyngier with Shay 2002), Mina has only one verbal extension, goal orientation. This marker has two types of goals in its scope: (1) locative, coding movement toward the place of speech, the default case, or to another specified place, and (2) object orientation, coding the presence of a pronominal object. The goal orientation extension probably grammaticalized from the same form that produced the locative predicator *á*.

# Chapter 10

## Tenses

### 1. Introduction

The term 'tense' designates grammatical coding of the time of an event. The term 'aspect' refers to the coding of the event from the point of view of its internal characteristics. Mina has the categories tense and aspect, but they belong to one functional domain, as evidenced by the fact that tenses and aspects cannot cooccur within the same clause, and also by the fact that there exists a verbal form which is unmarked, and may have either tense or aspectual interpretations. We describe tenses and aspects in separate chapters only on the basis of the main characteristics of the categories involved and not because they belong to different domains in the grammar of Mina.

The domain of tense in Mina has two subdomains: future and past. In the future tense, there is a distinction between the coding of pragmatically independent and pragmatically dependent clauses. Pragmatically independent clauses are clauses that do not require another proposition or a specific situation for their interpretation. A clause is marked as pragmatically dependent so that the hearer is forced to interpret it in connection with another previously mentioned or yet to be mentioned clause. In negative clauses, the future tense is coded in a way different from the coding of either independent or dependent clauses.

Within the past tense, there is a distinction between the coding of singulative events (the unmarked, default form) and the coding of plural events, marked by multiple reduplications of the verb.

A clause unmarked for tense may have various time interpretations, including past, present, and future, depending on the tense of the immediately preceding clause.

## 2. Future tenses

There are three grammaticalized means of coding future time. Two of these means code a different type of clause. One tense codes future in pragmatically independent clauses, and the other codes the future in pragmatically dependent clauses. The future tense markers alone can code the type of clause. Since the two tenses are in a way complementary, we shall describe their functions together.

### 2.1 *The form of the independent future*

The independent future has the subject followed by the verb, followed by the auxiliary *za*, coding the end-of-event. The marker *za* must occur after the object:

- (1) *sà bër-é-ŋ kà-n zá*  
 1SG sell-GO-3SG cow-1SG EE  
 ‘I will sell him my cow.’

As with all instances of the end-of-event markers, the locative and time adverbials follow the particle *za*:

- (2) *dáwày nd-á zà bàká bà tskòh*  
 Daway go-GO EE today ASSC afternoon  
 ‘Daway will come this afternoon.’ (elicited)

The third-person pronominal subject is marked by the pronoun *à*:

- (3) *à nd-á zà*  
 3SG go-GO EE  
 ‘He will come.’

### 2.2 *The form of the dependent future*

The dependent future is marked by the form *nkə* or *nə kə*, which may well be a complex construction consisting of the locative preposition *nə* followed by the infinitive marker *kə*. The evidence that the construction consists of the preposition and the infinitive marker is provided by three

facts. First, the form *n* is often realized as *nà*, which would not have been the case if it were part of the morpheme \**nkə*. The second fact is that the tone on the form *kə* depends on the tone of the following verb, just like the tone of the infinitive. The third fact is that in negative future clauses, the form *kə* occurs without the marker *n*. We therefore gloss the sequence *n kə* as PREP INF. The third-person singular subject is marked by *à*. All pronominal and nominal subjects precede the sequence *n kə*. The dependent future complex precedes the verb:

(4) *ná n ká nd-á bə tskòh*  
 1PL.EXCL PREP INF go-GO ASSC evening  
 ‘We (EXCL) will come in the afternoon.’

(5) *hí n ká nd-á bə tskòh*  
 2PL PREP INF go-GO ASSC evening  
 ‘You will come in the afternoon.’

The preposition *n* is deleted if the preceding pronoun ends in a consonant, which is the case for the first person dual and plural inclusive pronouns:

(6) *nám kə nd-á bə tskòh . . .*  
 1DU INF go-GO ASSC evening  
 ‘The two of us will come in the afternoon.’

(7) *nók kə nd-á bə tskòh*  
 1PL.INCL INF go-GO ASSC evening  
 ‘We (INCL) will come in the afternoon.’

### 2.3 Functions of the two future tenses

The dependent future indicates that the clause must be interpreted in connection with another proposition, whether already made or still to be made. The clause marked by dependent future may also provide necessary information for the proper interpretation of another clause.

The dependent future occurs in temporal and conditional protasis clauses:

- (8) *hìdì wèhíŋ à zá ván á n ká*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP INF  
*dā á gèr kà nd-á-k kàsám*  
 fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG body  
*skù*  
 NEG

‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

The dependent future is used in comment-on-focus clauses. In the following two examples, speaker subjects respond to a challenge:

- (9) *gáw zá á tá-n déy*  
 hunter COMP PRED GEN-1SG also  
*sà n ká ǰáŋ tàŋ*  
 1SG PREP INF cross DED

‘The hunter said, “I will also cross it.”’

- (10) *sà bó sà n-kí míŋ s tátà*  
 1SG also 1SG PREP-INF stay 1SG alone  
 ‘I also will stay alone.’

In the following example the focus is on the reason phrase:

- (11) *ngám ǰègám wàcíŋ hìd-yü mindìŋ*  
 because speech DEM man-PL another  
*à n ká ngàts-á-h náf*  
 3SG PREP INF pinch-GO-2SG heart  
 ‘Because of this word, the other person will disturb you.’ (lit. pinch your heart)

The dependent future cannot be used in an independent clause in isolation:

- (12) *\*à n ká ngàts-á-h náf*  
 3SG PREP INF pinch-GO-2SG heart  
 for ‘He will disturb you.’

In the following example the dependent future is used in the main clause with the verb “to say.” The full interpretation requires the complement clause, viz. what will be said:

- (13) *sà n ká ɓá biɓáf ká dzà*  
 1SG PREP INF say God INF kill  
*dàkáytiy tsáy zà à mín*  
 others finish EE 3SG remain  
*s tátà*  
 1SG alone  
 'I will say that God has killed the others, and that I alone remain.'

The independent future in the matrix clause, viz. *sà ɓá zà*, would be ungrammatical in the above example.

The dependent future codes information that is unexpected or contrary to expectation. Thus, in the following fragment, the dependent future codes an imminent danger, that of God coming to kill the protagonists:

- (14) *à zá káy à fín*  
 3SG COMP INTERJ 3SG remain  
*nám tátà mbéhmbéh wá à n*  
 1PL only immediately DEM 3SG PREP  
*ká nd-á tàn*  
 INF go-GO DED  
 'He (the frog) said, "Look, there remains only us. He (God) will come immediately.'"

The dependent future may not be used in questions about the truth. Only the independent future may be used in such questions:

- (15) *à nd-á zá vù*  
 3SG go-GO EE Q  
 'Will he come?'

With specific questions only the dependent future is used, because specific questions assume the truth of the rest of the proposition and are interpreted in connection with the rest of the proposition:

- (16) *wà mà ká ndà ká*  
 but who INF go INF  
*gàd-á-nòk kú ví*  
 pick fire-GO-1PL fire who  
 'But who will go to find us fire?'

The dependent future can be used with the complementizer *zá*, which indicates either that the tense is not necessarily synchronically a verbal category and/or that the complementizer grammaticalized from a verb:

- (17) *hà n ká zá lùw-á-n mák*  
 you PREP INF COMP tell-GO-3SG what  
 ‘What will you tell it?’ (error)

The use of dependent future alone codes focus, usually focus on the predicate:

- (18) *bàhámán zá hí n ká*  
 Bahaman COMP 2PL PREP INF  
*lùw-á-ŋ syì bǎrkàmà*  
 say-GO-3SG COM chief  
 ‘Bahaman said, “You say to it, my chief,”

*ǵámbáy òd-á-k gí syì à*  
 stick hit-GO-1SG POL COM 3SG  
*n kà dǎl-á tǎŋ*  
 PREP INF do-GO:2SG DED  
 ““Stick, hit me,’ and it will do it to you.””

- (19) *ii zá bákà syí há n ká*  
 3PL COMP today COM 2SG PREP INF  
*dá tǎl tǔkón*  
 cook head 2SG  
 ‘They said, “Today you will cook yourself.”’

The dependent future is used in comparative constructions. The independent future may not be used there:

- (20) *sà n-k ší dáy kó hón*  
 1SG PREP-INF run surpass PREP 2SG  
 ‘I will run better than you.’

- (21) *\*sà ši dáy zà kó hón*  
 1SG run surpass EE PREP 2SG  
 for ‘I will run better than you.’



The dependent future is by far more frequent than the independent future in texts. The reason for this may be that many statements pertaining to future events involve promises and commitments, which often require another proposition for proper interpretation:

- (22) *ndiká*            *mànjé wàhīŋ sà*    *n*    *kà*    *dzánŋ*  
 better (F.)    now    DEM 1SG    PREP INF    find  
*gómbòk*            *zá*    *sá*    *n*    *ká*    *ndráǰ*  
 frog            EE    1SG    PREP INF    smash  
*mbàd'*            *wìrnjik*  
 become            ash  
 'From now on when I find a frog, I will smash it to ashes.'

The dependent future is used in clauses that follow the adverb of time, be it phrasal or clausal. The clause that follows the adverb of time is interpreted as a comment on the adverb of time. The independent future cannot be used there:

- (23) *mbémbé*            *wá*    *à*    *n*    *ká*    *nd-á*    *tànŋ*  
 now            DEM 3SG    PREP INF    go-GO DED  
 'He (God) will come immediately.'

- (24) \**mbémbé*            *wá*    *à*    *nd-á*    *zà*    *tànŋ*  
 now            DEM 3SG    go-GO EE    DED  
 for 'He (God) will come immediately.'

The following fragment illustrates the contrast between dependent future used in a focus clause, and the independent future used in a pragmatically neutral clause:

- (25) *í*    *zá*            *bákà*    *syì*            *hà*    *n*  
 3PL    COMP            today    COMP            2SG    PREP  
*ká*    *dà*    *tàlànŋ*    *tùkónŋ*  
 INF    cook    head    2SG  
 'They said, "Today you will cook yourself."'

- (26) *à*    *zá*            *á*    *dámà*    *sá*    *dà*    *zá*  
 3SG    COMP            ah    good    1SG    cook    EE  
 'She said, "Good, I will cook."'

In questions about the truth, when there are no presuppositions, the independent future is used:

- (27)    *à*        *lù-á-h*        *zá*                    *hà*    *nék*    *skù*  
           3SG    say-GO-2SG    COMP                2SG    good    NEG  
           *ngà*    *vú*  
           DUB    Q  
           ‘Will he tell you that you are not good?’ (I doubt he will.)

#### 2.4 Future through the verb *gàr* ‘want’

Future time may also be coded through the verb *gàr* ‘want’. While the use of the auxiliary *gàr* is a standard means to code the negative future, we have also one example of the use of this auxiliary in the affirmative future:

- (28)    *à*        *ḵ-á*    *ván*    *nàŋ*    *à*        *gàr*  
           3SG    say     father 1SG    3SG    want  
           *kə*     *mbú*  
           INF    give birth  
           ‘He said, “My father will give birth.”’

The use of the auxiliary in the negative form, combined with the nature of the example in the affirmative form, indicates that the auxiliary codes hypothetical future.

#### 2.5 Negative future

There are two types of negative clauses with future time reference. One type involves use of the verb *grà* ‘want’ in its phrase internal form *grà* or *gàr* followed by the infinitive marker *kə*, the main verb with its object, if any, and the negative marker *skù*:

- (29) *hìdì wèhíŋ à zá ván á n*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP  
*ká dā á gèr kà nd-á-k*  
 INF fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG  
*kàsám skù*  
 body NEG  
 ‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

The evidence that the form *gèr* is an auxiliary rather than a lexical verb is provided by examples where the lexical verb interpretation is not possible:

- (30) *nók gèr ká nzà dām skù . . .*  
 1PL.INCL want INF stay good NEG  
 ‘We will not be well . . .’

The other type of negative future is formed with the verb *ndà* ‘go’, followed by the dependent habitual marker and the negative marker *skù* at the end of the clause. This is essentially a construction that denies intentions:

- (31) *hà mbál háḡàm ngəŋ à n ká*  
 2SG like daughter 3SG 3SG PREP INF  
*zá sá ndà-r ká vəl skù*  
 COMP 1SG go-D.HAB INF give NEG  
 ‘If you like his daughter, he will say, “I am not going to give [her]”’

- (32) *hà ká lù zá há ndà rə*  
 2SG INF say EE 2SG go D.HAB  
*ká tə skù cín tá wəl tán*  
 INF pay NEG father GEN wife DED  
*à zá háḡàm ná á wíá báý*  
 3SG COMP daughter 1SG PRED home chief  
 ‘If you said that you will not pay, the father of the woman will say, “My daughter is at the chief’s.”’

The evidence that the verb “to go” has grammaticalized as the marker of the negative future is provided by the fact that it is not used in the affirmative future:

- (33) *hà mbál háǵàm ngàŋ à*  
 2SG like daughter 3SG 3SG  
*n ká zá sè vəl zá*  
 PREP INF COMP 1SG give EE  
 ‘If you like his daughter, he will say, “I will give . . .”’

### 3. Independent past tense

#### 3.1 *The reduplicated form of the verb*

The independent past tense is coded by the reduplicated verb with the subject, nominal or pronominal inserted between the reduplicated parts, i.e., it has the form Verb Subject Verb. Presence of the nominal subject in between the reduplicated parts of the verb indicates that reduplication is not a morphological process, but rather a syntactic process:

- (34) *séy ndà məl wəl wà məl kà*  
 so go catch woman DEM catch POS  
 ‘Then the woman stopped it.’

The third-person singular pronoun is *á*. All pronominal subjects must occur between the reduplicated parts of the verb and they all have high tone:

- (35) *ǵáŋ í ǵáŋ zá*  
 cross 3PL cross EE  
 ‘They crossed [the river].’

Verbs occur with their underlying tone or the tone as determined by inflectional morphemes. The reduplicated verb may have the goal orientation marker added. The form that occurs after the subject does not have the goal orientation marker:

- (36) *dá dá á d̂ wàné*  
 draw:GO draw:GO 3SG draw a lot (F.)  
 ‘It rained a lot.’

## 3.2 Functions of the independent past

The evidence that the reduplicated form codes tense and not aspect is provided by the fact that it can code both bounded and unbounded events, thus ruling out the potential categorization into perfective or imperfective aspect. Here are examples of bounded events:

- (37) *dzáŋ á dzán-á m̀ t́ gwíđín náká*  
 find 3SG find-GO REL GEN single REM  
*wèhíŋ nd-á náz á náz ḱ ǹ*  
 DEM go-GO throw 3SG throw POS PREP  
*láy t̀ŋ*  
 place DED

‘He found the one sesame of those [that were counted], returned and threw it into its place [in the bag].’

Here is an example of unbounded event:

- (38) *dá dá á d̄ wàné*  
 draw:GO draw:GO 3SG draw a lot (F.)  
 ‘It rained a lot.’

The evidence that the reduplicated form codes past tense is provided by the fact that all sentences with the verb reduplicated leftwards designate past time and only past time event. This fact is amply illustrated in all examples in this section.

The evidence that the reduplicated form codes past tense in a clause that does not require another proposition for its interpretation is provided by the fact that the independent past tense may occur in the last clause of a narrative:

- (39) *nd-á náz á náz ḱ ǹ láy t̀ŋ*  
 go-GO throw 3SG throw POS PREP place DED  
 ‘He went and threw it into its place [in the bag].’

Another piece of evidence for the independent nature of the reduplicated form is that it is independent of the preceding and the following propositions, as in the following fragment, where the independent past is used in the middle sentence:

- (40) *i nd-rá i nd-rá v̀̀̀n ẁ̀̀*  
 3PL walk-D.HAB 3PL walk-D.HAB rain start  
*ká d̀̀̀*  
 INF draw water

‘While they were walking, rain started to fall.’

*d̀̀̀ d̀̀̀ á d̀̀̀ ẁ̀̀̀*  
 draw:GO draw:GO 3SG draw a lot (F.)

‘It rained a lot.’

*séy, áb d̀̀̀ẁ̀́n mbéy l̀̀kwát*  
 then (H.) ASSC back ANAPH river

*m̀̀ nd-à-y zá*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE

‘And afterwards a river came.’

The proposition with the independent past tense may be a part of a larger sentence, but then the clause has independent interpretation, as in the following utterance consisting of two clauses:

- (41) *tà á tì d̀̀̀ sk̀̀̀*  
 see 3SG see exist NEG  
 ‘He looked around -- nothing.’

One clause with independent past tense can be followed by another clause with independent past tense:

- (42a) *ng̀̀d ng̀̀d i ng̀̀d cíkè' (zá) ká*  
 count count 3PL count all (EE) POS  
 ‘They counted all [the sesame seeds].’ (The form *ká* was first given when a language assistant repeated the recorded sentence.)

- (42b) *dz̀̀w i dz̀̀w-ú á d̀̀̀ẁ̀́n m̀̀d̀̀ng̀̀ẁ̀r̀̀zé*  
 attach 3PL attach-3SG PRED back donkey  
 ‘They attached it to the back of the donkey.’

At least two verbs cannot be reduplicated, and instead another verb is used in lieu of the first part of the reduplicated verb. One verb is *pá* ‘give’ used as the first part instead of the verb *v̀̀̀l* ‘give’, and the other is *tíl* ‘depart’. In the case of the verb *nd̀̀̀* ‘depart’, instead of the first part of the reduplicated verb, the form *tíl* ‘depart’ is used:

- (43) *i zá ndà til á ndà báhà*  
 3PL COMP go leave 3SG go again  
 ‘They said, “Go!”. And he went again.’

Additional examples of the usage of these verbs can be found in Chapter 11, Section 8.

The following are additional systematic arguments for the independent nature of the reduplicated form: The simple reduplicated form cannot occur in negative clauses, i.e. clauses which in the majority of cases deny the truth of the presupposition. The reduplicated form cannot occur in specific interrogative clauses, i.e. clauses that assume that truth of a proposition and inquire about one element of the proposition. The reduplicated form cannot occur in comment-on-focus clauses, or in relative clauses, i.e. clauses that by their nature are comments on another element. The reduplicated verb cannot occur in temporal or conditional protases, i.e. clauses that cannot be interpreted on their own. The reduplicated form can occur, however, in both temporal and conditional apodoses, i.e. clauses that may be interpreted on their own.

#### 4. The independent past-plural tense

The independent past plural is coded by the multiple leftward reduplications of the verb, i.e., the reduplication has at least two instantiations of the same verb before the subject pronoun (Verb) Verb Verb Subject pronoun Verb. The nominal subject, if any, occurs before the first instantiation of the verb. The singular or plural subject pronoun occurs before the form that has been reduplicated. In the following example the verb *dà* ‘cook’ codes the past-plural event aspect in the matrix clause:

- (44) *séy kàdǎm wá dà dà á*  
 so calabash DEM cook cook 3SG  
*d-á-ŋ wùd mǎná wà mbá pè*  
 cook-GO-3SG food like DEM so much  
*té té té té á mǎ kǎbám ngàŋ*  
 spread(4 times) PRED DEM face 3SG  
 ‘So the calabash made a lot of food for her and spread it in front of her.’

The plurality of the event involves the plurality of either the object of a transitive verb or of the subject of an intransitive verb, but does not

involve the plurality of the subject of a transitive verb (cf. Frajzyngier 1985c):

- (45) *dá*                      *dǎ*                      *á*                      *dǎ*                      *wàné*  
 draw:GO                  draw:GO                  3SG                  draw                  a lot (F.)  
 'It rained a lot.'

The plural form also may code multiple events performed by a single subject, coding in effect an unbounded event:

- (46) *tíl*                      *á*                      *nà*                      *yàm*                      *tá*                      *áb*                      *dùwáyē*  
 go                      PRED                      PREP                      water                      DED                      ASSC                      back  
*mbéy*                      *tìy*                      *tìy*                      *á*                      *tìy-ú*  
 ANAPH                      look                      look                      3SG                      look-3SG  
 'He entered into water and he searched for it [the sesame seed].'

The plural form is used when the object is plural:

- (47) *ngàd'*                      *ngàd'*                      *í*                      *ngàd'*                      *cíkè'*                      (*zá*)                      *ká*  
 count                      count                      3PL                      count                      all                      EE                      POS  
 'They counted all [the sesame seeds].'

## 5. Unmarked tense/aspect

The formal characteristics of the unmarked tense/aspect consist of the absence of any tense and aspectual markers. The third person singular pronominal subject is *à*.

The unmarked tense/aspect codes a pragmatically dependent clause, i.e. a clause that must be interpreted in connection with another proposition or in connection with a specific element in the environment of speech. The tense and aspectual values of the unmarked form are determined by the preceding tense or aspect in discourse. In the absence of the preceding element in discourse, the time of the unmarked aspect is simultaneous with the time of speech:

- (48) *à*                      *zèbér*                      *mà*                      *tùkón*  
 3SG                      follow                      word                      2SG  
 'He is following your word.' (about an interpreter during a recording session)



à gər ngùlài-yī  
 3SG want red sorghum-PL  
 'He is looking for red sorghum.'

In addition to the indicative mood as illustrated above, the unmarked aspect can be used in specific interrogative clauses. Its time and aspectual interpretation is dictated by the preceding discourse and speech context. In the following examples the unmarked tense has past time interpretation in specific interrogative clauses:

(49) wàcín syì báhámán à dzè wúl wàcín  
 DEM COM Bahaman 3SG cry neck DEM  
 syì à ðim-é nòk mí  
 COM 3SG listen-GO 1PL what  
 [chief talking] 'Bahaman is yelling over there. What has he heard for us?' (What kind of news does he have for us?)

(50) á túk há báŋ rə skù  
 PRED you 2SG think D.HAB NEG  
 wúl béł rə wá syì  
 neck break D.HAB DEM COM  
 'You are not thinking, you are crying with joy.'

hà lim-é nòk mí  
 2SG see-GO 1PL.INCL what  
 'What have you found for us?'

(51) mə mbád ví  
 REL surpass who  
 'Which one is superior?' (with respect to characters mentioned earlier in a story).

Here is an example of the use of the unmarked aspect in the specific interrogative clause followed by the indicative clause also with the unmarked aspect:

(52) à mìsíl mí à mìsíl wùdá  
 3SG steal what 3SG steal food  
 'What did she steal? She stole food.'

The unmarked aspect may be a first aspect in a new episode of a narration. Thus, the preceding clauses are followed by a clause that describes a specific event in the narrative:

- (53) *ii zék yàw*  
 3PL make competition  
 ‘They had a competition.’

The unmarked tense aspect is used in non-focus constructions. Compare the following exchange, which begins with a focus on the predicate, followed by a question; the third clause has the same propositional content as the first, except that there is no focus on the subject:

- (54) *àa bàrkàmà wàl nà kà dzán-á*  
 ah chief wife 1SG INF find-GO  
*skàn pàr zá dáhà*  
 thing strange EE exist  
 ‘‘Ah, my chief, there is something my wife found.’’

*skàn tá nzá vàngáy*  
 thing DED be how  
 ‘What is this thing?’

*à à dzán-á kàdám*  
 ah 3SG find-GO calabash  
 ‘She found a calabash.’

The unmarked form of the verb may be used in questions about the truth in the *de re* domain, i.e. in reference to some specific situation or some specific event:

- (55) *hà ndiŋ bə mbi vù*  
 2SG fear ASSC ANAPH Q  
 ‘Are you afraid of him?’

## 6. Dependent past tense

The dependent past tense is coded by the infinitive marker *kə*, whose tone is polar with respect to the tone of the immediately following verb

and by the end of event marker *za* or its negative counterpart *dá* after the verb or direct object, if any. The form *kə*, which otherwise is an infinitive marker, is also the marker of the focus on the predicate.

The dependent past codes the same time characteristics as the independent past tense. The clause marked by the dependent past tense must be interpreted in conjunction with another proposition, in conjunction with some situation in the speech environment, or in conjunction with a presupposition. The evidence for this function of the dependent past is provided by types of clauses in which the dependent past form can occur. These are all environments in which the independent past tense coded by reduplication cannot occur:

Negative clause:

- (56) *ván ká mbàlém dá skù*  
 rain INF touch exist NEG  
 ‘The rain did not touch him.’

- (57) *séy wàl wá kám ká nàz tál*  
 the woman DEM TOP INF stop walk  
*dá skù dáp*  
 exist NEG only  
 ‘Then, that woman did not stop taking her walks.’

Focus on the predicate or subject:

- (58) *ngùl-yî s kə dzán-á*  
 husband-PL 1SG INF find-GO  
*nám skàn zá*  
 1DU thing EE  
 ‘My husband, I found us something!’

- (59) *ká fək wàl zá*  
 INF give neck EE  
 ‘He started to scream.’ (the scream of joy)

- (60) *háá nók kə dzán-á nók ǵì zá*  
 yes 1PL INF find-GO 1PL meat EE  
 ‘Yes, we found the meat for ourselves.’

Temporal and conditional protasis:

- (61) *sá skàn wà syì há ká lùw-á-ŋ*  
 here thing DEM COM 2SG INF say-GO-3SG  
*zà kàdám vl-á nòk wùdà gí*  
 EE calabash give-GO 1PL food POL  
*syì à ndí dá tà dáp*  
 COM 3SG HAB make DED only  
 ‘Here you have this thing. If you say to it, “Calabash make us food, please,” then it just cooks.’

Relative clause:

- (62) *ndà dzán kwáykwá-yû í kà ngá*  
 go find hyena-PL 3PL INF break  
*hì zá syì*  
 meat EE COM  
 ‘And she found some hyenas who had caught some meat.’

In a pragmatically dependent clause, the plurality of the event is coded by the marker *kə* and rightward reduplication. Here is an example of a temporal protasis:

- (63) *á ndà ngàn ká tàl á*  
 3SG go 3SG INF walk 3SG  
*tàl tàl tàl tàl*  
 walk walk walk walk  
 ‘She walked for a long time.’

## 7. Conclusions

The tense system of Mina consists of future and past tenses, and a form unmarked for tense. In both tenses, there is a distinction between tense coding in pragmatically independent clauses and tense coding in pragmatically dependent clauses.

The dependent future tense is coded by the preposition *n* followed by the infinitival marker *kə*, and followed by the verb. This tense occurs in focus constructions and simply marks focus in specific questions. It is by far the most frequent future tense marker in the texts, and by itself, it forces the interpretation of the clause in connection with another clause. The independent future is marked by the construction consisting

of the subject, followed by the verb and the end-of-event marker *za*. This construction can be interpreted on its own.

The independent past tense coding is characterized by the structure Verb Subject Verb. The dependent past has the structure Subject *kə* Verb *za*. The past tense distinguishes between singulative event and plural event. The singulative event is the unmarked past tense form. The plural event of the independent past tense is coded through the reduplication of the verb leftward, beyond the structure Verb-Subject Verb. In pragmatically dependent clauses, the plural event is coded through the reduplication of the verb rightward.



# Chapter 11

## Aspects

### 1. Introduction

The following coding means are used in the domain of aspect coding: the reduplication of the pronominal subject and the verb; auxiliaries and particles that precede or follow the verb; and a single, i.e. non-reduplicated, verbal form that is not accompanied by auxiliaries or particles. This last type is referred to as the unmarked aspectual form, and its function can best be described once all the marked forms are described.

The auxiliaries coding aspectual distinctions are *wá* ‘start’, *díyà* ‘put’, *túwàd* ‘finish’, *náz* ‘stop’. These forms precede other verbs without any prepositions or other markers. The particles that follow the verb or the verb and its object are *za* and *ka*, but only the former is an aspectual form.

The aspectual forms in Mina code not only the nature of the event within a temporal frame but also the pragmatic status of the clause, viz. whether the clause should be interpreted on its own (pragmatically independent) or in connection with another, preceding or following proposition (pragmatically dependent). In addition to the unmarked aspect, Mina also has the habitual aspect, which has two variants: one used in a pragmatically independent clause, and the other in a pragmatically dependent clause. The remaining aspects do not code the distinction between pragmatically dependent and pragmatically independent clauses. For alternative approaches to two aspectual systems in African languages, see Hyman and Watters 1984, Jungraithmayr 1994, Newman 2000. For a similar approach, cf. Frajzyngier with Shay 2002.

The habitual and the unmarked aspect also have variants coding the number of the event. The domain of number for the habitual consists of the categories singular, plural, and iterative. The following represents a total system of aspectual distinction. The terms “independent” and “de-

pendent” refer to the pragmatically independent and pragmatically dependent clauses. The singular is the unmarked variant; the plural is the marked variant.

Aspect	Independent	Dependent	Plural
Habitual	<i>ndí</i> V	V <i>ra</i>	Subject V Subject V
Perfect	<i>mà</i> Verb- <i>yí</i>		
Terminative	<i>náz</i> ‘throw’		
Completive	<i>tok</i> ‘finish’		
Intentional	<i>ndà kə</i> ‘go to’		
Iterative	rightward re- duplication		
Unmarked	V		VV

## 2. Independent habitual

### 2.1 *The form*

The independent habitual is marked by the morpheme *ndí* (*ní* in Kefedjeveng dialect), which follows subject pronouns and precedes the verb. The third-person singular subject is *à*, occurring even if there is an overt nominal subject (examples 1-4 occur in that sequence in a text):

(1) *míndéŋ*      *à*      *ndí*      *lám*      *bíŋ*  
 another      3SG      HAB      build      house  
 ‘One builds a house.’

(2) *míndéŋ*      *à*      *ndí*      *téwél*      *ǵámbáy*  
 another      3SG      HAB      twirl      stick  
 ‘Another twirls a stick.’

In a sequence of clauses in a narrative, the habitual aspect marker may be omitted if the preceding and following clauses have the same aspect. Consequently, the simple form of the verb may be used:

(3) *míndéŋ*      *à*      *pàďák*      *njúl*  
 another      3SG      split      a type of grass  
 ‘Another splits a stalk of grass.’



- (4) *míndéŋ*      *à*      *ndí*      *mbìr*  
 another      3SG      HAB      jump  
 ‘Another jumps.’

## 2.2 The functions of the independent habitual

The form *ndí* codes habitual actions, events, and states in affirmative indicative clauses and in questions about truth. The clauses with the marker *ndí* do not require any other clauses for their interpretation. The marker specifically does not code actions and events that are actually taking place:

- (5) *á*      *mbál*      *wàl*           *skù*      *kí*      *mbíŋ*      *à*  
 3SG      like      woman           NEG      in      that      3SG  
***ndí***      *mìsíl*      *túm*      *túm*           *ábà*      *dùwáŋ*  
 HAB      steal      always      always           ASSC      back  
*mbíŋ*           *và*      *và*      *á*      *và*      *gwáđ*  
 ANAPH      last      last      3SG      last      plenty  
*dāl*      *báytaŋ*  
 make      old  
 ‘He does not want a wife. And that is why he steals all the time, and after that he was like that until he became old.’

The following example contains instances of both the dependent and the independent habitual (both bolded). The dependent habitual codes the conditional protasis, and the independent habitual codes the apodosis clause:

- (6) *mà*      *nfáđ*      *tàŋ*      *á*      *ngèn*      *kó*      *à*      *lím*  
 REL      four      DED      PRED      3SG      even      3SG      see  
*skàn*      *tàp*      *r*           *káyàk*           *mà*  
 thing      crawl      D.HAB           ground           there  
*à*      ***ndí***      *hàŋ*      *dàp*  
 3SG      HAB      cry      only  
 ‘The fourth one, even if he sees a thing crawling on the ground, he only cries.’

The independent habitual is used in comment-on-topic clauses:

- (7) *wàl*           *màsáláđ*           *i*      ***ndí***      *gám*      *kà*  
 woman           lazy           3PL      HAB      chase      POS  
 ‘The lazy woman is chased away.’

- (8) *séy á tèt kám í ndí ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP (F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ḡì-yíi zà ká ndá kà dá tàn*  
 meat-PL EE INF go INF cook DED  
 ‘Then, as for them [hyenas], they just catch the meat, bring it for cooking.’ (i.e., they have plenty of meat)

The absolute time of the habitual may be either past or present, depending on the time established earlier in discourse. The following example was used in reference to undetermined, general time:

- (9) *bìḡáv bò à ndí wàllà tàn*  
 God also (F.) 3SG HAB help (F.) DED  
 ‘God also helps him.’
- (10) *í ndí wàḡ tètò gráb*  
 3PL HAB sleep 3PL together  
 ‘They sleep together.’

The following examples were used in reference to past time:

- (11) *à ndí ḡán mbà ngàn ká nzàr-á tàn*  
 3SG HAB send child 3SG INF wait-GO DED  
 ‘He used to send his child; to wait on him;.’
- (12) *í ndí bàt-á-n ndrì á kimbéḡ*  
 3PL HAB give-GO-3SG sorghum PRED like that  
 ‘[And] like that they used to give him sorghum.’

The habitual marker occurs in questions about the truth of the proposition:

- (13) *í ndí bèr máy vù*  
 3PL HAB sell mother Q  
 ‘Do you sell your mother?’

The marker also occurs in specific interrogatives, but its scope is not referential with respect to the object, but rather with respect to a class of objects:

- (14) *hà ndí dzán-á nám skàn*  
 2SG HAB find-GO 1DU thing  
*màná wá táki*  
 like DEM where  
 ‘Where do you find us things like this?’

### 3. **Dependent habitual**

#### 3.1 *The form of dependent habitual*

We use the term “dependent habitual” for the aspect marked by the form *ra*, reduced to *rə* or *r* in phrase-internal position. The tone on the habitual marker forms with vowel is polar, opposite to the tone of the preceding syllable. Compare the following sentences. In the first, the dependent habitual follows the verb with the goal orientation marker, which has high tone. The dependent habitual has low tone:

- (15) *ván dǎ rà màná á nà*  
 rain draw:GO D.HAB like PRED PREP  
*lúmò*  
 market  
 ‘It was raining from the direction of the market.’

In the next sentence, the dependent habitual marker follows the same verb, but without the goal orientation marker. This is an inherently low tone verb, and consequently, the dependent habitual has high tone:

- (16) *mə mbír mbír ván də rá dīy-á*  
 REL jump jump rain draw D.HAB start-GO  
*mbír nà máŋ cìdék cìdék cìdék cìdék cìdék*  
 jump PREP ANAPH ideophone  
 ‘The one who jumps, when the rain was falling, he started to jump in it.’

When dependent habitual is realized by the consonant *r* alone, i.e. after vowel deletion, the consonant does not carry any tone and is part of the preceding word. In the present description, we write this morpheme separately, regardless of how it is realized.

Unlike the independent habitual marker, the dependent habitual marker occurs after an intransitive verb or the object of a transitive

verb. The third-person singular subject pronoun in the dependent habitual aspect is *à*.

### 3.2 *The function of dependent habitual*

The dependent habitual aspect indicates that the proposition coded by the clause must be interpreted in connection with another proposition, whether produced in a preceding discourse or yet to be made. The dependent habitual occurs in those clauses where the independent habitual cannot occur, viz. in negative clauses, temporal and conditional protasis clauses, and in specific interrogatives. Most negative clauses imply the existence of an affirmative presupposition. The temporal and conditional protases must be interpreted in connection with an appropriate apodosis clause. The specific interrogative clauses can be properly interpreted only when one assumes the truth of the whole proposition. The three types of clauses exclude the use of independent habitual, and force the use of the dependent habitual, provided the event is unbounded. The evidence for the function of the dependent habitual as a marker of pragmatically dependent clauses is provided by its use in clauses where it is in contrast with independent habitual, viz. Affirmative clauses and questions about the truth (polar questions).

#### 3.2.1 *The dependent habitual in affirmative clauses*

In affirmative clauses, the dependent habitual makes the listener interpret the proposition in connection with some other proposition or in connection with some elements of the environment of speech, similar to the English progressive. In affirmative clauses, the dependent habitual marker also occurs in comments on the elements in focus. In the following clauses, it is the focus on the predicate, requiring some reaction from the addressees:

- (17a) *séy m̀à f̀és t̀à ǹà m̀àŋ*  
 so REL small GEN PREP LOC.ANAPH  
*álla sk̀àn-ỳi ǹjíf r̀à á k̀àc̀iŋ*  
 God thing-PL smell D.HAB PRED DEM  
 ‘Then the youngest among them [said], “God, something smells here.”’

- (17b) *ngwáy*            *skàn-yîi*            *ğàgám*            *rà*  
 ‘say’                    thing-PL            talk                    D.HAB  
*dàhà*  
 exist  
 ‘There is something talking there.’

The dependent habitual marker indicates that the clause requires a specific presupposition for its interpretation. Here is an example of a clause that gives a reason, justification, for another event:

- (18) *séy*    *mà*    *nd-á*                    *ngàm*            *à*  
 so        REL    go-GO                    because            3SG  
*dàm-á-η*            *rà*  
 hurt-GO-3SG    D.HAB  
 ‘He should go because it hurts him.’

Comment on a previous event:

- (19) *yo*                    *hidi*    *lá*            *ηkù*    *bá*            *á*  
 so (F.)                man    of            goat    still        PRED  
*ngàts-á-η*                    *náf*    *rá*                    *báhà*  
 pinch-GO-3SG            heart    D.HAB            still  
 ‘So the owner of the goat still makes him nervous.’

The dependent habitual may be the sole marker of the temporal protasis clause:

- (20a) *séy*    *wàl*    *ngàn*    *tán*            *á*        *nd*            *rà*  
 so        wife    3SG    DED    3SG    go        D.HAB  
*wàcín* *syì*    *wirnjik*            *díy-à*            *bàk-áhá*  
 DEM    COM    ash                    put-GO            pour-GO  
*cidé’*    *cidé’*    *cidé’*    *cidé’*            *á*        *kàtàf*  
 pile    pile    pile    pile            PRED    road  
 ‘When his wife was leaving, ash was pouring out of the shoe in small piles on the road.’

- (20b) *í*        *nd*        *rá*                    *í*        *nd*        *rá*  
 3PL    walk    D.HAB            3PL    walk    D.HAB  
*vàη*    *wà*        *ká*        *dâ*  
 rain    start    INF    fetch water  
 ‘While they were walking, the rain started to fall.’

3.2.2 *The dependent habitual in questions about the truth*

The dependent habitual can be used in a question about the truth, but such use codes astonishment, i.e. forces the interpretation of the proposition in connection with some other proposition:

- (21) *báy zá hídú wà à dàm hážəm*  
 chief COMP man DEM 3SG marry daughter  
*túk rə vù*  
 2SG D.HAB Q  
 ‘The chief asked, “Is he going to marry your daughter?”’  
 (The chief has heard about the marriage. He is astonished.)

The answer to such a question also has the dependent habitual marker *ra*, because it is an answer to a presupposition:

- (22) *à zá à dàm rà*  
 3SG COMP 3SG marry D.HAB  
 ‘He said he is marrying her.’

When the question has no presuppositions, such as, *what are you doing?* the answer does not include the marker *ra*:

- (23) *sə dàm táŋ*  
 1SG marry DED  
 ‘I am marrying her’

The plural form of the dependent habitual has the phrase consisting of the subject and the verb repeated:

- (24) *í nd rá í nd rá*  
 3PL walk D.HAB 3PL walk D.HAB  
*vəŋ wà ká dā*  
 rain start INF fetch water  
 ‘While they were walking, the rain started to fall.’

The dependent habitual relates the event to some other event. Thus, the preceding example is followed in its text by the following:

- (25) *ván dǎ rǎ mǎná á*  
 rain fetch:GO D.HAB like PRED  
*nà lúmò*  
 PREP market  
 'It was raining from the direction of the market.'

The dependent habitual may occur with the focus marker *kə*. The co-occurrence of the dependent habitual marker *rǎ* and the form *kə* provides the evidence that the form *kə* does not code an aspectual category:

- (26) *s kǎ mǎd rǎ sá*  
 1SG INF swear D.HAB here  
 'Here I am swearing [on the coal].'

- (27) *kǎ mǎd rǎ sá*  
 INF swear D.HAB here  
 'Here he is swearing [on the coal].'

Cf. independent habitual:

- (28) *sǎ ndí mǎd*  
 1SG HAB swear  
 'I am in the habit of swearing.'

The dependent habitual must occur in complement clauses marking an event as occurring at the time of another event:

- (29) *séy tì á tì cíŋ*  
 so see 3SG see father.3SG  
*à nd r idá*  
 3SG go D.HAB home  
 'Then he saw his father go home.'

- (30) *ká ndǎ zǎ fú ndǎ dzǎŋ*  
 INF go EE always go find  
*zǎván-yǐi í mǎr rǎ*  
 guinea fowl-PL 3PL graze D.HAB  
 'Each time she went, she found guinea fowl grazing.'

The dependent habitual is used in questions about the truth, but these are rhetorical questions, when the speaker knows the true answer. Therefore, these questions are in fact comments on another statement:

- (31) *báy zá ngùl mbù mbà*  
 chief COMP husband beget child  
*r bá vù*  
 D.HAB again Q  
 ‘The chief said, “Does a man give birth to a child?”’

- (32) *vàŋ á nd-á r vé*  
 rain 3SG go-GO D.HAB Q  
 ‘Is the rain coming?’ (the person knows very well that there is no chance of rain)

The evidence that the dependent habitual is an aspectual rather than a tense category is provided by the fact that it can cooccur with tense markers, more specifically with the future tense. The dependent habitual codes the pragmatically dependent clause, i.e. a clause that must be interpreted in connection with another proposition. In the following example, the chicken does not want the wild cat to be invited, and therefore in addition to the interrogative clause there is the dependent habitual marker:

- (33) *gàmták zá hà n ká tàr-á*  
 chicken COMP 2SG PREP INF ask-GO  
*wàláj r á vù*  
 wildcat D.HAB Q  
 ‘Chicken said, “But are you going to invite the wild cat?”’

If the chicken were merely asking a question without any presupposition, it would have said:

- (34) *hà tàr-á wàláj zà vù*  
 2SG ask-GO wildcat EE Q  
 ‘Will you invite the wild cat?’

The plural dependent habitual is coded through the reduplication of the phrase consisting of the subject, the verb, and the habitual marker:



- (35) *í nd rá í nd rá vàṅ*  
 3PL walk D.HAB 3PL walk D.HAB rain  
*wà ká dā*  
 start INF fetch water  
 ‘While they were walking, the rain started to fall.’

### 3.2.3 *The habitual aspect in negative clauses*

Although in affirmative clauses with the habitual aspect, the marker *rà* may, but does not have to, occur, in negative clauses, the marker is obligatory if the aspect is habitual. In negative clauses the marker *ndí* cannot be used:

- (36) *á túk há báṅ rà skù*  
 PRED you 2SG think D.HAB NEG  
*wúl bét rà wá syi*  
 neck break D.HAB DEM COM  
 ‘You are not thinking, you are crying with joy.’

- (37) *à ndà r skù mbi*  
 3SG go D.HAB NEG ANAPH  
*má žèbèr tá tükóṅ*  
 REL follow GEN 2SG  
 ‘If she does not go, she should follow yours.’

- (38) *kó wəl nd rà skù*  
 but neck go D.HAB NEG  
 ‘But the voice did not go out as before.’

The evidence that the form *ra* codes the same temporal and aspectual range as the independent habitual marker *ndí* is provided by the following example, where *r* refers to a habitual situation rather than to an ongoing event.

- (39) *hà vàṅ vàṅ hà lím wəl*  
 2SG sleep sleep 2SG see woman  
*rà skù*  
 D.HAB NEG  
 ‘You spend a long time without seeing a woman.’

- (40) *í wáŋ r gràb skù*  
 3PL sleep D.HAB together NEG  
 ‘They do not sleep together.’

- (41) *skàn mà tórà li-dá*  
 thing REL make suffer (F.) of-home  
*á mbàd mbí r skù*  
 3SG surpass thing D.HAB NEG  
 ‘There is no such thing that will make the head of the family suffer more than that.’

Additional evidence for the pragmatic function of the dependent habitual is provided by the fact that it can be used in equational clauses with no verb:

- (42) *cíŋ zá hážəm*  
 his father COMP girl  
*rà ská vù*  
 D.HAB NEG Q  
 ‘His father said, “Isn’t it a girl?”’

- (43) *állà láydán rà skù mà dál*  
 God dawn D.HAB NEG REL do  
*mí*  
 what  
 ‘God, it is not yet dawn, what is going on?’

The dependent habitual must also occur with the negative interrogative clause if the aspect is habitual:

- (44) *à zá á nà báy há tí*  
 3SG COMP PRED PREP chief 2SG see  
*r ská vù*  
 D.HAB NEG Q  
 ‘He said to the chief, “Don’t you see?”’

The past tense in negative clauses with the dependent habitual is coded by the existential verb *dáhà*:

- (45) *wàl*                      *wà*    *zá*                      *sám*                      *à*  
 woman                      DEM    COMP                      never (F.)                      3SG  
*dám-á-k*                      *rà*                      *dá*                      *skù*  
 pain-GO-1SG D.HAB                      exist                      NEG  
 'That woman said, "It never hurt me."'

The explanation for the use of the dependent habitual in negative clauses is that they do in fact code the negation of a presupposition. An exception to the use of the marker *rà* in the negative habitual occurs if there is no negation of a presupposition. Thus, the exception confirms the proposed explanation of the function of the dependent habitual marker:

- (46) *màllúm*                      *wà*    *bàhá*    *à*                      *tálá*    *skù*  
 marabout                      DEM    also    3SG    walk    NEG  
 'That teacher, he was a sedentary one.' (lit. 'was the one who does not walk', in contrast to traveling teachers)

## 4. Perfect

### 4.1 The form of the perfect aspect

The perfect aspect has the form (Noun phrase) *mà* Verb *-yí*. If there is no noun phrase before the form *mà*, the subject is third-person singular.

The reason we postulate *-yí* as the underlying form rather than *í*, the form most frequently realized, is that the form does not cause the fronting of the preceding vowels or the palatalization of the preceding consonants. The palatal glide is a barrier to vowel fronting and to palatalization. In phrase-internal position, when the form follows the vowel *a*, it is reduced to palatal glide *y* and forms a coda of the preceding syllable. If the stative marker follows a consonant, it is realized as *i* and forms a syllabic peak of its own.

If the verb has the CV structure, the V is deleted, and the marker *yí* becomes the syllabic peak and carries a tone of its own:

- (47) *máv*    *mà*    *s-yí*                      *zà*  
 beer    REL    drink-STAT    EE  
 'The beer is drunk up.' (*ká sà* 'drink')

- (48) *wùdà m̀ d-yí zà*  
 food REL cook-STAT EE  
 ‘The food is cooked.’ (*ká dà* ‘cook’)

The stative suffix keeps its high tone regardless of the tone of the verb:

- (49) *ndir túk m̀ t-yí skù*  
 sorghum 2SG REL measure-STAT NEG  
 ‘Your sorghum is not measured’ (i.e., is not sold) *tà* ‘measure’

The perfect aspect may be followed by the end-of-event marker *za* in affirmative clauses and *dà* in negative clauses. The marker *m̀* is identical with the relative marker, and in order to preserve uniform glossing, we gloss it as REL.

- (50) *m̀ dāl-yí z̀ k̀ mbí*  
 REL do-STAT EE like that  
 ‘It is done like that.’
- (51) *m̀ dāl-yí dá k̀ mbí skù*  
 REL do-STAT NEG like that NEG  
 ‘if it is not done like that’

If the verb has a goal orientation marker, the stative suffix *i* is added after the goal orientation marker but before the possessive subject pronouns:

- (52) *s̀ m̀ nd-à-y n z̀*  
 1SG REL go-GO-STAT 1SG EE  
 ‘I have returned.’

#### 4.2 *The function of the perfect*

The perfect aspect is used to code the state of an entity at a specific time that results from a previous event. The subject of the perfect aspect is affected. Thus, the perfect aspect is used to code the state of the subject with verbs that in the non-perfect aspects take a controlling subject:

- (53) *í m̀ b̀àh-yí á ǹ*  
 3PL REL hide-STAT PRED PREP  
*páy k̀àcín*  
 tree DEM  
 ‘They are hidden in the tree here.’ (The auxiliary *z̀* cannot occur here)

Cf.:

- (54) *í k̀ b̀áh k̀*  
 3PL INF hide POS  
 ‘They hid something.’

If the verb is transitive, the subject noun phrase represents the patient rather than the agent.

- (55) *ǵì m̀ m̀sáw-yí z̀*  
 meat REL grill-STAT EE  
 ‘The meat is grilled.’

The perfect aspect can be used in conditional protasis clauses, where it must be used with the end-of-event marker *z̀*:

- (56) *m̀ m̀ts-yí tsáy z̀*  
 REL die-STAT completely EE  
*ỳ mb̀ǵ̀ t́ ǹ m̀̀*  
 call blacksmith GEN PREP ANAPH  
 ‘If he is completely dead, one calls the blacksmith, who is already there.’ (*z̀* cannot be omitted)

With intransitive verbs of movement, the perfect aspect codes the state that followed the movement:

- (57) *séy g̀áw m̀ nd-à-y z̀*  
 so hunter (F.) REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘So the hunter came.’ (*g̀áw* ‘master hunter, sorcerer, healer’)
- (58) *séy í m̀ nd-à-y z̀*  
 so 3PL REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘So they came.’

- (59) *séy skàn-yíi wàcíŋ í mà nd-à-y*  
 so thing-PL DEM 3PL REL go-STAT  
*zá bət wàdá pá á pət*  
 EE take food give PRED tomorrow  
*zìŋ bát ndrì*  
 time fetch sorghum  
 ‘So the animals came. He took food and gave it to them. Tomorrow he will give them sorghum.’
- (60) *wəl ngən zá skàn-iyí wàcíŋ*  
 woman 3SG COMP thing-PL DEM  
*í mà nd-à-y zá í*  
 3PL REL go-GO-STAT EE 3PL  
*n kə bám nàmú*  
 PREP INF eat 1DU  
 ‘His wife said, “If those animals return, it is us that they will eat.”’

The difference between the use of the perfect form of the verb *ndà* and of a sequential past event form is that perfect codes the subject still being present at the destination:

- (61) *mà nd-à-y zá*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘He has come (and he is still here).’
- (62) *ká nd-á zà*  
 INF go-GO EE  
 ‘He came and went.’ (He is not here anymore.)
- (63) *làkáf trĩš mà ndəv-yí zà*  
 baboon ONOM REL fall-STAT EE  
 ‘Baboon fell down, bam!’ (baboon is still down at the next event in the story)
- (64) *kwáyàŋ zá ɣì mà màts-yí*  
 squirrel COMP meat REL die-STAT  
*báytàŋ á dàmù*  
 large PRED bush  
 ‘The squirrel said, there is a large dead game animal in the bush.’

- (65) *séy dī kà séy mà n-yí zà*  
 so guard POS so REL ripen-STAT EE  
 ‘The more they kept it, the more rotten it became.’ (*kà ná* ‘to ripen’)

The scope of the perfect may be the agent. Thus, the scope of the verb *mbùw* ‘to give birth’ is the mother, not the child:

- (66) *mà mbùw-yí zà*  
 REL give birth-STAT EE  
 ‘She has given birth.’

The perfect aspect may be followed by the point-of-view of source marker *ka*:

- (67) *séy í-bà nd-á tètàn tən tən*  
 so PL-ASSC go-GO 3PL go go  
*zá à zá nà gímíḡìd m̀tsád*  
 EE 3SG COMP PREP monkey tweezers  
*nàḡ mà wáy-á-yí kà*  
 1SG REL forget-GO-STAT POS  
 ‘After they walked for a long time, he told them, “My tweezers are left there.”’

The perfect aspect cannot be formed from adjectives. The explanation for this is that adjectival predicates are inherently stative, and therefore the use of perfect coding would be redundant:

- (68) *wàdà mbéh*  
 food ready  
 ‘The food is ready.’
- (69) *\*wàdà mà mbéh-yí zà*  
 food REL ready-STAT EE  
 for ‘The food is ready.’

The difference between dynamic and stative aspect is that the dynamic aspect determines the time of the event, and the stative does not. In the following example, there are two events with the same verb. The first is expressed through a dynamic expression and the second through the perfect:

- (70) *báy ábà nd-á ngàn séy mbéŋ*  
 chief ASSC go-GO 3SG so 3PL  
*gàmiḡìd-yiì mà nd-à-y zá kà*  
 monkey-PL REL go-GO-STAT EE INF  
*ḡám páy wàcín*  
 eat tree DEM  
 ‘When the chief returned, the monkeys came to eat the tree.’  
 (*gàmiḡìd* ‘yellow monkey’)

- (71) *tàŋ tàŋ á nd-á syì nd-á*  
 walk walk 3SG go-GO COMP go-GO  
*gàmiḡìd-yiì mà wàn-yí á kàtəf*  
 monkey-PL REL sleep-STAT PRED road  
*i-bà màmà tàtə sùlúd tàŋ*  
 PL-ASSC mother.3SG 3PL two DED  
 ‘He walked and walked. When he arrived, he found the monkey  
 lying on the road with his mother, both of them.’

## 5. The terminative aspect

Along with the inceptive aspect coding the beginning of an activity, there is also a terminative aspect, coding the cessation of an activity. This aspect is coded by the verb *náz* ‘throw’, which has an extended meaning ‘stop’. Note that a similar semantic extension has taken place in Polish, where the verb *za-rzucić* ‘over-throw’ came to mean “cease, stop”. As the auxiliary, *nàz* has low tone:

- (72) *séy wàl wà kám ká nàz tál*  
 then woman DEM DEM INF stop walk  
*ḡá skù ḡáp*  
 exist NEG just  
 ‘Then, that woman did not stop taking her walks.’

## 6. The completive aspect

The auxiliary verbs *tók* and *túwəd* ‘finish, end’ follow the main verb. If the main verb has an object, the auxiliary occurs after the object. The verb *tók* has as its scope the event, whereas the verb *túwəd* has as its scope the object of the transitive verb:



- (73) *wàd' ká hòl hòl tók h̀̀z ká*  
 spread POS dry dry finish crush POS  
 'She spread it [sorghum]. When it dried, she crushed it.'
- (74) *ngám̀̀b̀̀ù ng̀̀àn séy s̀̀à á s̀̀à*  
 friend 3SG so drink 3SG drink  
*túwàd' k̀̀à*  
 finish POS  
 'Then his friend finished drinking.' (He drank everything.)
- (75) *mbú ẁ̀à pá á ǹ̀à ngám̀̀b̀̀ù ng̀̀àn*  
 child DEM give PRED PREP friend 3SG  
*s̀̀à s̀̀à túwàd' bàhá*  
 drink drink finish again  
 'That child gave it to his friend. Again he drank everything.'

All auxiliary verbs may occur as main verbs:

- (76) *ng̀̀alám̀̀br̀̀à ẁ̀àc̀̀íy f̀̀és ng̀̀ac̀̀íy túwàd' z̀̀à*  
 story DEM small like that finish EE  
 'This little story ended like that.'
- (77) *séy b̀̀át í b̀̀át mb̀̀à ẁ̀àc̀̀íy d̀̀íy-á*  
 so take 3PL take child DEM put-GO  
*k̀̀à b̀̀áy*  
 PREP chief  
 'So they took the child and made him their chief.'
- (78) *ng̀̀alám̀̀br̀̀à tók z̀̀à vú áb ẁ̀àc̀̀íy*  
 story finish EE Q ASSC DEM  
 'The story has ended like that.'

The completive aspect may also be coded by the adverb *tsáy* 'completely'. The marker *tsáy* is analyzed as an adverb rather than an auxiliary verb, because there is no infinitive form \**k̀̀à tsáy*, and because there is no environment where *tsáy* would be a predicate:

- (79) *k̀̀à d̀̀ǎ t̀̀ípíá tsáy z̀̀à*  
 INF gather termites completely EE  
 'She has finished looking for termites.'

- (80) *kàdám wá dà dà dà dà á*  
 calabash DEM make make make make 3SG  
*d-á-ŋ wùdá mà ná wá kà*  
 make-GO-3SG food that DEM DEM POS  
*gwád tsáy zà*  
 fill completely EE  
 'The calabash made him a lot of food, filled completely.'

## 7. The intentional aspect

The intentional aspect is coded by the auxiliary *ndà* 'go', followed by the infinitive form of the verb. Evidence that the form *ndà* functions as an auxiliary rather than as a lexical verb of movement is provided by its use in the context where no movement takes place:

- (81) *í ndà ká bèr-é cikíd'*  
 3PL go INF sell-GO sesame  
*bùhù ntá*  
 bag (F.) one  
 'They intended to sell one bag of sesame seeds.'

- (82) *ngàd' ngàd' í ngàd' cikè' (zá) ká*  
 count count 3PL count all (EE) POS  
 'They counted all [the sesame seeds].'

Additional evidence for the intentional function of the marker *ndà* is provided by clauses where the movement meaning for the verb *ndà* is ruled out:

- (83) *mà ndà ká šì ví séy*  
 REL go INF run who then  
*ká ñd-á zà*  
 INF hit-GO EE  
 'The one who wants to run away, he hit him.'

The form *ndà* has come to serve as indirect means to code the modality of obligations. This usage is quite similar to the use of the future tense in English to code indirectly the modality of obligation:

- (84) *màllúm*      *à*      *zá*                      *há*      *ndà*      *fât*  
 marabout      3SG      COMP                      2SG      go      skin  
*ñkwà há*      *gàr*      *mèli*      *há*      *màsár*      *màsár*  
 goat      2SG      search oil      2SG      grill      grill  
 'The teacher said, "You should slaughter a goat, get oil, and grill it."'

## 8. Verbs with inherent tense and aspectual values

Several verbs, mainly verbs of movement, have lexicalized various tense and aspectual values as evidenced by the fact that they do not take aspectual markers. The common characteristic of these verbs is that they all have inherent past meaning. They do not have infinitive forms with *kə* either. They also differ from other verbs in that the third-person singular subject is unmarked. These verbs are inherently inceptive. The verbs *tsú* and *tíl* cannot be reduplicated for aspectual or number distinctions.

These verbs serve as the first component for the reduplicated part of other verbs. Thus, the verb *vəl* 'give' cannot be reduplicated, and instead the first part of the reduplicated construction is filled by the form *pá* 'give', a form that does not take the infinitive marker:

- (85) *məl*      *ii*      *məl-á-η*      *ǰə*      *báytaŋ ǰə*      *táŋ*  
 seize      3PL      seize-GO-3SG      cow      large cow      GEN  
*ngùl*      *nd-á*                      *pá*      *í*      *vəl-á-η*      *kàďám*  
 male      go-GO                      give      3PL      give-GO-3SG      calabash  
 'They caught a large cow, a bull, for him, and they gave him a calabash [to fill it with the milk from the bull].'

The verb *tíl* used alone codes a pragmatically dependent clause, as evidenced by the following examples, where it serves as the marker of a temporal protasis clause. The third-person pronominal subject is unmarked:

- (86) *tíl*      *á*      *nə*      *yəm*      *tá*      *áb*  
 go      PRED PREP      water      DED      ASSC  
*dùwáŋ*                      *mbéŋ*                      *tì*      *tì*      *á*      *tìy-ú*  
 back                      ANAPH                      look      look      3SG      look-3SG  
 'Having entered the water, he searched for it [the sesame seed].'

Nominal subjects occur before the verb. The verb *tíl* 'move' is inherently non-locative as it requires the locative predicator *á* before a locative complement:

- (87) *séy páatù tíl á idá ndà dzáŋ á*  
 so cat leave PRED house go find 3SG  
*dzáŋ màtáhày kà b̀àt-á zà*  
 find mouse INF take-GO EE  
 'Having gone to the house, the cat found a mouse and caught it.'

The verb *táŋ* 'return' is inherently locative, as it does not require the locative predicator *á*:

- (88) *tséy hidi wàciŋ táŋ z wùtá à ǵá*  
 so man DEM return EE house 3SG say  
*á n médiŋ ngàŋ wàciŋ ngámbù*  
 PRED PREP neighbor 3SG DEM friend  
*há kà déb-é-ŋ dál nà*  
 2SG INF bring-GO-3SG money PREP  
*hìdà wà dál v̀anú*  
 man DEM money how much  
 'When the man came back to the house, he said to his neighbor,  
 "Friend, you brought money to this man. How much money?"'

Pronominal subjects, however, follow *tíl*. The verb *tíl* serves as the first component of a sequential past event construction formed through reduplication, when the target of the reduplication is *ndà* 'go':

- (89) *séy tíl í nd-á ndà dẽw t̀àt̀à kà*  
 so go 3PL go-GO go sit 3PL POS  
 'So they arrived and remained there.'

Like other verbs of movement the verb *tíl* and the other verbs in the group can take possessive subject pronouns to code the finality of movement:

- (90) *mbà wà tíl ngàn kà n kà màr*  
 child DEM leave 3SG INF PREP INF shepherd  
*dàgáyitii déw kà á wtá*  
 others sit POS PRED house  
*ábà cíŋ tətəŋ*  
 ASSC father.3SG 3PL  
 'That child went to herd. The others stayed at their father's home.'

The evidence for the auxiliary function of *tíl* is provided by the fact that it cannot be used as the only predicate of an independent clause. Thus to a question 'where is he?' one cannot answer:

- (91) \**tíl á nə yəm*  
 enter PRED PREP water  
 for 'He entered into the water.'
- (92) \**tán/\*tíl nə lúmò*  
 go PREP market  
 for 'He arrived at the market.'

The evidence that *tsú* is a verb comes from the fact that it can take subject pronouns from the verbal set, except for the third-person singular, which is unmarked:

- (93) *í tsú nə lúmò*  
 3PL went PREP market  
 'They went to the market.'
- (94) *hí tsú nə lúmò*  
 2PL went PREP market  
 'You went to the market.'

Unlike *tíl*, the verb *tsú* can be used as the only predicate in an independent clause, affirmative or negative:

- (95) *tsú nə lúmò*  
 went PREP market  
 'He went to the market.'

- (96) *tsú zá nà lúmò*  
 went EE PREP market  
 'He went to the market.'

The non-past equivalent of the verbs *tíl* and *tsú* is *nd* 'go'. It is used in functions in which the other three verbs may not be used:

- (97) *kàdúm ndà n lúmò*  
 Kadum go PREP market  
 'Kadum goes to the market!'

- (98) *ndá n lúmò*  
 go PREP market  
 'Go to the market!'

The verb *nd* can be used with the subject-focus construction, in both past and present time reference:

- (99) *kàdúm ká ndà zá n lúmò*  
 Kadum INF go EE PREP market  
 'Kadum was at the market!'

The verb *nd* may be used with the dependent habitual aspect in comment-on-focus clauses:

- (100) *kàdúm ndà rá nà lúmò*  
 Kadum go D.HAB PREP market  
 'It is Kadum that is going to the market!'

The verb *ɓák* 'die', which does not have an infinitive or future tense forms behaves similarly to the verbs *tíl* and *tsú*, in that it does not take the third person singular subject pronouns:

- (101) *séy ɓák mbà á jíb*  
 so died down there PRED hole  
 'So, she died down there in the hole.'

## 9. The iterative aspect

The iterative aspect is coded by the rightward reduplication of the verb:

- (102) *hìd tá nfád-yiì zàm zàm fák-á*  
 man GEN palace (F.)-PL eat eat leave-GO  
 ‘The men of the palace all ate and left the remains.’

If the verb has an object, the object is reduplicated along with the verb:

- (103) *màl í màl-á-η gár tətàη*  
 stop 3PL stop-GO-3SG search 3PL  
 ‘They started looking for them [people hiding].’  
*hók nívəη hók nívəη hók nívəη*  
 remove stone  
*hók nívəη hók nívəη*  
 remove stone  
 ‘They removed stone.’ (repeated four times)

In the following examples the plural event is coded through reduplication leftward, and the iterative in sequential clauses is coded by reduplication rightward:

- (104) *mà ngád ngád pəl á pəl bàtákar*  
 REL count count detach 3SG detach bag  
*ngəd ngəd*  
 count count  
 ‘The one who was good at counting detached the bag and counted [the seeds].’
- (105) *séy kədám wá dà dà á*  
 so calabash DEM cook cook 3SG  
*d-á-η wúd màná wà mbá pè*  
 cook-GO-3SG food like DEM so much  
*té té té té á mà kàbám*  
 spread spread spread spread PRED PREP face  
*ngən*  
 3SG  
 ‘So the calabash made a lot of food for her, [and] spread [it] in front of her.’

## 10. Inceptive aspect

Inceptive aspect is coded periphrastically by the verb *ɓàt* ‘take’ in the simple or reduplicated form. The function of the marker is to code the inception of another event. Evidence for the grammatical rather than verbal function of the form is provided by the fact that this form is followed by another verb. In addition, when this marker occurs, there is no potential object for this verb:

- (106) *mà pàdák njúl ɓàt pàdák á pàdák-á*  
 REL split grass take split 3SG split-GO  
*nástà ngèn nà máŋ*  
 enter (F.) 3SG PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 ‘The one who splits grass split a stalk of grass and entered it.’

- (107) *séy ɓàt á ɓàt mèsíl á mèsíl*  
 then take 3SG take steal 3SG steal  
*yàm wà náy á náy ngán*  
 water DEM throw 3SG throw 3SG  
*ká nà jíbà ɓán á ɓán zà*  
 PREP PREP pocket cross 3SG cross EE  
 ‘Then he up and stole the water, threw it into his pocket, and crossed [the river].’

- (108) *séy ɓámáy náká ká ɓàt zá*  
 so stick REM INF take EE  
*dàp*  
 immediately  
 ‘So the stick took off immediately.’  
*hWáp hWáp hWáp díyà gèld wàl wàhin*  
 bap bap bap put hit woman DEM  
 ‘Wap, wap, wap, [it] started to hit the woman.’

## 11. The unmarked aspect

In the preceding Chapter, we have described the operation of the unmarked tense. The unmarked form of the verb may also be interpreted as having the same aspect as the preceding clause. The unmarked form of the verb may be used in a sequence of clauses in a narrative. Thus in



the following sequence, the third clause does not have the habitual marker *ndí*, although the aspect of the clause is definitely habitual:

(115) *míndéṅà ndí lám bíṅ*  
 another 3SG HAB build house  
 ‘One builds a house.’

*míndéṅ à ndí téwél ẓámáy*  
 another 3SG HAB twirl stick  
 ‘Another twirls a stick.’

*Míndéṅ à pàdák njúl*  
 Another 3SG split grass (a variety)  
 ‘Another splits stalk of grass.’

*Míndéṅ à ndí mbìr*  
 another 3SG HAB jump  
 ‘Another jumps.’

## 12. Conclusions

There are two habitual aspects in Mina: one coding habitual in pragmatically independent clauses and the other coding habitual in pragmatically dependent clauses. The aspectual coding in pragmatically independent clauses is a morphologically marked form, in that it involves reduplication for the past tense form. In pragmatically dependent clauses, a simple form of the verb is used.

In addition to the habitual Mina has the following aspects: perfect, coded by the relative marker *mə̀* preceding the verb and the stative suffix *-yí* added to the verb; terminative coded by auxiliary verb *náz* ‘throw’; completive coded by auxiliary verbs *tók* and *túwəd*, ‘finish’; intentional coded by auxiliary verb *nd* ‘go’; iterative coded by the rightward reduplication of the verb; the inceptive aspect coded by the auxiliary *bat* ‘take’; and the unmarked aspect. The unmarked aspect receives the aspectual interpretation from the preceding clause.



# Chapter 12

## Modality

### 1. Introduction

Mina makes formal distinction between deontic and epistemic modalities. Within epistemic modalities, the speaker's belief in the truth of the proposition is the unmarked value. Dubitative modality is the marked category within the epistemic modality. Mina has also morphological means to code hedging about the truth.

Within the deontic modalities, Mina makes a distinction between imperative, an order given to the second-person singular and plural; subjunctive, a wish with respect to the second person; and optative, expressing a wish with respect to all persons, including second person.

A common characteristic of all deontic moods is that transitive verbs must be followed by an object. If there is no nominal or pronominal object, the unspecified pronoun *ú* is added to the verb. This is distinct from non-deontic moods, which do not require an object with transitive verbs.

In addition to the epistemic and deontic modality, the language also codes the emotive modality.

The Chapter is organized as follows: Section 2 deals with epistemic modality, Section 3 with emotive modality, Sections 4-9 with various deontic modalities, and section 10 with the category 'comment clause'.

### 2. Epistemic modality

As in many languages, the speaker's belief in the truth of the proposition is the unmarked modality in Mina, coded by the indicative affirmative clause (Frajzyngier 1985a). The evidence for this hypothesis is provided by the following facts: (a) there are no overt markers to express the speaker's belief in the truth of his/her statement, and (b) any

other modality must be overtly marked. The present chapter begins with a description of the subdomains within the epistemic modality. By showing the marked subdomains, we provide an argument for the unmarked value of the indicative clause. Then we discuss the emotive modality, and finally various subdomains of the deontic modality.

### 2.1 *Dubitative modality*

The dubitative modality is marked by the form *ńgàhá* or *ńgàhá* ‘like that’, realized as *ngá* in phrase-internal position, and glossed as DUB. Since the deictic has the same variants as the verb ‘break’, it is possible that it is ultimately derived from this verb.

- (1)    *à*        *lùw-á-h*        *zá*                    *hà*    *nék*    *skù*  
       3SG    say-GO-2SG    COMP                2SG    good    NEG  
       *ngà*    *vú*  
       DUB    Q  
       ‘Will he tell you that you are not good?’ (I doubt he will.)

- (2)    *à*        *gàl*    *fěš*    *ngà*    *dáp*  
       3SG    grow    little    DUB    only  
       ‘It grew only a little.’ (about *búrgàdán*, a variety of millet with very small black grains.)

### 2.2 *Hedging*

Uncertainty about the truth of the proposition or of part of it is coded by the particle *màná* ‘like’. This particle may consist of the relative marker *mà* and the form *ná*, for which no potential source has been found in contemporary Mina but which has cognates in many Chadic languages of the area, where it is a *de dicto* complementizer and a verb of saying. As a marker of uncertainty, it precedes the part of the proposition about which the uncertainty is expressed:

- (3) *ván* *dǎ* *rà* *màná* *á*  
 rain fetch:GO D.HAB like PRED  
*nà* *lúmò*  
 PREP market  
 'It was raining as if from the side of the market.'

- (4) *wàl* *ngèn* *zá* *áú* *sà*  
 wife 3SG COMP INTERJ 1SG  
*dál-á-h* *màná* *wà* *mí*  
 do-GO-2SG like DEM what  
 'His wife said, "What did I do to you?"' (i.e., she has not done anything wrong.)

### 3. Emotive modality

Mina appears to have grammaticalized a modality that codes an emotional attitude of the speaker toward a proposition. While such attitudes are coded in many languages, the frequency of the emotive modality coding in Mina indicates that the speakers have to attend to this category whenever there is potential for its occurrence.

Emotive modality is coded by the marker *syì* placed at the end of a phrase or a clause that is in its scope. The following fragment contains three uses of the marker *syì*; the first two have a topic and an adverbial phrase in its scope, and the third has a clause in its scope:

- (5) *ah* *bàhámàn* *á* *túk* *bákà*  
 oh Bahaman PRED you today  
*syì* *ták* *píč* *wà* *syì*  
 COM all sun DEM COM  
 'Oh, Bahaman, for you, with all this heat?'

- (6) *á* *túk* *há* *báŋ* *rà* *skù*  
 PRED you 2SG think D.HAB NEG  
*wúl* *bét* *rà* *wá* *syì*  
 neck break D.HAB DEM COM  
 "'You are not thinking, you are yelling with joy.'" (*bét* 'break a container to get its contents', e.g. break a coconut)

The marker *syì* codes a range of emotions including surprise, astonishment, and amazement. The marker codes speaker's emotional

comment on a proposition or event. For purely conventional reasons, it is glossed as COM for “comment”:

(7) *hàη hàη hàη á hàη məná wàcíη syì*  
 cry cry cry 3SG cry like that DEM COM  
 ‘He cried a lot like that.’

(8) *bàhámán à dzə wúl wàcíη syì*  
 Bahaman 3SG cry neck DEM COM  
 ‘Bahaman is crying over there.’

The marker is also used with requests, perhaps as a marker of impatience:

(9) *àa ndə bət-á nòk skú syì*  
 ah go get-GO 1PL NEG COM  
*á vàηgáy*  
 how  
 ‘“Ah, go bring it to us, otherwise what can we do?”’

In some of its uses the function of the marker means something like “given such and such a situation, doing X satisfies the condition Y,” “just like that”:

(10) *dà dà á d-á-η tá*  
 make make 3SG make-GO-3SG PL  
*wùdə wàcíη syì*  
 food DEM COM  
 ‘Then it made food for them.’

(11) *ndə ʒəgám syì məl á məl-á-η tá*  
 go talk COM hit 3SG hit-GO-3SG 3PL  
*ndə tətə mà bá syì*  
 go 3PL DEM over there COM  
 ‘They talked [to the stick]. It started beating them over there.’

The single characteristics of this marker is that it occurs at the end of the clause:

- (12) *hàŋ    hàŋ    hàŋ    á    hàŋ    máná    wàcíŋ    syì*  
 cry    cry    cry    3SG    cry    like    DEM    COM  
 'He cried a lot like that.'

*tús    ñgàtsà*  
 right    like that  
 'rightly like that' (*tús* 'right, well')

The marker *syì* may also occur between the matrix and the complement clause. Such use is discussed in the chapter on comment clauses.

## 4. Imperative

### 4.1 The deontic stem

The mood of obligation in both imperative and debitive is coded, in addition to any syntactic means, by tone lowering on the verb. Such stems are called here deontic stems. The monosyllabic high tone verbs become low tone:

- (13) *ðám    ɓì*  
 eat    meat  
 'Eat the meat!'

Cf.:

- (14) *kà    ðám    ɓì    zà*  
 INF    eat    meat    EE  
 'He ate the meat'

- (15) *lám    bíŋ*  
 build    house  
 'Build a house!'

Cf.:

- (16) *kà    lám    bíŋ    zà*  
 INF    build    house    EE  
 'He built a house.'

- (17) *mbir*  
 jump  
 'Jump!'

Cf.:

- (18) *kà mbír zà*  
 INF jump EE  
 'He jumped.'

Verbs that have high-low structure form their deontic form through a change into low-low structure:

- (19) *tèwèl ǵámbáy*  
 twirl stick  
 'Let him twirl the stick!'

Cf.:

- (20) *kà téwèl ǵámbáy zà*  
 REL twirl stick EE  
 'the one who twirls the stick'

The low-high verbs stay low-high in the imperative:

- (21) *pàdák njúl*  
 split grass  
 'Split a stalk of grass!'

- (22) *kà pàdák njúl zà*  
 INF split grass EE  
 'He split grass.'

Low tone verbs stay low in the imperative:

- (23) *ǵim-ú*  
 listen-3SG  
 'Let him listen!'

- (24) *ká ǵim zá*  
 INF listen EE  
 'He listened.'

- (25) *ngàd-ú*  
 count-3SG  
 'Count it!'



Cf.:

(26) *ká ngàd' zá*  
 INF count EE  
 'He counted'

(27) *tìy-ú*  
 'Look!'  
 'Let him look.'

*ká tì zá*  
 INF look EE  
 'He looked.'

If the verb has the goal orientation extension *á*, the tone in the deontic stem remains high:

(28) *ndá kù yám*  
 go:GO take also  
 'Come take some also!'

The goal-orientation marker preceding object pronouns becomes low in the deontic forms as illustrated later in section 5.

#### 4.2 Subject coding in the imperative

The imperative may have the nominal addressee preceding the verb:

(29) *kwáykwáyà ndè dáp nè gr-á nòkón*  
 hyena go just go find-GO 1PL  
 'Hyena, you just go and find for us !' (Kefedjerveng dialect)

(30) *há lùw-á-η ngási*  
 2SG say-GO-3SG like that  
*ǵámbáy n-dí dál tá vù*  
 stick go do DED Q  
 'You say to it just like that, "Stick, do it?"'

If there is no nominal addressee preceding the verb, the second-person singular subject pronoun *há* is optional. Both the singular and plural second-person subject pronouns have high tone in the imperative. The second-person singular subject pronoun is used when there is

a modal adverb or when there is a sequence of commands. Both of these cases are illustrated in the following examples:

- (31) *gélbà*            *kám*            *há*    *pàts-à*  
 better (F.)        TOP (F.)        2SG    take:IMPER-GO  
*nòk*                *mbà*    *ntá*    *há*    *d-à*  
 1PL.INCL        child    one    2SG    cook:IMPER-GO  
*nòkóŋ*  
 1PL.INCL  
 ‘You’d better take one of your children and cook him for us all.’

If the order is given to more than one addressee, the second-person plural pronoun must be used. The pronoun has high tone in the imperative:

- (32) *á*        *tikìn*    *kám*            *hí*        *nd-àhá*  
 PRED 2PL    TOP(F.)        2PL        go:IMPER-GO  
*hì*        *fú*                      *tàŋ*  
 2PL    all (F.)                DED  
 ‘As for you, you all come!’

- (33) *hí*        *yà*        *ngùl*            *ngàn*  
 2PL    call        husband        3SG  
*wàl*        *tùk*        *kà*        *mìsíl*    *zà*  
 wife    2SG    INF    steal    EE  
 ‘Call her husband, [tell him] “Your wife has committed a theft.”’

#### 4.3 Object coding in the imperative

If the verb is transitive and there is no nominal or specific pronominal object, the third-person definite object marker *ú* must be added to the verb. Transitive verbs with the CVC structure undergo tone lowering if they have high tone and add *ú* after the last consonant:

- (34) *zàm-ú* ‘eat!’; *bàk-ú* ‘pour (sand, grain, flour)!’; *wày-ú* ‘forget!’;  
*bèr-ú* ‘sell!’; *pàs-ú* ‘cover with soil’

The evidence that *ú* is the definite object marker is provided by the fact that if the object is plural the third-person plural pronoun is used:

(35) *tì tàŋ*  
look 3PL  
'Look at them!'

(36) *bèr tàŋ*  
'Sell them!'

The formation of the imperative allows us to determine that some of the verbs that without any suffixes impressionistically end in a vowel actually have a glottal continuant in the C2 position, and that the glottal continuant is often deleted in phrase-final position:

(37)	Indicative	Imperative	Gloss
	<i>góh</i>	<i>gòh-ú</i>	'wash
	<i>káh</i>	<i>kàh-ú</i>	'bury'
	<i>bòh</i>	<i>bòh-ú</i>	'break off a branch'

If the verb has CVC structure and the vowel is [+front], the object suffix is fronted:

(38) *báy zá mède-ú*  
chief COMP swear-3SG  
'The chief said, "Swear!"'

If the verb ends in the vowel *a*, the form *u* replaces the vowel of the verb and assumes the low tone of the deontic stem, and not of the underlying stem:

(39) *sù* 'drink!' (*sà* 'drink')  
*wù* 'start!' (*wà* 'start')  
*rù* 'dig!' (*rá* 'dig a hole')  
*tsù* 'set the field on fire!' (*tsá* 'put fire into a field')

The addition of the object marker *ú* to verbs with final high vowels *i* or *u* supports the hypothesis that these verbs have an underlying palatal or labial glide in word final position. Thus, *mbù* 'give birth, unite, put together' has the imperative *mbùwú* 'put together'. Other forms in-

clude *lùwú* ‘say!’ from *lù* ‘say’ and the following examples with palatal glides:

- (40) *dī* ‘put’            *dīyú!*  
       *tìy* ‘see’         *tìyú!*

Some intransitive verbs, specifically verbs in which the subject undergoes movement or change, also have the deontic formed with *ú* if the locative complement is not overtly coded:

- (41) *zìn-ú*  
       return:IMPER-3SG  
       ‘Return there!’

If the locative complement is overtly coded, there is no suffix *ú*:

- (42) *zìn*                    *màrbák*  
       return:IMPER        Marbak  
       ‘Return to Marbak!’

- (43) *yàp*    and    *yàp-ú* ‘rest!’

- (44) *yàp*            *nà*    *bàbúsì*  
       rest:IMPER    PREP mat  
       ‘Rest on the mat!’ (*kà yáp* ‘return’)

*nz-ú* ‘sit down!’ (can be used only when pointing at a mat, chair, etc.):

- (45) *nzà*                *káyàk*  
       sit:IMPER        ground  
       ‘Sit on the ground!’

Intransitive verbs *ndà* ‘go’ and *ší* ‘run!’ do not end in the vowel *u* in the imperative, but rather keep their underlying vowels:

- (46) *kwáykwáy*    *zá*                    *hí*    *ndà*  
       hyena            COMP                2PL    go  
       ‘Hyena said, “You go!”’

If the verb *ndà* ‘go’ is followed by a complement clause, the verb has the final vowel reduced:

- (47) à      *ɓá*      *hí*      *ndà*      *yà*      *cíŋ*  
 3SG    say    2PL    go      call    father.3SG  
*háɓàm*              *wàcín*  
 girl                    DEM  
 ‘He said, “Go and call the father of that girl!”’

The deontic form may have the point-of-view of source marker added:

- (48) *ɓàt*    *á*      *ɓàt*    *káyyà*              *hí*    *màl*    *ká*  
 start    3SG    start    INTERJ            2PL    catch    POS  
 ‘He started, “Yikes! Stop him!”’

- (49) *hí*      *kàm*    *fú*      *tàŋ*    *hí*      *wàn*    *fúkà*  
 2PL    TOP    all      DED    2PL    sleep    completely  
*mùkàdkádáŋ*    *sùlúd*    *sùlúd*  
 upside down    two      two  
 ‘As for you all, you sleep all on your back in pairs.’

## 5. Polite orders

The order may be made polite through several means. One is by a periphrastic construction consisting of the negative marker *skú* but with high rather than low tone, the emotive marker *syì* and the interrogative *á vàngáy* ‘how’. Note that the examples below contain goal-orientation marker preceding object pronouns in the imperative mood. In this position the goal-orientation marker has low tone:

- (50) *àa*      *ndà*    *ɓàt-à*              *nòk*    *skú*    *syì*  
 ah      go      get-GO1            PL      NEG    COM  
*á*      *vàngáy*  
 PRED how  
 ‘“Ah, go bring it to us, otherwise what can we do?”’

Another means of making the order polite is through the clause-final particle *gí* following the verb in deontic form.

- (51) *kàdǎm*              *vl-à-k*              *wùd*    *gí*  
 calabash            give-GO-1SG    food    POL  
 ‘Calabash, could you give me some food?’

- (52) *séy* *ɓàt* *dɛf-é* *skàn* *nákà* *wá* *gí*  
 so take show-GO thing REM DEM POL  
 ‘So, take and show [me] that thing!’

The form *gí* is used in all kinds of situations when politeness is involved:

- (53) *kàdǎm* *vl-à* *nà* *wàdá*  
 calabash give-GO 1PL.EXCL food  
*gi tsáy dáp*  
 POL finish only  
 ‘Calabash, give us food! That is all.’

- (54) *màn-à-k* *gí*  
 help-GO-1SG POL  
 ‘Help me!’ (*ká màn* ‘help’)

- (55) *á* *zá* *ǵámbáy* *nd-à-k* *gí*  
 3SG COMP stick hit-GO-1SG POL  
 ‘She said, “Stick, beat me, please!”’

A related Chadic language, Gidar, whose outlying villages are as close as 20 kilometers away from Hina settlements, has the debitive marker *gèní*, reduced to *gán* in phrase-internal position. That may indicate the marker of politeness in Mina and the debitive in Gidar are related. Whether this relationship indicates a common retention, a common innovation or a borrowing has to be decided by a comparative study of the relevant domains in Chadic languages.

Among people of equal rank and age, the imperative clause may end in the form *màk* ‘first’, ‘wouldn’t you’. This form may not be used when addressing older people or people in a higher social rank:

- (56) *séy* *à* *zá* *sà* *yàm* *zá* *màk*  
 so 3SG COMP drink water EE first  
 ‘Then he said, “Why don’t you drink the water first?”’

## 6. Debitive mood

There are several means to code obligation with respect to the first and the third person and non-imperative obligation with respect to the sec-

ond person. Some of these means involve use of markers that seem to be uniquely dedicated to this function, and other means also have other functions in the language, but they acquire the debitive function through their use in specific constructions.

### 6.1 Debitive with respect to the third person

The mood of obligation with respect to the third-person is coded by the marker *má* (note the high tone) preceding the verb, which has low tone. The form is glossed as DEB for ‘debitive’. We are grateful to Bernard Comrie for suggesting this term. If the subject is nominal, the debitive marker follows the subject:

- (57) *kwàkwà*      *zá*      *mbí*                      *kám*                      *ǰì*  
 hyena              COMPANAPH              TOP (F.)              meat  
*tì*      *kìní*      *má*      *nzà-h*              *híŋ*      *kà*  
 GEN 2SG      DEB      stay-2SG              2PL      POS  
 ‘Hyena said, “If it is like that, your meat should remain with you.”’

The third-person singular pronominal subject is unmarked:

- (58) *má*      *lám*      *bíŋ*  
 DEB      build      house  
 ‘Let him build a house.’

The debitive marker differs from the relative marker in tone only:

- (59) *mà*      *lám*      *bíŋ*  
 REL      build      house  
 ‘the one who builds a house’

Verbs that have high-low structure form their debitive through a change into low-low structure:

- (60) *má*      *tèwèl*      *ǰámbáy*  
 DEB      twirl      stick  
 ‘Let him twirl the stick!’

Cf.:

(61) *mà téwèl ǰámbáy*  
REL twirl stick  
'the one who twirls the stick'

(62) *má pàdák njúl*  
DEB split grass  
'Let him split grass!'

(63) *mà pádāk njúl*  
REL split grass  
'the one who splits a stalk of grass'

Monosyllabic verbs with high tone in the indicative have low tone in the subjunctive:

(64) *má mbír*  
DEB jump  
'Let him jump!'

Cf.:

(65) *mà mbír mbír*  
REL jump jump  
'the one who jumps'

(66) *má ǰim*  
DEB listen  
'Let him listen!'

Cf.

(67) *mà ǰím ǰím*  
REL listen-listen  
'the one who is a listener'

(68) *má ngəd*  
DEB count  
'Let him count!'

Cf.:

(69) *mà ngád ngád*  
REL count count  
'the one who counts'



- (70) *má tìy-ù*  
 DEB look-look  
 'Let him look.'
- (71) *mà tíy-tíy*  
 REL look-look  
 'The one who looks.'

Here are examples of the use of debitive forms in natural discourse:

- (72) *séy má nd-á ngàm*  
 so 3SG go-GO because  
*à dám-á-η rà*  
 3SG hurt-GO-3SG D.HAB  
 'He should go because it hurts him.'
- (73) *fâk ká má ndá*  
 leave POS DEB go  
 'Let him go.'
- (74) *à ndà r skù mbí*  
 3SG go D.HAB NEG ANAPH  
*má žèbér tá tükóη*  
 DEB follow GEN 2SG  
 'If she does not leave, she should follow yours.'

The second person in the debitive is marked by the pronoun *há*. Recall that in the imperative, the second person singular is marked by independent pronouns or is unmarked. Hence, use of the pronoun is the coding means for the debitive modality:

- (75) *báy zá dâl mùnyál kè mìsíl-é-h*  
 chief COMP do patience (F.) INF steal-GO-2SG  
*zà há nd-á há gíz-è-kù*  
 EE 2SG go-GO 2SG tell-GO-1SG  
 'The chief said, "Patience. If he steals, you should come and tell me."'
- (76) *há bät-à-k rá*  
 2SG take-GO-1SG DAT.OR  
 'You should bring it to me.'

- (77) *há žèbér kà gàr mbéŋ*  
 2SG follow INF want ANAPH  
 ‘You should follow [her] in order to get her.’

The debitive clause may also end in the clause-final familiarity marker *màk*, the same form that we have seen already in use in the deontic form:

- (78) *à zá nd-á sà r-á-h*  
 3SG COMP go-GO 1SG dig-GO-2SG  
*hì yàm màk*  
 2PL water first  
 ‘He said, “Come, so that I will dig a well for you.”’

Obligation with respect to the first person is coded by high tone on the pronouns and the deontic stem of the verb:

- (79) *nók mbáŋí-yì nók mbù tàlàn dá*  
 1PL:INCL blacksmith 1PL unite head exist  
*ká ɓàgám mà pàr skù*  
 INF speak word other NEG  
 ‘We blacksmiths, we have to get together, there is nothing else to say.’

- (80) *séy sá nd-á ngàm*  
 so 1SG go-GO because  
*à dóm-á-ŋ rà*  
 3SG hurt-GO-3SG D.HAB  
 ‘I should go because it hurts him’

- (81) *séy nók nd-á ngàm*  
 so 1PL.INCL go-GO because  
*à dóm-á-ŋ rà*  
 3SG hurt-GO-3SG D.HAB  
 ‘We should go because it hurts him’

The verb *nd* ‘go’ has also a special debitive form *ázù*, which is followed by possessive subject pronouns.)

- (82) *à zá á nà gímíḡíḡ áz*  
 3SG COMP PRED PREP monkey go  
*tùmù méḡìḡ ngáḡ ngáḡ í ngáḡ-á*  
 1DU neighbor pull pull 3PL pull-GO  
*pám á míḡíḡ kwáyàḡ*  
 until PRED court squirrel  
 ‘He said to the monkey, “Let’s go, neighbor.” They pulled it to the squirrels courtyard.’

### 6.2 Debitive modality through auxiliary *dà*

The debitive modality with respect to the first person, and only the first person, can also be coded through the auxiliary verb *dà* ‘bring’. The auxiliary occurs before the subject pronoun:

- (83) *kwáyàḡ zá dà sà tàp-ú*  
 squirrel COMP bring 1SG climb-3SG  
*ká ngà ká mäsáw tàlàn náḡ*  
 INF break INF grill head 1SG  
 ‘Squirrel said, “Let me climb, break [myself into pieces], and grill myself.”’
- (84) *dà sá híḡḡíḡ-é-h kràp*  
 bring 1SG sew-GO-2SG shoe  
 “‘Wait, I will sew you some shoes.’”

The use of *dà* with the third person pronouns results in an ungrammatical construction:

- (85) *\*dà tàtàn mäl-á-ḡ ḡkwà*  
 bring 3PL catch-GO-3SG goat  
 for ‘They should catch a goat for him.’

## 7. Coding the mood of obligation through the infinitive

Another means of coding obligation is with the infinitive marker *ká*. This means is used with respect to all persons in both singular and plural:

- (86) *wàl*                    *ḡim*    *mà*    *r*                    *skù*    *kà*  
 woman                    hear    mouth D.HAB                    NEG    INF  
*gám*    *kà*  
 chase    POS

'The woman who does not obey should be chased away.'

- (87) *wá*    *mà*    *ká*    *ndà*    *ká*    *gàd-á*  
 but    REL    INF    go    INF    take-GO  
*nòk*    *kú*    *ví*  
 1PL    fire    who

'But who should go to find us fire?'

The coding of obligation through the infinitive is a means used in German, Russian and Polish, to mention just a few Indo-European languages.

### 8. Coding the mood of obligation through possessive constructions

The third means of coding the mood of obligation is through an expression consisting of a possessive pronoun followed by the infinitive form of the verb. There are two types of this construction. One consists of the debitive form *áz* 'go' followed by a genitive expression, and followed by the verb. The verb has low tone, i.e., it occurs in the deontic form. Consequently, the infinitive marker has high tone:

- (88) *à*    *ḡá*    *áz*    *tàm*                    *ká*    *ṣì*    *táŋ*  
 3SG    say    go    1DU.GEN                    INF    run    DED  
 'He said, "Let's run!"'

- (89) *áz*    *tòk*                    *ká*    *kàh*    *ksám*    *tòk*    *ká*  
 go    1PL.INCL                    INF    bury    body    1PL    POS  
*skù*    *syì*    *kà*    *dál-á-ŋ*                    *vàŋgáy*  
 NEG    COM    INF    do-GO-3SG                    how  
 'Let's bury ourselves. Otherwise, what can we do?'

- (90) *tò,*                    *áz*    *tòk*  
 okay (H.)                    go    1PL.INCL  
*ká*    *gr-á*                    *kúhú*  
 INF    search-GO                    fire  
 'Okay, let us find fire'

The other type consists of possessive pronouns preceding the verb in the infinitive form:

- (91) *tó* *misíl* *tá* *nà* *nigeria* *wàcìṅ*  
 well (H.) thief GEN PREP Nigeria DEM  
*à* *ḡá* *séy* *nàṅ* *ká* *bàm-á*  
 3SG say then 1DU INF meet-GO  
*ábà* *misíl* *tá* *n* *Cameroun* *wàcìṅ*  
 ASSC thief GEN PREP Cameroon DEM  
 ‘The Nigerian thief said, “I have to go to meet the Cameroonian thief.”’

- (92) *misíl* *tá* *nà* *Cameroun* *wàcìṅ* *à* *zá*  
 thief GEN PREP Cameroon DEM 3SG COMP  
*nàṅ* *ká* *bàmá* *ábà* *misíl* *tá* *nà* *nigeria*  
 1DU INF meet ASSC thief GEN PREP Nigeria  
*wàcìṅ*  
 DEM  
 ‘The Cameroonian thief said, “I should meet with the Nigerian thief.”’

## 9. Coding the mood of obligation through modal adverbs

The fourth means of coding the mood of obligation is by the adverb *gèlbé* ‘better’. This form can be followed by the dependent future, viz. the future tense that is used in pragmatically dependent clauses:

- (93) *gèlbé* *sà* *n* *kà* *dī* *ndīr* *ká*  
 better 1SG PREP INF put sorghum PREP  
*kàcìṅ*  
 DEM  
 ‘It is better that I put the sorghum here . . .’

This adverb may also be coded by the deontic form of the verb:

- (94) *gèlbé* *kám* *há* *pàts-á* *nók* *m̀bà*  
 better TOP(F.) 2SG take-GO 1PL child  
*ntá* *hà* *d-á* *nòkòṅ*  
 one 2SG cook-GO 1PL  
 ‘You better take one of your children and cook it for us.’

**10. Comment clause**

Mina has grammaticalized the category ‘comment clause’. Comment on another proposition in discourse (as opposed to comment on another clause in the sentence) is marked by the clause initial marker *wà* identical with the proximate deictic marker. The proposition to which another proposition is related may have been produced or implied by the speaker or by the addressee or the speaker may think that the addressee holds a given proposition.

- (95) *wà hí dál-á mí*  
 DEM 2PL do-GO what  
 ‘But what have you done?’
- (96) *wà hí ndà kà gr-á tá syì*  
 DEM 2PL go INF search-GO DED COM  
*mí*  
 what  
 ‘But what were you looking for?’
- (97) *wà tìy màk zàmán tà*  
 DEM look first age(Ar.) DED  
*à gáy vú à ngám vù*  
 3SG spoil Q 3SG beauty Q  
 ‘But look, this age, is it bad or is it good?’
- (98) *wà gèlḃá sà n ká nt-àh*  
 DEM better 1SG PREP INF pay-2SG  
*ḃà skú syì sà n-kà*  
 UNSP.ANAPH thing COM 1SG PREP-INF  
*dál-á-ḡ vàḡgáy*  
 do-GO-3SG how  
 ‘It’s better that I pay you for it. Otherwise, what will I do?’

The marker *wà* can occur between the two clauses, and then the clause that precedes it is the clause to which the ensuing proposition is related. The marker *wà* codes the dilemma posed by the preceding clause. The second clause often ends with an interrogative marker:

- (99) *mbú* *dàp* *vl-à-k* *wàl* *nà*  
 pardon only give-GO-1SG woman 1SG  
*ká* *wà* *sà* *n* *kà* *dál* *vàngáy*  
 POS but 1SG PREP INF do how  
 ‘Sorry, could you give me back my wife? Otherwise, what can I do?’

- (100) *ká* *zàm* *tá* *ngàn* *cík* *zà* *židèp*  
 INF eat GEN 3SG full (F.) EE already  
*wà* *hà* *η* *ká* *lùw-á-η* *vàngáy*  
 DEM 2SG PREP INF say-GO-3SG how  
 ‘He already ate his fill. So how are you going to tell him?’

- (101) *sà* *nd-á* *ká* *giz-é-η* *mà* *ndà* *má*  
 1SG go-GO INF tell-GO-3SG REL go REL  
*bàm-à* *yòwà* *sà* *n* *ká* *gàr* *žì* *ví*  
 eat-GObut 1SG PREP INF search then who  
 ‘I came to tell him to go and to eat, but who will I look for?’

The source of the marker *wà* may be sought in the segmentally identical deictic marker *wa*, which as a modifier has an identical tone with that of the preceding syllable.

## 11. Coding counterexpectation

Counterexpectation, resulting from the preceding discourse or from the speech situation, can be coded by the marker *àmmá* borrowed from Arabic via Fula or Hausa.

- (102) *žéžé* *kàm* *gúzàk* *ká* *mbàl*  
 before TOP maternal uncle INF help  
*žálvày* *zá*  
 paternal uncle EE  
 ‘In the old times the maternal uncle helped the paternal uncle.’
- (103) *àmmá* *gúzàk* *wàcìη* *hà* *n* *kìndìη* *ábà*  
 but uncle DEM 2SG PREP fear ASSC  
*mbéη*  
 ANAPH  
 ‘But the maternal uncle, you fear him . . .’

## **12. Conclusions**

The intended truth is the unmarked epistemic modality of the indicative clause. All other epistemic modalities, such as dubitative and hypothetical must be overtly marked. Deontic modality is coded by inflectional changes, more specifically, by tonal changes in the verb. Deontic modality may also be coded by modal adverbs occurring at the beginning of the clause.



# Chapter 13

## End-of-event coding

### 1. Introduction

Mina has grammaticalized a category, which for the lack of a better term we call ‘end-of-event’. Since this is not a commonly found category, the purpose of this chapter is to provide a description of the category, the evidence for its existence, the interaction of the category with other categories in the language, more specifically with the categories of aspect and tense, and the implications of the existence of the category. Because the category is linked with the domain of modality, with the domain of discourse coding, and with the systems of tense and aspect, it is an important component of the grammatical structure of Mina.

### 2. The form and syntax of the end-of-event marker

The end of event is marked by the form *za* in phrase-final position, and *zə* or *z*, depending on what follows it, in phrase-internal position. The tone on the marker *za* is polar with respect to the preceding tone. The form *za* and its phonological variants are glossed as EE.

The syntax of the end-of-event marker is interesting in that it distinguishes between the direct object of the verb and all other complements, thus providing a tool for determining the categorial status of a constituent. The form *za* follows the direct object:

- (1) *ngùl-yî*      *s*      *kà*      *dzán-á*      *nám*  
husband-PL    1SG    INF    find-GO    1DU  
*skàn*    *zá*  
thing    EE  
‘My husband, I found us something.’

The end-of-event marker occurs before a locative complement, regardless of whether the locative complement is preceded by a preposition. Thus, the noun *dámù* ‘bush’ which is not preceded by a preposition is inherently locative, and if it also follows an inherently locative verb, the end of event marker must occur after the verb:

- (2) *séy mà ngùl ngùl tiy*  
 so REL husband husband see  
*á tìy-ú wàl tsú zà dàmù*  
 3SG see-3SG wife went EE bush  
 ‘So the husband saw that the wife went to the bush.’

The end-of-event marker precedes the infinitival complement:

- (3) *tò kwáykwáy tán zà ká gád-á*  
 okay hyena go EE INF take-GO  
*kúhú*  
 fire  
 ‘Okay, the hyena went to get fire.’

The marker *za* precedes the possessive subject pronouns:

- (4) *séy báy déb zà ngàn ká á idá*  
 then chief take EE 3SG POS PRED home  
 ‘Then the chief took the calabash home.’

If the verb is transitive and there is no object, the marker *za* follows the verb:

- (5) *zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
 ‘He ate a lot.’

### 3. The function of the end-of-event marker

The form *za* functions in the domain of modality, in that it implies that the event is real, regardless of its absolute time or aspect. The evidence for the affirmative value of the marker *za* is provided by the fact that it occurs only in affirmative clauses. It does not occur in negative clauses,

i.e. in clauses that explicitly state that an event did not or will not occur. All examples in the present chapter confirm this hypothesis.

The notion of event contrasts here with the notion of state. Only events can be marked with the marker *za*. The description of states cannot have this marker.

The marker *za* occurs with the last clause in a sequence of clauses where each clause describes an event following the preceding one. If there is a clause following *za*, it codes a different event:

- (6) *séy* *ɓàt* *á* *ɓàt* *màshíl* *á* *màshíl* *yìm*  
 then take 3SG take steal 3SG steal water  
*wà* *náz* *á* *náz* *ngán* *ká* *nà* *jíbà*  
 DEM throw 3SG throw 3SG PREP PREP pocket  
*ɓán* *á* *ɓán* *zà*  
 cross 3SG cross EE  
 ‘Then he up and stole the water, threw it into his pocket, and crossed [the river].’

The evidence that the end-of-event marker *za* is not a tense category is provided by the fact that it can occur in the future and in the past time reference:

- (7) *wàl* *ngàn* *màsáw* *zà*  
 woman 3SG grill EE  
 ‘His wife will grill.’

- (8) *ɓàt* *á* *ɓàt-ú* *tsànáɗ* *tá* *gàmták*  
 take 3SG take-3SG gizzard GEN chicken  
*ɓám* *ɓám* *zà*  
 eat eat EE  
 ‘She took the chicken gizzard and ate it.’<sup>1</sup>

Here is an example whose absolute time is undetermined:

---

1. This is a serious infraction in Hina customs. The chicken gizzard is reserved only for the head of the family. Wives and children should not eat it. Similar restrictions exist among other groups in Northern Cameroon.

- (9) *ángà* *hì* *kíndíy* *ábà* *gúzàk* *dá* *skù*  
 if 2PL fear ASSC maternal uncle exist NEG  
*kíndíy* *zà* *ábà* *ví*  
 fear EE ASSC who  
 'If you do not fear your maternal uncle, who are you going to fear?''<sup>2</sup>

The evidence that the marker *za* is not an aspectual category is provided by the fact that it occurs with various aspects, more specifically in sequential past, and the independent and the dependent habitual aspect. Here is an example in sequential past:

- (10) *ḡàḡ* *í* *ḡàḡ* *zá*  
 cross 3PL cross EE  
 'They crossed [the river].' (What follows is an unrelated paragraph.)

Habitual aspect:

- (11) *séy* *á* *tàt* *kám* *í* *ndí* *ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP (F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ḡì* *zá* *ká* *nd-á* *kà* *dá* *tàḡ*  
 meat EE INF go-GO INF cook DED  
 'As for them [the hyenas], they just catch the meat [and] bring it for cooking.' (i.e., they have plenty of meat)

Here are examples of the use of the end-of-event marker with the perfect aspect:

- (12) *mà* *ḡim ḡim* *zá* *cìkíd'* *má*  
 REL listen listen COMP sesame REL  
*ndàv-yí* *zà*  
 fall-STAT EE  
 'The one who was good at listening said, "A sesame seed fell down."'

---

2 One fears one's own father less than one's maternal uncle. One does not quarrel or dispute with one's maternal uncle. The maternal uncle can order his nephew to do anything, and the nephew has to obey.

- (13) *séy*                    *áb*    *dùwáŋ*                    *mbéŋ*                    *làkwát*  
 then (H.)                ASSC   back                    ANAPH                    river  
*mè*    *nd-à-y*                    *zá*  
 REL    go-GO-STAT   EE  
 ‘And afterwards the river came.’

- (14) *séy*    *hidii*    *wà*    *mè*    *ndá-y*                    *zá*  
 then    people   DEM   REL    come-STAT    EE  
 ‘Then those people [the hyenas] came.’

- (15) *í*        *nd*        *rà*    *í*        *nd*        *rà*  
 3PL    go        D.HAB    3PL    go        D.HAB  
*í*        *nd*        *rà*    *ndè*    *dzáŋ*    *làkwát*  
 3PL    go        D.HAB    go        find        river  
*mè*        *nd-à-y*    *zá*  
 REL    go-GO-STAT   EE  
 ‘They were going, going, going, till they came to a river, which was filled up.’ (A new discourse paragraph follows.)

The end-of-event marker can occur in the deontic mood:

- (16) *má*    *ndè*        *zá*        *gwà*  
 OPT    go        EE        first  
*túgwà*                    *hà*        *yè*        *ví*  
 patience                2SG    call        who  
 ‘Let her go first. Patience, who are you talking about?’

The distribution of *za* within discourse provides one piece of evidence for its end-of-event function. The marker occurs at the end of stories, when nothing in the story can follow:

- (17) *wàcín*    *dár*        *tá*        *ndir*    *ká*        *gàḅ*  
 that    dance   GEN    sorghum    PREP    thresh  
 ‘That is the festivity for the threshing of sorghum.’

*tók*        *zá*  
 end        EE  
 ‘It ended.’

Several clauses may follow one another, each having an end-of-event marker:

- (19) *lúgà*            *ngàn*    *wàcín* *mà*    *gáy*    *zà*  
 bowstring        3SG    DEM    REL    spoil    EE  
*ngàm*            *kámì*    *wàl*            *ngàn*    *kà*        *óám*  
 because          why    woman            3SG    INF        eat  
*tsànáǎf*        *tá*        *gàmták*        *wà*        *zá*  
 gizzard            GEN    chicken            DEM    EE  
 ‘His bowstring is spoiled. Why? Because his wife ate the chicken gizzard.’

The end-of-event marker may be used in a clause that is followed by a temporal marker, but then the second clause is not a result or an explanation of the preceding clause:

- (20) *ká*    *fàk*    *wàl*    *zá*  
 INF    give    neck    EE  
 ‘He started to yell’ (from joy).

*séy*    *míǎf*    *fàk*  
 then    wind    give  
 ‘Then a wind blew.’

#### 4. The end-of-event marker in protasis clauses

The end-of-event marker must occur in temporal and conditional protasis clauses of sentences of the type: If/when X is done then Y. The presence of the end-of-event marker in such clauses provides further evidence for its postulated function. Here are examples of conditional protases:

- (21) *ndiká*            *mànjé* *wàhín* *sà*    *n*    *kà*    *dzán*  
 better (F.)        now    DEM    1SG    PREP    INF    find  
*gómbòk*            *zá*        *sá*        *n*        *ká*        *ndráǒ*  
 frog                EE        1SG    PREP    INF        smash  
*mbàǎf*            *wìrnjík*  
 become            ash  
 ‘From now on when I find a frog, I will smash it to ashes.’

- (22) *sá skàn wà syì há ká lùw-á-ŋ*  
 here thing DEM COM 2SG INF say-GO-3SG  
*zè kàdám vl-á nòk wùdà gí*  
 EE calabash give-GO 1PL food POL  
*syì à ndí dá tà dáp*  
 COM 3SG HAB make DED only  
 'Here you have this thing. If you say to it, "Calabash make us food, please," then it just cooks.'

Examples (23)-(25) constitute one fragment:

- (23) *ká dól zà syì á n mbè pár*  
 INF do EE COM 3SG PREP child another  
*náz ká jíb*  
 throw into hole  
 'Each time she was doing that, she took one child and threw it into the hole'
- (24) *ká dól zà syì hàà tí píç*  
 INF do EE COM until day  
 'She did like that until the day'
- (25) *wàží túwàd' zà ii zá bákà syì*  
 children finish EE they COMP today COM  
*há n ká dá tàlàŋ tükóŋ*  
 2SG PREP INF cook head 2SG  
 'When there were no more children, they said, "Today you will cook yourself."'

Here is an example of the temporal protasis with the perfect aspect:

- (26) *mè ndà-y zó á idá*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE PRED home  
*séy nd-á dà*  
 then go-GO cook  
 'When she returned home, she cooked.'

The end-of-event marker in the protasis clause may also occur with repetitive events:

- (27) *ká ndà zá fú ndà dzáŋ záván-yiì*  
 INF go EE always go find guinea fowl-PL  
*í màr rà*  
 3PL graze D.HAB  
 ‘Each time she went, she found guinea fowl grazing.’

And finally, here is a piece of evidence from the elicitation process. In the process of elicitation, when each elicited clause represents a separate event, most indicative clauses are given with the end-of-event marker *za*, because each clause describes a separate event:

- (28) *ká ɓà wál tá ɓà zá*  
 INF cut neck GEN cow EE  
 ‘He slaughtered a cow.’

### 5. Evidence from the absence of the end-of-event marker

Negative evidence, i.e. evidence from the absence of the marker, cannot be taken to be as strong as evidence from its presence; nevertheless, those cases when the marker cannot occur share a number of characteristics that rule out *za* because these characteristics are in contrast with the postulated function of the marker. Evidence that *za* marks some kind of end-of-event is provided by the fact that it does not occur if a clause is followed by its logical consequence:

- (29) *záván-yiì zá fâd-á ná*  
 guinea fowl-PL COMP shave-GO 1PL  
*tàlàŋ ká gí*  
 head POS please  
 ‘The guinea fowl said, “Shave our heads, please.”’
- séy à ndí fâd-á-ŋ tà tàlàŋ*  
 so (H.) 3SG HAB shave-GO-3SG 3PL head  
*fâd fâd fâd*  
 shave shave shave  
 ‘So, she would shave their heads. Shave, shave, shave’

The end-of-event marker cannot be used in any of the verbless clauses, such as the equational, possessive, existential, and locative,



because of the internal semantic contradiction: An equational clause cannot have an end of the event.

The end-of-event marker *za* may not occur in a clause with adverb *mbé* 'almost' because this adverb implies a continuation of the situation:

- (30) *láy mbé ká tòk \*(zà)*  
 field approach INF finish EE  
 'The field is almost finished.' (elicited)

Cf.:

- (31) *láy mà tòk-yí zà*  
 field REL finish-STAT EE  
 'The field is finished.' (elicited)

In the following fragment, the first sentence does not end in *za* as it is not the end of the event, but the second one does:

- (32) *ḍá ḍá á ḍḍ wàné*  
 draw:GO draw:GO 3SG draw a lot (F.)  
 'It rained a lot.'

*séy, áb dùwáŋ mbéŋ làkwát*  
 then (H.) ASSC back ANAPH river  
*má nd-à-y zá*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 'And afterwards the river came.'

One cannot insert the end-of-event marker *za* after the first clause, because the second clause in the sentence continues description of the same event:

- (33) *\*ḍá ḍá á ḍḍ wàné zà*  
 draw draw 3SG draw a lot (F.) EE  
 for: 'It rained a lot.'

*séy, áb dùwáŋ mbéŋ làkwát*  
 then (H.) ASSC back ANAPH river  
*má nd-à-y zá*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 'And afterwards the river came.'

The end-of-event marker does not occur in clauses where an adverb or some other means of coding rule out an end-of-event situation:

- (34) *í ká d̂ab-á-k žìŋ gwád'*  
 3PL INF ask-GO-1SG times many  
 'They asked me many times.'

Cf.:

- (35) *í ká d̂ab-á-k zà*  
 3PL INF ask-GO-1SG EE  
 'They asked me.'

## 6. The end-of-event and the negative clause

The end-of-event marker *za* cannot occur in negative clauses. This fact supports the hypothesis that the function of the end-of-event marker is affirmative. The negative equivalent of the end-of-event marker has the form: *kə Verb dá skù* where *kə* is the infinitive marker used to code pragmatically dependent clauses, *dá* is the phrase internal form of the verb *dáhà* 'exist', and *skù* is the negative marker:

- (36) *cíŋ ká t̂à zá mb̂à ká žìm*  
 father INF pay EE child INF feel  
*náwdùm dá skù*  
 pain (F.) exist NEG  
 'His father has paid, and the child has not suffered.'

- (37) *kó ká lìm kó dá skù*  
 even INF see even exist NEG  
 'She has not seen anybody.'

In the following fragment, which consists of several clauses, only the last one would call for the end-of-event marker if it were an affirmative clause. However, since it is a negative clause, instead of the marker *za* the marker *dá* is used:

- (38) *m̂à p̂эдák njúl b̂át p̂эдák á p̂эдák-á*  
 REL split grass take split 3SG split-GO  
*nást̂à nĝàn n̂à máŋ*  
 enter (F.) 3SG PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 'The one who splits grass split a stalk of grass and entered it.'

*tsú ngàn ká nà mèn ván ká*  
 enter 3SG inside PREP it rain INF  
*mbàlém dá skù*  
 touch exist NEG

‘He entered it [the grass], and the rain did not touch him.’

## 7. Grammaticalization sources of the end-of-event marker

There are no obvious grammaticalization sources for the marker *za*. One possible source would be the verb *nza* ‘to sit, to live in a place’. Such verbs in many languages have grammaticalized into copulas, a potential candidate for the end-of-event marker. The copula *za* could be postulated in a few instances. One of them involves superlative modifying construction:

(39) *mà zá báytà gómbòk-yì zá*  
 REL EE large frog-PL COMP  
*syì hí kàm fú tàŋ hí wàn*  
 COM 2PL TOP all DED 2PL sleep:IMPER  
*kà mùkàdkádāŋ sùlúd sùlúd*  
 down upside down two two

‘The largest of the frogs said, “You all lie down on your backs in pairs . . .”’

The argument that *zà* in the above example is the verb ‘to be’ is provided by the fact that modifying constructions also occur without this marker, but in such cases they do not have superlative meaning. Copulas are used in many languages to code focus (cf. Frajzyngier, Krech, Mirzayan 2002). In the case at hand, the copula codes the superlative function of the modifying construction.

More compelling evidence that the end-of-event marker comes from the verb ‘to be’ is provided by locative predicates coding the direction “from,” which are coded by the marker *za* occurring after the verb:

(40) *bìtsì nd-á zà tíkì*  
 Bitsi go-GO EE where  
 ‘Where does/did Bitsi come from?’

The use of the form *za* to code direction “from” originated in its meaning as the verb “be,” the locative phrase having the meaning “be at a place X.” The full form of a locative predication would have the form “Subject came was at X”. The evidence for the existence of such expression is comparative, in that this is the structure recorded in other Chadic languages. Moreover, other Chadic languages use the equivalents of the verb ‘to be’ in such constructions.

The other potential cognate of the marker *za* is the debitive form *az* of the verb *nd* ‘go’. Although semantically it could serve as an auxiliary, we cannot account for the absence of the vowel *á* in the auxiliary verb.

Finally, the third potential source for the end-of-event marker is the de dicto complementizer *zá*. The segmental structure is similar. The tones, however, are not. Moreover, the complementizer never loses its vowel, while the end-of-event marker does.

The functional connection between verbs of saying (the potential source of the de dicto complementizer) and aspectual markers has been attested in in other languages of Africa e.g. in Kanuri (Hutchison 1984) and Amharic (Cohen 1937).

Hence, we opt for the derivation of the end-of-event marker from the verb ‘to be’.

## 8. Conclusions

Mina has grammaticalized the category “end-of-event,” which belongs to the domain of representation of structure of events. The category is coded by the marker *za* occurring after the verb or after the direct object. If there is a locative or another complement, the end-of-event marker precedes those other complements. This marker occurs only in affirmative clauses.

# Chapter 14

## Negation

### 1. Common formal characteristics of negation

Negative clauses differ from their affirmative counterparts in a number of features, the chief among them being the use of dependent aspects and tenses. Negation for most types of clauses is marked by the clause-final particle *skù*. Subject pronouns in negative clauses have high rather than low tone.

The negative marker is placed directly after its scope, i.e. after the predicate that is negated. Here is an example of the negation of a clause with an adjectival predicate:

- (1)     *á*       *tì-y-á-h*       *hà*       *nék*       *skù*  
3SG   see-GO-2SG   2SG   good   NEG  
‘He does not see you as a good person’ (when considering a marriage prospect)

The negative marker *skù* is reduced to *skà* in phrase-internal position:

- (2)     *á*       *sán*       *skà*       *bà*  
3SG   know   NEG   more  
‘She does not know anymore!’

There are, however, negative clauses that do not involve the marker *skù*. These are clauses in the unmarked aspect and in the prohibitive mood, as well as verbless clauses.

## 2. Coding the scope of negation

If there are several predicates in the sentence and the negative marker occurs at the end of the sentence, the scope of negation is marked by additional means. More specifically, in the habitual aspect, the scope of negation is marked by the dependent habitual aspect marker and the verb of existence *dáhà* placed after the negated predicate. The negative marker *skù* occurs in sentence-final position:

- (3) *á*      *tì-y-á-h*      *hà*      *r*      *dá*      *ká*  
 3SG    see-GO-2SG    2SG    D.HAB    exist    as  
*hìdì*    *mà*      *géy géy*      *skù*  
 man    REL    bad            NEG  
 ‘He does not see you as a bad person.’

If the predicate contains an infinitival complement, it is the predicate rather than the complement that is negated. Pronouns have low rather than high tone when the verb is infinitival:

- (4) *hà*      *kúl*      *kà*      *ší*      *skù*  
 2SG    able    INF    run    NEG  
 ‘You cannot run.’

## 3. Negation of verbless clauses

The negation of an equational clause with adjectival predicate or an identificational clause nominal predicate, requires the negative particle *skù* at the end of the clause:

- (5) *hìdì*    *tá*      *nék*      *skù*  
 man    GEN    good    NEG  
 ‘This man is not good.’
- (6) *ñkwù*    *tá*      *livèṅ*    *nék*      *skù*  
 goat    GEN    black    good    NEG  
 ‘The black goat is no good.’
- (7) *wàl*              *tùk*      *skù*  
 woman            2SG    NEG  
 ‘It is not your wife.’

The negation of an existential clause has the verb *dáhà* followed by the negative marker *skù*:

- (8) *kwáykwáy-yìi wà zá bákà òì dá skù*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP today meat exist NEG  
 ‘The hyenas said, “Today, there is no meat.”’
- (9) *mà tá gwíḍīn dá skù*  
 REL GEN single exist NEG  
 ‘One grain is missing.’

Possessive clauses that have the verb of existence *dáhà* retain this verb in the negative mood:

- (10) *wàl tük dá skù*  
 woman 2SG exist NEG  
 ‘You do not have a wife.’
- (11) *kó hìdì ngèn dá skù*  
 even man 3SG exist NEG  
 ‘He does not have anybody.’
- (12) *bàkàlàf dàbàráy ngèḥ dá skà*  
 buffalo strength 3SG exist NEG  
*bá zidèp*  
 ASSC more  
 ‘The buffalo does not have strength anymore.’

#### 4. Negation and future tenses

The future tense in the negative clause is marked by a construction consisting of the verb *grà* ‘search, want’ realized as *gàr* in phrase-internal position, the infinitival marker *kə* preceding the main verb, and the negative marker *skù*. The third-person singular pronoun is *á* with high tone:

- (13) *hìdì wèhíy à zá ván á n ká*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP INF  
*dā á gèr kà nd-á-k kàsám*  
 fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG body  
*skù*  
 NEG

‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

- (14) *á gèr ká mìsíl skù*  
 3SG want INF steal NEG  
 ‘He will not steal.’

The negative future may be used in questions about the truth but crucially not in specific questions:

- (15) *á gèr ká mìsíl skà vú*  
 3SG want INF steal NEG Q  
 ‘Will he not steal?’

Specific questions in the negative future are coded through a construction consisting of the dependent future marker *n kə* followed by the verb *kál* ‘refuse’ and the infinitival clause. There is no clause-final negative marker. Unfortunately, there are no examples of specific interrogative negative clauses in our texts; hence, the examples have been elicited:

- (16) *á n ká kál ká mìsíl skà mí*  
 3SG PREP INF refuse INF steal NEG what  
 ‘What he will not steal?’

- (17) *mə n ká kál kə nd-á ví*  
 REL PREP INF refuse INF go-GO who  
 ‘Who will not come?’

## 5. Negation and the dependent aspect

The negation of clauses that in the affirmative are marked by reduplication of the verb requires the dependent form, with the infinitival marker *kə*, the verb *dáhà* ‘exist’, reduced to *dá* in phrase-internal position, and the negative marker *skù*, in that order:



- (18) *ván ká mbàlém dǎ skù*  
 rain INF touch exist NEG  
 ‘The rain did not touch him.’
- (19) *séy wàl wà kám ká nàz tál*  
 then woman DEM TOP INF stop walk  
*dǎ skù dáp*  
 exist NEG still  
 ‘Then, that woman still did not stop taking her walks.’

The negative particle may occur with the end-of-event marker *za*, but such clauses mark rhetorical rather than genuine negation:

- (20) *mìnjé ǰàgám nà wà kà ǰím zá*  
 now speech 1SG DEM INF see EE  
*skà vù*  
 NEG Q  
 ‘My word, he got it, didn’t he?’

## 6. Negation of the perfect

The negation of all forms that have the initial *mà*, viz. the relative and the stative marker, is coded by the form *ták*. The predicate with *ták* may optionally be followed by the negative marker *skù*:

- (21) *mà mbád’ ábà ándàl ví*  
 REL surpass ASSC magic (F.) who  
 ‘Who surpasses in magic?’

*mà ták sán*  
 REL NEG know  
 ‘Nobody knows.’

- (22) *tíl à nd-á á wtá mà ták*  
 go 3SG go-GO PRED house REL NEG  
*wàṅ-yí*  
 sleep-STAT  
 ‘She went to the house of the one who does not sleep.’

- (23) *séy à gàr ngùl mà ták*  
 so 3SG want husband REL NEG  
*ngàl ngàl màlá skù kám*  
 scarify scar NEG otherwise (F.)  
*à mbál skù*  
 3SG like NEG

‘She wants somebody who does not have a scar. Otherwise she does not like any man.’ (Since almost every Hina man has a traditional facial scar, this is an excuse for the woman not to accept any man.)

The origin of the form *ták* as a negative marker may be sought in the verb *ták*, which has a variety of meanings including “block, prevent”:

- (24) *há kà ták kà*  
 2SG INF block POS  
 ‘If you prevented him . . .’

- (25) *à zá sà táŋ táŋ zà nd-á*  
 3SG COMP 1SG walk walk EE go-GO  
*wídīŋ kà ták kàtəf ká wàcíŋ sà*  
 snake INF block road POS DEM 1SG  
*dīyà ndə táŋ*  
 start beat DED

‘He said, “I was walking, walking, and there was a snake blocking the road, and I started to hit it.”’

The end-of-event marker *zà* is replaced by *dá* in negative clauses:

- (86) *mà mbád dá skù*  
 REL surpass exist NEG  
 ‘Nobody is superior!’ (lit. ‘nobody is surpassed’)

- (27) *kó mà láb-yî dá skù*  
 QUANT REL wet-PL exist NEG  
 ‘Not even one is wet.’

The sequence *dá skù* can constitute a complete clause:

- (28) *má mbád zá v-yî dá skù*  
 REL surpass EE who-PL exist NEG  
 'Who is superior? Nobody.'

## 7. Negation of the habitual

The negation of clauses in the habitual aspect is coded by the dependent habitual marker *rà* (reduced to *rə* or *r* in phrase-internal position) and the negative marker *skù*. Subject pronouns have high rather than low tone:

- (29) *há wán wán há lim wàl*  
 2SG sleep sleep 2SG see woman  
*rə skù*  
 D.HAB NEG  
 'You stay for a long time without finding a woman.'

- (30) *á tük há báŋ rə skù*  
 PRED you 2SG think D.HAB NEG  
 'You are not thinking.'

- (31) *kó wál nd rə skù*  
 even (F.) neck go D.HAB NEG  
 'But the voice does not go out as before.'

- (32) *séy tì á tìy-á kə mbí*  
 so see 3SG see-GO like that  
*á dāl rə skù*  
 3SG do D.HAB NEG  
 'Then she saw that one does not do it like that.'

- (33) *á vl-àh skàn rə dā skù*  
 3SG give-GO thing D.HAB exist NEG  
*kó à nzá ngàn mbáŋ*  
 even 3SG stay:GO 3SG.POSS cut  
 'If she does not give you something, even if she stays away, who cares.'?

- (34) *ngùl*            *ngèn* *à*        *dìs*  
 husband        3SG 3SG cultivate  
*r*                *skù*    *màsálád'*  
 D.HAB        NEG lazy one  
 'Her husband does not farm. He is a lazy one.'

The negation may be coded by the marker *ra* and the interrogative marker *vù* with low tone; thus, the basic marker of negation *skù* is not used. Such clauses do not code only negation but also an emotional state of the speaker, such as displeasure or astonishment:

- (35) *à*        *zá*                    *á*        *bín*    *céh*    *ná*  
 3SG    COMP            PRED house father 1PL.EXCL  
*wáŋ*    *rà*                    *vù*  
 sleep D.HAB        Q  
 '[Mother] said, "One cannot sleep at the house of your father!"'

- (36) *ngùl*            *ná*        *zàm*    *skàn*    *ná*  
 husband        1SG eat        thing 1SG  
*r*                *vù*  
 D.HAB        Q  
 'My husband, he does not eat my food!'

- (37) *áa*        *sà*        *mà*        *nd-á-y*        *zá*        *hí*  
 Oh    1SG    REL    go-GO-STAT EE    2PL  
*sà*        *máv*    *rà*                    *dǎb*    *vù*  
 drink beer D.HAB        rather Q  
 'Oh, I came. "So, you are drinking beer, aren't you?"' (*dǎbà*  
 'rather')

## 8. Prohibitive

The prohibitive for the second person is coded through a construction consisting of the second-person pronoun + verb + negative marker *skù*. The subject pronoun has low rather than high tone, which makes the construction different from negative clauses. The verb has high rather than low tone, which makes the construction different from the imperative.

- (38) *báy zà hà dá kàdám*  
 chief COMP 2SG bring calabash  
*tá mà ká skù*  
 GEN DEM here NEG  
 ‘The chief said, “Never bring this calabash here.”’  
 (*kàdám tá mà* is a reduced form of *kàdám tá màcín* ‘this calabash’.)

- (39) *hí (n)zà dàm skù hí ndè wùtá*  
 2PL stay field NEG 2PL go home  
 ‘Do not stay in the field, go home!’

Prohibitive constructions of the type illustrated above are rather rare in the texts. Instead, two other constructions occur more frequently. One uses the existential verb *dáhà* in the negative clause:

- (40) *hà dǎ kà bǎŋ skù*  
 2SG exist INF think NEG  
 ‘You should not think.’

The negative future is also used in the prohibitive function. Such prohibition is considered less forceful than the prohibitive construction with *skù* alone:

- (41) *à zà á n tàkár há gèr*  
 3SG EE PRED PREP turtle 2SG want  
*kà dá yàm skù*  
 INF draw water NEG  
 ‘He told the turtle not to fetch water.’

- (42) *há gèr kà dál á pát skù*  
 2SG want INF do tomorrow NEG  
 ‘You should not do [it like that] tomorrow.’

## 9. Negation with interrogative

If the negation occurs in an interrogative clause, the negative marker *skù* precedes the interrogative marker:

- (43)    *à*        *zá*                      *sà*        *wàciŋ sémbàŋ*        *dá*  
          3SG    COMP                      1SG    DEM    strength (F.)    exist  
          *skà*    *vù*  
          NEG    Q  
          ‘He said, “Am I not strong?”’ (lit. ‘does not my strength exist?’)

We have no reliable source of grammaticalization of *skù*. The only morpheme remotely similar to the negative marker is the word *skàn* ‘thing’. This could be acceptable as the source of *skù* under the grammaticalization conditions similar to those that led to the development of the French clause final negative markers *pas*, *point*, etc. Essentially, the marker *skàn* would have first been used in the sense “thing,” which in negative clauses would have produced something equivalent to “not a thing.” Such grammaticalization must have also involved reduction of the final nasal. Such a reduction, however, is not otherwise attested in the language.

### 10. Negative adverb *wilkil* ‘fail’

Negation can be coded by the auxiliary predicate *wilkil* ‘fail’, followed by the infinitive form of the verb:

- (44)    *ván*    *wilkil*    *ká*        *ndá-hà*  
          rain    fail    INF    go-GO  
          ‘The rain failed to come.’

It is possible that the expression *wilkil* consists of two morphemes, the verb *wil* ‘lack’ and the verb *kúl* ‘be able’, whose vowel undergoes fronting under the influence of the preceding vowel. An argument for this analysis is provided by the fact that the forms *wil* and *kúl* can occur independently. The argument that *wil* is a verb is provided by the fact that it can be followed by object pronouns:

- (45)    *láy*    *wil-á-ŋ*  
          time    lack-GO-3SG  
          ‘It is not time yet.’

The form *kúl* ‘be able to’ requires infinitival complements:

- (46) *bàkàlàf*      *zá*                      *nà*      *gómbòk*  
 buffalo              COMP                      PREP      frog  
*hà*      *kúl*      *kà*      *ší*      *skù*  
 2SG      able      INF      run      NEG  
 ‘The buffalo said to the frog, “You cannot run”’

The combination of the two forms may well result in the phonological form *wilkil* and explains why this form is followed by the infinitival complements.

There is also a form *kil*, which is more difficult to identify. It can follow the existential marker *dá* and precede the negative marker *skù*:

- (47) *mbí*      *ká*      *mbù*                      *mbà*      *dá*      *kil*      *skù*  
 3SG      INF      give birth                      child      exist      ?      NEG  
 ‘She has not yet given birth.’

The simple negative answer to a question about truth is *àáw* ‘no!’. Its affirmative equivalent is *yáw* ‘yes!’.

## 11. Conclusions

The fundamental means of coding negation are high tone on subject pronouns, use of the dependent aspects and tenses, and, for most types of negative clauses, the use of the clause-final particle *skù*. The auxiliary *dáhà* ‘exist’ is used in past singular and in habitual negative clauses. The perfect negative is coded by the auxiliary *ták* ‘prevent, block’.





# Chapter 15

## Verbless clauses

### 1. Introduction

The chapter includes a description of several types of sentences whose common characteristic is that in the present tense their predicates are not verbal. The types to be considered here are equational clauses with nominal, possessive, and attributive predicates, and locative sentences. In all time references other than present, the equational clause must have a verb. Some types of clauses do not have a verb in those other time reference either. Equational clauses cannot have aspectual markers.

### 2. Equational clauses

Equational clauses with present time reference have the form Subject Predicate, i.e., there is no copula. The subject may be nominal, pronominal, or even clausal. The predicate may be nominal, including nominal expressions derived from verbs; numeral; or adjectival. The subject constitutes a separate phrase, as evidenced by the fact that final vowels of subject phrases are never omitted, and that demonstratives of subject phrases occur in their phrase-final forms. This indicates that the subject phrase in equational clauses has a different phrasal status than the subject phrase in verbal clauses, which, unless it is also a topic, has the final vowel deleted. Here are examples of subject phrases ending in demonstratives, with their phrase-final forms ending in *ŋ* (examples 1-3 are in sequence in a text):

- (1) *míndí wàcín màllúm*  
other DEM teacher  
'One is a teacher.'

(2) *míndí wàcín gáw*  
 other DEM hunter  
 ‘Another is a hunter.’

(3) *míndí wàcín m̀̀̀sil*  
 other DEM thief  
 ‘Another is a thief.’

The pronominal subjects of equational clause have the same segmental form as the pronominal subjects of verbal clauses, but unlike those in verbal affirmative clauses, they have high tone:

(4) *há skùláh ǹ̀̀n*  
 2SG in-law 1SG  
 ‘You are my son-in-law.’

(5) *ngám sá mb̀̀̀ ǹ̀̀ng̀̀̀*  
 because (F.) 1SG child 3SG  
 ‘because I am his child’

(6) *há và ǹ̀̀n*  
 2SG father 1SG  
 ‘You are my father.’

Compare the low tone on the pronoun *hà* when it serves as the predicate of an equational clause:

(7) *wà hà d̀̀̀̀b v̀̀̀*  
 DEM 2SG so Q  
 ‘So, it’s you?’

The third-person plural pronominal subject *t̀̀̀̀t̀̀̀̀* has low tone, but recall (Chapter 5, Section 4) that this form does not occur as a verbal subject. The verbal subject for the third-person plural is *ì*:

(8) *t̀̀̀̀t̀̀̀̀ gwá́d á bíŋ á màcín*  
 3PL plenty PRED room PRED DEM  
 ‘They are numerous in that room over there.’

Equational clauses provide evidence that *à* (i.e. the low tone form) is a subject marker in verbal clauses rather than an independent third-

person pronoun. The pronoun *à* may not be used as the subject in equational clauses:

- (9) \**à wàl ngàn*  
 3SG woman 3SG  
 for 'She is his wife.'

The third-person pronominal subject in equational clauses is coded in two ways. One is by the proximate deictic marker *wà* or *wàcín* (when the subject is topicalized) for non-human nouns. The other is by the anaphor *mbí* for human nouns. The deictic marker in clause-initial position, without any other elements preceding it within the clause, has low tone:

- (10) *séy báy zá wà dāmà*  
 so chief COMP DEM good  
 'The chief said, "That is good."'

Pronominal predicates of equational clauses are drawn from the set of independent pronouns:

- (11) *báy zá mížì mindéŋ mbí*  
 chief COMP REL:DEM other one 3SG  
*hà mà dáh vù*  
 2SG REL bring Q  
 The chief said, "The other one, is it you who brought him?"

### 3. Identificational clauses

Identificational clauses are those whose subject is an entity present in the environment of speech, but not overtly represented in the clause. Such clauses have pleonastic subjects *wà* or *wàcín* for non-focal subjects and *mbí* for focal subjects.

- (12) *wà bàhá ngàlámbrà lèk lèk*  
 DEM again story Lek Lek  
*ǵám tá hídà táŋ*  
 name GEN man DED  
 'This is a story of Lek. Lek is the name of this man.'

- (13) *wàciŋ dār tá ndír ká gèḥ*  
 DEM dance GEN sorghum INF thresh  
 ‘This [the topic] is the dance for the threshing of sorghum.’
- (14) *fú á midigid́ báy wàciŋ gùgwáy*  
 all PRED court chief DEM festival  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘Everybody into the front yard of the chief. It is the holiday.’

For subjects in focus constructions, one uses the marker *mbí*:

- (15) *à zá mbí sàŋ*  
 3SG COMP 3SG 1SG  
 ‘He said, “So it is me.”’
- (16) *à zá mbí hòŋ*  
 3SG COMP 3SG 2SG  
 ‘He said, “So it is you.”’
- (17) *à zá mbí mbíŋ*  
 3SG COMP 3SG 3SG  
 ‘He said, “So it is him.”’
- (18) *à zá mbí nàmú*  
 3SG COMP 3SG 1PL  
 ‘He said, “So it is us.”’

#### 4. Equational clauses with possessive predicate

There are two constructions coding possessive equational clauses of the type X is Y’s. One consists of the subject and the possessor without any preposition preceding the possessor:

- (19) *tèbéŋ tükón*  
 granary 2SG  
 ‘That’s your granary.’ (*tèbéŋ* ‘large granary in the courtyard’)

The other construction involves the locative predicator *á* and the genitive marker *tá*. Some pronouns following the possessive marker are

possessive, having incorporated the preposition. The first-person singular form is *tá nàŋ*. With a possessive that already has the preposition *tá* incorporated, one may use another genitive or the locative predicator *á* but not the two combined:

(20) *ɓà tá ngíá á tákóŋ* [tókóŋ]  
 cow GEN DEM PRED GEN.1PL  
 'The cow over there is ours.'

(21) *ɓà tá ngíá tá tákóŋ*  
 cow GEN DEM GEN 1PL  
 'The cow over there is ours.'

(22) \**ɓà tá ngíá á tá tá kóŋ*  
 cow GEN DEM PRED GEN 1PL  
 for 'The cow over there is ours.'

The preposition *tá* may be used with other pronominal and all nominal possessors:

(23) *ngàzù wà tú wàl nàŋ*  
 foot DEM GEN wife 1SG  
 'This is my wife's foot.'

## 5. Time coding in equational clause

Coding of time requires a verb, and equational clauses in times other than the time of speech are not verbless. Regardless of the tense to be coded, all tensed equational clauses use the verb *nzà* 'to stay, remain, be'.

### 5.1 Past in equational clauses

In natural discourse, the equational clause can be interpreted as having either past or present time reference:

(24) *hidì wàcìŋ tükùŋ skàn ngàn dá skù*  
 man DEM poor thing 3SG exist NEG  
 'This man was poor; he did not have anything'

One means of coding the past time of the state is through the addition of the adverb *kiděŋ* ‘in the past’ after the equational clause:

- (25) *hidi wàcíŋ tükùŋ kiděŋ skàn ngàn dá skù*  
 man DEM poor once thing 3SG exist NEG  
 ‘This man was poor, he did not have anything.’ (he is not poor anymore)

## 5.2 Future in equational clauses

The future tense is coded by the verb *nzà* ‘remain’ and one of the two means of coding the future in verbal clauses, viz. the end-of-event marker *za*, which follows the main verb, or the future marker *n kə*.

- (26) *hidə tükóŋ à n kə nzà dām dāmà*  
 compound 2SG 3SG PREP INF be good good  
 ‘Your compound will be well.’

## 6. Adjectival predicates

There are several types of constructions with predicates describing attributes of the subject. Which type is used depends on the inherent properties of the lexical attributes. These constructions force us to classify the attributes into three types.

### 6.1 Simple form of the predicate

The first type of lexical attributes includes those that are simply juxtaposed to the subject. To this class belong inherent adjectives (see Chapter 3, Section 5) *nek* ‘good’, *pár* ‘another’, *báytaŋ* ‘large’, *fés* ‘small’, *gáržàw* ‘disorderly’:

- (27) *gədə ngàn fés ngà-cíŋ*  
 jar 3SG little like-that  
 ‘Her jar is small like that.’ (accompanied a gesture of the hands)

- (28) *à zá wàcìŋ nék skù náz ká*  
 3SG COMP DEM good NEG throw POS  
*dàwín dá*  
 behind house

‘He said it is not good. Throw it behind the house.’

- (29) *mbà táŋ gárǰàw dáy*  
 child DED disorderly too much  
 ‘The child is too disorderly.’

- (30) *à zá skàn wà dāmà*  
 3SG COMP thing DEM good  
 ‘He said, “It is good.”’

These predicates can have a proposition as the subject:

- (31) *dàr ká dàr bà tskò nèk*  
 dance INF dance ASSC evening good  
 ‘It is good to dance in the evening.’

The evidence that the predicate is adjectival is provided by the fact these forms can modify nouns and cannot serve as arguments. They do not have infinitive forms or indeed any other inflectional or derivational forms associated with verbs:

- (32) *sà n kà díŋ málày ngwáy pàr*  
 1SG PREP INF take Mala PL.ADDR another  
 ‘People, I will take another Mala.’

The categoriality of a lexical item as an adjective can be established through the examination of the segmental and tonal structure of the pronominal subject. If the third-person subject is *à*, that indicates that the clause has in fact a verbal, not an adjectival, predicate:

- (33) *àmmá á n nàf nàŋ à gáy ngàm . . .*  
 CONJ PRED PREP heart 1SG 3SG spoil because  
 ‘In my judgment it is bad, because . . .’ (*kà gáy* ‘spoil’)

The predicate of an equational clause may be a numeral:

(34) *tàtə mfád*  
 3PL four  
 'They are four.'

(35) *səfər m kwár mótà á wtá ká mfád*  
 driver REL drive car PRED village here four  
 'There is also the driver of the car. There are four of them in the village.'

The class of simple property concept predicates also behaves as a class when they modify a noun. They do not take any particles in modifying constructions.

## 6.2 Reduplicated form of the adjectival predicate

Some property concepts must be reduplicated in a predicative construction. Subjects of such predications may be plural and singular. Whether the property concept item is reduplicated is thus not a function of the subject:

(36) *í prák prák*  
 3PL equal equal  
 'They are all equal.'

Among the members of this class are *židík židík* 'heavy', *kəfəw kəfəw* 'light' (about weight), *prəw prəw* 'hard'. In the attributive function, these words occur in the simple form and must be preceded by the preposition *tá*:

(37) *cicélém židík židík*  
 wood heavy heavy  
 'The wood is heavy.'

Cf:

(38) *cicélém tá židík*  
 wood GEN heavy  
 'heavy wood'

(39) *cicèlèm wà prəw prəw*  
 wood DEM hard hard  
 'The wood is hard.'



Cf:

- (40) *cicélém tá prèù*  
 wood GEN hard  
 'hard wood'

- (41) *cicèlèm wà kèféw kèféw*  
 wood DEM light (weight)  
 'The wood is light.'

Cf:

- (42) *cicélém tá kèféw*  
 wood GEN light (weight)  
 'light wood'

- (43) *dòk livèŋ livèŋ*  
 horse black black  
 'The horse is black.'

- (44) *dòk ábà ǵà í livèŋlivèŋ*  
 horse ASSC cow COP black  
 'The horse and the cow are black.'

Cf:

- (45) *rùkùtù wà tá livèŋ*  
 shirt DEM GEN black  
 'that black shirt.'

The reduplication of lexical items ending in a vowel results in the reduction of the final vowel of the first reduplicated item. Thus, *dámà* 'good' is reduced to *dám* *dámà* in clause-final position, and *dám**dám* in phrase-internal position:

- (46) *pèl míndéŋ dám**dámà*  
 detach another normal  
 'He detached the other – [it was] normal.'

- (47) *màllúm dám dám skà vù*  
 marabout well well NEG Q  
 'Is the marabout not feeling well?'

## 7. Plurality coding through reduplication

The predicative reduplication of attributes formally involves multiple repetitions, and it indicates more than the normal amount of the attribute in question. The following example contains two attributive predicates: *dáy dáy* ‘a lot’ and *fěs* ‘little’. The first predicate is reduplicated; the second is not:

- (48)    *à*            *wàži*            *tùk-yî*            *dáy dáy dáy*  
           for        children        2SG                a lot  
           *á*        *t-án*            *fěs*  
           for        GEN:1SG        little  
           ‘For your children it is a lot, for me it is little.’

The reduplication of the attribute *fěs* ‘little’ is considered internally contradictory.

## 8. Possessive propositions: X has Y

There are three means of coding possessive propositions. One is through the associative preposition *b* with the prefix *á* for a singular-possessor subject and the prefix *i* for a plural-possessor subject:

- (49)    *ngàm*            *wàl*            *ábà*    *mbà*    *ngàŋ*    *à*  
           because        woman        ASSC child    3SG    3SG  
           *n*        *ká*        *giz*        *táŋ*  
           PREP INF    tell        DED  
           ‘Because the woman has a child and she will tell . . . ’

The quantifier ‘some’ takes a singular subject pronoun:

- (50)    *mìndín*            *ábà*    *bàtákar*            *dzàbáŋ*            *mìndín*  
           some            ASSC bag            five                some  
           *ábà*    *gàb*  
           ASSC ten  
           ‘Some have five thousand, others have ten.’

The notion of being pregnant is coded through the associative preposition followed by the noun *dəmus* ‘stomach’:

- (51) *ngalumbra waciŋ wala abə dəmus*  
 story DEM woman ASSC stomach  
*mbuu a mbuu za*  
 beget 3SG beget EE  
 ‘Here is a story of woman who was pregnant and gave birth.’  
 (written sources)

The third-person singular or plural subject pronoun occurs even if there is a nominal subject present:

- (52) *mbə pár áb dábàráy*  
 child other ASSC cleverness (F.)  
 ‘The other child is clever.’

- (53) *mìnjé hìdì áb hídá ngən yám*  
 now man ASSC compound 3SG also  
 ‘Now, if a man has his own compound.’

The quantifier *pát* ‘all’ in the role of subject takes the plural associative marker *í-bə̀*:

- (54) *pát í-bə̀ pàtári*  
 all PL-ASSC skirt  
 ‘All of them have a skirt.’

The associative marker with the first person singular is only *bə̀*:

- (55) *àmmá sá bə̀ idá*  
 but I ASSC house  
 ‘But I have a house’

Another means of coding possessive propositions is through the existential construction with the verb *dáhà* ‘exist’, where the subject of the verb of existence is modified by possessive pronouns:

- (56) *vəŋ ngən dáhà cíŋ dáhà*  
 relative 3SG exist his father exist  
 ‘He has a relative, he has a father . . .’

The third means of coding the possessive clauses is through the locative construction: Subject Preposition (Preposition) Noun phrase *dáhà* 'exist'. If the possessor is human, the second preposition is *r*:

- (57) *fú dà fú á r tìn wà dáhà*  
 all kind (F.) PRED PREP 1PL.EXCL DEM exist  
 'We have all kinds of things.'

The negation of a possessive proposition is coded by the clause-final marker *skù*:

- (58) *á b dálà skù*  
 3SG ASSC money NEG  
 'She doesn't have money.'

## 9. The locative proposition: X is located at Y

Propositions expressing the presence of a subject at a location may have two forms in the present tense. In one construction the locative predicator *á* precedes the locative complement:

- (59) *kwáyàŋ tìy njè í lw-á-k*  
 squirrel look eyes 3PL say-GO-1SG  
*gàmìkìd gwád á dùwáŋ dà*  
 monkey plenty PRED behind compound  
 'The squirrel looked. And as they told him there are many monkeys behind.'

- (60) *mbí pár à zá bánày*  
 3SG another 3SG COMP suffering  
*á tàlàn mbè-nàn*  
 PRED head child-1SG  
 'The other said the suffering is on the head of my child.' (my child is suffering)

- (61) *séy múà báytàn á dàmù zìbír zìbír*  
 so tamarind large PRED bush dark dark  
 'There is a large tamarind tree in the bush, it is dark.'

- (62) *mímèŋ*      *à*      *zá*      *àmmá* *bižáv* *à*  
 panther      3SG      COMP truly      God      3SG  
*mbál-á-kù*      *nd-á*      *ɣì*      *gwáɗ* *á*      *bíŋ*      *nàŋ*  
 like-GO-1SG      go-GO      meat      plenty PRED room      1SG  
 ‘The leopard said, “God truly loves me, as there is a lot of meat  
 in my room.”’
- (63) *í*      *zá*      *mbà*      *fés*      *ngà*      *cíŋ*      *á*      *dámù*  
 3PL      COMP child      small like      DEM PRED bush  
 ‘They [shepherds] say there is a small child like that in the  
 bush.’
- (64) *kwáyàŋ*      *zá*      *ɣì*      *mà*      *màts-yí*  
 squirrel      EE      meat      REL      die-STAT  
*báytaŋ*      *á*      *dámù*  
 large      PRED bush  
 ‘The squirrel said, “There is a large dead game animal in the  
 bush.”’

## 10. Existential predication

The existential predication is coded by the verb *dáhà* ‘exist’:

- (65) *séy*      *à*      *ɣá*      *skàn*      *dá*      *kàcín*  
 so      3SG      say      thing      exist      DEM  
 ‘Then he said, “There is something here.”’

Evidence that the verb *dáhà* is not a locative predicate is provided by the fact that it can cooccur with the local predicator *á*:

- (66) *háɣəm*      *dáhà* *á*      *bíŋ*      *ngàn*  
 daughter      exist PRED house      3SG  
 ‘There is a girl at her house.’

## 11. Conclusions

The verbless clauses whose time is identical with the time of speech do not have a copula. If the predicate is a noun, the structure of the clause is Subject Predicate. Pronominal subjects of equational clauses, unlike

pronominal subjects of verbal clauses, have high tone. The coding of the future tense in an equational clause requires use of the verb *nzà* 'to live, to sit', and such clauses do not differ from verbal clauses.

There are two types of property concept predicates. Inherent adjectives follow the subject without any markers. Non-inherent adjectives are reduplicated for the predicative function.

Possessive propositions are marked through the associative preposition *b*, which precedes the possessum. The associative preposition is preceded by subject pronouns.

Locative propositions use the locative predicator *á* before the locative complement.

# Chapter 16

## Interrogative clauses

### 1. Introduction

Mina has two types of interrogative clauses: clauses asking about the truth of the proposition ('yes/no questions', 'polarity questions'), and clauses asking about a specific element of the proposition ('wh-questions', 'information questions').

### 2. Questions about the truth

Questions about the truth of a proposition are pragmatically independent clauses, in that no presuppositions are required for their interpretation. Independent aspects and tenses are used in questions about the truth. There are two means of coding a question about the truth. One is through tonal means; the other is through an interrogative particle *vù*, which always comes at the end of the clause.

The tonal means of coding consists of raising intonation and the last high tone of the clause. We were not able to determine the functional difference, if any, between the interrogatives coded by intonation and interrogatives coded by the clause-final marker *vù*:

- (1) *kái*                    *í*        *ǵá*        *nà*  
INTERJ                3PL    say        1PL  
*lá*    *ǵì*        *nìnàṅ* *ká*        *ndà*  
own    meat    1PL    INF        go  
“‘Look,’ they said ‘We who own the meat, it is we who go?’”

- (2) *kàdám*                *vl-á-k*                *wùd*    *gí*  
calabash                give-GO-1SG    food    POL  
‘Calabash, could you give me some food?’

- (3) *kàdǎm vl-á-k wùdá gí tsáy dáp*  
 calabash give-GO-1SG food POL finish only  
 ‘‘Calabash, could you give me some food?’’, just like that.’

Such questions usually express astonishment:

- (4) *hà gwád zá*  
 2SG satisfy (about hunger) EE:Q  
 ‘Are you sated?’

Questions marked by *vù* alone do not imply any presupposition on the part of the speaker:

- (5) *hà gwád zá vù*  
 2SG satiate EE Q  
 ‘Are you sated?’
- (6) *à ǵá skàn dá sùlúd vù*  
 3SG say thing exist two Q  
 ‘He said, ‘‘Are there two things?’’’

The question about the truth of the proposition can also be marked by clause-final particle *vù*:

- (7) *há lùw-á-ŋ ngási ǵámbáy*  
 2SG say-GO-3SG like that stick  
*n-dí dāl tá vù*  
 go do it Q  
 ‘‘You say to it just like that, ‘Stick, do it’?’’’
- (8) *à lù-á zà hà nék skù ngà vù*  
 3SG say-GO.2SG EE 2SG good NEG like Q  
 ‘Will he tell you that you are bad?’



- (9) *wà hí wàži hí mà ndí dál*  
 but 2PL children 2PL REL HAB do  
*màsálád skù syì láy gwád á*  
 laziness NEG COM field plenty PRED  
*dám skà vù*  
 bush NEG Q  
 ‘But you children, you are lazy. Aren’t there plenty of fields in uncultivated areas?’

The interrogative clause may receive a raising intonation, realized as high tone on clause-final particle *vú*:

- (10) *há áz tòk ká bàm*  
 so go GEN:1PL.INCL INF eat  
*tá židèp skà vú*  
 DEM at last NEG Q  
 ‘So, aren’t we going to eat at last?’

If the question is about alternative possibilities, i.e. if it consists of two questions in a sequence, the first marker *vu* has high tone, and the second marker *vu* has low tone:

- (11) *wà tì mák zàmán tá à gày*  
 but see no time(H.) GEN 3SG spoiled  
*vú à ngám vù*  
 Q 3SG joyous Q  
 ‘But let’s see, is this life sad or is it happy?’

The interrogative marker forms one phrase with the preceding proposition, as evidenced by the deletion of word-final vowels preceding the interrogative marker:

- (12) *hà l-ìná vù*  
 2SG of-Hina Q  
 ‘Are you a Hina person?’

Grammatical morphemes are not deleted in phrase-final position:

- (13) *hì in-yî vù*  
 2PL Hina-PL Q  
 ‘Are you Hina men?’

An existential verb may be used in questions about the truth:

- (14) *bìkáv dá vù*  
 God exist Q  
 'Is there God?' (elicited)

### 3. Questions about the truth with presuppositions

Incredulous questions are marked by the clause-final interrogative marker *yà*:

- (15) *kó mì dá skù yà*  
 even what exist NEG Q  
 'Isn't there anything?'

- (16) *hì n kà dzán-à nók k̀ì zá yà*  
 2PL PREP INF find-GO 1PL meat EE Q  
 'You found us meat?'

The clause-final marker *ráy* is the equivalent of the English rhetorical 'so what?':

- (17) *á zm-á-h wùd̀è zá ráy*  
 3SG eat-GO-2SG food EE so what  
 'Even if he ate your food, it should not be taken against him'

### 4. Specific questions

#### 4.1 *Semantic categories of specific interrogatives*

The specific interrogative marker is *í* suffixed to markers coding the grammatical role or the semantic properties of the argument or adjunct that the question is about. Some specific interrogative markers occur in clause-initial position and others occur in clause-final position. The position of the specific interrogative marker is not the same as the position of the corresponding arguments in the declarative clause.

The specific interrogative markers code the following semantic categories: human participant, the marker *v*; non-human participant, the marker *m*. The interrogative markers for place, time, reason, and man-

ner are formed through the addition of prepositions to the marker *v* or *m* or the use of other forms coding specific adjuncts.

#### 4.2 Questions about the subject of an equational clause

Questions about the non-human subject of an identificational clause are formed by the demonstrative *wà* in subject position and *mì* in clause-final position:

(18) *wà mí*  
DEM what  
'What is this?'

(19) *wà ží mí*  
DEM EE what  
'What is this?' (when the speaker knows the identity of the object and does not approve of what he or she sees)

The phrase-final form of the demonstrative may also be used in the interrogative clause, to code topicalization:

(20) *wàhín mí*  
DEM what  
'What is this?'

(21) *wàcín mí*  
DEM what  
'What is this?'

If there is a locative phrase in the clause, both *wà* and *mì* follow the locative phrase:

(22) *tá ngíd' wà mí*  
GEN DEM DEM what  
'What is that over there?'

(23) *tá í ngíd' wà mí*  
GEN PREP DEM DEM what  
'What is behind there?'

Questions regarding a human participant of discourse are marked by the interrogative *ví* in clause-final position. The third-person singular is coded by the third-person human anaphor *mbí*:

- (24) *mbí*                      *ví*  
 ANAPH                      who  
 ‘Who is he?’, ‘Who is she?’ (this expression is used if the speaker is told that somebody is calling him)

When people knock on the door one can simply ask:

- (25) *ví*  
 who?
- (26) *hà*     *ví*  
 2SG     who  
 ‘Who are you?’

#### 4.3 Aspect coding in specific interrogatives

A characteristic feature of specific interrogative clauses is that they have only the unmarked form of the verb in the past tense. The reduplicated form may not occur there. This indicates that the specific interrogative clauses are dependent clauses:

- (27) *m̀*     *gáy*     *ví*  
 REL     spoil     who  
 ‘Who spoiled it?’
- (28) *m̀*     *mbád*                      *ví*  
 REL     surpass                      who  
 ‘Who is superior?’
- (29) *báy*     *zá*                      *ngwáy*                      *bàhámàn*                      *bákà*     *bá*  
 chief     COMP                      people                      Bahaman                      today     still  
*dzán-á*                      *nók*     *mí*  
 find-GO                      2PL     what  
 ‘The chief said, “People, what else did Bahaman find us today?”’

In the future tense, the specific interrogative clauses have the same sequence of morphemes as in independent clauses, viz. *n kə*:

- (30) *mə n ká lùw-á-η ví séy bižáv*  
 REL PREP INF say-GO-3SG who so God  
 ‘Who will tell him, except God?’ (Nobody can tell him, except God.)

#### 4.4 Questions about subjects of verbal clauses

Questions about subjects of verbal clauses have the marker *mə* in clause initial position and the marker *ví* or *mí*, depending on whether the subject is human or nonhuman, in clause-final position. Since *mə* is identical with the relative clause marker, the interrogative construction may well be a form of the relative clause, corresponding to “the one who S is who/what?”

- (31) *áw bižáv nàη mə bət-á-k mbə zə ví*  
 oh God 1SG REL take-GO-1SG child EE who  
 ‘O, my God, who took away my child?’

The end-of-event marker can be omitted:

- (32) *áw bižáv nàη mə bət-á-k mbə ví*  
 oh God 1SG REL take-GO-1SG child who  
 ‘O, my God, who took my child?’

Questions about a non-human subject:

- (33) *mìnjé wàcìη hà lìm mə dál-á nàm mí*  
 now DEM 2SG see REL do-GO 1DU what  
 ‘Now, you saw, what happened to us?’

- (34) *kwáykwáy dǎp í wàn sùlúd sùlúd*  
 hyena ask 3PL sleep two two  
*wà mə dál-á-η tətə mí*  
 but what happen-GO-3SG 3PL what  
 ‘Hyena asked: they sleep in pairs, but what happened to them?’

Interrogative markers follow objects and adverbs of time or place, if any:

(35) *mà* *ŋ* *ká* *ntà* *ḡà* *n* *ví*  
 REL PREP INF pay cow 1SG who  
 ‘Who will pay for my cow?’

(36) *báy* *zá* *mà* *y-á* *ví*  
 chief COMP REL call-GO.2SG who  
 ‘The chief said, “Who is calling you?”’

(37) *wà* *mà* *ká* *ndà* *ká* *gàd-á*  
 but REL INF go INF pick fire-GO  
*nòk* *kú* *ví*  
 1PL fire who  
 ‘But who will go to find us fire?’

If there is an addressee in the clause, the addressee follows the specific interrogative marker. The addressee is thus outside of the propositional frame:

(38) *séy* *íi* *zá* *mì* *yá* *ví* *kwáyàŋ*  
 so 3PL COMP REL call who squirrel  
 ‘Then, they said, “Who called you, squirrel?”’

The evidence that the question about the subject is coded by the relative clause plus interrogative intonation is provided by non-interrogative relative clause constructions, which have exactly the same form as interrogative clauses:

(39) *mà* *ndà* *ká* *šì* *ví* *syì*  
 REL go INF run who COM  
*ká* *nd-á* *zà*  
 INF hit-GO EE  
 ‘The one who wants to run away, he hit him’

Compare the intonation in the interrogative clause:

(40) *mà* *ndà* *ká* *šì* *ví*  
 REL go INF run who  
 ‘Who wants to go to run?’

## 4.5 Questions about the object

In questions about the object, the interrogative marker follows the verb or aspect marker, if any. If the object is human, the marker is *ví*; if the object is non-human, the marker is *mí*. The function of these markers as coding the object is assured by the presence of the subject in the position preceding the verb.

Human objects:

(41) *wà sà n ká gèr žì ví*  
 but 1SG PREP INF search then who  
 ‘But who am I going to search for?’

(42) *gómbòk zá hà r ví*  
 frog COMP 2SG insult who  
 ‘Frog said, “Who are you insulting?”’

Non-human objects:

(43) *sáy tàkár zá hà kàp mí*  
 so turtle COMP 2SG throw what  
 ‘So the turtle said, “What do you throw?”’

(44) *à gèr ká dāl-á-h mí*  
 3SG want INF do-GO-2SG what  
 ‘What does he want to do to you?’

(45) *à mìsíl mí á mìsíl wàdá*  
 3SG steal what 3SG steal food  
 ‘What did she steal? She stole food!’

(46) *wàl ngàn zá áu sà*  
 wife 3SG COMP INTERJ 1SG  
*dāl-á-h mèná wá mí*  
 do-GO-2SG like DEM what  
 ‘His wife said, “What did I do to you?”’

The interrogative clause may have the marker *kə* coding focus:

- (47) à      zà                      s      kà      káwù      tá  
 3SG    COMP                    1SG    INF    hold    DEM  
 n      á      rà      wà      mí  
 PREP   PRED   hand    DEM    what  
 ‘He said, “What did I hold in the hand?”’

Questions about the object do not have to have a subject. Instead, the infinitive clause can be used alone. These questions end with the word *vàṅgáy* ‘how’:

- (48) wà      ká      lùw-á-ṅ      n      kàdám      tá  
 but    INF    say-GO-3SG    PREP    calabash    GEN  
 ží      vāṅgáy              bāhāman  
 then    how                    Bahaman  
 “‘What do you say to the calabash, Bahaman?’”

- (49) ee,      wà      ká      lùw-á-ṅ      vāṅgáy  
 well,    DEM    INF    say-GO-3SG    how  
 ‘But what does one say?’

- (50) wàl                      wà      háṅ      háṅ      kà      dāl      vāṅgáy  
 woman                  DEM    cry      cry      INF    do      how  
 ‘This woman cried, “What [am I] to do?”’

#### 4.6 Questions about manner

The marker *vāṅgáy* ‘how’ codes questions about the manner. The habitual aspect is coded by the independent habitual marker *ndí*:

- (51) í      ndí      dā      māv      vāṅgáy  
 3PL    HAB    cook    EEer    how  
 ‘How do they cook beer?’

The future tense is coded by the form involving the sequence *n ká*:

- (52) hà      n      ká      dāl      vāṅgáy  
 2SG    PREP    INF    do      how  
 ‘What are you going to do?’



Unlike in affirmative equational clauses and questions about the truth, in specific interrogative equational clauses, there is a copula, which in the present tense is marked by the verb *nzà* 'be':

- (53) *skàn tá nzá vàngáy*  
 thing GEN be how  
 'What is the form of this thing? (about object previously mentioned but not visible)

#### 4.7 Questions about dative and benefactive argument

Question about the dative/benefactive must have the third-person dative pronoun *η* added to the verb, and the interrogative marker *ví* preceded by the preposition *nà*:

- (54) *hà dáh-á-η nà ví*  
 2SG go-GO-3SG PREP who  
 'For whom did you bring it?'

Questions about the addressee are coded by the third-person singular dative pronoun *η* following the verb and the locative predicator *á* and the preposition *nà* followed by the question marker about humans *ví* 'who':

- (55) *hà η ká lùw-á-η žín á nà ví*  
 2SG PREP INF say-GO-3SG then PRED PREP who  
 'Who are you going to tell it to?'

#### 4.8 Questions about locative adjuncts

Mina makes a distinction between directional and stative locatives. In both types of questions, the interrogative word occurs in clause-final position. In both types of questions, the interrogative word may be preceded by the local predicator *á* and the prepositions, *nà*, or *rà*. Which marker is used depends on the presence of locative characteristics in the verb and in the locative complement.

Questions about the stative locative, i.e. about the location of an object or an event, are marked by the clause final *tíkì*:

- (56) *skàn wà hà dá tiki*  
 thing DEM 2SG bring where  
 ‘That thing, where did you take it from?’

If the clause is verbless, the local predicator *á* must be used before the interrogative marker:

- (57) *à zá skàn wàcíŋ á tiki*  
 3SG COMP thing DEM PRED where  
 ‘He said, “That thing, where is it?”’

If the complement is human, a preposition *r* must be used before it:

- (58) *hà dà skàn wàcíŋ á rà v-iti*  
 2SG bring thing DEM PRED PREP whom  
 ‘To whom did you bring that thing?’

The directional interrogative is marked by clause-final *váy*:

- (59) *hà ndà váy*  
 2SG go where  
 ‘Where are you going?’

- (60) *há nzà sàŋ ká dòk tá-kòŋ*  
 2SG sit 1SG as horse GEN-2SG  
*há ndà váy sá déb-é-h*  
 2SG go where 1SG take-GO-2SG  
 ‘You sit on me as on your horse. Where are you going? Let me take you.’

- (61) *à zá médíŋ tsú váy wàl*  
 3SG COMP neighbor go where woman  
*ngàn zá à ndà mbé skù*  
 3SG COMP 3SG go recent NEG  
 ‘He said, “Where did the neighbor go?” His wife said, “He went a long time ago.”’

The marker *váy* is not inherently interrogative, but rather is a marker of an unspecified direction:

- (62) *kó wàl ngàn ndà váy ìi gràb*  
 even wife 3SG go where 3PL together  
*dàp*  
 always  
 ‘No matter where his wife goes, they are always together.’

- (63) *hí ndà váy hí gràb hí gràb*  
 2PL go where 2PL together 2PL together  
*wà kàm*  
 DEM TOP(F.)  
 ‘Wherever you go, you are always together, therefore . . .’

The stative locative interrogative *tíkì* can be used with verbs of movement, but only to code the starting point of the movement, not the direction to:

- (64) *báy zá à nd-á zà tíkì*  
 chief COMP 3SG go-GO EE where  
 ‘The chief said, “Where did he come from?”’

#### 4.9 Questions about the possessor

Questions about the identity of the possessor are coded by the interrogative complex consisting of the possessum, followed by the marker *v* ‘who’ and by the clause-final particle *tì*.

- (65) *báy zá há dàm háǵàm viti*  
 chief COMP 2SG marry daughter whose  
 ‘The chief said, “Whose daughter did you marry?”’

- (66) *skàn wàcìŋ á vití*  
 thing DEM PRED whom  
 ‘To whom does this thing belong?’

- (67) *à zá rùkùt-yiì wàcìŋ á vití*  
 3SG COMP clothing-PL DEM PRED whom  
 ‘He said, “To whom does this clothing belong?”’

- (68) *séy báy à zá wàcíŋ bàhá á*  
 so chief 3SG COMP DEM again PRED  
*vì*  
 whom  
 ‘So the chief said, “This one is for whom?”’

The clause final particle *tì* can be analyzed as consisting of the interrogative marker *ì* and the form *t*. Given the fact that *t* is a component of the genitive marker *tá*, reduced to *tá* in phrase internal position, it is more than likely that the structure of the interrogative complex in questions about the possessor is: ‘who’-GEN-Q. Of interest here is the fact that the genitive marker occurs after the possessor. In affirmative clauses, the genitive marker occurs before the possessor.

#### 4.10 *Questions about time*

Questions about time are coded by the form *pípi* at the end of the clause:

- (69) *há dám wàl pípi*  
 2SG marry woman when  
 ‘When did you marry?’

Because of the nature of the questions about time, viz. lack of any assumptions about the possible time of the event, the end-of-event marker *za* cannot be used.

- (70) *há òm-á gwáj (\*za) pípi*  
 2SG see-GO elephant (\*EE) when  
 ‘When did you see the elephant?’

The marker *pípi* is not inherently interrogative, but rather codes unspecified time, as evidenced by its use in non-interrogative clauses:

- (71) *sà dál dàbàráy nám zàm wùdá tàmú*  
 1SG do plan 1DU eat food 1DU  
*ábà jàm kó pípi sà gár ngùl*  
 ASSC well (F.) even when 1SG search husband  
*pár rà skù séy nàmú*  
 other D.HAB NEG except 1DU  
 ‘I have made a plan so that we eat well. I am never going to look for another husband. It is just the two of us.’

#### 4.11 Questions about purpose and reason

Questions about reason are coded by the sequence *ká mì*, *á gdán mì* or frequently using a Fula word *ngàm* ‘because’ in the sequence *ngàm ká mì*, occurring in clause-initial or in clause-final position. The marker *mì* is the non-human interrogative marker, *ká* is the preposition “in.”

- (72) *ɲkwà à zá hà gàr kímí*  
 goat 3SG COMP 2SG want why  
 ‘The goat said, “Why are you looking for it?”’
- (73) *dòk zá hà gàr kímí*  
 horse EE 2SG want why  
 ‘The horse said, “Why are you looking for it?”’

The answer to the question about reason/purpose involves the infinitive verb:

- (74) *à zá kà dzám*  
 3SG COMP INF wrestle  
 ‘He said, “In order to fight.”’

Here are examples of the use of the lexeme *ngàm* ‘because’ (F.) followed by *kímí* ‘why’ to code the question about reason:

- (75) *gónḡà*      *à*      *n*      *ká*      *vúrtàhà*      *á*  
 reality (F.)    3SG    PREP    INF    leave (F.)    PRED  
*tàlàn*    *tàtàn*    *gàm*                      *ká*    *mì*    *dúnìyà*  
 head    3PL    because                    PREP    what    world  
*à*      *nzá*    *kà*      *mbíḡ*  
 3SG    be      like      that  
 ‘The reality will come from their side. Why? Because that’s  
 how life is.’

- (76) *kà*      *ḡám*    *kímí*    *wà*      *lèbék-lèbék*    *wà*  
 INF    eat      why      but      raw raw          but  
*kà*      *ḡám*    *vàngáy*  
 INF    eat      how  
 ‘To eat [meat] why?, but how can one eat things that are raw?’

#### 4.12 *Questions about quantity*

For questions about quantity, the interrogative word corresponding to “how many, how much” is *vànú*:

- (77) *hà*      *ká*      *dèḡ-è-ḡ*      *dálá*    *nà*      *hìdà*    *wà*  
 2SG    INF    bring-GO-3SG    moneyPREP    man    DEM  
*dāl*    *vànú*  
 makes how many  
 ‘The money that you brought for the man, how much is it?’

The interrogative marker *vànú* may be preceded by the preposition *nà*:

- (78) *hà*      *bèr*      *nà*      *vànú*  
 2SG    sell      PREP    how much  
 ‘For how much are you selling it?’

- (79) *sà*      *n*      *ká*      *ḡàḡ-á*                      *vànú*  
 1SG    PREP    INF    smith-GO.2SG                  how many  
 ‘How many [hoes] will I make?’

The marker *vànú* may occur right after the head noun or in clause-final position:

- (80) *hà ká dǎlà vǎ vǎnú á Mókòlò*  
 2SG INF make year how many PRED Mokolo  
 ‘How many years did you spend at Mokolo?’

#### 4.13 Questions about the instrumental

The interrogative instrumental is marked by the associative marker *bà* followed by the non-human marker *mì*:

- (81) *skú syì ká zàm skàn-yiì*  
 NEG COM INF eat thing-PL  
*wà bà mí*  
 DEM ASSC what  
 ‘Or else what to eat those things with?’

### 5. The categoriality of interrogative markers

The forms *ví*, *mí*, and perhaps other forms employed at the end of specific interrogative clauses are not inherently interrogative. Their inherent meaning is that of unspecified human and unspecified non-human entity. The evidence for the proposed functions of *ví* and *mí* is provided by the fact that these forms can also occur in non-interrogative functions, more specifically, in functions equivalent to “everybody,” “everything”:

- (82) *ví tì mbà dá skù*  
 who look child exist NEG  
 ‘Everybody looks, there is no child’

### 6. Conclusions

Interrogative clauses are marked by clause-final markers, which differ for questions about the truth and for questions about specific components of a proposition. The marker of yes/no questions shares the initial consonant *v* with specific questions about humans. All specific questions share the final vowel *i*. The marker for questions about non-human participants is *mí*. All the interrogative markers are underlyingly

markers of an unspecified participant belonging to one of the semantic categories of human, non-human, place, direction, time, and manner.

The interrogative clauses do not code the past tense through reduplication. Instead, the simple form of the verb is used in the past tense. In the future tense the form *n kə* is used.



# Chapter 17

## Reference system

### 1. Introduction

The description of the system of reference is crucial for the grammar of any language because it explains the role of lexical nouns in discourse, deictic and anaphoric elements, and the function of argument omission, if any. Mina codes the following domains in the system of reference: deixis; known referent; previous mention reference in discourse; These domains are coded by one of the following means: a noun alone; a noun followed by one or more determiners, a pronoun alone, a determiner alone or a sequence of determiners. The purpose of the present chapter is to describe each of these means, their functions, and how they interact with the other means.

### 2. Phrase internal and phrase final forms of pronouns and determiners

From the point of view of phonological properties, pronouns and determiners behave in the same way with respect to the syntactic positions they occupy. In phrase-internal position, members of this class undergo phonological reduction, and in phrase-final position, they undergo phonological expansion.

The phrase-final variants are derived through the suffix *n* added to the underlying, not the phrase-internal, form of the pronouns and determiners. The evidence for this suffix is provided by the phonological changes that pronouns undergo. High vowels in closed syllables are lowered by one step; thus, *i* becomes *e*, and *u* becomes *o*. The nasal suffix is realized as a velar nasal, which may be an additional cause of vowel lowering. The vowel-lowering rule enables us to analyze the underlying form of the second-person singular pronoun *hu*. Subsequent

lowering of *u* to *o* occurs when the suffix *n* is added. The vowel-lowering rule has not yet been generalized to all dialects, and some dialects do not lower the vowel in closed syllable.

The form of the pronoun with the suffix *n* allows us to establish the underlying form of the first-person pronoun and the third-person anaphor as *sa* and *ta* respectively. When the suffix *n* is added, the forms become *san* and *tan*, but when they occur in phrase-internal position, the vowel *a* is reduced and the forms become *s* or *t*. Subsequent schwa insertion as required by syllabification rules produces the forms *sə* and *tə*:

Singular		Dual		Plural	
Internal	Final	Internal	Final	Internal	Final
1 <i>sə</i>	<i>sáŋ</i>	<i>nám</i>	<i>nàmú</i>	<i>nin</i>	<i>nènéŋ</i> EXCL
				<i>nòk</i>	<i>nòkóŋ</i> INCL
2 <i>hú</i>	<i>hó-n</i>				<i>hìnéŋ</i>
3 <i>tə</i>	<i>taŋ</i>			<i>tətə</i>	<i>tətàŋ</i>
Anaphor					
<i>mbí</i>	<i>mbéŋ</i>				

The tone on forms *tə* and *taŋ* is low after verbs and polar after nouns and other lexical categories.

The phrase-final variants of plural pronouns are formed through the addition of a velar nasal to the underlying form of the pronoun. To prevent a disallowed consonant cluster from emerging, an epenthetic vowel is inserted. The vowel is a copy of the vowel in the preceding syllable. The nasal consonant becomes velar in accordance with phonological rules regarding word-final position:

- (1)  $\emptyset \rightarrow V[\alpha \text{ high}, \beta \text{ front}] / V[\alpha \text{ high}, \beta \text{ front}]C \_\_\_\_ \eta\#$
- (2) *nin-n* → [níníŋ] or → [nènéŋ] 1PL.EXCL  
*nok-n* → nòkóŋ 1PL.INCL  
*hín-n* → híníŋ → [hìnéŋ] 2PL  
*tətà-n* → [tətàŋ] 3PL

The third-person plural *tətə* in phrase-internal position and *tətàŋ* in phrase-final position are most likely reduplicated forms of the deduced marker *ta*, realized as *tə* in phrase-internal position. In the present grammar, the phrase final forms of these and other determiners are represented as one morpheme, instead of being divided into the base and

phrase-final suffix. The first person dual phrase-final form *nàmú* does not have the velar suffix. That may indicate that the form does not belong historically to the class of pronouns.

A noun or a noun phrase may be followed by several determiners, including deictics, anaphors, and pronouns. The term “demonstrative” in the present grammar refers to a form that can modify another noun or be the head of a noun phrase. Some demonstratives may have both deictic and anaphoric functions. Anaphors have an anaphoric function only.

### 3. Deixis

The grammatical system of Mina makes a clear distinction between locative deixis (described in Chapter 7 on locative arguments and adjuncts) and entity deixis. Entity deixis is based on the forms *wa* for proximate and *ta* for remote. The form *ta* has the phrase-internal variant *tə* and the phrase-final variant *taŋ*. The phrase-final variant of *wa* is formed through the addition of interchangeable suffixes *cín* or *hín*. The same speaker may use *hín* or *cín*, sometimes even within the same sentence. The vowel *a* of deictics may be raised to *e*, and we have also recorded instances of raising to *i* when phrase-final suffixes are added. Thus the following phrase-final phonetic realizations have been recorded: [kèhín], [mèhín], [wàcín], [wèhín], and even [wicín] and [kihín]. The evidence that the form *cín* is a suffix rather than an inseparable part of the underlying form of the pronoun is provided by its occurrence with other demonstratives.

Each marker can occur by itself, thus serving as head of the noun phrase, or it can modify a noun. Our description starts with proximate deixis followed by remote deixis, and within each category the use of the deictic marker alone is followed by its use as a modifier.

#### 3.1 Proximate deixis

The proximate entity deixis is coded by the form *wa*, whose phrase final variants are *wàhín* or *wàcín*:

- (3) *wècín kúji*  
 DEM Kuji  
 ‘This is Kuji.’

- (4) *wà tèk wàcín tèk wà tèk bàhá yà*  
 DEM sheep DEM sheep DEM sheep still also  
 ‘This one is a sheep, this one is a sheep, and this one also is a sheep’

The marker *wa* in clause-initial position, without any other elements preceding it within the clause, has low tone:

- (5) *séy báy zá wà dāmà*  
 so chief COMP DEM good  
 ‘The chief said, “That is good.”’

The entity modified by deictic markers can refer to an object of speech:

- (6) *wàcín àládà tó kúli-yù*  
 DEM custom (H., F.) GEN kuli-PL  
*wàcín tók zà*  
 DEM finish EE  
 ‘That is the tradition of the kuli. It [the story] has ended.’

The deictic *wà* may be used as complement the preposition *màná* ‘like’ to give the meaning ‘like that’:

- (7) *hàŋ hàŋ hàŋ á hàŋ màná*  
 cry cry cry 3SG cry like that  
*wàcín syì*  
 DEM COM  
 ‘He cried a lot like that.’

- (8) *hà ndí dzán-á nám skàn màná wà*  
 2SG HAB find-GO 1DU thing like DEM  
*tíkì*  
 where  
 ‘Where do you find us things like this?’’

### 3.2 *Deictic modification*

The tone of the marker *wa* is low:

- (9) *ʒì wà tók zà*  
 meat DEM finish EE  
 ‘This meat has finished’
- (10) *ʒà wà tók zà*  
 cow DEM finish EE  
 ‘This cow is finished’ (is sick, tired, etc)
- (11) *wàcín ngàlámbrà wà tók zà*  
 DEM story DEM finish EE  
 ‘And that is how this story ends.’

The marker *wàcín* and its free variant *wàhín* have low-high tone structure:

- (12) *ʒà wàcín nék skù*  
 cow DEM good NEG  
 ‘This cow is not good’
- (13) *ʒí wàcín nék skù*  
 meat DEM good NEG  
 ‘This meat is not good.’

If in the same sentence the full form of demonstrative is used more than once, the first has the form *wàcín* and the second the form *wèhín*:

- (14) *pár wàcín m̀ háŋ háŋ pář wèhín*  
 other DEM REL cry cry other DEM  
*m̀ dz úlà*  
 REL kill neck  
 ‘One is a weeper and the other is a screamer.’

The evidence that the form *wa* can code deixis is provided by its unambiguous use in discourse when the speaker refers to an object in the environment of speech. Thus people coming across a river that has filled up say:

- (15) *nòk kà dǎl žì vǎŋgáy kà ǰáy*  
 1PL INF do then how INF cross  
*làkwát wàcín*  
 river DEM  
 ‘How are we going to cross this river?’ (*žì* phrase-internal, *žèn* phrase-final)

- (16) *à ǰá nd-á tìy skàŋ wà mǎk*  
 3SG say go-GO see thing DEM won’t you  
*mbù tá hìdì gènák táŋ á ndà-há*  
 child GEN man black go 3SG go-GO  
*tìy syì mǎmǎŋ*  
 see COM mother.3SG  
 ‘He said, “Come and look at this thing.” The human child came and saw that it was his mother.’ (*mà dǎgènák* = something black, *hìdì gènák* ‘black person’ = ‘human being’)

Here is another example of this kind:

- (17) *báy tì ǰ-yì dǔngùr dá skù*  
 chief look cow-PL hump exist NEG  
 ‘The chief saw that the cows did not have humps’
- (18) *à zá mà ǰà dǔngùrtá ǰà wà ví*  
 3SG COMP REL cut hump GEN cow DEM who  
 ‘He asked, “Who cut the hump[s] of these cows?”’

The following example illustrates use of *wàcín* both as a modifier of another noun and as deictic complement of a preposition. The modifier function is marked by the phrase-final form because it marks the topic:

- (19) *ǰálámbrá wàcín tòk zá ábè wàcín*  
 story DEM finish EE ASSC DEM  
 ‘This story is finished with that.’ (or ‘With that, this story is finished.’)

The form *wàcín* may follow other modifiers, e.g. possessive pronouns. The following example contains the words of the subject upon noticing a horse grazing nearby:

- (20) à ká mǎllúm úséni há sànn bìḡáv  
 3SG say marabout please (F.) 2SG know God  
 vl-á-k dók túk wàcín sà bám zà  
 give-GO-1SG horse 2SG DEM 1SG eat EE  
 ‘He said, “Marabout, please, if you know God, give me this horse of yours for me to eat.” (The horse has not been mentioned in the conversation between the subject and the marabout.)

The deictic *wà* is used with the word *bákàhà* ‘today’. This usage parallels the use of demonstratives to code the notion ‘today’ in many other languages:

- (21) *bákà wà žéŋ há kè zár zár*  
 today DEM then 2SG INF whip whip  
 à zá tálàŋ kràp à dǎl-á-k  
 3SG COMP head ache 3SG do-GO-1SG  
*rà*  
 D.HAB  
 ‘Today, if you whip him, he says, “I have a headache.”’

### 3.3 Remote deixis

Remote entity deixis is coded by the form *ta*, realized as *tə* in phrase-internal position and *taŋ* in phrase-final position, with polar tones after nouns, and low tones after verbs. We have very few instances of remote deixis in natural discourse data. The following fragment provides evidence for the deictic modification function of *ta*. An object is brought into sight, and one of the participants speaks about it. The remote deixis is used with respect to the object that is closer to the listener than to the speaker:

- (22) káŋ í káŋ híd-yũ ndá bāt í  
 send 3PL send man-PL go get 3PL  
 bāt-á-ŋ kàdǎm wàcín dà í  
 get-GO-3SG calabash DEM bring 3PL  
 dà-há-w  
 bring-GO-3SG  
 ‘They sent people and they went and got the calabash for him and brought it.’

- (23) *wà*    *ká*    *lùw-á-ŋ*    *n*    *kàdám*    *tà*  
 but    INF    say-GO-3SG    PREP    calabash    DED  
*ží*    *vàngáy*    *bàhàman*  
 then    how    Bahaman  
 ‘What do you say to the calabash, Bahaman?’

#### 4. Full noun phrase as subject

Full noun phrases can be used as subject, object, locative argument, or the complement of a preposition. The constraints on the use of a full noun phrase differ for subjects and objects. The use of the pronouns also differs between subject and object. It is therefore useful to organize the description of the relevant constraints from the point of view of realization of various arguments and adjuncts.

Proper names, titles such as *báy* ‘chief’, i.e. personalities that have unique reference within the society, and nouns that function as proper names within a discourse always occur without any determiners, regardless of whether they have been previously mentioned in discourse, regardless of whether they are present in the discourse environment, and regardless of their pragmatic role:

Full noun as proper name:

- (24) *Bàhámàn*    *tíl*    *á*    *nd-á*  
 Bahaman    go    3SG    go-GO  
 ‘Bahaman left.’

Full noun as title:

- (25) *báy*    *zá*    *ngwáy*    *tàr*    *láy*  
 chief    COMP    INTERJ    month    time  
*tá*    *mítiš*    *màná*    *wàcín*  
 GEN    hunger    like    DEM  
 ‘The chief said, “Oh, during the time of hunger like this”’

Next is an ordinary noun anthropomorphized and serving as a proper name in a story. Notice, that after the first sentence that introduces the characters, the second sentence has the same names occur also without any determiners:



- (26) *gómbòk*      *ibà*              *bàkàlàf*              *ì-dál*  
 frog              PL.ASSC              buffalo              3PL-make  
*gáabà*  
 conversation  
 ‘A frog and a buffalo had a conversation’
- (27) *bàkàlàf*      *zá*      *nà*      *gómbòk*              *hà*      *kúl*  
 buffalo              COMP PREP      frog              2SG      able  
*kà*      *ši*      *skù*  
 INF      run      NEG  
 ‘The buffalo said to the frog, “You cannot run”’

Here is another fragment, with ordinary nouns serving as proper names of characters in a story. Note, however, that there is no formal difference between the use of a noun as a proper name and as an ordinary name.

- (28) *séy*      *tàkár*      *tíl*      *á*      *nà*      *yàm*  
 so      turtle      leave      PRED PREP      water  
*màl*      *màl*      *á*      *màl-á*              *dzàbáŋ*  
 seize      seize      3SG      seize-GO              five  
 ‘So, the turtle went in the water and caught five [fish].’

*séy*      *kilif-yíi*              *i*      *dãmdãmà*              *i*      *mà*  
 so      fish-PL              3PL      good good              3PL      REL  
*nj-í*  
 be-STAT  
 ‘So, the fish are good. They are there.’

*kwáyàŋ*      *à*      *zá*      *nà*      *tàkár*      *màsáw*      *kilén*  
 squirrel      3SG COMP PREP      turtle      fry              first  
 ‘The squirrel said to the turtle, “Fry them first.”’

The ordinary full noun is used without any determiners when it is a new subject but not a new paragraph topic. In the following sentence, the subject of the first clause is a pronoun; hence, there is no determiner. The subject of the second clause is *dãmàs* ‘stomach’, not a topic of the clause. In addition, the subject of the third clause, *hátày* ‘non-blacksmith’, is the subject and the topic as evidenced by the fact that it is marked by the demonstrative *wà*. It is also the focus, as evidenced by the use of the form *ká* in the past tense:

- (29) *sà tál-áhà wà á tán syì dàmàs*  
 1SG walk-GO but PRED 1SG COM stomach  
*gwád zà hátày wà ká*  
 full EE nonblacksmith DEM INF  
*vl-á-k máv zà*  
 give-GO-1SG beer EE  
 ‘I took walks, as for me, my belly is full, and the nonblacksmith<sup>3</sup> gave me beer.’

In the following fragment, the subject of the embedded clause *záván-yìi* ‘guinea fowl’ occurs without any determiner (other than the plural coding). It also has no determiner in the subsequent main clause, where it also is the subject:

- (30) *kà ndè zá fú ndè dzánj*  
 INF go EE each time go find  
*záván-yìi í már rà*  
 guinea fowl-PL 3PL graze D.HAB  
 ‘Each time she went, she found guinea fowl grazing.’  
*záván-yìi zá fãd-á ná*  
 guinea fowl-PL COMP shave-GO 1PL  
*tàlàn ká gí*  
 head POS please  
 ‘The guinea fowl said, “Shave our heads, please.”’

Here are examples of subjects that are not topics, used in the discourse:

- (31) *cikíd tá gwídín ndàv ká [ndèf]*  
 sesame GEN single fall POS  
 ‘A single sesame seed fell down.’

---

3. The noun *hàtáy* ‘a non-blacksmith’ identifies a person as not belonging to the cast of blacksmiths, who are involved, among other activities, in handling of corpses. Blacksmiths are the untouchable cast among Hina. A *hàtáy* is not necessarily a Hina person. Any person could be a *hàtáy* provided the person is not a blacksmith. In the old days it was unthinkable to eat from the same vessel as a blacksmith or to accept food or beer from a blacksmith. Intermarriage between a member of the blacksmith clan and other people was still unheard of in 2000.

- (32) *ván* *dǎ* *rà* *màná á* *nà*  
 rain fetch:GO D.HAB like PRED PREP  
*lúmò*  
 market  
 'It was raining from the direction of the market.'

- (33) *ván* *wilkil* *ká* *ndá-hà*  
 rain fail INF go-GO  
 'The rain failed to come in.'

The following sentence is preceded and followed by clauses each with a different subject:

- (34) *kwákwá-yì* *í* *má* *nd-à-y* *zá*  
 hyena-PL 3PL REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 'Hyenas came.'

## 5. Use of pronouns in reference system

In sequential clauses, if the subjects are the same, the pronominal subject is not used. This constraint applies to all persons. If sentences with the same subject describe different events, the pronominal subject is used. The following fragment illustrates three conditions in the use of subject markers: the use of the second-person pronoun in the first clause, its omission in the second, sequential, clause, then its use again in the third clause of the second sentence.

- (35) *há* *ǒt* *mávù* *dá* *nà* *màŋ*  
 2SG take beer cook PRED there  
 'You take wine, you cook it there.'

<i>hà</i>	<i>tós</i>	<i>hìd-yù</i>	<i>tùk-yù</i>	<i>ká</i>	
2SG	gather	man-PL	2SG-PL	INF	
<i>sà</i>	<i>tàŋ</i>	<i>ngàm</i>	<i>á</i>	<i>kà</i>	<i>mbéŋ</i>
drink	DED	because	PRED	like	ANAPH
<i>wàhíŋ</i>	<i>dámà</i>	<i>àláadà</i>	<i>mí</i>	<i>ǵéǵé</i>	<i>ii</i>
DEM	good	custom	REL	old time	3PL
<i>dál</i>	<i>ngè</i>	<i>híŋ</i>			
do	like	that			

‘You gather your neighbors to drink it, because it is good, like that. That was the custom of the old times.’

The third-person singular subject pronoun is unmarked before the subject focus marker *kà*, the perfect marked by the form *mà*, and in sequential clauses marked by *ndà*. The antecedent of the unmarked third-person subject is the last subject mentioned in discourse:

- (36) *séy* *dál* *á* *dá-lá-há-w* *dà-h*  
 so do 3SG do-GO-3SG bring-GO  
*dà-h* *ndà* *yà* *kwáyàŋ*  
 bring-GO go call squirrel  
 ‘He took some of it, brought it, and called the squirrel.’

If the first clause has a nominal subject, the use of the third-person pronominal subject in the next sequential clause codes switch reference to another subject previously mentioned in discourse. The evidence that what follows is another clause is provided by the low tone on the verb *tàŋ* ‘go’:

- (37) *kwáyàŋ* *tàŋ* *à* *zá*  
 squirrel go 3SG COMP  
*s* *kà* *dál* *tséy* *zà*  
 1SG INF do finished EE  
 ‘The squirrel went. He [the monkey] said, “I finished.”’

The following fragment illustrates the use of the pronoun *a* to code a new subject (but not a new topic) as well as the absence of pronominal markers to code a continuing subject:

- (38) *séy* *bàhámàn* *wurtə* *páláh* *à* *zá*  
 then Bahaman leave(F.) out 3SG COMP  
*ndə* *séytiinà* *bá dàp*  
 go 'call' again

'Then Bahaman went out. She said to him, "Go make that call again.'" (*séytiinà* 'name in Fula of muezzin's call in the morning')

*bàhámàn* *nd-á* *gàr*  
 Bahaman go-GO stand  
 'Bahaman went there and stood'.

*dīyà* *séitin* *go* *wàciŋ* *syì*  
 start muezzin's call DEM COM  
 'He started to make the call.'

The third person plural subject must always be coded overtly:

- (39) *hìd-yū* *wá* *í* *tàtə* *màkád*  
 man-PL DEM 3PL 3PL three  
 'There were three men.'

*í* *ndə* *ká* *bèr-é* *cikíád* *bùhù* *ntá*  
 3PL go INF sell-GO sesame bag (F.) one  
 'They were going to sell one bag of sesame seeds.'

*ngəd'* *ngəd'* *í* *ngəd'* *cikè'* (*zá*) *ká*  
 count count 3PL count all (be) POS  
 'They counted all [the sesame seeds].' (The form *ká* was first given when a language assistant repeated the recorded sentence.)

*dzàw* *í* *dzàw-ú* *á* *dùwán*  
 attach 3PL attach-3SG PRED back  
*màdingwàrzé*  
 donkey  
 'They attached it to the back of the donkey.'

<i>i</i>	<i>nd</i>	<i>rá</i>		<i>i</i>	<i>nd</i>	<i>rá</i>
3Pl	walk	D.HAB		3PL	walk	D.HAB
<i>vàŋ</i>	<i>wà</i>	<i>ká</i>	<i>dā</i>			
rain	start	INF	fetch water			

‘While they were walking, rain started to fall.’

Note that the last clause of this fragment has a new topic introduced, *ván* ‘rain’. The subsequent coding of rain is done through the third-person singular pronoun:

(40) *dá*                      *dá*                      *á*      *dê*      *wàné*  
 draw:GO                  draw:GO                  3SG    draw    a lot (F.)  
 ‘It rained a lot.’

However, the pronoun may also be used in the subject role even if the preceding clause had a different subject. The only condition for the felicitous use of the third-person subject pronoun is whether the situation gives an unambiguous interpretation of the reference. Consider the following fragment, where the subject of the third clause is identical with the addressee of the embedded clause:

(41) *séy*    *báy*    *zá*                      *kàdám*                  *vl-à*  
 so        chief    COMP                      calabash                  give-GO  
*nà*                                  *wùdè*    *gí*  
 1PL.EXCL    food    please  
 ‘So the chief said, “Calabash, give us food.”’

<i>dà</i>	<i>á</i>	<i>dà</i>	<i>d-á-ŋ</i>	<i>tá</i>	<i>wùdè</i>	<i>wàcín</i>
cook	3SG	cook	cook-GO-3SG	3PL	food	DEM
<i>syì</i>						
COM						

‘Then it made the food for them’

Such unambiguous interpretations also obtain if the two clauses have different numbers in the third-person. Thus in the following fragment, the first clause has a nominal singular subject, which is also the topic, the second clause has the third-person plural subject pronoun, hence a different reference, and the third clause has the third-person singular subject pronoun, whose antecedent is the subject of the first clause:

- (42) *i hók rà wàciŋ séy wàl wà bát*  
 3PL lift D.HAB them then wife DEM take  
*á bát fòram náká bá vènjéh díyà*  
 3SG take horn REM ASSC pepper put  
*á dī ká ná mà*  
 3SG put PREP PREP mouth

‘When they were lifting the stones, the wife took the horn which contained pepper and put it in her mouth.’ (high tone on *náká* is a result of the fusion with the following *á* of the associative marker)

*séy áb dùwán mbi í n ká*  
 then ASSC after that 3PL PREP INF  
*ndá-hà kò hók níwèŋ-yù*  
 go-GO INF lift stone-PL  
 ‘Afterwards they came to lift the stones.’

*if á if-é tá n fòram wá dàp*  
 blow 3SG blow-GO GEN PREP horn DEM only  
 ‘She blew that which was in the horn.’

## 6. Full noun phrase as the object

The full noun phrase is used as the object without any determiners if it is not marked as a topic of the new discourse, and if it is not marked as salient:

- (43) *mà lám bíŋ rá driš ngád driš*  
 REL build house dig mud mix mud  
 ‘The one who builds a house dug the mud, mixed the mud,’

*lám bíŋ ǵá hàmas nd-á hàǵ ká*  
 build house cut straw go-GO thatch POS  
*wán ká nà máŋ*  
 lie inside PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 built a home, cut some straw, thatched the roof, and lay down inside it.’

The object is coded by a full noun even when it has been mentioned in previous discourse but is not in focus and is not a topic of the propo-

sition. The following sentences contained the noun *bàtákar* ‘bag’ that has been mentioned a dozen sentences before, but which is not salient for the discourse, and which is not a topic as indicated by the absence of determiners:

- (44) *mà ngád ngád pàl á pàl*  
 REL count count detach 3SG detach  
*bàtákar ngəd ngəd*  
 bag count count  
 ‘The one who was good at counting detached the bag and counted [the seeds].’

Even if the object has been mentioned in the immediately preceding clause, it may be repeated in the next clause without any determiners. This is the case with the object *rùkùt* in the following fragment:

- (45) *à zá tsòk rùkùt fúu kà*  
 3SG COMP take off cloth all POS  
 ‘She said take off all your clothes.’
- báy tsòk rùkùt fúu kà*  
 chief take off cloth all POS  
 ‘The chief took off all his clothes.’

## 7. Object coding in non-finite clauses

Non-finite clauses, such as infinitive complements and complements of auxiliary verbs, must have an object overtly coded. If the object was previously mentioned in discourse, such an object is coded by the deduced anaphora form *ta* (*taŋ* in phrase-final position, with polar tones):

- (46) *ndə dzáŋ kwáykwá-yii í ká ngà*  
 go find hyena-PL 3PL INF break  
*ʒi zá syi*  
 meat EE COM  
 ‘And she found some hyenas who had caught some meat.’



*káyà*            *díyà*    *wállà*            *tá*        *bà*        *dà*  
 INTERJ (F.)    start    help(F.)        3PL    ASSC    cook  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘She started to help them cook it.’

Compare the absence of the object in the final clause in final clauses:

(47) *ndà*    *dzáŋ*    *kwáykwá-yii*    *í*        *ká*        *ngà*        *ǵì*  
 go        find    hyena-PL        3PL    INF        break    meat  
*zá*        *syì*  
 EE        COM  
 ‘And she found some hyenas who had caught some meat.’

*mbí*    *mà*        *dá*        *zìn*  
 3SG    REL    cook    then  
 ‘And then, it was she who cooked it.’

(48) \**mbí*    *mà*        *dá*        \**tàŋ*    *zìn*  
 3SG    REL    cook    DED    then  
 for ‘And then, it was she who cooked it.’

(49) *í*        *dà*        *rà*  
 3PL    cook    D.HAB  
 ‘and they were cooking it’

The form *ta* is used as an object anaphor in complements of auxiliary verbs:

(50) *bàt*        *á*        *bàt*        *ǵámbáy*        *ngàn*    *díyà*        *žéb*  
 get        3SG    get        stick        3SG    put        follow  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘He got his stick and went to follow her.’

The anaphor *ta* cannot be used in finite clauses:

(51) *à*        *lim*        *wàl*        *ngàŋ*    *kà*        *žéb*        \**tàŋ*        *zà*  
 3M    see    wife    3M    INF    follow    DED    EE  
 ‘He saw his wife and he followed her.’

The anaphor *ta* is used as an object marker in infinitival clauses:

- (52) *séy wàl wàcíŋ kúl skù à dál-áhà*  
 so woman DEM able NEG 3SG make-GO  
*séy dáb ii dáb á nà làptál*  
 so take 3PL take PRED PREP hospital  
*ká hùrgà tàŋ*  
 INF cure DED  
 ‘This woman was not well; she was sick. So she was brought to a hospital for treatment.’
- (53) *séy á tàt kám í ndí ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP(F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ḡì-yiì zó ká nd-á kà dá tàŋ*  
 meat EE INF go-GO INF cook DED  
 ‘Then, as for them [the hyenas], they just catch the meat [and] bring it for cooking.’
- (54) *tò à ḡá s-tsàf kám à*  
 okay 3SG say 1SG-lie TOP(F.) PRED  
*pàt káfkáfá áz tàm ká šì*  
 tomorrow morning go 1DU INF run  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘“Okay,” he said, “if I lie--tomorrow morning let’s run [a race].”’

In the next clause, a reference is made again to a race, and again the same anaphor is used:

- (55) *á ḡá áz tàm ká šì tàŋ*  
 3SG say go 1DU INF run DED  
 ‘He said, “Let’s run.”’

The antecedent of the deduced anaphora marker may be a proposition:

- (56) *há lùw-á-ŋ ngási ɓámáy*  
 2SG say-GO-3SG like that stick  
*n-dí dál tá vù*  
 go do DED Q  
 ‘You say to it just like that, “Stick, do it?”’ (high tone on *tá* is a product of the penultimate raising in interrogative clauses)
- (57) *à ɓá bìɓáf ká dzà tàtə cíké’ kà*  
 3SG say God INF kill 3PL all POS  
*à fín nàmú nám ká tìy tàŋ*  
 3SG remain 1DU 1DU INF see DED  
 ‘He said, “God has killed them all; there remains only us, we will see.”’
- (58) *kwáykwáy zá mèd’*  
 hyena COMP swear  
 ‘The hyena said, “Swear!”’
- (59) *à ɓá káy à fín nàm tátà*  
 3SG say INTERJ 3SG remain 1DU alone  
*mbémbé wá à n ká ndá*  
 immediately but 3SG PREP INF go:GO  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘He said, “Look, there remains only us, but very soon He [God] will come.”’

## 8. The domain of known referent

The deictic marker *wà* and its phrase-final form *wàcín/wàhín* follow a noun they determine. In addition to the deictic function described earlier, the marker indicates that the referent is to be treated as a known entity, regardless of whether the listener actually knows the referent. The source of the knowledge could be previous mention in discourse, regardless of the distance between the previous mention and the current mention. The source of knowledge could also be what is generally expected from any speaker of the language:

- (60) *kwáyàŋ*      *à*      *ndiŋ*      *bà*      *làkáf*      *wàciŋ*  
 squirrel      3SG      fear      ASSC      baboon      DEM  
 ‘The squirrel was afraid of that baboon’ (baboon mentioned in the immediately preceding sentence).
- (61) *nd-á*      *déw*      *ká*      *á*      *bár*      *màllúm*  
 go-GO      sit      POS      PRED      side      marabout  
*wàciŋ*  
 DEM  
 ‘He came to sit next to this marabout.’ (The marabout was the topic of the previous paragraph, but last mention as *màllúm* was five sentences earlier. In between there were several other participants mentioned.)
- (62) *í*      *ká*      *màl*      *zá*      *á*      *n*      *mišil*      *wàhin*  
 3PL      INF      seize      EE      PRED      PREP      theft      DEM  
 ‘They arrested him for stealing.’ (The noun *mišil* was mentioned five clauses earlier, the act of stealing two clauses earlier.)

Consider the following fragment, where in the first sentence the noun *fòrám* ‘horn’ is coded by the remote previous mention marker *nákàhà*. In its mention in the next sentence, it is followed by the form *wà*:

- (63) *í*      *hók*      *rà*      *wàciŋ*      *séy*      *wàl*      *wà*  
 3PL      lift      D.HAB      DEM      then      wife      DEM  
*bàt*      *á*      *bàt*      *fòrám*      ***nákà***      *bá*      *vènjéh*  
 take      3SG      take      horn      REM      ASSC      pepper  
*díyà*      *á*      *dí*      *ká*      *nà*      *mà*  
 put      3SG      put      in      PREP      mouth  
 ‘When they were lifting [the stones], the wife took the horn which contained pepper and put it in her mouth.’
- í*      *á*      *í*-*é*      *tá*      *n*      *fòrám*      *wà*      *dàp*  
 blow      3SG      blow-GO      GEN      PREP      horn      DEM      just  
 ‘She just blew out what was in the horn’

Here is another example of the proximate mention coded by the form *wa* (Both the antecedent and the anaphor are bolded):

- (64) *séy ndè dzáŋ á dzáŋ-á kàdám*  
 so go find 3SG find-GO calabash  
*á dāmù*  
 PRED bush

‘While walking, she found a calabash in the bush.’

- lù á lùw-á-ŋ nà kàdám wàcín*  
 say 3SG say-GO-3SG PREP calabash DEM

‘She addressed this calabash.’

The evidence that the form *wà* does not merely code previous mention in discourse is provided by the fact that the previous mention alone does not trigger the use of the demonstrative with the next mention. Thus in the first sentence of the following fragment the noun *wírŋjìk* ‘ash’ is mentioned. In the second sentence the same word occurs again, and it is not marked with a demonstrative, because the identity of the ashes has no specific role in discourse:

- (65) *bàk bàk á bàk-á-ŋ wírŋjìk ká*  
 fill fill 3SG fill-GO-3SG ash POS  
*nà m̀əŋ*  
 PREP L.ANAPH

‘[while he was making the shoes] He filled them with ash.’

- séy wàl ng̀ən táŋ à nd-rá wàcín*  
 so wife 3SG DED 3SG go-D.HAB DEM  
*syì wírŋjìk díyà bàkà-há*  
 COM ash put pour-GO

‘When his wife was going, ash was pouring out of the shoe.’

The protagonists of a story often are introduced with the marker *wà*, as in these opening lines of two different stories:

- (66) *hìd-yī wá í t̀ət̀ət̀ m̀àkád̀*  
 man-PL DEM 3PL 3PL three  
 ‘There were (these) three men.’

- hìdè ẁècín í t̀ət̀ən fád̀*  
 man DEM 3PL 3PL four  
 ‘There were (those) four men.’

In the following fragment, the first mention of noun *kàdǎm* ‘calabash’ is not accompanied by *wà* or any other determiner. Later in the narrative the noun ‘calabash’ is marked by *wà*, indicating that the referent for this noun is to be treated as a known entity:

(67) *à à dzán-á kàdǎm*  
 ah 3SG find-GO calabash  
 “‘She found a calabash.’”

*àa ndà b̀̀t-à nòk skú syì á v̀̀ngáy*  
 ah go get-OBJ 1PL NEG COM how  
 “‘Ah, go bring it to us, otherwise what can we do?’”

*à zá hí ǵ-ǵ̀hìdì*  
 3SG COMP 2PL send-3SG man  
 ‘He [the man] said, ‘Send somebody.’

*ǵǵ̀hìdì í ǵǵ̀hìdì hìd-ỳ̀i ndá b̀̀t í*  
 send 3PL send man-PL go get 3PL  
*b̀̀t-á-ǵ̀hìdì kàdǎm ẁ̀cìǵ̀hìdì dà í*  
 get-OBJ-3SG calabash DEM bring 3PL  
*dà-há-w*  
 bring-GO-3SG

‘They sent some people and they went and got the calabash for him and brought it.’

Further evidence that *wà* codes the category ‘known’ is that it cannot co-occur with a noun modified by a possessive pronoun. The reason for this constraint is that possessive pronouns also mark the noun as known, and two morphemes coding the same domain do not co-occur. In the following sentence, *wàl ng̀̀n* ‘his wife’ is not followed by the marker *wà*, even though ‘wife’ is a protagonist of a story and the topic of the paragraph and has been mentioned in the preceding discourse:

(68) *wàl ng̀̀n à ndí ndà kà dá tìpíd*  
 wife 3SG 3SG HAB go INF fetch termites  
 ‘His wife had the habit of going to fetch termites.’

Similarly, in the following fragment, *ng̀̀l ng̀̀n* ‘her husband’ is not followed by the marker *wà*:

- (69) *ngùl ngèn zá wàl nànn*  
 husband 3SG COMP wife 1SG  
 ‘Her husband said, “My wife,”

*hà ndí dzán-á nám skàn mèná wà tíkì*  
 2SG HAB find-GO 1DU thing like DEM where  
 ‘where do you find us things like this?’”

There is no case of a possessive pronoun being followed by the marker *wà*:

- (70) *séy kàdám wá dà dà á*  
 so calabash DEM cook cook 3SG  
*d-á-ŋ wùd mèná wà mbá pè*  
 cook-GO-3SG food like DEM so much  
*té té té té á mà kàbám ngàŋ*  
 spread(x 4) PRED PREP face 3SG  
 ‘So the calabash made a lot of food for her [and] spread [it] in front of her.’

*zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
 ‘She ate and ate and ate’ (until she was satisfied).’

*á n kàdám ngèn bət*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘Then she took her calabash.’

- (71) *tá tán dàp nàŋ ángà-cíŋ má nàŋ*  
 GEN 1SG:GEN only 1SG like-DEM mother 1SG  
*vá nàŋ íi ká nàz-á-k zà*  
 father 1SG 3PL INF throw-GO-1SG EE  
 ‘I am like that. My mother and father abandoned me.’

- (72) *bət á bət déftá ngèn \*wa*  
 take 3SG take Koran(F.) 3SG DEM  
 ‘He took his Koran.’

## 9. The domain of deduced referent

Mina has a subdomain of ‘deduced’ reference that is marked by the form *ta* (phrase-internal form *tə*; phrase-final form *tàŋ* and *táy*). Two rules determine the tone of the deduced reference marker. When the deduced reference marker serves as the object of the verb it has low tone:

- (73a) *žíŋ ngùl-yii pár sùlúd tàn*  
 then man-PL other two DED  
*í nd-áhà bàhá*  
 3PL go-GO again  
*nd-á mábàr mbír bàhá ká m̀̀l tàn*  
 go-GO lion leap again INF seize DED  
 ‘Later, when the two men arrived, the lion jumped to catch them’

- (73b) *mbigiŋ wàciŋ í d̀̀l ngàm m̀̀ts*  
 mbiguin DEM 3PL do because sickness  
*k̀̀ d̀̀l ǹ̀ hàyák í hóyǹ̀ tàn*  
 INF do PREP village 3PL calm (F.) DED  
 ‘This mbiguin, they do it because there is sickness in the village. They cure it.’

When it follows nouns, the deduced reference marker has polar tone, opposite of the tone of the preceding noun. All examples in the present section support this hypothesis.

The deduced reference marker instructs the listener to identify the referent through a process of deduction using knowledge from various sources, including the listener’s cognitive system, the speech environment, and previous discourse. The form *tá* may be the only component of a noun phrase or it may be a determiner, modifying another noun or a quantifier.

One piece of evidence for the proposed function of the marker *tá* is that its antecedent need not have been mentioned in discourse. In fact, the presence of *tá* explicitly tells the listener that the referent is not the noun marked by *tá* but some other referent associated with that noun. In the last line of the following fragment, *tá* follows the noun *báy* ‘chief’, which has been mentioned several times in the preceding discourse. However, the form *tá* does not identify the chief but rather the chief’s court, an entity that has not been mentioned in discourse at all:



- (74) *báy zá ngwáy bàhámàn bákà bá*  
 chief COMP 'People' Bahaman today still  
*dzán-á nòkmi*  
 find-GO 1PL what  
 'The chief said, "People, what else did Bahaman find for us to-day?"'

*hí ndèlùw-á-ŋ má ndà-hà*  
 2PL go say-GO-3SG DEB go -GO  
 "'Go tell him to come here.'"

*ndá yà í y-ù*  
 go call 3PL call-3SG  
 'One went to call him.'

*tíl á nd-á á r báy tàŋ*  
 go 3SG go-GO PRED PREP chief DED  
 'He went to the chief's [court].'

In the next example, the Koran is the object of the first clause. The meaning of the second sentence is as shown in the English translation. However, the only overt object marker in the second sentence is the marker *taŋ*. Because the reduplication of the verb *náz* 'throw' indicates a repeated action, the antecedent of *táŋ* cannot be the Koran itself but must be some plural object associated with the Koran. This object can only be the pages of the Koran, even though the pages themselves have not been overtly mentioned. The use of *ta* thus instructs the listener to deduce the referent for the object:

- (75) *ɓàt á ɓàt déftá ngàn*  
 take 3SG take Koran (F.) 3SG  
 'He took his Koran.'

*pàts ntá náz náz náz náz á náz*  
 took one throw throw throw throw 3SG throw  
*tàŋ á nà yàm wàhíŋ*  
 DED PRED PREP water DEM

'He took one [page] after another and threw them upon the water.'

Here is another example in which the form *taŋ* functions as the head of a noun phrase, the referent for which does not overtly occur anywhere but must be deduced from the first sentence:

- (76) *à zá ngùl-yii ʒámbáy tá*  
 3SG COMP husband-PL stick GEN  
*màciŋ lùw-á-ŋ màk*  
 DEM say-OBJ-3SG first  
 ‘She said, “My husband, this stick, say to it,

*ʒámbáy ñd-á-k gí syi à n kà*  
 stick hit-OBJ-1SG POL COM 3SG PREP INF  
*dál-á tàŋ*  
 do-OBJ:2SG DED  
 ““Stick, hit me,” and it will do it to you.’

Another piece of evidence for the proposed function of the form *ta* is that it can co-occur with the marker *wà*, whose function is to code a known entity. Here as elsewhere, *ta* instructs the listener to associate the referent with some other entity:

- (77) *zàgiy til ndə bət í bət-áhà-w*  
 courtiers (F.) go go take 3PL take-GO-3SG  
*gád bə wəl wà táŋ*  
 push ASSC woman DEM DED  
 ‘The courtiers went and brought it [the calabash] with the woman [the one associated with the calabash].’

Another piece of evidence for the proposed hypothesis is provided by the fact that the form *tá*, unlike the form *wà*, can co-occur with possessive pronouns to instruct the listener to interpret the marked noun in connection with some other element. The following is the tail end of a fragment in which the husband has been attempting to find out where his wife was going. The phrase *wəl ngən* ‘his wife’ is followed by *táŋ*, leading the listener to interpret the referent not simply as ‘the wife’ but as ‘the wife after her shoes had been filled with ashes’:

- (78) *hihldiɓ hihldiɓ á hihldiɓ-é-ŋ kràp wàhíŋ*  
 sew sew 3SG sew-GO-3SG shoe DEM  
 ‘He sewed and sewed and sewed her the shoes.’

*bàk* *bàk* *á* *bàk-á-ŋ* *ɓà* *wirnjik* *ká*  
 fill fill 3SG fill-GO-3SG ASSC ash POS  
*nà* *màŋ*  
 PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 'He filled them with ashes.'

*séy wàl* *ngàn* *tàŋ* *á* *nd* *rà*  
 so wife 3SG DED 3SG go D.HAB  
*wàcín* *syì* *wirnjik* *ɗiy-à* *bàk-áhà*  
 DEM COM ash start-GO pour-GO  
*cidé'* *cidé'* *cidé'* *cidé'* *à* *kàtàf*  
 pile pile pile pile PREP road  
 'As his wife was going along, ashes poured out in small piles on the road.'

The deduced reference marker is used with quantifiers when those are the heads of the noun phrase. The nouns that are in the scope of the quantifier are mentioned in preceding discourse, e.g. in the clause that precedes the clause with the quantifier:

(79) *séy* *hìdì* *wàcín* *tsáp* *wàl* *ngàŋ* *sùlúd'* *láh*  
 so man DEM catch woman 3SG two marry  
*zà* *ngàŋ* *sùlúd'* *tàŋ*  
 EE 3SG two DED  
 'So, the man caught his two women and married both of them.'

(80) *ázá* *ká* *dzà* *má-ŋ* *ká* *yàm*  
 go:1PLINF kill mother-1SG POS also  
*nàm* *nzà* *ví* *mámáŋ* *ɗá* *skú*  
 1DU remain who mother.3SG exist NEG  
*nàm* *sùlúd'* *tàŋ*  
 1DU two DED  
 'Let's kill my mother also. The two of us will remain, each without a mother, us two.'

(81) *yò* *wècín* *à* *mìsíl* *lém* *wà* *báhà*  
 INTERJ DEM 3SG steal mean DEM other  
*à* *mìsíl* *lém* *tàtə* *sùlúd'* *tàn* *ii* *kàl kàl*  
 3SG steal mean 3PL two DED 3PL equal (F.)  
 'This one steals a lot, and this one steals a lot, the two are equal'

- (82) *fúu tàŋ í kàlkàl*  
 all DED 3PL equal (F.)  
 ‘All of them are equal!’ (about protagonists in a folktale). The Mina expression for ‘equal’ is *prák prák*

The deduced reference marker is used in reference to objects that are not known to the speaker, as evidenced by clauses where the speaker asks about the identity of the noun modified by the deduced reference marker:

- (83) *àa bàrkàmà wàl nà kà dzán-á*  
 ah chief wife 1SG INF find-GO  
*skàn pàr zà dáhà*  
 thing strange EE exist  
 ‘‘Ah, my chief, there is something my wife found.’’

*skàn tá nzá vàngáy*  
 thing DED be how  
 ‘What is that thing?’

- (84) *tsáy mà tí tí nd-á*  
 then REL look look go-GO  
*nástá nà yàm*  
 enter (F.) PREP water  
 ‘Then the one who was good at looking entered into the water.’

*tíl á nà yàm tá áb dùwáj*  
 go PRED PREP water DED ASSC back  
*mbéŋ tìy tìy á tìy-ú*  
 ANAPH look look 3SG look-3SG  
 ‘He entered into water and searched for it [the sesame seed].’

The deduced reference marker may follow a possessive pronoun. The antecedent of the deduced reference marker may be an entity whose existence can be reasonably deduced from the preceding discourse. Thus, in a discourse part of which is dedicated to the stupid behavior of a man, the following sentence is used:

(85) *séy m̀ ng̀ ng̀ ká ẁ*  
 then REL husband husband INF start  
*kédě̀ ng̀n tá z̀ bá d̀p*  
 stupidity 3SG DED EE again just  
 ‘Then the man started again with his stupidity.’

(86) *séy ẁ ng̀n tá́ á nd ŕ*  
 so wife 3SG DED 3SG go D.HAB  
*ẁcín s̀ kwáykwáy t̀n ḱ nd̀*  
 DEM then hyena DED INF go  
*ḱ gr̀ ḱhú*  
 INF find fire  
 ‘When his wife was leaving, the hyena went to find fire.’

The deduced reference marker may have its antecedent in the speech of another speaker:

(87) *kái í ǵá ǹ*  
 INTERJ 3PL say 1PL  
*lá ǵì ǹǹǹǹ ḱ nd̀*  
 own meat 1PL INF go  
 “‘Look,’ they said, ‘we who own the meat, it is we who go?’”

*kwáykwáy à nd̀ d̀p ǹ gr-á*  
 hyena 3SG go only PREP find-GO  
*ǹk̀*  
 1PL

‘Let the hyena go to find it for us’

*kwáykwáy t̀n ḱ nd̀ ḱ gr̀ ḱhú*  
 hyena DED INF go INF find fire  
 ‘The hyena went to find fire.’

Here are examples of the use of the form *t̀n* with nouns that were not mentioned in the preceding discourse, but whose presence has been implied:

- (88) *nàm kà dāl vāngáy dābàráy tàŋ*  
 1DU INF do how plan (F.) DED  
 ‘How are we going to realize that plan?’ (the preceding sentences were talking about how to get food; the ‘plan’ was not mentioned by the word *dābàráy*)

The marker *tá* also serves as the marker of a noun whose existence can be easily deduced from the general knowledge of the world. Thus in the following sentence the lexeme *fádà* ‘court’ is followed by *tá*, although the noun *fádà* ‘court’ itself has not been mentioned in the discourse. In the preceding discourse, however, the chief has been mentioned many times, and it is a common knowledge that chiefs have courts:

- (89) *ndà dīyà í dī ǰámbáy wà*  
 go put-GO 3PL put stick DEM  
*ká n fádà tá dáp*  
 POS PREP court (F.) DED just  
 ‘They went and put the stick in the court [for the chief].’

- (90) *nd-á náz á náz ká nà láy*  
 go-GO throw 3SG throw POS PREP place  
*tàŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘He went and threw it into its place [in the bag].’ (the precise place in the bag from which the sesame seed fell out)

## 10. The remote previous mention marker *nákáhà*

The reference to a noun mentioned quite a bit before in discourse is marked by the form *nákáhà* in phrase-final position and *nákà* in phrase-internal position. These forms are glossed as REM for ‘remote previous mention’. The remote previous mention marker may modify a noun, including nouns followed by possessive pronouns:

- (91) *žèḅ žèḅ á žèḅ-ú ndà dzáŋ*  
 follow follow 3SG follow-3SG go find  
*wàl ngèn nákáhà*  
 wife 3SG REM  
 ‘He followed and followed them [footsteps] and found his wife’

The antecedent of the form *nákáhà* may occur quite a distance in the preceding discourse. Here is the first mention of the antecedent, *cikíd'* 'sesame seed':

- (92a) *í ndà ká bèr-é cikíd'*  
 3PL go INF sell-GO sesame  
*bùhù ntá*  
 bag (F.) one  
 'They were going to sell one bag of sesame seeds.'

The reference to this sesame seed fifteen sentences later is made with the form *nákáhà*:

- (92b) *dzánj á dzán-á mà tá gwídín náka*  
 find 3SG find-GO REL GEN single REM  
*wèhíj*  
 DEM  
 'He found the one sesame seed of those [that were counted].'

The form *nákáhà* may have as its antecedent an event. Here are a few examples of a reference to an event mentioned some twenty sentence before:

- (93) *séy tíl ndà dzánj í dzánj kílif*  
 so go go find 3PL find fish  
*gwád' ángè nákáhà*  
 plenty like REM  
 'So they went and found a lot of fish, as previously.'

- (94) *séy dēw tètè kà mána náka màžèžè*  
 so sit 3PL like DEM REM before  
 'They remained as before.'

The previous reference marker may be followed by the deictic *wà* coding the reference as known:

- (95) *nd-á zàm zàm náka wà zá*  
 go eat eat REM DEM EE  
 'They returned and ate that one' (i.e. the guinea fowl mentioned five sentences earlier).

- (96) *fúu tǎŋ hìdì gènák díyà báŋ séy í háŋ*  
 all DED man black put think so 3PL cry  
*rá mbà nákà gárǵàw wàcíŋ séy díyà*  
 D.HAB child DEM disobedient DEM so start  
*rá jíb í jíb hós á útà wàl*  
 dig hole PREP hole arrive PRED house woman  
*nákà wàcíŋ m̀ bát wə́ží nákà wàcíŋ*  
 REM DEM REL take children REM DEM  
 ‘All the people started thinking. Then, they were crying. The disobedient child started digging a tunnel to the house of the woman who took those children.’ (*jíb í jíb* ‘tunnel (hole in a hole)’)

- (97) *báhámàn là á lúw-á-ŋ ǹ ǵámbáy*  
 Bahaman say 3SG say-GO-3SG PREP stick  
*nákà ẁ*  
 REM DEM  
 ‘Bahaman spoke to the stick.’ (how he was supposed to)

- (98) *m̀ tá gwídíŋ nákà wèhíŋ*  
 REL GEN single REM DEM  
 ‘the single [grain] that was mentioned before’

The remote previous reference might be in the previous sentence but enough other noun phrases intervene to require the form *nákáhà*. Consider the following fragment, which contains six different nouns: ‘chicken’, ‘feather’, ‘fire’, ‘bag’, ‘sorghum’, and ‘meat’. Two of these nouns, ‘chicken’ and ‘bag’, appear twice:



- (99) *séy gàm ták báhà wérèh wérèh séy*  
 so chicken again clever so  
*bat ngèf ngàn tú gùráy tú gùráy bák*  
 take feather 3SG GEN large GEN large put  
*á nà kúhú séy tìl ngàn nà*  
 PRED PREP fire so enter 3SG PREP  
*bàkátà<sup>4</sup> dīy-á zàm ndrì dīy-á bám*  
 bag put-GO eat corn put-GO eat  
*ḡì tá n bákátà tùwád kà*  
 meat GEN PREP bag finish POS  
 ‘So the clever chicken took his large feather, put it into the fire. He himself entered into the bag, started to eat sorghum, started to eat meat [and] finished everything that was in the bag.’

When in the next sentence the reference is made to *ngèf* ‘feather’ it is followed by *nákáhà*, because there were several noun phrases between its previous and the current mention:

- (100) *kwáyàṅ tì syì ngèf nákáhà wècīṅ dīyà*  
 squirrel see COM feather REM DEM put  
*njìf á njìf grá ḡì tá gàm ták*  
 smell 3SG smell like meat GEN chicken  
*mà mäsáw-yí zà zidép*  
 REL grill-STAT EE already  
 ‘The squirrel saw that those feathers smelled like the flesh of the chicken.’

## 11. Coding indefiniteness

The existential verb *dáhà* is used as a marker of specifically indefinite noun phrase. The nouns modified by *dáhà* are referential, i.e. their referents actually exist. The function of the existential verb corresponds to the use of the modifiers ‘some’ and ‘certain’ in English. The noun phrase to be coded as indefinite functions as subject of the verb *dáhà*:

4. The form *bàkátà* represents a metathesis of the form /bàtákar/.

- (101) *ábà nd-á ngèn nd-á dzáŋ hidà tá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG go-GO find house GEN  
*hìdì pár dáhà hìdì táŋ skèn ngèn dá*  
 man other exist man DED thing 3SG exist  
*skù*  
 NEG

‘She came and found a house of a certain man who does not own anything.’

If in the above clause one modifies *hìdì* by the demonstrative *wàcín* coding the known referent, the form *dáhà* cannot be used:

- (102) *séy dzáŋ tìpíd dáhà á* *màcín à*  
 so find termite exist PRED DEM 3SG  
*zá dīy-á-kká á kàcín*  
 COMP put-GO-1SG POS PRED DEM  
 ‘Then she found a termite there and she said, “Keep it for me here.”’

The verb *dáhà* may not be used if its subject, in the clause above the noun *tìpíd* ‘termite’, is modified by *wàcín*. Here is another example:

- (104) *ká nàz ngùl á bīŋ dáhà*  
 INF leave man PRED house exist  
 ‘She abandoned a man in the house.’

If one adds the third-person possessive pronoun *ngèŋ* after the noun *ngùl* ‘man’, one cannot use *dáhà*:

- (105) *ká nàz ngùl ngèŋ á bīŋ \*dáhà*  
 INF leave husband 3SG PRED house \*exist  
 ‘She abandoned her husband in the house’

The use of the verb of existence to code indefiniteness is consistent with its use in existential clauses, where it can only be used with indefinite subjects.

## 12. Locative anaphora

The remote locative deictic *mà(hín)* has also anaphoric function:

- (106) *sà nzá rə músà sà lím-é hàz tòk*  
 1SG EE PREP Musa 1SG see-GO dog 1PL  
*mè-hín*  
 ANAPH-DEM  
 ‘I went to Musa and I found our dog there’

The locative demonstrative *màcín* can be used only if antecedents are inherently locative, as illustrated in the following fragment. The antecedent *bíŋ* ‘room’ is mentioned in the first sentence (107) and repeated again in the third sentence (109), but this time followed by the demonstrative *màcín*:

- (107) *til á ndá zé bíŋ*  
 depart 3SG go EE room  
*à n mi bíŋ dzán á dzán ká*  
 3SG PREP mouth room close 3SG close POS  
 ‘He went to the room and closed the door.’
- (108) *báhámàn lù á lùw-á-ŋ nà ʒámáy*  
 Bahaman say 3SG say-GO-3SG PREP stick  
*nákà wà*  
 REM DEM  
 ‘Bahaman spoke to the stick’
- (109) *ʒámáy wà mál á mál-á-ŋ*  
 stick DEM catch 3SG catch-GO-3SG  
*ndá ngàn bíŋ màcín*  
 beat 3SG room DEM  
 ‘The stick started to beat him in the room.’

If the antecedent is not inherently locative, the anaphor is *màn*, and it must be preceded by the preposition *nà*:

- (110) *hítđib hítđib á hítđib-é-ŋ kráp wàhíŋ*  
 sew sew 3SG sew-GO-3SG shoe DEM  
*bàk bàk á bàk-á-ŋ bə wírŋjik*  
 fill fill 3SG fill-GO-3SG ASSC ash  
*ká nà mən*  
 POS PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 ‘He sewed and sewed and sewed her the shoes. He filled them with ashes.’

### 13. Entity anaphor and switch reference

#### 13.1 *The form and the function of the anaphor*

The form *mbí* (*mbá* phrase internal, *mbéŋ* phrase final), glossed as ANAPH, for “anaphor,” always functions as the head of the noun phrase. It may also be preceded by a preposition. Its antecedent may be a noun or a proposition. The function of the anaphor is to code switch reference to a previously mentioned entity:

- (111) *báy wílè á dámù mbí*  
 chief still PRED bush ANAPH  
*nd-á b̀àt wàdá*  
 go-GO eat food  
 ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> is still in the bush. He<sub>j</sub> came to take the food.’

- (112) *báy zá gár kà zá mbá*  
 chief COMP leave POS EE ANAPH  
*gár áb̀à nd-á ng̀èŋ*  
 stand ASSC go-GO 3SG  
 ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> said, “Get out of here.” He<sub>j</sub> stood up and went back.’

- (113) *à-nd̀à r sk̀ù mbí*  
 3SG-go D.HAB NEG ANAPH  
*m̀ì z̀èb̀ér t́á tk̀óŋ*  
 REL follow GEN 2SG  
 ‘If it does not go, she should follow your [advice]’

The phrase-final form of the anaphor, i.e. the form with the suffix *n*, is used to code the topicalization of the anaphor. Like other topicalizations of the subject, the switch reference marker is followed by the subject pronoun:

- (114) *séy pá í v̀ál-á-ŋ j̀ení*  
 so give 3PL give-GO-3SG ax  
 ‘So, they gave him an ax.’

*mbéŋ à tik-é tàl̀àn k̀áyàk k̀à j̀ení*  
 3SG 3SG tilt-GO head earth PREP ax  
 ‘He inclined his head because of the ax.’

The switch reference marker is not marked for number and can be used with respect to both singular and plural antecedents. In the topicalization function, the switch reference marker may be followed by the noun that otherwise is its antecedent:

- (115) *báy ábà nd-á ngèn séy mbéŋ*  
 chief ASSC go-GO 3SG so ANAPH  
*gàmíŋíd-yíi mà nd-à-y zá*  
 chimp-PL REL go-GO-STAT EE  
*kà bám pày wàcíŋ*  
 INF eat tree DEM  
 'The chief went back. Then, the monkeys came to eat the fruit of that tree.'

- (116) *káf yà í yà-há-ú b̀at í b̀at*  
 morning call 3PL call-GO-3SG take 3PL take  
*zá dzáŋ ká á bíŋ*  
 EE close POS PRED room  
 'He was called in the morning: he was locked in a room.'

*tíl á d́ámù*  
 leave PRED bush

'He [he one who was doing the locking] went into the bush.'

*séy mbáŋ b̀at ǹewén tá d́ind̀emdíyà bám*  
 so ANAPH take salt GEN sweet start eat  
 'He [the one who was locked in] took the sugar and started eating.'

*tíl ngèn á wtá ván g̀àŋ g̀àŋ báy*  
 leave 3SG PRED home rain hit hit chief  
*á k̀atàf mbán z̀əm ẁad́á tók*  
 PRED road ANAPH eat food finish  
*ván tók z̀à*  
 rain finish EE

'When he<sub>j</sub> returned home, the rain<sub>j</sub> hit the chief on the road. He<sub>j</sub> finished eating and the rain also finished.'

- (117) *tséy wàží bá-y-yii zá vl-á*  
 so children-PL chief-PL EE give-GO  
*nènéŋ mbéŋ à zá*  
 1PL.EXCL ANAPH 3SG COMP  
*gwááf á bíŋ kàcíŋ*  
 plenty PRED room DEM  
 ‘The children of the chief said, “Give [it] to us.” He said,  
 “There is plenty here at home.”’

### 13.2 *Anaphor in a prepositional phrase*

One of the functions of the form *mbí* is to code the third-person singular pronominal object of a preposition as anaphora to a preceding argument:

- (118) *hìdì mindéŋ à n ká bàt dāvàr*  
 man other 3SG PRED INF make hoe  
*gá gá rə sùlúd ábà mbéŋ*  
 ten ten hand two ASSC 3SG  
 ‘Another person will make twenty hoes with that.’ (*gá* comes from *gáb* ‘ten’)

The antecedent of *mbí* in a prepositional phrase could be either the subject or the object of a preceding clause:

- (119) *à zá mái mìnjé sà gər hìdì*  
 3SG COMP mother now 1SG want man  
*tá bílèn kə dzám bà mbéŋ*  
 GEN strong INF fight ASSC ANAPH  
 ‘He told his mother, “Now I am looking for somebody strong to fight with him.”’

- (120) *séy ɓ-yii dí zə ngəŋ kà*  
 then cow-PL put EE 3SG POS  
*á nə mbéŋ*  
 PRED PREP 3SG  
 ‘Then the cows, he kept them, for himself’

Consider the following fragment of discourse: In the first sentence, *hìdì* ‘man’ is mentioned. In the second sentence, the man is an object of the

verb and it is not overtly coded. In the fourth sentence the man is an object of a preposition and is coded by the form *mbéŋ*:

(121) *há hidi gànák vù*  
2SG man black Q  
'Are you a human being?'

*dòk zá há gèr kímí*  
horse COMP 2SG want why  
'The horse said, "Why are you looking for him?"'

*à zá kà dzám*  
3SG COMP INF wrestle  
'He said, "To fight."'

*dòk zá hà kúl kà dzám*  
horse COMP 2SG able INF wrestle  
*ábà mbí skù*  
ASSC ANAPH NEG  
'The horse said, "You can't fight with him."'

In the next clause, with the topicalized object, the same subject is coded by the form *a*:

(122) *sáŋ à n kà kwár-á-k*  
1SG 3SG PREP INF drive-GO-1SG  
*kwár kwár kà s kúl kà dól-á-ŋ*  
drive drive AFF 1SG able INF do-GO-3SG  
*skàŋ skù nzà kà à nd-á rà*  
thing NEG stay POS 3SG go-GO D.HAB  
'Me, he makes me run, run, run. I cannot do a thing. Wait, he's coming.'

The function of the marker is to code previous mention in discourse, but not the last one. The marker *mbí* thus functions as a switch reference marker. Compare the following fragment: In the first sentence, the topic is hyena. In the second sentence, it is still hyena. However, in the third sentence, the form *mbéŋ* is used. Its antecedent is the other protagonist of the discourse.

- (123) *tsáy kwáykwáy m̀̀ nd̀̀v-á-y z̀̀*  
 so hyena REL fall-GO-STAT EE  
*̀̀tìríd á káyàk*  
 heavily PREP earth  
 ‘So, the hyena<sub>j</sub> fell down heavily on the ground.’

*tséy m̀̀bí fát fát bákùl tá kwáykwáy*  
 so 3SG skin skin hide GEN hyena  
*wàcín nd-á*  
 DEM go-GO  
 ‘Then he<sub>j</sub> skinned that hyena . . .’

### 13.3 *The event anaphora*

The anaphor *m̀̀bí* can be used as referring to an event mentioned in discourse rather than to a noun phrase:

- (124) *áb dùwán m̀̀béŋ*  
 ASSC back ANAPH  
 ‘after it’

- (125) *séy áb dùwán m̀̀bí í*  
 then ASSC back ANAPH 3PL  
 ‘After that they . . .’

- (126) *ángà hídà nd-á ngèn*  
 if man ‘from his birth’  
*à sán m̀̀bí sku*  
 3SG know ANAPH NEG  
 ‘If somebody says that since his birth he does not know that.’  
 i.e. ‘that he has never encountered such a thing in his life’

- (127) *séy à ndí f̀̀d-á-ŋ t̀̀*  
 so (H.) 3SG HAB shave-GO-3SG 3PL  
*t̀̀làn f̀̀d f̀̀d f̀̀d*  
 head shave shave shave  
 ‘So, she shaved and shaved and shaved their heads.’



*lìm-é*            *té*      *gwidīŋ*            *ngà*    *ká*      *ḅàh*  
 see-GO          one      only            break   POS    hide  
*kà*  
 POS

‘Each time she kills just one and hides [it].’

*túm*              *à*      *ndí*    *dál*      *kà*      *mbéŋ*  
 always (F.)    3SG    HAB    do      PREP   ANAPH

‘She always did like that.’

(128) *mə*      *dál-yí*              *dá*      *kà*      *mbí*              *skù*  
 REL    do-STAT          exist    like      ANAPH            NEG

‘It is not done like that.’

## 14. Conclusions

The system of reference in Mina consists of the following means: the full noun; deictic and anaphoric markers; nouns followed by a deictic or anaphoric markers; pronouns; absence of any markers.

The language codes the following subdomains within the domain of reference: deixis; known referent; deduced referent; remote previous mention; indefinite referent; and switch reference.

The system of deixis consists of place and entity deixis. Place deixis has a distinction between proximate and remote. Entity deixis, at least for independent markers, does not have a distinction between proximate and remote.

The system coding anaphora distinguishes between the markers for entity and place.



# Chapter 18

## Focus constructions

### 1. Introduction

Focus is coding of an element as particularly important or relevant for the given stage in the discourse. The term “contrastive focus” designates a function whereby one of the elements of a proposition is provided as information to contradict what the speaker believes is the hearer’s presupposition, assumption, belief, etc. A test of which argument is in contrastive focus is a negative clause that denies the hearer’s alleged presupposition.

The focus function has several markers, depending on which element of the proposition is selected as the most salient. Markers of focus include the use of dependent aspects and tenses and other means that differ for different elements of the clause in focus.

### 2. Focus on the subject

There two means to code focus subject. One construction has the form S REL VO. The focused subject is followed by the relative clause:

- (1) *hidì wà mà ñd-á-kù déb nà kità*  
man DEM REL beat-GO-1SG lead PREP justice(F.)  
‘It was this person who hit me. Take him to be judged.’

If the verb in a focus construction is transitive and there is no nominal or pronominal object following it, the verb must be followed by the definite object marker *-u*:

(2) *mìtis mà már-ù*  
 hunger REL graze-3SG  
 ‘It is hunger that nibbled at him’

(3) *bìḡáv mà vl-á-k mbà táḡ kúl kà bát*  
 God REL give-GO child DED can INF take  
*bà déwli skù*  
 ASSC force (F. dole) NEG  
 ‘It is God<sub>i</sub> that gave me this child, he<sub>j</sub> cannot take it away with force’

Cf.:

(4) *bìḡáv vəl-á-k mbà táḡ*  
 God give-GO-1SG child DED  
 ‘God gave me that child . . .’

(5) *hà táł ká màl bà wàdá mámáḡ*  
 2SG try INF seize ASSC food his mother  
*mà d-ú*  
 REL cook-3SG  
 ‘If you try to discipline [children] with food [by refusing food] it is the mother who cooks it’

(6) *hà m bát-á-k pám á wùtá*  
 2SG REL take-GO-1SG until PRED house  
 ‘It is you who took me up to the house.’

If a first- or second-person subject is in focus, the independent first- or second-person pronouns are used and are followed by subject pronouns:

(7) *mbà à ḡ-á sáḡ sà mà káp-ù*  
 child 3SG say-GO 1SG 1SG REL break-3SG  
 ‘The child said, “It is me that broke it.”’

The third-person pronominal subject in focus constructions is marked by the anaphor *mbí*:

(8) *mbí mà tr-á-k kà*  
 ANAPH REL save-GO-1SG POS  
 ‘It is he who saved me!’ (*tár* ‘separate people who are fighting’; ‘save’)

The first and second person object pronouns are coded overtly, and also followed by the relative clause marker (only second person illustrated):

- (9) *hà m̀ ngàz-á-kù*  
 2SG REL teach-GO-1SG  
 ‘It is you who taught me.’

The second means of coding focus on the subject is through the use of the dependent aspect, as in the following example, where the only marker is the dependent habitual *rà*:

- (10) *à zá hìdì wà á wàk*  
 3SG COMP man DEM 3SG go crazy  
*rà*  
 D.HAB  
 ‘She said, “This man is crazy.”’

Focus on the subject may be used in a yes/no interrogative clause. When that is the case, the verb is not followed by the definite object marker:

- (11) *hà m̀ sá d̀p vù*  
 2SG REL drink only Q  
 ‘Is it you that drank again?’
- (12) *hìdá wàcìŋ m̀ ngàz-á-h*  
 man DEM REL teach-GO-2SG  
 ‘It is this man that taught you?’

### 3. Focus on the object

Several means are used to code focus on the object, depending on which other pragmatic functions are involved for both the object and the other elements in the clause.

One means of coding focus on the object is through the position of the object before the verb. Putting the object noun phrase before the verb results in two noun phrases preceding the verb. The distinction between the two arguments is coded by the locative preposition *n* preceding the object noun phrase. Thus, the clause has the form S *n* OV.

The preposition *n* otherwise codes locative arguments when the head of the locative phrase is inherently non-locative.

- (13) *ɓàt á ɓàt-á-ŋ ndǎ n záván-yîi*  
 get 3SG get-GO-3SG beat PREP guinea fowl-PL  
*wàcîŋ*  
 DEM  
 ‘He grabbed it [his stick] and beat those guinea fowl.’

- (14) *á n kàdǎm ngàn ɓàt*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘She took her calabash.’

- (15) *báy nà kàdǎm ngàn ɓàt déb*  
 chief PREP calabash 3SG take carry  
*á déb ká á idá*  
 3SG carry POS PRED home  
 ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> took his<sub>j</sub> calabash and carried it home.’

- (16) *tíl á ndà zá bíŋ*  
 depart 3SG go EE room  
*à n mì bíŋ dzán á dzán ká*  
 3SG PREP mouth room close 3SG close POS  
 ‘He went to the room and closed the door.’

#### 4. Focus on object pronouns

The focus on object pronouns is coded by forms drawn from the set of independent pronouns. These pronouns occupy the position of the object in the clause. Unlike object pronouns in a pragmatically neutral clause, object pronouns in a focus clause are not preceded by the goal marking form *á*:

- (17) *à n ká màl sàŋ*  
 3SG PREP INF catch 1SG  
 ‘It is me that he is going to catch.’

- (18) *à tàw hòŋ*  
 3SG hit 2SG  
 ‘It is you he hit.’

*ná*                      *máy*                      *hòŋ*  
 1PL.EXCL              choose                      2SG  
 It's you that we(excl) choose

The third-person singular independent form is *mbín* or *mbéŋ*, depending on the dialect:

(19)    *à*            *tàw*            *mbín*  
 3SG    hit            3SG  
 'It is him that he hits.'

The first person dual object pronoun is preceded by the goal orientation marker *á*:

(20)    *à*            *n*            *ká*            *màl-á*            *nàmú*  
 3SG    PREP    INF            catch-GO            1DU  
 'It is the two of us that he will catch.'

## 5. Focus on an adverbial expression

Focus on an adverbial expression is marked by fronting the adverb and using dependent aspect coding.

(21)    *píč*            *wà*            *ndà*            *gár*            *á*            *gár*            *ká*            *dàp*  
 Sun            DEM    go            stand            3SG            stand            POS            only  
 'Under this sun he went out and stood.'

## 6. Focus on the predicate

Focus on the predicate has different forms, depending on the aspect of the clause. In both past and present, focus on the predicate is coded by the dependent aspects.

In the past tense, focus on the predicate is coded through the marker *kə*, which precedes the verb phrase. The third-person singular subject is unmarked. The nominal, subject precedes the marker *kə*. For all other persons, the subject pronouns precede *kə*.

There is an important difference in the behavior of subject pronouns between the Marbak and Kefedjevrenge dialects. In Kefedjevrenge all subject prefixes have a nasal preceding *kə*-. In this dialect, all other

forms that are preceded by a prefix also have the alveolar nasal occurring between the prefix and the stem. In Marbak, there is no nasal prefix. For the dialect that has the nasal component, we transcribe the subject-focus marker as *nká*. For the Marbak dialect, on which most of our description is based, the marker is *kə*. For the Kefedjeveng dialect, we postulate the following rule:

$\emptyset \rightarrow n/\text{PREFIX} \# \underline{\quad} \text{STEM}$  (elicited examples):

(22) *í ká dzà hàz tá bíč kà*  
 3PL INF kill dog GEN Bitsi POS  
 ‘They killed Bitsi’s dog.’ (Marbak)

(23) *í nká dzà hàz-yî tì bíčì ká*  
 3PL INF kill dog-PL GEN Bitsi POS  
 ‘They killed Bitsi’s dogs.’ (Kefedjeveng)

The form *kə* can be used in various aspectual forms, which provides evidence that it is not an aspect marker. The evidence that the form codes focus is provided by the semantic content of the clauses used and by the discourse contexts where they are used. Natural discourse clauses with the non-infinitival form *kə* describe something that normally would not be expected. This is illustrated in the next five examples:

(24) *ngùl-iyì s kə dzán-á nám skàn zá*  
 husband-PL 1SG INF find-GO 1DU thing EE  
 ‘My husband, I found us something.’

(25) *ká fək wəl zá*  
 INF give neck EE  
 ‘He started to yell.’ (from joy)

(26) *àa bárkàmà wəl nə kə dzán-á*  
 ah chief wife 1SG INF find-GO  
*skàn pár zə dáhà*  
 thing strange EE exist  
 ‘“Ah, my chief, there is something my wife found.”’



- (27) *séy* *ǰámáy* *nákà* *ká* *ǰàt* *zá*  
 so stick REM INF take EE  
*dàp*  
 immediately  
 ‘So the stick took off immediately.’

- (28) *áá* *wàl* *nà* *kà* *dzán-á*  
 ah wife 1SG INF find-GO  
*skàn* *pár* *zá* *bàdáp*  
 thing another EE again  
 ‘‘Ah, my wife found another thing again.’’

The focus clause may be an interrogative clause expressing astonishment:

- (29) *kà* *dzán-á* *nòk* *skàn* *pár* *zá*  
 INF find-GO 1PL thing another EE  
*bádàp*  
 again  
 ‘‘She found us something else again?’’

- (30) *áa* *kà* *dzán-á* *nòk* *zá* *bàrkámà*  
 yes INF find-GO 1PL EE chief (F.)  
 ‘‘Yes, she found us something, my chief.’’

The focus clause describes unusual events and situations:

- (31) *hìd-yìi* *wà* *tán* *kà* *dà* *tàlàn* *ngèn* *zá*  
 man-PL DEM return INF cook head 3SG EE  
 ‘Those people returned, and she cooked herself.’

- (32) *à* *ǰà* *á* *kàbám* *ká*  
 3SG say 3SG ahead INF  
*mbàd-á-k* *zà*  
 surpass-GO-1SG EE  
 ‘He [the buffalo] said, ‘‘He[the frog] is ahead. He surpasses me.’’

The focus clause is also used for the things that the hearer has reason, based on the preceding discourse, not to expect to happen:

- (33) *à* *ɓá* *bìɓáf* *ká* *dzà* *tàtə* *cíké'* *kà*  
 3SG say God INF kill 3PL all POS  
*à* *fin* *nàmú* *nám* *ká* *tì* *tàŋ*  
 3SG remain 1DU 1DU INF see DED  
 'He said, "God has killed them all; there remains only us, we will see."'

- (34) *séy* *mə* *ngùl* *ngùl* *ká* *wə*  
 then REL husband husband INF start  
*kéděŋ* *ngən* *tá* *zə* *bá* *dàp*  
 stupidity 3SG DED EE again only  
 'Then the man started again with his stupidity.'

- (35) *tò* *kwáykwáy* *kə* *ndá* *ngəŋ* *r*  
 okay hyena INF come 3SG D.HAB  
*zá* *hí* *dál* *mí* *hì* *n*  
 COMP 2PL make what 2PL PREP  
*kə* *dzán-à* *nók* *ɓì* *zá* *yà*  
 INF find-GO 1PL meat EE isn't it  
 'Okay, a hyena came and said, "What are you doing? You found us meat! How nice of you!"'

The focus clause is used to code an affirmative denial of somebody's wrong presupposition:

- (36) *háá* *nók* *kə* *dzán-à* *nók* *ɓì* *zá*  
 yes 1PL INF find-GO 1PL meat EE  
 'Yes, we found the meat for ourselves' (not for you).

The focus clause may be used with iterative constructions:

- (37) *án* *ndə* *ngən* *ká* *təl* *á* *təl* *təl*  
 3SG go 3SG INF walk 3SG walk walk  
*təl* *təl*  
 walk walk  
 'She walked and walked and walked.'

In the present tense, the focus on the predicate is coded by dependent habitual aspect:

- (38) *à zèbér mà tük rà*  
 3SG follow speech 2SG D.HAB  
 ‘By golly, he follows your word’ (said in astonishment, about the translator present at the recording)

Interestingly, in the process of elicitation when the speaker provides isolated clauses, most clauses in the past tense are given with the form *kə*. The explanation for this fact follows from the function of the construction. Each individual, isolated sentence is conceived as expressing something special, an unusual event.

## 7. Conclusions

Focus on the subject is coded by the relative clause. The subject remains in its pragmatically neutral clause-initial position. Focus on the object is coded through the position of the object before the verb, and the use of a preposition to code the additional argument. Focus on the predicate is coded through the deployment of the infinitival marker *kə* after the subject. In all focus constructions only the dependent aspects are used.



# Chapter 19

## Topicalization

### 1. Introduction

This chapter deals with the problems of establishing the discourse topic, changing the discourse topic, and topicalization within the sentence. The issues of establishing the discourse topic are very much linked with the problem of reference, since one of the markers of topicalization is identical with the entity deixis marker.

One may find scattered approaches in the literature whereby the subject of a clause is automatically treated also as the topic of the clause if there is no other topic. The natural discourse data in Mina clearly indicate that the subject must be topicalized first in order to serve as the topic of paragraph.

### 2. Establishing the topic of a story or narrative

The discourse topic is typically established at the beginning of the discourse. Nouns that are marked as discourse topics have not been mentioned before in discourse. The topic noun phrase is followed by the entity deictic *wà* or *wàcín*. Here is the first line of a story:

- (1) *hìd-yî*            *wà*    *i*            *tàtə*    *màkád*  
man-PL            DEM 3PL    3PL    three  
'There were three men.'

Once the topic has been established, further reference to the same referent is coded by subject pronouns:

- (2) *i ndà ká bèr-é cikíd bùhù ntá*  
 3PL go INF sell-GO sesame bag (F.) one  
 ‘They were going to sell one bag of sesame seeds.’

The forms *wa* and *wàcín* are free variants in establishing the discourse topic. Here is the first line of another story:

- (3) *hìdè wècín í tètè nfád*  
 man DEM 3PL 3PL four  
 ‘There were four men.’

- (4) *ngàlámbàr wàcín ngàlámbàr tá kwáyàn*  
 story DEM story GEN squirrel  
 ‘This story is the story of the squirrel.’

### 3. Establishing the topic within a discourse

The main topicalizing means is the use of the demonstrative *wa* or its phrase final form *wàcín* following the noun. In addition to the marker *wa*, the topicalization may also involve the use of the full noun followed by a subject pronoun coding the number of the noun. The following example contains both means of topicalization: *wèhín* in the matrix clause and the subject noun followed by the subject pronoun in the embedded clause:

- (5) *hìdì wèhín à zá ván á n ká*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP INF  
*ḍā á gèr kà nd-á-k kàsám*  
 fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG body  
*skù*  
 NEG

‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

Once the discourse topic has been established, the establishing of the topic of the first paragraph, which may be different from the discourse topic, may follow. If the new topic happens to be the subject, it has to be topicalized. Consider the following beginning of a story. The first clause introduces the story:

- (6) *wàcín m̀̀nd̀̀v̀̀ǹ̀*  
 DEM rabbit  
 ‘This one [is about] a rabbit.’

The second clause introduces the topic of the first paragraph of the story, which is also the subject. The identity of the topicalized noun as subject is assured by the absence of any other subjects in the story:

- (7) *m̀̀ll̀̀m ẁ̀cín gár á nd̀̀ j́áàng̀̀l̀̀*  
 marabout DEM leave 3SG go voyage (F.)  
 ‘This marabout left to go on a trip.’

The marabout is the subject of the next four clauses in the story.

In the following fragment, each sentence has a new subject and a new topic. Each new topic is marked by the form *ẁ̀cín*. Once the subject has been topicalized, it must be overtly coded again by the pronoun preceding the main verb of the clause:

- (8) *kwáỳ̀n ẁ̀cín à nd̀̀ ká n ká*  
 squirrel DEM 3SG go INF PREP INF  
*m̀̀rà-há á d́ám̀̀ nd̀̀ dzá̀n á dzá̀n*  
 graze-GO PRED bush go find 3SG find  
*l̀̀káf*  
 baboon  
 ‘The squirrel went to graze in the bush and found a baboon.’

- (9) *l̀̀káf ẁ̀cín à źá hà nd-á*  
 baboon DEM 3SG COMP 2SG go-GO  
*kímí*  
 why  
 ‘The baboon said, “Why did you come?”’

Proper names, even when coded by ordinary nouns, as well as titles and the name for God, cannot be followed by the deictic *wa*. In such a case, the only marker of topicalization is the third-person pronoun preceding the verb. The following example illustrates such a case in the main and the embedded clause:

- (10) *mímèṅ*      *à*      *zá*                      *àmmá biḷáv*    *à*  
 leopard      3SG    COMP                      truly    God    3SG  
*mbál-á-kù*    *nd-á*                      *ḷì*      *gwáá*      *á*  
 like-GO-1SG    go-GO                      meat    plenty      PRED  
*bíṅ*    *nàṅ*  
 room    1SG  
 ‘The leopard said, “God truly loves me, as there is a lot of meat in my room.”’

#### 4. Borrowed markers of topicalization

Topicalization of the subject is also coded by the marker *kám*, borrowed from Fula. Pronominal and deictic or anaphoric subjects occur in the full, rather than the phrase-internal, form. The topicalized element is still in clause-initial position:

- (11) *séy*    *báy*    *zá*                      *wàcín*    *kám*                      *ḍámà*  
 so      chief    COMP                      DEM    TOP (F.)                      good  
 ‘The chief said, “That, at least, is good.”’

The form *kám* may follow the deictic topicalizer *wa*:

- (12) *séy*    *wàl*                      *wá*    *kám*    *ká*    *nàz*    *tál*  
 the    woman                      DEM    TOP    INF    stop    walk  
*ḍá*    *skù*    *ḍáp*  
 exist    NEG    only  
 ‘Then, that woman did not stop taking her walks.’

#### 5. Topicalization of pronominal subjects

Topicalization of pronominal subjects can be coded through several means. For the third-person plural subject, topicalization is coded by the independent pronoun *tàtə* realized as *tətə* in phrase-internal position:

- (13) *tətə*    *gwáá*    *á*                      *bíṅ*    *á*                      *màcín*  
 3PL    plenty    PRED    room    PRED    DEM  
 ‘As far as they are concerned, they are numerous in that room over there.’



Compare the use of the subject pronoun in a non-topicalized function:

- (14) *i gwádf á bíŋ á màciŋ*  
 3PL plenty PRED room PRED DEM  
 ‘They are numerous in that room over there.’

The pronominal subject may also be topicalized by the deduced reference marker *ta*. Just as after a topicalized noun, the subject pronoun must be used again before the verb:

- (15) *tàtə nfádf tàŋ í mbál*  
 3PL four DED 3PL like  
*wàl táŋ ká vl-à-ŋ ží ná ví*  
 woman DED INF give-GO-3SG then PREP who  
*ví á mbál-ù ví á mbál-ù*  
 who 3SG like-3SG who 3SG like-3SG  
 ‘The four of them liked the woman. Who to give her to? Everyone liked her. Everyone liked her.’

- (16) *hà táŋ tùwár á nà fálà tàtəŋ*  
 2SG DED suffer PRED PREP among 3PL  
*žìŋ wà gəzədf tátəŋ vù*  
 time DEM work good Q  
 ‘You suffer a lot among them, is it then a good work?’

The subject may also be topicalized through the forms borrowed from Fula *kám* and *bò*:

- (17) *sə bó sə n kí mìn s tátə*  
 1SG also 1SG PREP INF stay 1SG alone  
 ‘‘I also will stay alone.’’

- (18) *mə zá báytə gómbòk-yù zá*  
 REL EE large frog-PL COMP  
*syì hí kám fú tàŋ hí wàn*  
 COM 2PL TOP all DED 2PL sleep:IMPER  
*kà mùkàdkádəŋ sùlúdf sùlúdf*  
 POS upside down two two  
 ‘The largest of the frogs said, ‘‘You all lie down on your backs in pairs.’’

- (19) *séy á t̄-t kám í ndí ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP (F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ḡì-yîi zà ká ndá kà dá t̄n*  
 meat-PL EE INF go INF cook DED  
 ‘‘Then, as for them [the hyenas], they just catch the meat [and]  
 bring it for cooking.’ (i.e. they have no shortage of meat)
- (20) *kwáykwáy zá mbîḡ kám ḡì tì*  
 hyena COMP ANAPH TOP meat GEN  
*kîniḡ mú nzà hí ká*  
 2SG DEB stay 2PL here  
 ‘Hyenaj said, that as for himj, your meat should remain with  
 you.’

If the new, but previously mentioned, subject is also the topic of the clause, that is marked by the phrase-final form of the determiner:

- (21) *séy àjîyà á nd-á rà*  
 so messenger (F.) 3SG go-GO D.HAB  
*nd-á dzáḡ wàl wàcîḡ*  
 go-GO find woman DEM  
 So, when the messenger came, he found that woman.’
- (22) *wàl wàcîḡ à zá tsók-ó*  
 woman DEM 3SG COMP remove-GO  
*rùkùt tók kà*  
 clothes 2SG POS  
 ‘That woman said, ‘‘Take off all your clothes.’’

## 6. Non-propositional topics

As in some Southeast Asian languages, the topic does not have to be an argument or an adjunct of the comment clause. The non-propositional topicalized element occurs in clause-initial position and is followed by demonstrative *wa*. The following sentence has two such topics preceding the comment clause: ‘‘the male cow’’ and ‘‘the udder’’:

- (23) *à* *ǰá* *wà* *ǰà* *tá* *ngúlà* *lwá*  
 3SG say but cow GEN male udder  
*à* *n* *ká* *dā* *wà* *wà* *á* *tìkì*  
 3SG PREP INF fetch milk DEM PRED where  
 ‘He said, “The bull, regarding the udder, where will the milk come out?”’

Here are other examples of non-propositional topics:

- (24) *mbígìṅ* *wàcìṅ* *wàl-yii* *í* *ndí* *ng-àṅ*  
 mbiguin DEM woman-PL 3PL HAB break  
*cicélem* *á* *n* *báy* *kà* *tár* *vàṅ*  
 firewood PRED PREP wood INF pray rain  
 ‘This mbiguin [a ritual], women go break wood for the chief to pray for rain.’

- (25) *bàl* *wáyàk* *wàcìṅ* *à* *tár* *à* *dà*  
 bal wayak DEM 3SG pray 3SG prepare  
*màsádàf-yii* *màl* *ṅkwà* *p’à* *á* *n* *mà*  
 spirit-PL seize goat give PRED PREP REL  
*dà* *màsádàf* *ká* *dà* *tàṅ*  
 prepare spirit INF prepare DED  
 ‘This Bul Wayak, one prays, one makes sacrifices to the spirits. One takes a goat and gives it to the person who prepares the sacrifice, and he prepares it.’

## 7. Aspect in the comment on the topic

A general characteristic that distinguishes topicalization-of-subject from focus-on-subject constructions is the use of aspect in the comment clause. Unlike in the comment-on-focus clauses, the comment-on-topic clauses have aspects from the independent set. Thus, the independent habitual rather than the dependent habitual aspect is used in the comment on the topic:

- (26) *wàl* *wá* *à* *ndí* *tàl* *ngèn* *dáp*  
 wife DEM 3SG HAB walk 3SG only  
*à* *ndí* *tàl* *ngèn* *dáp* *á* *dámù*  
 3SG HAB walk 3SG still PRED bush  
 ‘The wife still took walks in the bush’

Here is an example of the use of reduplication of the verb to code the past tense:

- (27) *lù*     *í*     *lùw-á-ηgù*  
 say    3PL    say-GO-3SG  
*ǵámbáy*     *wà*    *màl*    *á*     *màl-á-η*                    *tà*  
 stick            DEM    catch    3SG    catch-GO-3SG            3PL  
*̀̀ndâ*    *tàtâ*    *mècín*  
 hit            3PL    there  
 ‘They said [it] to the stick, and the stick went on to hit them there.’

The pragmatically dependent aspect can be used in the comment-on-topic clause if the clause has to be interpreted with another clause for some reason, e.g. clauses that have explicit reference to something that was said before:

- (28) *séy*    *wàl*                    *wà*    *gíǵ-é-η*            *kì*    *mbíη*  
 so     woman                DEM    tell-GO-3SG        like    ANAPH  
 So, that woman told him like that.’

If the comment is itself a focus on the predicate clause, it has the marker *kà*:

- (29) *wàl*                    *tùkón* *kà*        *mìsìl*    *zá*  
 woman                2SG    INF    steal    EE  
 ‘Your wife, she has stolen.’

Cf.:

- (30) *wàl*                    *tùk*    *kà*        *mìsìl*    *zá*  
 woman                2SG    INF    steal    EE  
 ‘Your wife has stolen.’

## 8. Topicalization of the object

Topicalization of the object may have two forms, depending on the position in which the object is first mentioned in the text and on the scope of the topic. If the scope of the topic is limited to the clause in which the object occurs, it is topicalized through clause-initial position and the deictic *wà* or *wàcín* or *wàhín* following it. The object role is deduced from the fact that there is a subject in the clause. In the following exam-

ples, the subject is the unspecified human coded by the third-person plural *i*:

- (31) *ná dár wèhíy í dár rà*  
 DEM dance DEM 3PL dance D:HAB  
*hìdà ntá skù*  
 man one NEG  
 'This dance, one does not dance it just by one person.' (One needs many people for this dance.)

- (32) *mbìgìy wàcìy í dál ngàm m̀ats*  
 mbiguin DEM 3PL do because sickness  
*kà dál nà hàyák í hóynà tàŋ*  
 INF do PREP village 3PL calm (F.) DED  
 'This mbiguin, they do it because there is sickness in the village. They cure it.'

- (33) *mìnjé ɓàgám nà wà ká ɓìm zá skà vù*  
 now speech 1SG DEM INF get EE NEG Q  
 'Now, my words, he understood, didn't he?'

If the object is focused and topicalized, it occurs in clause-initial position:

- (34) *hál tá ɓámáy ngàn fúu tàn*  
 limit GEN stick 3SG all DED  
*ván ká mb̀lém dá skù*  
 rain INF touch exist NEG  
 'The area delimited by his stick, the rain did not touch it.'

- (35) *ɓì tàtàŋ fú tàŋ dèb*  
 meat GEN:3PL all DED bring  
*í dèb ká n yàm*  
 3PL bring INF PREP water  
 'They brought all of their meat into the water.'

- (36) *wàl ɓìm mà r skú*  
 woman hear mouth D:HAB NEG.Q  
*kà gám kà*  
 INF chase POS  
 'The woman who does not obey, should be chased away.'

- (37) *ɨkwà tá livèŋ hì ká skàm-á zà*  
 goat GEN black 2PL INF buy-GO EE  
*hì fāt kà á káyàk*  
 2PL skin POS PRED earth  
 ‘A black goat, when you buy it, you skin it on the ground.’

Topicalization of the object may also be coded by a comment clause marked through the comment-clause marker *syì*:

- (38) *mímèŋ zá tilèbék syì hà bám*  
 leopard COMP fresh COMP 2SG eat  
*rà ská vù*  
 D.HAB NEG Q  
 ‘The leopard asked, “You do not eat raw things?”’

- (39) *à zá í kà lù rá*  
 3SG COMP 3PL INF say D.HAB  
*hìdì gánàk syì há vù*  
 man person COMP 2SG Q  
 ‘He said, “The one who they call man -- is it you?”’

- (40) *ták tár láy tá mìtĩš mènà wàcín*  
 in month time GEN hunger like DEM  
 ‘In a time of the famine like this...’

- (41) *skàn nàm dzáŋ skàn syì há díyà gáy*  
 thing 1DU find thing COM 2SG put spoil  
*kà*  
 POS  
 ‘The thing we found, you are ruining it.’

## 9. Topicalization of adjuncts

Topicalization of adjuncts is coded by the fronting of the phrase, by the deictic form *wàcín*, or by the borrowed marker *kám*.

- (42) *gèlbé kám há pàts-á nòk mbà ntá*  
 better TOP(F.) 2SG take 1PL child one  
*hà dá nòkón*  
 2SG cook 1PL  
 ‘‘You better take one of your children and cook it for us.’’

- (43) *tìy tìy sá tìy kám màžéžé dám*  
 see see 1SG see TOP old days good  
*dáy ngám màžéžé wàcín há kúl*  
 surpass because old days DEM 2SG can  
*ká dâb ngám bà tükòŋ*  
 INF ask neighbor 2SG  
 ‘I realize that the old times were better, because in old times you could ask your neighbor.’

Topicalization of an instrument may be coded by fronting and use of the phrase-final form of the element. In the following sentence, the adjunct *kúlí* ‘ceremonial clay pot’ is in sentence-initial position, but there is no overt marker of its role in subsequent clauses:

- (44) *kúlí wàhín màná hìdì tükwóŋ mà màts-í*  
 kuli DEM like man GEN-2SG REL die-STAT  
*zà há n kà táŋ kà*  
 EE 2SG PREP INF represent POS  
 ‘These kulis, like when somebody in your family dies, you represent him [with those kulis].<sup>1</sup>

## 10. Conclusions

The main means of topicalization is the use of the demonstrative *wa*, or its phrase-final form *wàcín* after the topicalized element. Topicalization of the nominal subject may also be coded by subject pronouns preceding the verb. The object may also be topicalized by the demonstrative *wa*. The topicalized noun phrase does not have to be an argument or an adjunct of the comment clause. The comment-on-topic clause is characterized by the use of the pragmatically independent aspects.

1. The custom of establishing ceremonial pots is done only for mother and father. The representation is a small clay pot. For a period after the death of a parent, a portion of each meal is set aside for the spirit of the parent.





## Chapter 20

### Parataxis

#### 1. Introduction

The term “parataxis” is used for all types of constructions where two or more clauses are part of the same sentence, but where each clause preserves its independent status. There are several types of paratactic clauses: clauses without a conjunction, asyndetic conjoining; sequential clauses; and conjoined clauses, with several types of conjunctions.

#### 2. Asyndetic conjoining

Several issues are relevant with respect to asyndetic conjoining. The most important is a distinction between asyndetically conjoined clauses and sentences in discourse that merely follow each other. In clauses that follow each other in discourse, the subject is repeated, and the tense, if any, is marked. In asyndetically conjoined clauses with the same subject and tense, the subject and the tense markers are not repeated. Here is an example of sentences that follow each other in discourse:

- (1) *míndéŋ*            *à*        *ndí*    *téwél*    *ǵámbáy*  
another            3SG    HAB    twirl    stick  
'Another twirls a stick.'
- (2) *míndéŋ*            *à*        *pàdák*    *njúl*  
another            3SG    split    grass (a certain variety)  
'Another splits a stalk of grass.'
- (3) *míndéŋ*            *à*        *ndí*    *mbìr*  
another            3SG    HAB    jump  
'Another jumps.'

- (4) *ii zék yàw* [žék]  
 3PL make competition  
 'They had a competition.'

Here is an example of asyndetically conjoined clauses:

- (5) *gáw pàpát páy bák nà yàm*  
 hunter detach wood throw PREP water  
 'The hunter detached the bark of a tree, threw it into water'

- (6) *mà lám bíṅ rá driš ngád driš*  
 REL build house dig mud mix mud  
 'The one who builds a house dug the mud, mixed the mud,'

*lám bíṅ ǵá hámás nd-á hàḅ ká*  
 build house cut straw go-GO thatch POS  
*wán ká nà máṅ*  
 lie inside PREP LOC.ANAPH

'built a home, cut some straw, thatched the roof, and lay down inside it.'

### 2.1 Same subjects

When the subjects of two asyndetically conjoined clauses are coreferential, no overt pronouns are present in the second clause, regardless of its tense:

- (7) *ḃàt á ḃàt ǵámáy ngèn díyà žéb*  
 get 3SG get stick 3SG put follow  
*tàṅ*  
 DED  
 'He got his stick and went to follow her.'

- (8) *dá klíf wà z wùtá tàk tàk wàndàṅ*  
 bring fish DEM EE house crush crush peanut  
 'He brought the fish home, he crushed peanuts.'

- (9) *séy kàdám wà dà dà á*  
 so calabash DEM cook cook 3SG  
*d-á-η wùd máná wà mbá pè té té té té*  
 cook-GO-3SG food like DEM so much spread(x 4)
- á m̀ k̀bám ng̀η*  
 PRED DEM face 3SG  
 ‘So the calabash made a lot of food for her and spread it in front of her.’

- (10) *séy à ndí f̀d-á-η t̀ t̀làn*  
 so 3SG HAB shave-GO-3SG 3PL head  
*f̀d f̀d f̀d lim-é té gwídf̀η*  
 shave shave shave see-GO one only  
*ng̀ k̀ b̀ k̀*  
 break POS hide POS  
 ‘So, she shaved and shaved and shaved their heads. Each time she killed just one and hid it’

- (11) *ẁl ng̀n màdáràf b̀t sk̀η t̀*  
 woman 3SG favorite take thing GEN  
*ng̀l ng̀η k̀ts cíkè á wt̀*  
 husband 3SG gather all PRED house  
*m̀m̀η*  
 mother.3SG  
 ‘His favorite wife took her husband’s things and collected them all at her mother’s house.’

*b̀h b̀h cíkè k̀*  
 hide hide all POS  
 ‘She hid everything’

Here is an illustration with a third-person plural subject, which is unmarked in the second clause:

- (12) *b̀t í b̀t dígíd ts̀p ts̀p f̀úu k̀*  
 take 3PL take thorn close close all POS  
 ‘They took thorns and they closed everything.’

Asyndetic conjunction can have the deontic mood in both clauses. Interestingly, however, the mood in the first clause is imperative and in the second, subjunctive:

- (13) *báy zǎ tàp-á nà mpáy wàcín*  
 chief COMP climb-GO PREP tree DEM  
*há mbál-á-h kè bám*  
 2SG pick-GO-2SG INF eat  
 ‘The chief said, “Climb that tree and pick something to eat.”’

## 2.2 Different subjects

When two clauses have different nominal subjects, each subject precedes the verb of its clause. Without any conjunction the sequentiality in time or cause-and-effect relationship are not overtly coded:

- (14) *í nd rà í nd rà wàṅ*  
 3PL walk D.HAB 3PL walk D.HAB rain  
*wà ká dā*  
 start INF draw water  
 ‘While they were walking, rain started to fall.’

## 3. Conjunction *míá*

The conjunction *míá* has been found at the beginning of a sentence in narratives and between clauses within the same sentence. In both syntactic positions, its function is to conjoin propositions that are not dependent on each other, that are not in cause-and-effect relationship or in temporal relationship.

- (15) *míá ži mindéṅ m̀ téwél žámbáy bát*  
 and then other REL twirl stick take  
*žámbáy dīy-á téwél dīy-á téwél*  
 stick start-GO twirl start-GO twirl  
*dīy-á téwél á tàlàn ngàn*  
 start-GO twirl PRED head 3SG  
 ‘The other, the one who twirls the stick, took the stick and started to twirl, started to twirl, started to twirl [it] above his head.’

- (16) *tàlàŋ bíŋ mìd m̀̀gúđāh p̀̀láh*  
 head room and tail outside  
 'The head is inside but the tail is outside' (a riddle—the answer is 'fire')

#### 4. Sequential events coding through the auxiliary *nd* 'go'

The temporal sequence of events is coded through the marker *nd̀̀*, most probably derived from the verb *nd* 'go'. The most important characteristic of *nd̀̀* as a sequential marker is that it can be followed by another verb:

- (17) *hí nd̀̀ l̀̀w-á-ŋ m̀̀ nd̀̀-hà*  
 2PL go say-GO-3SG DEB go -GO  
 'Go tell him to come here.'

*nd̀̀ yá í y-ù*  
 go call 3PL call-3SG  
 'And they called him.'

- (18) *zágiyì t̀̀l nd̀̀ ̀̀t í ̀̀t-à-há-w*  
 courtiers (F.) go go take 3PL take-GO-3SG  
*gáđ ̀̀ b̀̀ wàl wà táŋ*  
 push ASSC woman DEM DED  
 'The courtiers went and brought the calabash with the woman.'

- (19) *nd-á đíyà í đí ̀̀gámbáy wà ká*  
 go-GO put 3PL put stick DEM POS  
*n fádà tá d̀̀p*  
 PREP court (F.) DED just  
 'They came and put the stick in the court of the chief.'

- (20) *wàl wà r̀̀z m̀̀bíŋ nd̀̀ tsáp á m̀̀l*  
 wife DEM open door go tsap 3SG catch  
*ká*  
 POS

'The woman opened the door, went [in] and tsáp caught [it].'

This marker can be used when the subjects of both the antecedent and the sequential clause are the same. Since the subject is the same, it is coded only once, in the first clause of the sequence:

- (21) *hós ndà dēw kà*  
 arrive go stay POS  
 'He arrived and stayed.'

- (22) *dzáŋ á dzán-á m̀ tá gwíáŋ*  
 find 3SG find-GO REL GEN single  
*nákà wèhíŋ*  
 REM DEM  
 'He found the one sesame seed of those [that were counted].'

*nd-á náz á náz ká ǹ láy tàŋ*  
 go-GO throw 3SG throw POS PREP place DED  
 'He went and threw it into its place [in the bag].'

- (23) *žèb žèb á žèb-ú nd̀ dzáŋ wàl*  
 follow follow 3SG follow-3SG go find wife  
*ng̀àn nákáhà*  
 3SG REM  
 'He followed [the footsteps] and found his wife'

The sequential clause is also used after a temporal protasis clause, which indicates that the sequential clause has a temporal nature, coding the event occurring after another event:

- (24) *k̀ nd̀ ź fú nd̀ dzáŋ źáván-yì*  
 INF go EE all (F.) go find guinea fowl-PL  
*í m̀r ŕ*  
 3PL graze D.HAB  
 'Each time she went she found guinea fowl grazing.'

The marker *nd̀* may also code the effect clause in a cause-and-effect relationship, where the subjects can be different. In such a case, the marker *nd̀* precedes the subject; if the subject occurs between the reduplicated parts of the verb, the marker precedes the predicate:

- (25) *bàt á bát káyà hí m̀̀l ká*  
 start 3SG start INTERJ 2PL catch POS  
 'He started, "Yikes! stop (PL) it."'

*séy nd̀̀ m̀̀l wàl wá m̀̀l ká*  
 so go catch womanDEM catch POS  
 'So the woman stopped it.' (Although the order is given to plural participants, only one person executes the order)

A piece of evidence that the form *nd̀̀* is not merely a verb of movement is provided by clauses that rule out any movement interpretation for *nd̀̀*:

- (26) *séy til á dàmù nd̀̀ dzáŋ cíŋ*  
 so leave PRED bush go find father.3SG  
*à zá váy nd̀̀ wùtá*  
 3SG COMP daddy go house  
 'When he went into the bush, he found his father and said,  
 "Daddy, return home."'

If one chooses *kə* instead of the *nd̀̀* above, the clause would mean: "He went for the purpose of finding his father," i.e. no implication that the goal was accomplished.

The sequential marker is used to code the temporal sequence of events in a discourse:

- (27) *wàl wá à ndí tàl ng̀̀n dáp*  
 wife DEM 3SG HAB walk 3SG only  
*à ndí tàl ng̀̀n dáp á dàmù*  
 3SG HAB walk 3SG still PRED bush  
 'The wife still took walks in the bush'

*séy nd̀̀ dzáŋ á dzáŋ-á kàdám*  
 so go find 3SG find-GO calabash  
*á dàmù*  
 PRED bush  
 'While walking, she found a calabash in the bush.'

*ndá* *déb* *á* *déb-ù* *ndè*  
 go:GO bring 3SG bring-3SG go  
*lw-á* *ngùl* *ngèn*  
 tell-GO husband 3SG  
 ‘She brought it and told her husband.’

(28) *à* *tál-à* *à* *tál-à* *ndè* *dzán* *á*  
 3SG walk-PAST 3SG walk-PAST go find 3SG  
*dzán-á* *ǵámbáy* *lèkwíd* *lèkwíd* *lèkwíd* *lèkwíd*  
 find-GO stick straight straight straight straight  
 ‘She walked and walked and she went to find (and found) a very  
 straight stick.’

The verb of movement *ndè* and the sequential marker *ndè* differ in that the former may be followed by possessive subject pronouns. Both functions of *ndè* are illustrated in the following example:

(29) *ábè* *nd-á* *ngèn* *wùtá*  
 then go-GO 3SG village  
 ‘Then she returned to her village’

*ndá* *yá* *ngùl* *ngèn* *á* *bín*  
 go:GO call husband 3SG PRED room  
 ‘and called her husband into the room.’

(30) *gómbòk-yî* *cìbéw* *á* *páláh* *nd-á*  
 frog-PL all PRED outside go-GO  
*fât* *fât* *i* *fât* *ǵì* *tàtàn*  
 skin skin 3PL skin meat 3PL  
 ‘All the frogs went out and skinned their meat.’

The sequential marker, unlike the verb “go” does not take aspectual markers:



- (31) *i nd rá i nd rá*  
 3PL go D.HAB 3PL go D.HAB  
*i nd rá ndà dzáŋ làkwát*  
 3PL go D.HAB go find river  
*mà nd-à-y zá*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 'They were going, going, going, till they came to a river, which filled up.'

If the events are not connected, the sequential marker *ndà* does not occur:

- (32) *báy bàt zá ngàn déb idá*  
 chief get EE 3SG carry home  
 'The chief took it [the stick] and carried it home'.

*á n kàdám ngàn bàt*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
*ábà nd-á ngàn wùtá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG village  
 'Then she carried her calabash, and returned home with it'

The form *ndà* has acquired also the function of simply conjoining two propositions, without the implication of temporal sequentiality, as evidenced by the following example. Here the form *ndà* precedes the clause that complements the first clause, from which it is separated by an intervening proposition:

- (33) *tàlàŋ mà mbùw-yí zà syì kó i*  
 head REL unite-STAT EE COM QUANT 3PL  
*ndà váy í ndà tsúk tàlàŋ*  
 go where 3PL go isolate head  
*tàtè dáp skè vù*  
 3PL just NEG Q  
 'If they unite themselves, no matter where they go, they isolate themselves.'

#### 4. The propositional relator *ko*

The marker *ko*, which otherwise is a polarity marker, may also be used as a propositional relator, and its function as such may include counter-expectation:

- (34) *hí ndà wùtá kò í ðìim rə*  
 2PL go home but 3PL hear D.HAB  
*skù*  
 NEG  
 ‘Go home! But they will not listen.’

The form *ko* indicates that the conclusion surpasses the expectation:

- (35) *séy bát gaded' dīyà bál klíf bál bál*  
 so take arrow put kill fish kill kill  
*klíf-yiù gwád' kó kúl kə*  
 fish-PL plenty but able INF  
*bál tə skù*  
 kill 3PL NEG  
 ‘So he took his arrow [and] started to kill fish. He killed very many, but he could not kill them all.’

- (36) *í dī nə mà kál kál kó*  
 3PL put PREP mouth equal (F.) even (F.)  
*k sən dǎ skù*  
 INF know exist NEG  
 ‘They put [something] in their mouth at the same time, and he did not recognize them.’

The marker *kò* occurs in Fula and in other Chadic languages. It may represent either a common Chadic retention or a widespread borrowing in the area.

The marker *àmmá* denies a presupposition of a preceding statement, including the immediately preceding clause:

- (37) *hà zá ááá mbi sə nə kí*  
 you COMP ah, ANAPH 1SG PREP INF  
*yàn-á tən àmmá sə bə idá*  
 move-GO DED but 1SG ASSC house  
 ‘She said, “I would have moved but I have a house.”’

As in other Chadic languages, the marker *àmmá* is borrowed from Arabic via either Hausa or Fula or both.

## 5. Conclusions

Paratactic constructions may be asyndetic, sequential, or conjoined. Asyndetic constructions do not indicate any specific relationship among clauses. Sequential clauses, marked by the verb *ndà* 'go', code temporal and cause-and-effect relationships between clauses. The markers *kó* and *àmmá*, both potential borrowings, deny speakers' possible presuppositions.



# Chapter 21

## Complementation

### 1. Introduction

The chapter on complementation is organized as follows: We first describe complements of verbs of saying, since these complements represent the greatest variety of forms and functions. A number of issues that emerge in the complementation of verbs of saying are also relevant in the complementation of other verbs. We then describe complements of volitional verbs; complements of verbs of perception; complements of verbs of knowing; and finally the infinitival complements.

### 2. Complements of verbs of saying

Complementation of verbs of saying is important for several reasons. They are the verbs that take complement clauses most often. Complement clauses after verbs of saying may have different modality values. The complements of verbs of saying, more than complements of other verbs, have to resolve the problem of coreferentiality and switch reference between the subject of the matrix clause and the subject of the embedded clause and between the subject of the embedded clause and the addressee of the embedded clause.

#### 2.1 *Verbs of saying and the complementizer*

There are several verbs of saying, *ká lù* ‘address somebody’, *ká gíz* ‘say, tell’ and *ká ẓà* ‘talk with’ (which takes the preposition *gám* ‘with’ and has the citation form *ká ẓàgám*). The first two verbs can have prepositional or clausal complements. The verb *ẓà* can have only a prepositional complement:

- (1) *i lù kì mbéŋ*  
 3PL say like that  
 ‘They said like that.’ (i.e., they all said the same thing.)
- (2) *dáy ká gíz*  
 too much INF tell  
 ‘It is too much to say.’
- (3) *à ẓà góŋgà*  
 3SG say truth  
 ‘He told the truth.’

## 2.2 *The de dicto complementizer*

The most frequently used verb of saying among older speakers is the form *ẓá*. Younger speakers do not use the form *ẓá* and instead use the complementizer *zá*. The form *zá* is not a verb as there is no infinitival form \**kà zá*. Moreover, younger speakers do not consider the form *ẓá* to be a verb either; the citation form that they give is *ká ẓàgám* ‘to speak’.

An explanation for the syntax of the forms *ẓá* and *zá* and their perception follows. The verb *ẓá* came to acquire the function of a grammatical marker meaning something like “here is what X said”; in other words, it acquired the function of complementizer. As a grammatical morpheme, it has undergone a phonetic simplification from a voiced lateral continuant to a voiced alveolar continuant. Once the phonological change set in, the connection between the form *zá* and the verb of saying ceased to exist.

The form *zá* is used only with de dicto complements, i.e. complements of verbs of saying that represent a proposition. In the speech of older speakers, instead of the form *zá*, the form *ẓá*, segmentally identical with one of the verbs of saying, but with high rather than low tone is used. Younger speakers systematically substitute *zá* for *ẓá*.

Older speakers do not use the complementizer *zá*. Younger speakers, however, use *zá* as a complementizer after verbs of saying:

- (4) *à lùw-á-h zá hà nék skù ngà ví*  
 3SG say-GO-2SG COMP 2SG good NEG DUB Q  
 ‘Will he tell you that you are not good?’ (I doubt he will).

Consequently, we gloss *zá* as COMP. The complementizer *zá* may not follow other verbs in the past tense, but in the future tense it may not be used without a verb:

- (5)    *à*       *lù*       *zá*  
           3SG   say     COMP  
           ‘He will say . . .’

The most important piece of evidence for the complementizer rather than verb function of the form *zá* is provided by the manner in which the addressee is coded.

### 2.3 Coding of the addressee of the verbs of saying

If the speaker chooses the complementizer *zá* instead of a verb of saying, the nominal addressee may be coded by the preposition *n*. The presence of the preposition is justified by the following facts. The addressee is conceived of as a locative complement, as evidenced by the presence of the locative preposition *n*. The addressee is not inherently locative; therefore, the preposition *n* is required. The locative predicator *a* is optional, although it is possible that its presence is often masked by the preceding complementizer which also has the vowel *á* with high tone. Natural discourse most often has the third-person addressee coded by a full noun. This results in many sentences having two full noun phrases:

- (6)    *séy*    *gáw*    *dàd'*                    *ngàz*    *à*        *zá*  
           so     hunter   remove                   leg    3SG   COMP  
           *á*     *n*        *kwáyàŋ*                *ɓàt-ú*  
           PRED PREP squirrel            take-3SG  
           ‘Then, the hunter took off a leg [of a game animal], [and] he said to the squirrel, “Take it.”’

- (7)    *à*        *zá*        *á*        *nà*        *tàkár*    *hà*        *gàr*  
           3SG    COMP PRED PREP turtle  2SG    want  
           *kà*     *dá*        *yàm*     *skù*  
           INF   draw   water NEG  
           ‘He said to the turtle, “Don’t draw any water!”’

- (8) *kwáyàḡ*      *à*      *zá*      *nà*      *tàkár*      *màsáw*  
 squirrel      3SG      COMP      PREP      turtle      fry  
*kìlḡ*  
 meantime  
 ‘The squirrel said to the turtle, “Fry it in the meantime.”’
- (9) *kwáyàḡ*      *à*      *zá*      *nà*      *wàdà* *gàmták*      *ḡàh*  
 squirrel      3SG      COMP      PREP      food chicken      hide  
*kà*      *dùwáḡ*      *ńvàḡ*  
 PREP      back      rock  
 ‘The squirrel said to the caterpillar, “Hide behind a rock.”’
- (10) *bàkàláf*      *zá*      *nà*      *góm̀bòk*      *hà*      *kúl*      *kà*  
 buffalo      COM      PREP      frog      2SG      able      INF  
*ṣí*      *skù*  
 run      NEG  
 ‘The buffalo said to the frog, “You cannot run”’

The addressee may be omitted:

- (11) *séy*      *bàhámàn*      *wurtə*      *páláh*      *à*      *zá*  
 then      Bahaman      leave(F.)      out      3SG      COMP  
*ndə*      *séyfiinà*      *bá d̀ap*  
 go      ‘call’      again  
 ‘Then Bahaman went out. She said to him, “Go make that call again.”’

If instead of the complementizer *zá* a verb of saying is used, the nominal addressee is coded as indirect object, i.e., the verb has to have the pronominal object suffix, and the addressee is coded only by the preposition *n*:

- (12) *wàl*      *wà*      *lù*      *lù*      *á*      *lùw-á-ḡ*      *ḡ*  
 wife      DEM      say      say      3SG      say-GO-3SG      PREP  
*kàdám*      *wá*      *má*      *dá-ḡ*      *gwád*  
 calabash      DEM      DEB      cook-3SG      food  
 ‘The woman told calabash to prepare her a lot of food.’



## 2.4 Direct speech

Direct speech is characterized by the use of the first-person and second person pronouns, referring respectively to the speaker and the hearer of the ongoing conversation. Direct speech may follow the complementizer *zá*, or it may follow the verb of saying without a complementizer:

- (13) *mà ngùl ngùl zá kàdám*  
 DEM husband husband COMP calabash  
*vl-à-k wùdá gí*  
 give-GO-1SG food POL  
 ‘So, her husband said, “Calabash, could you give me some food?”’
- (14) *kwáykwáy-yii wà zá ángà há mbàl-ù*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP if 2SG want-3SG  
*há yàn á kàciŋ*  
 2SG move PRED here  
 ‘The hyenas said, “If you want you can move in here.”’
- (15) *kwáykwá-yii bá í zá á tük kám*  
 hyena-PL ASSC 3PL COMP PRED 2GEN TOP(F.)  
*hí ndà-há hì fú tàŋ*  
 2PL go-GO 2PL all (F.) DED  
 ‘As for the hyenas, they said, “Come you all.”’
- (16) *kóo ví zá sè déy á*  
 QUANT who COMP 1SG also PRED  
*kì mbéŋ*  
 like ANAPH  
 ‘Each one of them said, “Same with me.”’
- (17) *à zá hà gèr mí*  
 3SG COMP 2SG wish what  
 ‘He said, “What do you want?”’

- (18) *séy vígìn pár dáhà à ǰá háǰàm*  
 so bird other exist 3SG say daughter  
*tá báy bákàhà i nd-á r*  
 GEN chief today 3PL go-GO D.HAB  
*kà fát-á-h*  
 INF skin-GO-2SG

‘Then a certain bird told the daughter of the chief, “Today people will come to kill you.”’

The direct speech may also consist of idiomatic expressions without a subject:

- (19) *kwáyàŋ zá á pát mbáŋ*  
 squirrel COMP PRED tomorrow cut  
 ‘The squirrel said, “Never again.” (lit. ‘tomorrow cut’)

Here are examples of the direct speech following directly the verb of saying, without the complementizer:

- (20) *à ǰá tò*  
 3SG say okay  
 ‘He said, “Okay.”’
- (21) *lù á lùw-á-ŋ nà kàďám wàcínŋ*  
 say 3SG say-GO-3SG PREP calabash DEM  
 ‘She addressed this calabash.’

*kàďám vl-à-k wùd gí*  
 calabash give-GO-1SG food POL  
 ‘“Calabash, could you give me some food?”’

- (22) *à ǰá ñkwè tá má màts-yíz à*  
 3SG say goat DED REL die-STAT EE  
 ‘He said, “The goat is dead.”’

- (23) *à ǰá ná hìdì wàcínŋ nók*  
 3SG say PREP man DEM 1PL.INCL  
*gr-á dàbàráy*  
 search-GO plan (F.)  
 ‘He said to him, “Let’s find a plan . . .”’

- (24) *màllúm*      *à*      *ǵá*      *tán*      *kám*  
 marabout      3SG      say      1SG:POSS      TOP(F.)  
*sà*      *sòk*      *zà*      *á zú*  
 1SG      support      EE      let's go  
 'The marabout said, "As for me, I will bear it. Let's go."'

### 2.5 Cross reference and disjoint reference coding

Disjoint reference between the third-person subject of the main clause and the third-person subject of the embedded clause, if it is coded at all, is marked by the use of the full noun in the embedded clause. The full noun is used when it is topicalized, as evidenced by the use of the demonstrative *wá*:

- (25) *à*      *zá*      *híd*      *wà*      *à*      *wák*      *rà*  
 3SG      COMP      man      DEM      3SG      crazy      D.HAB  
 'He said, "That man is crazy . . ."'

The full noun is also used if, in the given setting, it is the first mention of the referent:

- (26) *à*      *ǵ-á*      *ván*      *nàŋ*      *à*      *gàr*  
 3SG      say      father      1SG      3SG      want  
*kà*      *mbú*  
 INF      give birth  
 'He said, "My father will give birth."'

If the full noun is not used in the embedded clause, there are two possibilities. The use of the pronoun *a* leaves the interpretation of referentiality to the listener's analysis, based on the circumstance of speech and the discourse context. In the following sentences the third-person singular subject marker *a* in the embedded clauses is coreferential with the subject of the matrix clause:

- (27) *séy wàl wà à zá à ndà*  
 so woman DEM 3SG COMP 3SG go  
*dám bàhá ngùl ngàṅ zá nd-à*  
 bush again husband 3SG COMP go-GO  
*sà dál-áh kràp ná ngàz*  
 1SG do-2SG shoe PREP foot

‘Then, that woman said that she was going to the bush again. Her husband said, “Let me make you some shoes . . .”’

- (28) *à zá à n ká òl-á*  
 3SG COMP 3SG PREP INF shoot-GO  
*gwáḱ yám*  
 elephant also

‘He said he will also kill the elephant.’

In the following sentences, the third-person singular subject *a* in the embedded clause has a different reference from that of the subject of the matrix clause. The evidence that a disjoint reference for the third person pronoun is involved is provided by the use of the first-person object pronoun in a clause with the third person subject pronoun:

- (29) *à ḱá á kà bám*  
 3SG say 3SG PREP front  
*ká mbàd-á-k zà*  
 INF surpass-GO-1SG EE

‘He [the buffalo] said, “He [the frog] is ahead, he surpasses me.”’

Although the use of the third person pronoun in the embedded clause may be ambiguous with respect to coreferentiality or disjoint reference with the subject of the matrix clause, Mina has grammaticalized a means of disambiguating between coreferential and non-coreferential third-person subjects through the use of the first-person singular pronoun in the embedded clause, i.e. through the use of direct speech. Such a pronoun codes coreferentiality with the third person singular subject of the matrix clause:

- (30) *kwáyàŋ*      *zá*                      *à*      *n*      *ká*      *lù*  
 squirrel      COMP                      3SG    PREP    INF    say  
*sà*    *mà*    *dál*    *tífìlì*  
 1SG    REL    do      calumny (F.)  
 ‘The squirrel<sub>i</sub> said, that he<sub>j</sub> will say that it’s he<sub>j</sub> who made the calumny.’
- (31) *gèlbé*    *áz*      *tàmù*                      *à*      *zá*  
 better    go      1DU:POSS                      3SG    COMP  
*óó*    *sà*    *ndà*    *rà*                                      *skù*  
 no      1SG    go      D.HAB                                      NEG  
 “‘We’d better go.’” He said, “No, I’m not going.””
- (32) *à*      *zá*      *sà*      *ndà*      *kà*      *tár-áh*                      *á*  
 3SG    COMP 1SG    go      INF    ask-GO                      PRED  
*pàt*                      *tàr*                                      *nàŋ*  
 tomorrow                      collective work                      1SG  
 ‘He said, “I came to invite you for common work.”’<sup>1</sup>
- (33) *à*      *há*      *sà*      *gàr*      *kà*      *tár*      *skù*  
 3SG    say    1SG    want    INF    ask      NEG  
*nd-á*    *tár*    *wàláy*  
 go      ask    cat  
 He said, “I’m not going to invite [him].” He went and asked the cat.’
- (34) *à*      *zá*                                      *s*      *idá*  
 3SG    COMP                                      1SG    home  
 ‘He said, “I’m at home.”’
- (35) *à*      *zá*                                      *sà*      *mìsíl-é*                      *í*      *mìsíl*  
 3SG    COMP                                      1SG    steal-GO                      PREP    steal  
 ‘He said, “Stealing, I stole by theft.”’

---

1. Common work involves the help of friends in work in the fields. One cannot decline an invitation for common work unless one is sick. If the man in the household cannot come to the common work, he will send his wife or children.

2.6 *Deontic complements of verbs of saying*

A direct order is coded by the imperative clause:

- (36) *à zá hí ʒáŋ hìdì*  
 3SG COMP 2PL send person  
 ‘He said, “Send somebody.”’
- (37) *à zá hí ndà lúw-á-ŋ*  
 3SG COMP 2PL go say-GO-3SG  
*má d-àhá-w*  
 DEB bring-GO-3SG  
 ‘He said, “Go tell her to bring it here.”’

Polite imperatives in embedded clauses, just as in matrix clauses, are coded by clause-final marker *gí*:

- (38) *á zá ʒámbáy ɲá-á-k gí*  
 3SG COMP stick hit-GO-1SG POL  
 ‘She said, “Stick, beat me, please.”’
- (39) *zánán-yìi zá fãd-á ná*  
 guinea fowl-PL COMP shave-GO 1PL  
*tàlàn ká gí*  
 head POS please  
 ‘The guinea fowl said, “Shave our heads, please.”’

Wishes with respect to the third-person are coded by the subjunctive marker *má* preceding the verb of the embedded clause:

- (40) *hí ndà lùw-á-ŋ má ndà-hà*  
 2PL go say-GO-3SG DEB go-GO:IMPER  
 ‘Go tell him to come here.’
- (41) *à zá hí ndà lùw-á-ŋ*  
 3SG COMP 2PL go say-GO-3SG  
*má dà-há-w*  
 DEB bring-GO-3SG  
 ‘He said “Go (pl) tell her to bring it here.”’

- (42) *wàl wà lù lù á lùw-á-ŋ*  
 wife DEM say say 3SG say-GO-3SG  
*ni kàdǎm wá má dá-ŋ gwád*  
 PREP calabash DEM DEB cook-3SG food  
 ‘The woman told the calabash to prepare her a lot of food.’

The form with *gi* may be used when a wish is expressed with respect to the first person:

- (43) *séy lù á lù bákà ná gwád*  
 so say 3SG say today 1PL.EXCL satisfy  
*ábà hidà wà gi*  
 ASSC man DEM POL  
 ‘So she said, “We have to sate ourselves with that man.”’ (The man is the one who is also going to be sated)

The mood of obligation may also be marked by a modal adverb that precedes the imperative:

- (44) *kwákwá-yii wá zá bákà ʒi dā skù*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP today meat exist NEG  
 ‘The hyenas said, “Today, there is no meat.”’
- gèlbé kám há pàts á nòk mbà ntá*  
 better TOP 2SG take-GO 1PL child one  
*hà d-á nòkón*  
 2SG cook-GO 1PL  
 ‘“You’d better take one of your children and cook it for us.”’

### 2.7 Interrogative complements of verbs of saying

Complex sentences with embedded interrogative clauses may have no complementizer or one of several complementizers, including the comment marker *syi*. This fact is justified by at least two factors: The main clause verb is a verb of saying, and the embedded clause is also in the domain of speech. There are significant differences between yes/no questions and specific questions in the complex sentences.

2.7.1 *Polar questions*

General interrogative clauses are formed by adding the general interrogative marker *vù* at the end of the embedded clause.

- (45) *a za wunwunad kwayaŋ nd-a*  
 3SG COMP pigeon squirrel go-GO  
*rə vu*  
 D.HABIT Q  
 ‘He [Turtle] asked Pigeon whether Squirrel would come’ (written sources)

- (46) *há lùw-á-ŋ ngási ʒámáy*  
 2SG say-GO-3SG like that stick  
*n-dí dāl tá vù*  
 go do DED Q  
 ‘You say to it just like that, “Stick, do it?”’

2.7.2 *Specific interrogatives in embedded clauses*

Questions about a human participant in the event are marked by clause final *ví*, as in the main interrogative clause. The embedded clause begins with relative marker *mə̀*, as in simple interrogative clauses with verbal predicates.

- (47) *séy báy ɓàt ʒ-yii wàhíŋ dàh*  
 so chief take cow-PL DEM bring  
*ká á páláh à ʒá mə̀ ʒə̀ dúngùr*  
 POS PRED outside 3SG say REL cut hump  
*tá ʒə̀ wà ví hìdì tá mə̀*  
 GEN cow DEM who man DEM REL  
*gìžé-hé-ù ábà má ngàn kó ví à zá*  
 tell-GO-3SG ASSC mouth 3SG anyone 3SG COMP  
*sə̀ skù kó ví à zá sə̀ skù*  
 1SG NEG anyone 3SG COMP 1SG NEG  
 ‘The chief brought the cows outside. He said, “Who cut off the hump of this cow? Let him reveal himself with his own mouth.” Everyone said, “Not me.”’



- (48) *séy báy b̀at háǵàmà à ǵá mà ká*  
 so chief take daughter 3SG say REL INF  
*m̀al-á klif báyt-yii ví syì*  
 seize-GO fish large-PL who COM  
*kà v̀al-á-ŋ háǵàm wà zà*  
 INF give-GO-3SG daughter DEM EE  
 ‘The chief took the daughter. He said, “Whoever catches big fish, I will give him this daughter.”’ (syì cannot be omitted)

### 2.7.3 Questions about nonhuman participant

Questions about nonhuman participants are coded by the embedded-clause-final marker *m̀i*. Unlike in questions about human participants, there is no relative clause marker *m̀à* at the beginning of the embedded clause, again a situation identical to that of simple interrogative clauses:

- (49) *kwákwáy dǎb í wàn s̀ulúd s̀ulúd*  
 hyena ask 3PL sleep two two  
*wá mà d̀al-á-ŋ t̀at̀at̀a mí*  
 but REL make-GO-3SG 3PL what  
 ‘The hyena asked, “They sleep in pairs, but what happened to them?”’

- (50) *cíŋ zá hà ǵàr mí*  
 father.3SG COMP 2SG want what  
 ‘His father asked him, “What do you want?”’

### 2.7.4 Questions about possessor

Questions about possessor are coded by the locative predicator *á* followed by the human interrogative marker *vi* followed by the genitive marker *t* with the interrogative marker *í*:

- (51) *à zá r̀uk̀ut-yii ẁacín á v̀à tí*  
 3SG COMP clothes-PL DEM PRED who GEN:Q  
 ‘He asked him whose clothes these are?’

2.7.5 *Questions about the topic*

If the question is about a topic, equivalent to “ask about”, the embedded clause has the form of a relativized clause, ending with a demonstrative, or anaphoric markers, such as *wàcín*:

- (52) *à d̄əb-á-k (syì) skəŋ sà*  
 3SG ask-GO-1SG COM thing 1SG  
*n kə bám-áhá w*  
 PREP INF eat-GO DEM  
 ‘He asked me about the thing I had eaten.’

- (53) *à d̄əb-á k ngám̀bà-n ná*  
 3SG ask-GO-1SG friend-1SG 1DU  
*ká bàm-á tá mókòlò wàcín*  
 INF meet-GO GEN Mokolo DEM  
 ‘He asked me about the friend I met in Mokolo.’

2.7.6 *Questions about the place*

The embedded question about place has the marker (*á*) *tíkì* ‘where’, with the locative predicator *á* often omitted for stative interrogatives, and *vày* for directional interrogatives, as is the case in simple clause interrogatives. Both interrogative markers occur in clause-final position:

- (54) *gìmíḡìd-yù i ndá wàláf*  
 monkey-PL 3PL go-GO blindman  
*hàz vè káy*  
 dog where  
 ‘The monkeys came to ask the blind man, “Where is the dog?”’

3. **Complements of volitional verbs**

There are at least two volitional verbs: *mbál* ‘love, like, want’ and *gər* ‘search, like’. There are different means to code same-subject and different-subject embedded clauses.

## 3.1 Same subject

When the subjects of the main and of the embedded clause are identical, the subject is not repeated in the embedded clause either as a full noun or as a pronoun. Instead, sentential complements have the infinitival marker *kə*. The verb *gər* is used in affirmative main clauses, and the verb *mbál* ‘want’ in negative main clauses. Here are examples of affirmative matrix clauses:

- (55) *à gər kə dāl-á-h mí*  
 3SG want INF do-GO-2SG what  
 ‘What does he want to do to you?’
- (56) *sà gər kə dāl skàn nà*  
 1SG want INF do thing 1SG  
*dáhà tséy zà*  
 exist finish EE  
 ‘I would like to do one thing’
- (57) *í ndí gər ká dà wə́ǵáf*  
 3PL HABIT search INF make God  
*ábà mbéy*  
 ASSC 3SG  
 ‘They are looking to prepare *kuli* with it.’
- (58) *hìdì wàcínj à mbál í gər*  
 man DEM 3SG like 3PL want  
*ká bàd wàl ngə̀y skù*  
 INF seduce wife 3SG NEG  
 ‘This guy, he didn’t want anyone to seduce his wife.’
- (59) *há mbál kə žébèr vá-n skù*  
 2SG like INF follow father-1SG NEG  
 ‘You don’t want to follow my father.’

The negation of the matrix clause is coded through the negative marker at the end of the embedded clause:

- (60) *tàkár há mbál kà mín-é-k*  
 turtle 2SG like INF reserve-GO-1SG  
*ká skà vù*  
 POS NEG Q  
 ‘Turtle, don’t you want to leave something for me?’

- (61) *kwáykwáy mbál ká sà yàm*  
 hyena like INF drink water  
*skù gár ngàṅ*  
 NEG leave 3SG  
 ‘The hyena did not want to drink water. He left.’

- (62) *màllúm giž-é-ṅ kimbéṅ á mbál*  
 marabout tell-GO-3SG like that 3SG like  
*kà vâl-á-ṅ mìnjivèk skù már*  
 INF give-GO-3SG medicine NEG control  
*ngùl ábà kóydām*  
 husband ASSC ease(F.)  
 ‘The marabout told her he didn’t want to give her the medicine.  
 She controlled her husband easily.’

- (63) *í mbál kà vl-á-ṅ wâl skù*  
 3PL like INF give-GO-3SG woman NEG  
 ‘They do not want to give him the woman.’

### 3.2 *Different subjects*

There are very few examples in our data of volitional verbs followed by a complement with a different subject, because wishes with respect to another person are coded by verbs of saying followed by the imperative or the subjunctive mood. Nevertheless, we did find one example with the verb *mbál* followed by a complement clause with a different subject:

- (64) *hidi wàcīṅ à mbál í gèr*  
 man DEM 3SG like 3PL want  
*ká bàd wâl ngàṅ skù*  
 INF court wife 3SG NEG  
 ‘This guy, he didn’t want anyone to court his wife.’

#### 4. Object-to-object raising

If the verb of the infinitival complement has an object, that object may occur before or after the infinitival verb. The infinitival preposition *kə* occurs before the verb, never before the fronted object. If the object of the embedded clause is fronted, it becomes the object also of the main clause. The evidence for this conclusion is provided by the fact that the aspectual marker *za* of the main clause occurs after, rather than before, the raised object of the embedded clause:

- (65) *à      ʒá      sà      ɡár      kám      mpáy-yiì      tá*  
 3SG    say      1SG    want    TOP    tree-PL      GEN  
*dám-yiì      wàciŋ sà      ɡár      má      ɓál      cikè*  
 bush-PL      DEM 1SG    want    DEB    cut      all  
*kà*  
 POS  
 ‘He said, “I want the trees in the bush to be all cut down.”’
- (66) *ká      dù      ʒì      zá      ká      ʒà*  
 INF    begin    meat    EE      INF    cut  
 ‘He started to cut meat.’
- (67) *kú      w      biŋ      z      ká      ləm*  
 INF    start    house    EE      INF    build  
 ‘He started to build a house.’

#### 5. Complements of verbs of perception

##### 5.1 Verbs of perception and complementation without raising

The verbs *tìy* ‘look, see’ and *lìm* ‘get, perceive’ may be followed by clausal complements. The verb *lìm* is used most often for coding the notion of perception. Our data do not contain evidence for the distinct coding of direct and indirect perception. The following examples imply direct perception:

- (68) *hà kà tì zá wɪnjíd ká nd-á*  
 2SG INF see EE intestines INF go-GO  
*zá hà kà tì zá bámbàz ká*  
 EE 2SG INF see EE blood INF  
*nd-á zà mbí sà*  
 go-GO EE ANAPH 1SG  
*má màts-yí zà*  
 REL die-STAT EE

'If you see that the intestine has spilled out, if you see that the blood has spilled out, that means I am dead.'

- (69) *mbú màl ngàz tá màkwádàk óát fir*  
 child seize foot GEN vulture take fly  
*séy íi tì syì íi fir tàtè rà*  
 so 3PL see COM 3PL fly 3PL D.HAB  
 'The child grabbed the leg of the vulture, they flew away,  
 and the people saw them fly.'

The following examples may involve indirect perception, and yet it is coded in the same way:

- (70) *tì á tì í gàr kà zám̀b̀à cíŋ*  
 see 3SG see 3PL want INF devour father.3SG  
 'He saw that they wanted to deprive his father of everything.'

- (71) *hà tì mbí mà gár skà áybì tá*  
 2SG see 3SG REL want fault (F.) GEN  
*ngùl dá skù*  
 husband exist NEG  
 'You see that it was she who searched. It is not the husband's fault.'

Verbs of perception can be followed by an infinitival clause to code the whole event:

- (72) *séy í lím ká b̀àt-á yàm zá*  
 so 3PL see INF take-GO water EE  
*kà màts kùhú*  
 INF die fire  
 'They saw them fetch water to extinguish the fire.'

The complement clause may precede the matrix clause:

- (73) *kwik kwàlkwàl-yûi bákàhàkà màl t̀ pát*  
*kwik leper-PL today INF seize 3PL tomorrow*  
*í n kà r̀h-é í tiki nók*  
 3PL PREP INF escape-GO PREP where 3PL  
*tìyú*  
 see:3SG  
 ‘Lepers. Today we will catch them. Tomorrow we will see through where they will escape.’

The predicate of perception may be used as a hedging means:

- (74) *tìy tìy s̀ tìy kám*  
 see see 1SG see TOP (F.)  
*màžéžé dám dáy*  
 old days good surpass  
 ‘In my view, the old times were better.’

## 5.2 Subject-to-object raising

Subject of the embedded clause may become the object of the main clause. The morphological evidence for the raising of the subject is provided by clauses with pronominal subjects of the embedded clause. When these subjects are raised, they have the form of object rather than of subject pronouns. The subjects are also coded in the embedded clauses. All examples with pronominal subjects of embedded clauses have been elicited and should be taken with caution:

- (75) *s̀ ká žim t̀ ź*  
 1SG INF hear 3PL EE  
*í nd-á r̀*  
 3PL go-GO D.HAB  
 ‘I heard them coming’ or ‘I heard that they were coming’

- (76) *í kí žim-é-k z̀ s̀*  
 3PL INF hear-GO-1SG EE 1SG  
*nd-á r̀*  
 go-GO D.HAB  
 ‘They heard me coming’ or ‘they heard that I was coming’

The non-raised variants have also been elicited:

- (77) *sà ká ðim zá í nd-á rà*  
 1SG INF hear EE 3PL go-GO D.HAB  
 ‘I heard him coming’ or ‘I heard that he was coming’

- (78) *í kí ðim zá sà nd-á rà*  
 3PL INF hear EE 1SG go-GO D.HAB  
 ‘They heard me coming’ or ‘they heard that I was coming’

The syntactic evidence for raising the subject to object is provided by the fact that the subject of the embedded clause occurs before the auxiliary *za* of the matrix clause, a position occupied by objects in simple sentences. In addition to the examples above with raised pronominal subjects, compare the following with a raised nominal subject:

- (79) *sà ká ðim zrámbà zá*  
 1SG INF hear Zroumba EE  
*à nd-á rà*  
 3SG go-GO D.HAB  
 ‘I heard Zroumba coming.’ (elicited)

Here is a natural discourse example:

- (80) *tséy wàl wà ká tìy nji rá*  
 so woman DEM INF see eyes D.HAB  
*á gèdáj bádám à lím mímèŋ ká*  
 PRED under cavern 3SG see panther INF  
*kàw mbà ngèŋ ná rà*  
 grab child 3SG PREP hand  
 ‘So the woman looked in the cave. She saw the panther taking her child in its paws.’

Given the paucity of natural discourse data we are unable to determine the function of subject-to-object raising.

## 6. Complements of verbs of knowing

The verb *sàn* ‘to know’ may be followed by nominal or clausal complements. Clausal complements of the verb *sàn* ‘to know’ may follow the



main clause directly or they may be preceded by a complementizer. When the embedded clause follows the matrix clause directly, the sentence codes the modality of certainty:

- (81) *báy zǎ há sǎn há mìsíl*  
 chief COMP 2SG know 2SG steal  
 ‘The chief said, “Do you know that you are a thief?”’
- (82) *sà ǵím ká skù syì hí n*  
 1SG hear POS NEG COM 2PL PREP  
*kà sǎn-á wàl wà mà màts-í zà*  
 INF know woman DEM REL die-STAT EE  
*wà vǎngáy*  
 DEM how  
 ‘If I didn’t hear, how would you have known that that woman is dead?’

The complement clause of the verb *sǎn* may be preceded by the demonstrative *wà* and by the comment marker *syì*, reduced to *-s* when following the demonstrative *wà*. It appears that the function of the form *wà* is to code indirect knowledge, at least as evidenced by the following example:

- (83) *mà tíy njè à zǎ ángá sà*  
 REL see eye 3SG COMP if 1SG  
*tì njè ká skù syì hí n ká*  
 see eye POS NEG COM 2PL PREP INF  
*sǎn wà-s mà màts wá*  
 know DEM-COM REL die DEM  
*wàl wàcín wá vǎngáy*  
 womanDEM DEM how  
 ‘The person who sees well said, “If I didn’t see, how would you know that the person who is dead is the woman there?”’

## 7. Infinitival complements

The complement clause may be marked by the infinitival marker *kà*. Several verbs can take infinitival complements only. These verbs include *wílkil* ‘fail’ and *kúl* ‘be able’. The complements cannot be consid-

ered adjuncts, because omitting them would result in ungrammatical clauses.

- (84) *ván wílkíl ká nd-áhà*  
rain fail INF go-GO  
'The rain failed to come in.'
- (85) *mìnjée hìdì kúl kà gám-á-k*  
now man can INF chase-GO-1SG  
*nà mành zá vù*  
PREP ANAPH EE Q  
'Now, can anybody chase me away on that?'  
(Nobody can prevent me from doing it.)

The complement clause of the verb *ndà* 'go' must also be marked by the infinitival marker:

- (86) *í ndà ká bèr-é cìkíd bùhù ntá*  
3PL go INF sell-GO sesame bag (F.) one  
'They were going to sell one bag of sesame seeds.'
- (87) *wàl ngàn à ndí ndà kà dá tipíd*  
wife 3SG 3SG HAB go INF draw termites  
'His wife had the habit of going to look for termites.'

The complement of the verb *báŋ* 'to think' is also introduced by the infinitival marker:

- (88) *séy bəŋ bəŋ á bən-ú ká*  
so think think 3SG think-3SG INF  
*ɛ̀à mbù hákké kù wáŋ ábà wàl*  
cut child sin(F.) INF sleep ASSC woman  
*wàhíŋ hákké kə sà mávù à mbál skù*  
DEM sin INF drink beer 3SG like NEG  
'He thought, thought. To kill a child is a sin; to sleep with a woman is a sin; to drink beer, he does not like it.'

## 7. Conclusions

Complements of verbs of saying are marked by the complementizer *zá*. The coding of the addressee of the verb of saying depends on whether the verb of saying or only the complementizer is used. The most frequent means of coding the identity of the subject of the embedded clause with the subject of the matrix clause is through the use of the first-person subject pronoun in the embedded clause.

There are two volitional verbs, *mbál* and *gàr*, the former used in the negative clause and the latter, in the affirmative matrix clause. The two verbs are used only when the subjects of the matrix and embedded clause are identical.

Complements of verbs of perception have the complement clause without any marker, or it may be preceded by the comment-clause marker *syì*.

A number of verbs take infinitival complements.



## Chapter 22

### Temporal and conditional clauses

#### 1. Introduction

In the present section we describe the coding of the temporal and the conditional protasis and apodosis. There are two means used in such coding: interplay of tenses and aspects from the dependent and independent set, and morphological markers of specific time relationships. Some of these are clause-initial temporal markers, and others are clause-final demonstratives. The protasis clause always precedes the apodosis clause.

#### 2. Temporal protasis

In temporal clauses, the protasis clause may be marked either by dependent aspects or tenses or by morphological markers specifically coding the protasis. The two means of coding are in complementary distribution. We begin with examples where the protasis is coded by dependent aspects.

Here are examples of the temporal protasis coded by the infinitive form *kə* and the end-of-event marker *za*:

- (1) *cíŋ ngàn ká tà zà mbà*  
father 3SG INF pay EE child  
*ká ɣim náwdùm dá skù*  
INF hear suffering exist NEG  
'After his father paid, the child did not suffer.'

- (2) *ɨkwà tá livèŋ hì ká skàm-á zà*  
 goat GEN black 2PL INF buy-GO EE  
*hì fāt kà á káyàk*  
 2PL skin POS PRED earth  
 'A black goat, when you buy it, you skin it on the ground.'<sup>2</sup>

- (3) *hàzá kà ɓim zà ii nd̄*  
 dog INF hear EE 3PL go  
*rà kà sà mávù*  
 PREP INF drink beer  
 'If the dog understands, they will drink beer.'

The habitual aspect in the protasis clause is coded by the dependent habitual aspect marker *rá*:

- (4) *mà mbír mbír ván d̄ rá*  
 REL jump jump rain draw D.HAB  
*d̄y-á mbír nà máŋ*  
 start-GO jump PREP ANAPH  
*cìd̄k cìd̄k cìd̄k cìd̄k cìd̄k*  
 ideophone  
 'The one who jumps, when the rain was falling, he started to jump in it.'

One cannot omit the dependent habitual marker from the above sentence. Nor can one use the independent habitual aspect marker *ndí* instead of the dependent habitual marker *rá*.

Here are examples of the coding of the protasis clause through the dependent future:

- (5) *hìdì wèhín à zà ván á*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG  
*n ká d̄ á ḡr*  
 PREP INF fall 3SG want  
*kà nd-á-k kàsám skù*  
 INF touch-GO-1SG body NEG  
 'This man said, "Rain, when it falls, will not touch me."'

---

2. Other goats are usually skinned on a piece of seko (material used in fences) or a mat. A black goat or even a black chicken causes trouble.

- (6) *dikà m̀̀njé wihíy s̀̀ n*  
 from DEM DEM 1SG PREP  
*k̀̀ dzáŋ gómbòk zá*  
 INF find frog EE  
*s̀̀ n k̀̀ ndr̀̀h mb̀̀ád k̀̀ wírnjìk*  
 1SG PREP INF smash become PREP ashes  
 ‘From now on, if I find a frog, I will smash it into ashes.’

- (7) *žíy ng̀̀l-yii pár s̀̀lúúf t̀̀n*  
 then man-PL other two DED  
*i nd-áhà bàhá*  
 3PL go-GO again  
*nd-á máb̀̀r mb̀̀ír bàhá k̀̀ m̀̀l t̀̀n*  
 go-GO lion leap again INF seize DED  
 ‘Later, when the two men arrived, the lion jumped to catch them’

The protasis clause may also be coded by the use of one of the auxiliary verbs that together with their non-auxiliary counterparts form reduplicated verbs. However, the protasis is coded only by the auxiliary, as in the following fragment, where the temporal protasis is in the second sentence:

- (8) *tsáy m̀̀ tìy tìy nd-á*  
 then REL look look go-GO  
*nástá ǹ̀ ỳ̀m*  
 enter (F.) PREP water  
 ‘Then the one who was good at looking entered into water.’

*tìl á ǹ̀ ỳ̀m tá áb̀̀ d̀̀wáŋ m̀̀bí*  
 go PRED PREP water DED ASSC back ANAPH  
*tì tì á tìy-ú*  
 look look 3SG look-3SG  
 ‘Having entered into water he searched for it [the sesame seed].’

### 3. Temporal protasis coding through demonstratives

The clause-final demonstrative *ẁ̀cín* codes the temporal protasis:

- (9) *ánà píč mbé ká nd-á wàcín*  
 PREP day close INF go-GO DEM  
*wàl wà báf á bàf-áhà bà náf dàp*  
 wife DEM leave 3SG leave-GO ASSC heart only  
 ‘When the day of their [the hyenas’] return was approaching, the wife left abruptly with a lot of courage.’

The demonstrative marks the end of the protasis clause as evidenced by the fact that it is used in the phrase-final form *wàcín*, rather than the phrase-internal form *wà*, even though it is followed by other material in the sentence. However, this other material is the beginning of another clause:

- (10) *séy wàl ngèn táŋ á nd rə*  
 so wife 3SG DED 3SG go D.HAB  
*wàcín syì wírŋjik díy-à bàk-áhà*  
 DEM COM ash start-GO pour-GO  
*cidě' cidě' cidě' cidě' á kətəf*  
 pile pile pile pile PRED road  
 ‘When his wife was going, ashes poured out in small piles on the road.’

- (11) *ah Bəhámàn á túk bákà syì ták*  
 oh Bahaman PREP GEN.2SG today COM all  
*píč wəhín syì*  
 sun DEM COM  
 ‘Oh, Bahaman, for you, with all this heat?’

- (12) *à túk há bəŋ rə skù wúl*  
 for you 2SG think D.HAB NEG neck  
*bét rə wá syì*  
 break D.HAB DEM COM  
 ‘‘You are not thinking, you are yelling with joy.’’

#### 4. Temporal apodosis

In temporal clauses, the temporal apodosis uses aspects and tenses from the independent set, as can be seen in the examples given above.

If the verb of the apodosis clause is intransitive, the simple rather than the reduplicated form of the verb is used:



- (13) *hìd-yiì*      *wá*    *í*      *díy-á*      *ǵáŋ*    *làkwát*  
 man-PL      DEM 3PL    start-GO      cross    river  
*cìkíd*      *tá*      *gwídíŋ*      *ndàv*    *ká*      [ndəf]  
 sesame      GEN    single      fall      POS  
 ‘When the men started crossing the river, a single sesame seed  
 fell down.’

The apodosis clause may also have the marker *séy* or *tséy*, probably borrowed from Hausa *sai* ‘then’:

- (14) *í*      *hók*    *rà*      *wàcínj*    *séy*    *wàl*    *wà*  
 3PL    lift    D.HAB      DEM    then    wife    DEM  
*ǵát*    *á*      *ǵát*    *fòram*    *nákà*    *bá*      *vènjéh*  
 take    3SG    take    horn    DEM    ASSC    pepper  
*díyà*    *á*      *dì*      *ká*      *ná*      *mà*  
 put    3SG    put    in      PREP    mouth  
 ‘When they were lifting the stones the wife took the horn which  
 contained pepper and put it in her mouth’

- (15) *ká*    *fàk*    *wàl*    *zá*      *séy*    *mìd*    *fàk*  
 INF    give    neck    EE      then    wind    give  
 ‘When he started to scream, the wind blew.’

## 5. Specific time relationships

When the protasis clause is coded through dependent aspectual markers and the apodosis clause has no special markers, it indicates that there is only a very general time relationship between the two clauses. A specific time relationship between two events involves more precise time concepts such as “before,” “after,” and simultaneity, “while.” In all such sentences, the protasis clause precedes the apodosis clause.

The succession of events in time may be coded by past tense in the protasis clause and the end-of-event marker, followed by the verb *díyà* in the apodosis clause:

- (16) *wàŋ á wàn zá gùgwá dú ká zàm*  
 sleep 3SG sleep EE first start INF eat  
*wàdá \*za*  
 food EE  
 ‘He slept first and then he ate.’

The notion ‘after’ is coded by the associative preposition *áb* preceding the noun *dùwán* ‘back’. If the apodosis clause has such a marker, the protasis clause does not have to be marked as such in any way:

- (17) *wàžiyii gán ngùl-yii rà rà í*  
 children even man-PL dig dig 3PL  
*r jíb ciméd-ká*  
 dig hole around (of them)  
 ‘Children and also men dug a hole.’

*áb dùwán mbí á n*  
 ASSC back ANAPH 3SG PREP  
*ńvàn tá tápá bát*  
 stone GEN tobacco take  
 ‘Afterwards, they took the tobacco stone.’

The protasis clause may also be marked by the phrase *áb dùwán*:

- (18) *séy áb dùwán mbí í n ká*  
 then ASSC after ANAPH 3PL PREP INF  
*n ká ndá-hà kò hók ńvàn-yii*  
 PREP INF go-GO INF lift stone-PL  
*íf á íf-é*  
 blow 3SG blow-GO  
*tá n fòrám wá dáp*  
 GEN PREP horn DEM only  
 ‘After they came to lift the stones, she blew that which was in the horn.’

## 6. Conditional clauses

There are two means of coding the conditional protasis clause. One is with tenses and aspects from the dependent sets, and the other is with clause-initial particles.

## 6.1 The use of the dependent aspect

The dependent aspect is the unmarked aspect, i.e. verb alone, or verb preceded by the focus marker *kə*. For both of these situations, viz. verb alone or verb with the focus marker, the condition is already likely to exist, and the protasis clause means something like: If from the existing set of possibilities, the possibility X is chosen, then Y.

Consider the following examples, which describe situations that are actually occurring:

- (19) *mìnjé mbà mà mármár ká nàz-á*  
 now child REL shepherd INF abandon-GO  
*nkw-yīl zà ná láy hà η kà*  
 goat-PL EE PREP field 2SG PREP INF  
*dál-á-η laway máná màkéké kám*  
 do-GO-3SG whip like the old days TOP (F.)  
 ‘Now, if a shepherd boy abandons the goats in the field, and you whip him, like in the old days.’

- (20) *hìdì ká ndà zà dàmù mà pàr ká*  
 man INF go EE bush REL first PREP  
*báy í n kà dál-á-η mà*  
 chief 3PL PREP INF do-GO-3SG mouth  
 ‘If anybody goes to the field before the chief, they will cause him a lot of problems.’

- (21) *yó hìdì á sèn kàgám á*  
 O.K. man 3SG know speak 3SG  
*gàr ká gày-á-h náf skù*  
 want INF spoil-GO-2SG heart NEG  
 ‘Well, someone who knows how to converse, he won’t make you mad.’

Here are examples of the coding of conditional sentence through the dependent future in the apodosis clause. The independent future may not be used in the apodosis clause:

- (22) *hà ká fâk-á í n kà*  
 2SG INF leave-GO 3PL PREP INF  
*bàs-á-h*  
 laugh-GO-2SG  
 ‘If you leave that they will mock you.’

- (23) *hà mbál hážəm ngàn á n kà*  
 2SG like daughter 3SG.Q 3SG PREP INF  
*zá sá ndà-r ká vəl skù*  
 COMP 1SG go-D.HAB INF give NEG  
*ngàm ká r-á-k zà*  
 because INF insult-GO-1SG EE  
 ‘If you love his daughter he will say, “I do not give [her], because he insulted me.”’

The dependent future is used to code conditions that do not yet exist. They have the meaning: If there ever will occur X, then Y:

- (24) *ndiká mənǰé wəhij sà n kà dzáŋ*  
 better (F.) now DEM 1SG PREP INF find  
*gómbòk zá sá n ká ndrǎž*  
 frog EE 1SG PREP INF smash  
*mbàd wìrnjik*  
 become ash  
 ‘‘From now on, when I find a frog, I will smash it to ashes.’’

- (25) *hìdì wəhij à zá ván á n*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP  
*ká dā á gər kà nd-á-k*  
 INF fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG  
*kàsám skù*  
 body NEG  
 ‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

## 6.2 Conditional protasis coding through the particle *ángə̀*

The conditional protasis can be marked by the particle *ángə̀* followed by various tenses and aspects, all, however, drawn from the dependent sets. The future describes a hypothetical situation:

- (26) *ángà* *hì* *ká* *dzàgón* *má* *á*  
 if 2PL INF learn mouth PRED  
*nà* *màṅ* *skù* *màllá* *wír* *tàṅ*  
 PREP LOC NEG or else (F.) gravy DED  
*à* *n* *ká* *lá* *hìdì* *táṅ*  
 3SG PREP INF give diarrhea man DED  
*mà* *zàm* *tá* *nákà* *wàcín* *dá* *skú* *má*  
 REL eat DED REM DEM exist NEG or(F.)  
*wás* *ḡà* *hìdì* *kà* *ṅkù* *tá* *lìvèṅ* *nék*  
 knife cut man POS goat GEN black good  
*skù*  
 NEG

'If you do not oppose it, then the gravy will give diarrhea to the man who eats it, or else the knife will cut the person [skinning the goat]. The black goat is no good.'

The unmarked aspect, i.e. the form that is used in dependent clauses, can be used in both the protasis and the apodosis clause. The unmarked aspect describes the situation that exists or is likely to exist and the results that one could expect were this condition to be met:

- (27) *kwáykwáy-yì* *wà* *zá* *ṅgà* *há* *mbàl-ù*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP if 2SG want-3SG  
*há* *yàn* *á* *kàcín*  
 2SG move PRED here

'Those hyenas told her, "If you want, you can move in here."'

- (28) *ángà* *hì* *kíndín* *ábà* *gúzàk* *dá* *skù*  
 if 2PL fear ASSC maternal uncle exist NEG  
*kíndín* *zà* *ábà* *ví*  
 fear EE ASSC who

'If you do not fear your maternal uncle, who are you going to fear?'

- (29) *ángè kéké hà gál á idá*  
 if past 2SG grow PRED house  
*gúzàk-áh tàṅ grá á idá céh*  
 maternal uncle-2SG DED like PRED house father.2SG  
*ská vù*  
 NEG Q  
 ‘In the past, if you grew up in the house of your maternal uncle,  
 that was like in the house of your father.’
- (30) *ángè gúzàk-áh mà lùw-á-h kà dál*  
 if uncle-2SG REL say-GO-2SG INF do  
*gèzàd má há dál zè*  
 work COMP(F.) 2SG do EE  
*túk židép*  
 2SG:GEN now  
 ‘If it is your maternal uncle who told you to do the work, you can  
 do this work now’

The conditional particle *ángè* is a necessary marker of all clauses that do not have a verb, i.e. clauses that cannot use dependent tense and aspects to code the conditional protasis:

- (31) *ángè kò mávù á idá*  
 if even beer PRED house  
 ‘Even if there is beer in the house . . .’
- (32) *ángè ṅkw-yūgwád á idá hidi tán*  
 if goat-PL plenty PRED house man DED  
*ṅkù tá livèṅ á nè máṅ kám*  
 goat GEN black PRED PREP LOC.ANAPH TOP  
*kó í n ká mìsíl ṅkù tán*  
 even 3PL PREP INF steal goat DED  
 ‘If there are many goats at a compound, and there is one black  
 goat among them, this is the one that will be stolen.’
- (33) *ángè máná hóng sà n kà dzán-à*  
 if like 2SG 1SG PREP INF find-GO  
*láy á tiki*  
 field PRED where  
 ‘If I were like you, where would I find a field?’

6.3 Conditional protasis coding through the particle *má*

The conditional protasis may also be marked by the particle *má*, borrowed from Fula, occurring at the end of the protasis clause. The protasis clause occurs in sentence initial position:

- (34) *àmmá gúzàkú má séy hà žiné tàŋ*  
 but maternal uncle COMP then 2SG return just (Fula)  
 ‘If it is your maternal uncle [that calls you], then you have to return.’

## 7. Conditional apodosis

The conditional apodosis clause may be unmarked, except for dependent aspect, as in the examples above, or it may be preceded by the comment-clause marker *syì*:

- (35) *ángè hidì à gèr ká skèm řtèk syì*  
 if man 3SG want INF buy sheep COM  
*tá kwèdék kè mbèđ tá livèŋ zà*  
 GEN white INF surpass GEN black EE  
 ‘If someone wants to buy a sheep, the white ones are better than the black ones.’

- (36) *ángè há sàŋ báts tá syì há*  
 if 2SG know blow DED COM 2SG  
*ngàğ-á-k á rá ngàn*  
 accompany-GO-1SG PRED PREP 3SG  
 ‘If you know how to blow, we will go to him.’

It is important, however, to note that the marker *syì* is not narrowly a marker of the conditional apodosis clause, but rather a marker of a speaker’s comment. This marker may occur in both the conditional protasis and the conditional apodosis clause:

- (37) *sá skàn wà syì há ká lùw-á-ŋ zá*  
 here thing DEM COM 2SG INF say-GO-3SG EE  
*kàdám vl-á nòk wùdà gi syì*  
 calabash give-GO 1PL food POL COM  
*à ndí dá tà dàp*  
 3SG HAB make DED only  
 ‘Here you have this thing. If you say to it, “Calabash make us food, please,” then it just cooks.’

The habitual aspect in the apodosis clause is coded by the dependent habitual because the event has to be interpreted in conjunction with the event of the protasis clause:

- (38) *hàz ká ãim zá í ndà r*  
 dog INF hear EE 3PL go D.HAB  
*ká sà mávù*  
 INF drink beer  
 ‘If the dog hears, they will go to drink beer.’

The hypothetical mood in the apodosis clause is coded by the dependent future:

- (39) *wà ángà wàl táŋ ká lù wír tàŋ*  
 but if womanDED INF say sauce DED  
*ɓáràŋ kwil táŋ à n ká*  
 little kuli DED 3SG PREP INF  
*màl tàŋ*  
 seize DED  
 ‘But if the woman says that her gravy is too little, kuli will get her’<sup>3</sup>

---

3. During the sacrificial meal, when the offerings are made to *kuli*, everybody gets his portion to eat. One should not complain during this meal about not getting enough to eat. Such a complaint is said to provoke the wrath of the *kuli*.



- (40) *wà ángà ká m̀l-á-ŋ ź syì à*  
 but if INF seize-GO-3SGEE COM 3SG  
*n ká nd-à ká d̀ tá*  
 PREP INF go-GO INF cook DED  
*bá skà*  
 again NEG:Q  
 ‘But if he<sub>j</sub> caught it for him<sub>j</sub>, he<sub>j</sub> will come again to cook it, won’t he?’

If the condition already exists or is likely to exist, the apodosis clause has the unmarked aspect:

- (41) *wàl ká v̀l-á-h ẁdá d̀ skù*  
 woman INF give-GO-2SG food exist NEG  
*hà mbál vù*  
 2SG like Q  
 ‘If the woman does not give you food, will you love her?’

- (42) *à ǵá bàkàláf ká d̀f-é hìnà*  
 3SG say buffalo INF call-GO 2PL  
*mù zá gómbòk kám hí*  
 mouth COMP frog TOP 2PL  
*téte òhók*  
 answer ohok (frogtalk)  
 ‘He said, “If the buffalo calls you frog, you answer yes.”’

## 8. Conclusions

The conditional protasis clause may be coded by a dependent aspect or tense, by the conditional protasis marker *ángà*, or by both. In verbless clauses, where the dependent aspectual markers cannot be used, only the particle *ángà* is available as a coding means. Through the system of aspectual and tense markers, Mina indicates whether the condition already exists and may be selected in a given situation, or whether the condition does not exist yet. The conditional apodosis clause may also have different tense and aspectual systems, again coding the same temporal properties of the conditions.



## Chapter 23

### Purpose, reason, and conclusion clauses

#### 1. Introduction

The present chapter we describe three types of functions coded by different means. The purpose and reason clauses serve as adjuncts of matrix clauses. The conclusion clause does not function as adjunct, but rather as a co-dependent clause.

#### 2. Purpose clause

For sentences with a purpose clause, the order of clauses is matrix adjunct. The adjunct clause may be preceded by the infinitive marker *kə* or by the locative preposition *n*:

(1) *hà y-á-k kə dál mí*  
2SG call-GO-1SG INF do what  
'Why do you call me?'

(2a) *à nzà ká ká gər yəm ká sà*  
3SG stay POS INF search water INF drink  
'She stayed to look for water to drink.'

(2b) *à zá sə y-á-h wàcín*  
3SG COMP 1SG call-GO-2SG DEM  
*ká sà máv wàcín*  
INF drink beer DEM  
'He said, "If I called you, it is to drink this beer."'

The purpose clause may also be coded by the locative preposition *n*:

- (3) *kwáykwáy à ndà dáp nè gr-á nòkò*  
 hyena 3SG go only PREP find-GO 1PL  
 ‘Let the hyena go to find it for us’

### 3. Reason clauses

The term “reason clause” is used for embedded clauses that describe the actions, events, and states that are the motivations for the main clause. In our data, the marker (*n*)*gàm* borrowed from Fula is used to mark reason. This marker may be used alone or be followed by *m kə*. This sequence precedes the embedded clause. The complement clause may occur before or after the matrix clause. Here is an example of the reason clause preceding the matrix clause:

- (4) *ngàm mìnjé s kà nzá r*  
 because now 1SG INF remain D.HAB  
*bákà wàcín ván bə má bə*  
 today DEM father:1SG ASSC mouth ASSC  
*hìdì táŋ*  
 man DED  
 ‘Because I am here now, my father had a problem with that man.’

Here is an example of the reason clause following the matrix clause:

- (5) *séy má ndá ngàm à dəm-á-ŋ rà*  
 so DEB go because 3SG ache-GO-3SG PROG  
 ‘He should go because it hurts him.’

Another marker of the reason clause is the clause-final demonstrative *wàcín*:

- (6) *hà ká nd-á-k ngámbù tá ngùl*  
 2SG INF kill-GO-1SG friend GEN husband  
*nə ká wàcín ndə tàkòŋ*  
 1SG POS DEM go 2SG:POSS  
 ‘As you have killed my husband’s friend, go away.’

#### 4. Conclusion clause

We have recorded only one instantiation of a conclusion clause, but this instantiation represents a type consistent with other co-dependent clauses, such as temporal and conditional protases and apodoses. The conclusion clause is coded by the clause-final determiner. The example we have deploys the deduced reference marker *taŋ*. The deduced reference marker is not an object of the verb, because the verb already has an object, the second person singular *h*. The deduced reference marker cannot have another object as its antecedent, as evidenced by the nature of the verb *bád* 'seduce'. However, the clause marked by *taŋ* must be interpreted in connection with the preceding clause. It constitutes a conclusion from the preceding proposition:

- (7) *séy à zá á nè wàl*  
 so 3SG say PRED PREP woman  
*wàcín skàŋ wàcín kúl ká nzàr-áh*  
 DEM thing DEM be able INF take care of-2SG  
*vù*  
 Q  
 'So he said to the woman, is this thing able to take care of you?'

*sà ká ñdâ ká ná*  
 1SG INF hit POS 1PL(excl)  
*n kà bád-á-h tàŋ*  
 PREP INF seduce-2SG DED  
 I have killed it and I am going to seduce you.

#### 5. Conclusions

Purpose clause is marked by the infinitive marker *kə*. Reason clauses may be marked by the borrowed particle *ngàm*. The matrix clause in both types of sentences may end with the demonstrative *wàcín*. Both purpose and reason clauses are adjuncts, in that they both can be omitted from the sentence.



## Chapter 24

### Comparative constructions

#### 1. Introduction

The number of comparative constructions in our data is not very large, and we had to supplement naturally occurring data with elicited examples. We describe first equal comparisons and then unequal comparisons.

#### 2. Equal comparisons

Comparison of equal predicates can be realized by various means. One is by the verb *grá*, most probably derived from *grà* “search, like” with the goal-oriented marker. Given its use in comparative constructions, it is glossed as “be like”:

- (1) *bítsì grá kásàmà*  
Bitsi be like Kasuma  
'Bitsi is like Kasuma.'

- (2) *séy wàl ngàn zá ngùl-yiì wàcínj*  
*so woman 3SG COMP husband-PL DEM*  
*grá kwáyàṅ*  
be like squirrel  
'So his wife said, “My husband, this one is like a squirrel.”'

- (3) *kwáyàṅ*      *tì*      *syì*      *ngèf*      *nákáhà*  
 squirrel      see      COM      feather      REM  
*wàcín*      *díyà*      *njíf*      *á*  
 DEM      put      smell      3SG  
*njíf*      *grá*      *ḡì*      *tá*      *gàmták*  
 smell      like      meat      GEN      chicken  
 ‘Squirrel saw that the [burned] feather smelled like the meat of a chicken.’

The form (*á*)*ngà*, identical with the one used in conditional protasis clauses, can also be used in equal comparisons:

- (4) *bìcì*      *ngà*      *kàsàmà*  
 Bitsi      like      Kasuma  
 ‘Bitsi is the same size as Kasuma.’
- (5) *ká*      *và*                      *zá*      *dàdiyè*      *bògó*      *ngà*      *sán*  
 INF      spend time      EE      much      Bogo      like      1SG  
 ‘He spent much time in Bogo, just like me.’
- (6) *mímèṅ*              *à*      *zá*      *mbiṅ*              *tséy*      *mímèṅ*  
 leopard              3SG      COMP      ANAPH              then      leopard  
*díyà*      *dzà*      *ángà*      *tá*      *rà*  
 start      sing      like      GEN      PREP  
*wàl*                      *nákà*      *wàcín*  
 woman              REM      DEM  
 ‘The leopard said, “That’s it.” Then the leopard started to sing just like that woman.’

The comparative construction where the standard of comparison is a deictic expression is marked by the forms *mà ná* ‘like’ and *kì mbín* ‘like that’:

- (7) *séy*      *dú*      *tàtè*      *ká*      *màná*      *nákáhà*              *màḡéḡé*  
 so      sit      3PL      POS      like      REM              past  
 ‘So they remained, as in the past.’



- (8) *há tì tì mánà sà wà ká òm*  
 2SG see see like 1SG DEM INF see  
*mbà vù*  
 child Q  
 ‘Do you think that a person like me can have a child?’
- (9) *kóo ví zá sà déy á*  
 QUANT who COMP 1SG also PRED  
*kì mbéṅ*  
 like ANAPH  
 ‘Each one of them said, “Same with me.”’
- (10) *túm à ndí dál kà mbéṅ*  
 always (F.) 3SG HAB do PREP ANAPH  
 ‘She always did like that.’

### 3. Unequal equational clause predicates

Unequal comparison of equational predicates involves the use of two morphemes: *z* with *báytaṅ* ‘big’ to mean ‘more’ and *zín* with *fés* ‘small’ to mean ‘less’, both in a relative-clause-like construction:

- (11) *zrúmbà mbà má nàṅ m̀ z báytaṅ*  
 Zurmba son mother 1SG REL EE big  
 ‘Zurmba is my oldest brother from the same mother’  
 (*z* cannot be omitted if *m̀* is used)
- (12) *zrúmbà mbà má nàṅ m̀ zín fés*  
 Zurmba son mother 1SG REL ? small  
 ‘Zurmba is my younger brother.’

The importance of these constructions, lies in the fact that the first provides evidence that *z* may actually be a copula ‘be’. The second construction is important because it poses a question about the identity of the form *zín*, which remains obscure.

#### 4. Unequal comparison with verbal predicates

For clauses with verbal predicates, the comparative construction involves the use of the verb *dáy* 'surpass' following the predicate of the standard of comparison. There are two comparative constructions involving the verbal predicate. In one, the target of comparison is marked by the locative preposition *kə*:

- (13) *sə n ká ší dáy kə hój*  
 1SG PREP INF run surpass PREP 2SG  
 'I will run faster/more than you'
- (14) *à ká kái à ši dáy*  
 3SG say INTERJ 3SG run surpass  
*kə sáŋ*  
 PREP 1SG  
 'He said, hey, he runs faster than me'
- (15) *bánàý tá ngùl dáy ká*  
 suffering GEN husband surpass PREP  
*tá wàlà*  
 GEN woman  
 'The suffering of man is greater than that of woman.'

The verb *dáy* 'surpass' may be used as the final verb of the predication, its standard being put before the comparison clause:

- (16) *tì livèŋ kám à hánə kə dál*  
 GEN black TOP 3SG suit (F.) INF do  
*məsádəf-yìi mə nə náka wàciŋ*  
 devil-PL REL of REM DEM  
*àmmá tá kwèděk syì dám dáy*  
 but GEN white COM good surpass  
 'The black one is convenient as an offering for the devil, as in the past, but the white one is better.'

For clauses with adjectival predicates the comparison is marked by the preposition *ká* 'like'. The structure of the clause is: Noun phrase Predicate *ká* Noun phrase:

- (17) *bitsi fés ká kásàmà*  
 Bitsi small like Kasuma  
 ‘Bitsi is smaller than Kasuma.’
- (18) *bitsi bíp ká kásàmà*  
 Bitsi fat like Kasuma  
 ‘Bitsi is fatter than Kasuma.’

Instead of the verb *dáy* one can also use the verb *mbəd* ‘surpass’ to code unequal predicates:

- (19) *màǵéǵé kám mà dǎgǎnǎk ká mbəd*  
 past TOP REL black INF surpass  
*zá ǵéǵé wǎcín rúkùt mà dǎgǎnǎk ká*  
 EE past DEM clothes REL black INF  
*mbəd tá kwèǵéǵá*  
 surpass GEN white EE  
 ‘In the past black clothes surpassed white clothes’

## 5. Conclusions

Equal predicates may be coded by the use of the verb *grá* ‘search, like’ or the particle *ángè* ‘like’. The unequal comparisons use the verbs *dáy* or *mbəd*, both meaning ‘surpass’. The standard of comparison may be marked by the locative preposition *kə*.



# Chapter 25

## Relative clause

### 1. Introduction

Since only certain types of relative clauses occur in natural discourse, we elicited examples for the types of relativization that are not attested in our corpus. The elicited examples should be used with extreme caution, because we do not know how natural they are.

The general structure of a relative clause is Head relative clause, although we have noted one exception to this order.

If the head of the relative clause is the subject and subject only, it is followed by the relative marker *mà*. The third-person pronominal subject is unmarked, and *mà* alone is used. The verb in the relative clause has high rather than low tone:

- (1) *hìdì mà tí*  
man REL look  
'the person who looked'

Cf.:

- (2) *ká tí zá*  
INF look EE  
'He looked.'

The relative marker *mà* is not used if the relative clause is marked for focus. This may be a result of a constraint whereby *mà* cannot be followed by *kə*. The reason for this constraint could be that both of these forms belong to the same functional domain:

- (3) *án ndà ngèn ká tàl á tàl tàl*  
 3SG go 3SG INF walk 3SG walk walk  
*tàl tàl ndà dzán kwáykwá-yiì í*  
 walk walk go find hyena-PL 3PL  
*kà ngá ìì zá syì*  
 INF break meat EE COM  
 ‘She walked and walked and walked. She went and found some hyenas who had caught some meat.’

## 2. Clause-final demonstratives

If the head of the relative clause is non-referential, the relative clause does not have clause-final demonstratives:

- (4) *hìdì mà óám màkwádàk gèr ká nzà ká*  
 man REL eat vulture search INF be like  
*ngámbà-n skù*  
 friend-1SG NEG  
 ‘The man who ate/eats vulture cannot be a friend of mine.’

Clause-final demonstratives code the existential status of the relativized head (cf. Frajzyngier 1996 for a comparative study of relative clauses in Chadic). More specifically, they code the head of the relative clause as referential. Referentiality means a specific entity, previously described, or present in the environment of speech.

- (5) *hìdì mà gíř góngà wàcìŋ*  
 man REL tell truth(F.) DEM  
 ‘the man who tells the truth’
- (6) *séy hìdì mà ìà kàsáf wàcìŋ*  
 so man REL cut grass DEM  
*à zá wàcìŋ tá nàŋ*  
 3SG COMP DEM GEN 1SG  
 ‘The man who cuts grass said, “This is for me.”’

*séy á nà v̀ ẁacín àb̀ báy m̀*  
 so PRED PREP year DEM ASSC chief REL  
*m̀ts m̀ ǰéǰé ẁacín*  
 die REL long time ago DEM  
 ‘except for the year with the chief who died a long time ago’

The clause-final demonstratives are not used with pronouns as heads of the relative clause, because pronouns are inherently referential. Subject pronouns are followed by the relative marker *m̀*:

(7) *s̀ m̀ d̀al tífili*  
 1SG REL do calumny  
 ‘I am the one who spread the calumny.’

(8) *h̀ m̀ d̀al tífili*  
 2SG REL do calumny  
 ‘You are the one who spread the calumny.’

(9) *mbí m̀ d̀al tífili*  
 3SG REL do calumny  
 ‘It is he who spread the calumny.’

The third-person subject pronoun *à* is unacceptable in this clause:

(10) *\*à m̀ d̀al tífili*  
 3SG REL do calumny  
 ‘It is he who spread the calumny.’

Headless relative clauses do occur. Since their subjects cannot be referential, such clauses do not end in a demonstrative:

(11) *m̀ rn-á-k kó ńtá d̀a*  
 REL make love-GO-1SG even one exist  
*ǹ m̀án skù*  
 PREP L.ANAPH NEG  
 ‘There is not even one among them who made love to me.’

- (12) *mà ndà ká šì ví s ká ñdá*  
 REL go INF run who then INF hit:GO  
*zà mà ndà ká šì ví ská*  
 EE REL go INF run who thing  
*ñdá zà mà ndà ká šì ví*  
 hit:GO EE REL go INF run who  
*s ká ñdá zà*  
 COM INF hit:GO EE

‘Whoever wants to run away, he hits him.’ (repeated three times)

The relative clause may have the independent habitual aspect marker *ndí*, but it occurs as a means of modifying construction where the modifier is a general characteristic, coded in the verb and its object:

- (13) *wà hí wàží hí mà ndí dál*  
 but 2PL children 2PL REL HAB do  
*màsálád' skù syì láy gwád'*  
 laziness NEG COM field plenty  
*á dám skà vù*  
 PRED bush NEG Q

‘But you children, you are lazy. Aren’t there plenty of fields in the bush?’

The relativized subject of the verbless clause must be followed by the form *za*. This is one of the few pieces of evidence that the form *za* may actually be the verb ‘to be’:

- (14) *mà z ví ndéy mà téwél ġámbáy bát*  
 REL EE who other REL twirl stick take  
*ġámbáy*  
 stick

‘The other, the one who twirls the stick, took the stick . . . ‘

### 3. Relativization of the object

Relativization of the object appears to consist of placing the object at the beginning of the relative clause. The relativized object may be coded twice, once at the beginning of the clause as the head of the relative clause, and the second time after the verb, in the position of object. The



object role of the head of the relative clause is computed from the fact that the relative clause has a subject and that it has a transitive verb:

- (15) *skàn nàm dzáŋ skàn syì há dīyà gáy*  
 thing IDU find thing COM 2SG put spoil  
*kà*  
 POS  
 ‘The thing we found, you are ruining it.’

In the future tense, as in the hypothetical mood, the relativized object is represented in the relative clause by the definite object marker *u*:

- (16) *à ǰá háǰəm wàcín ángè dāmà há*  
 3SG say daughter DEM if good 2SG  
*vəl-á-ŋ n hìdà í kà*  
 give-GO-3SG PREP man 3PL INF  
*zámb-ú wàcín*  
 cheat-3SG DEM  
 ‘He said, “That girl, normally, you will give to the man who was cheated.”’
- (17) *à dǎb-ák ánə dāvər sə nká*  
 3SG ask-1SG PREP hoe 1SG INF  
*bèr-áh-ù*  
 sell-GO-3SG  
 ‘He asked me about the hoe I sold.’

In our data, we have an example where the object of the relative clause is preceded rather than followed by the relative clause:

- (18) *hìdì mindì yám gúzàk-áh ká*  
 man other also maternal uncle-2SG INF  
*vəl-á-h láy wàcín kə dāl-á-h zə*  
 give-GO-2SG field DEM INF do-GO-2SG EE  
*ǰáràŋ há ndá-hà sá vəl-á-h nə máŋ*  
 little 2SG go-GO 1SG give-GO-2SG PREP L.ANAPH  
 ‘The other [said], “If the field that your maternal uncle gave you is too small, I will give you more.”’

#### 4. Relativization of the dative

The dative role of the head of the relative clause is coded by object pronouns added to the verb:

- (19) *hídà s ká vl-á-ŋ dálu wà*  
 man 1SG INF give-GO-3SG money DEM  
*ká zìn-é dǎ skù*  
 INF return-GO exist NEG  
 'The man to whom I gave money never came back.'

- (20) *hídà (má) s ká dǎl-á-ŋ gèzèd wà*  
 man REL 1SG INF do-GO-3SG work DEM  
*ká méd' ká vl-á-k dǎl dǎ skù*  
 INF never INF give-GO-1SG money exist NEG  
 'The man for whom I worked never gave me money'

#### 5. Relativization of the instrumental

The instrumental role of the head of the relative clause is marked by a construction consisting of optional preposition *tá*, associative marker *ábà*, and anaphoric marker *mbí*, which is a resumptive pronoun for the head of the relative clause. The relative clause ends with a demonstrative, if the head of the relative clause is referential. The end -of-event marker *za* follows the demonstrative:

- (21) *s ká lím hìjì hà ká bàl-á*  
 1SG INF see sickle 2SG INF cut-GO  
*ndir (tá) bá mbí wà zá*  
 sorghum GEN ASSC ANAPH DEM EE  
 'I saw the sickle with which you cut the sorghum.'

- (22) *hìjì sá há n ká n ká ǰá*  
 sickle here 2SG PREP INF PREP INF cut  
*ndri (tá) ábà mbíŋ*  
 sorghum GEN ASSC ANAPH  
 'Here is the sickle with which you will cut sorghum.'

## 6. Relativization of possessor

Relativization of the possessor involves the fronting of the possessor, following it with the possessum, and then the relative clause:

- (23) *sey mbuu məməŋ mə mətɔ mətɔ waciŋ*  
 so child mother:3SG REL die die DEM  
*a za*  
 3SG COMP  
 ‘So, the child whose mother is dead said.’ (written sources)

## 7. Relativization of the topic of a verb of saying

The role of the head of the relative clause as the topic of a verb of saying is computed from the meaning of the verb and from the presence of other arguments in the clause, and from the fact that dependent rather than independent aspects are used. Most interestingly, the head of the relative clause may follow the relative clause. The structure is as follows: Subject Infinitive marker Verb Dependent habitual marker Head Noun phrase:

- (24) *à zá í kà lù rə hidi*  
 3SG COMP 3PL INF say D.HAB man  
*gənák syì hà vù*  
 person COMP 2SG Q  
 ‘He said, “That person that they are talking about, is it you?”’

## 8. Relativization of locative and temporal adjuncts

The locative role of the head of the relative clause is marked by a locative anaphoric expression at the end of the clause:

- (25) *í kù gò láy sà ká gár-r*  
 3PL INF clean place 1SG INF stop-D.HAB  
*wá kà*  
 DEM here  
 ‘They cleaned the place where I stopped.’

- (26) *í n ká gw-á láy sà ká*  
 3PL PREP INF clean-GO place 1SG INF  
*gár-r ká ndà ná mà*  
 want-D.HAB INF go PREP L.ANAPH  
 ‘They will clean the place where I want to go.’

## 9. Conclusions

In most cases, the relative clause follows the head, but the reverse order has also been recorded. Relativization of the subject differs from relativization of the object and adjuncts in that the subject must be followed by the relative marker *mà*, and the other relativized heads may not be followed by the marker *mà*. The referentiality of the head of the relative clause or its previous mention in discourse is coded by the deictic marker *wàcín* occurring at the end of the relative clause.

# Chapter 26

## Elements of discourse structure

### 1. Introduction

The present chapter describes two elements of discourse structure: One deals with the category of comment clause, a category that re-occurs in a number of syntactic environments. The second, unrelated, category is labeled here provisionally “change of scene.”

### 2. Comment clause

Mina has grammaticalized a clausal category called here the ‘comment clause’. The comment clause may be a complement of another clause, but it also may be a matrix clause. The comment clause is marked by *syì*, the same marker that codes the emotive modality.

### 3. Comment on topic

The comment clause marker may occur after the topicalized element:

- (1) *sá skàn wà syì há ká lùw-á-η zá*  
here thing DEM COM 2SG INF say-GO-3SG EE  
*kàdām vl-á nòk wùdà gi syì*  
calabash give-GO 1PL food POL COM  
*à ndí dā tà dāp*  
3SG HAB make DED only

‘Here you have this thing. If you say to it, “Calabash make us food, please,” then it just cooks.’

- (2) *skàn nàm dzáŋ skàn syì há dīyà gáy*  
 thing 1DU find thing COM 2SG put spoil  
*kà*  
 POS  
 ‘The thing we found, you are ruining it.’
- (3) *skú syì ká zàm skàn-yì wà bà*  
 NEG COM INF eat thing-PL DEM ASSC  
*mí*  
 what  
 ‘Or else what will we eat those things with?’

#### 4. Comment in parataxis

The comment clause may be used after another clause, where its relationship may be construed as taking place simultaneously with another clause, or as being a consequence, causal or temporal, of the preceding clause.

Here are examples of simultaneity of the events in two clauses:

- (4) *à ndá syì tətə fú tən í mə*  
 3SG go:GO COM 3PL all DED 3PL REL  
*wàn-í sùlúd sùlúd mùkàdkádāŋ*  
 sleep-STAT two two upside down  
 ‘She came -- all of them were sleeping on their backs in pairs.’  
 (about turtles)
- (5) *mə ndə ká šì ví syì ká ndə zá*  
 REL go INF run who COM INF hit EE  
*mə ndə ká šì ví syì ká ndə zá*  
 REL go INF run who COM INF hit EE  
 ‘The one who wants to run away, he hit him.’ (repeated twice)

Here is an example of a causal relationship:

- (6) *ǵámbáy ñd-á-k gí syì à n*  
 stick hit-GO-1SG POL COM 3SG PREP  
*kə dāl-á tən*  
 INF do-GO:2SG DED  
 ‘“Stick, hit me,” and it will do it to you.’

Here is an example of counterexpectation:

- (7) *dīyà séitin go wàcín syì*  
 start Muezzin's call DEM COM  
*kó wàl nd rà skù*  
 but neck go D.HAB NEG  
 'He started to make the call, but the voice did not go out as before.'

Here are examples of temporal consequence:

- (8) *kwáykwáy žín bà dùwán syì í cikè*  
 hyena return ASSC back COM 3PL all  
*í tsù tàtò nà yàm*  
 3PL went 3PL PREP water  
 'The hyena returned after they all went into the water.'

- (9) *ndà dzán kwáykwá-yù í kà ngá òì zá*  
 go find hyena-PL 3PL INF break meat EE  
*syì*  
 COM  
 'And she found some hyenas who had caught some meat.'

*káyà dīyà wàllə tà bà dà tàn*  
 INTERJ (F.) start help(F.) 3PL ASSC cook 3PL  
 'She started to help them cook.'

## 5. Comment with complementation

The marker *syì* is also used with complements of verbs of saying:

- (10) *bàhámán zá hí n ká lùw-á-ŋ*  
 Bahaman COMP 2PL PREP INF say-GO-3SG  
*syì bār̀kàmà kàdám vl-á nà*  
 COM chief calabash give-GO 1PL.EXCL  
*wùdè gí tsáy dáp*  
 food POL finish only  
 'Bahaman said, "You say to it, my chief, "Calabash give us food." That is all."

- (11) *mà zá báytà gómbòk-yi zá syi*  
 REL EE large frog-PL COMP COM  
*hí kám fú tàṅ hí wàn kà*  
 2PL TOP all DED 2PL sleep:IMPER POS  
*mùkàdkádāṅ sùlúd sùlúd*  
 upside down two two  
 ‘The largest of the frogs said, “You all lie down on your backs in pairs.”’

The comment marker may occur without the de dicto complementizer:

- (12) *kwáykwáy žiṅ bà dūwán syi i cíkè*  
 hyena return ASSC back COM 3PL all  
*í tsù tàtè nà yàm*  
 3PL went 3PL PREP water  
*à sé í dzàk-á-kù*  
 3SG then 3PL cheat-GO-1SG  
 ‘The hyena returned after they all had gone into the water and said, “They cheated me.”’

## 6. Comment marker and emotive modality marker

Although, the phonological shape of the emotive modality marker and the comment clause marker is the same, their specific functions, or the scope is different. The comment clause marker occurs at the beginning of the clause, while the emotive modality marker occurs at the end of the clause. Because of this property, the two markers may occur in the same sentence and even in the same clause, one at the beginning of the clause and the other at the end:

- (13) *ndà žàgám syi mál á mál-á-ṅ tà*  
 go talk COM hit 3SG hit-GO-3SG 3PL  
*ndà tàtè mà bá syi*  
 strike 3PL there again COM  
 ‘They talked [to the stick]. It started beating them over there again.’



- (14) *í zàm rá*                      *í zàm rá*  
 3PL eat D.HAB                      3PL eat D.HAB  
*í zàm rá*                      *syì*  
 3PL eat D.HAB                      COM  
 'They eat, they eat, they eat.'

*séy m̀ ngùl ngùl ká ẁ*  
 then REL husband husband INF start  
*kédéŋ ng̀n tá z̀ bá d̀p*  
 stupidity 3SG DED EE again just  
 'Then the man started again with his stupidity.'

## 7. Change of scene

It has been noted across languages that the associative preposition becomes a nominal and a clausal conjunction (Mithun 1988, Frajzyngier 1996). A superficial examination of Mina discourse may lead to a similar conclusion, because the associative does serve as nominal conjunction and from time to time one does indeed find forms that would be translated as clausal conjunctions:

- (15) *̀̀t á ̀̀t-á-ŋ ǹd̀ n z̀v̀n-ỳi*  
 get 3SG get-GO-3SG beat PREP guinea fowl-PL  
*ẁc̀iŋ*  
 DEM

'He grabbed it [his stick] and beat those guinea fowl.'

*ká lim ntá*  
 INF see one  
 'He hit one.'

*z̀v̀n-ỳi í-b̀ fir t̀t̀ŋ*  
 guinea fowl-PL PL-ASSC flight 3PL:POSS  
 'And guinea fowl flew away.'

A careful examination of the discourse data points to a quite different function of the associative preposition, a function that we believe has not yet been noted in the literature. Recall that there are two forms of the associative preposition: *á-b̀*, for singular subjects and *í-b̀*, for plural subjects. The associative preposition forms a construction with the pre-

ceding subject and the following verb, in that the preposition codes the number of the subject, and it ends in the phrase-internal form, viz. the consonant *b*, or the consonant followed by schwa, *bə*.

The function of the construction is to indicate that a participant has moved from the place where it has been at the last mention in discourse. The participant is represented by the subject of the clause

- (16) *séy á tət kám í ndí ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP (F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ḡì-yīi zə ká ndá kə dá*  
 meat-PL EE INF go INF cook:GO  
*təŋ*  
 DED

‘‘Then, as for them [the hyenas], they just catch the meat [and] bring it for cooking’’

- (17) *èe hìd-yīi wá í-bə yáŋ tətə*  
 ah man-PL DEM PL-ASSC move 3PL:POSS  
*á məcíŋ*  
 PRED there

‘‘Those people moved over there.’’ (i.e., the woman with her family)

If the subject is third person pronominal, only the associative preposition with the third-person singular or plural pronoun is used:

- (18) *á n kədǎm ngən bət*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘She took her calabash.’

*ábə nd-á ngən wùtá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG.POSS village  
 ‘She returned home.’

In the texts we gathered, the associative preposition following the subject and preceding the predicate does not occur very often, which is evidence that it is not a clausal conjunction. In each case when the preposition is used in this specific syntactic environment, at least one of the participants changes place. The following example, the eighteenth sentence in a narrative, contains the first use of the associative preposition in this specific environment:

- (19) *bàt á bàt yim wà fák á fák-á*  
 take 3SG take water DEM throw 3SG throw-GO  
*i-bà ndà tètàn*  
 3PL-ASSC go 3PL  
 'He took the water, threw it into the river, and they went away.'

The following fragment contains the first use of the associative preposition after the subject and before the verb in a text that before this fragment had about 25 sentences:

- (20) *bàt á bàt-á-ŋ ndê n zàvàn-yii*  
 get 3SG get-GO-3SG beat PREP guinea fowl-PL  
*wàcín*  
 DEM  
 'He grabbed it [his stick] and beat those guinea fowl.'

*ká lim ntá*  
 INF see one  
 'He hit one.'

*zàvàn-yii i-bà fir tètàn*  
 guinea fowl-PL PL-ASSC flight 3PL.POSS  
 'The guinea fowl flew away.'

The next two clauses again have participants leaving the scene:

- (21) *wàl ngàn zá áu sè dâl-á-h*  
 wife 3SG COMP INTERJ 1SG do-GO-2SG  
*màná wà mí*  
 like DEM what  
 'His wife said, "What did I do to you?"'

*i-bà ndà tètàn wùtá*  
 PL-ASSC go 3PL.POSS village  
 'They went home.'

Since the category of change of scene is not one that is frequently encountered in languages, it is important to provide convincing evidence for the hypothesis. Such evidence would have two components: The first is to show that all constructions of the type Subject Associative Verb

involve the subject leaving the previously mentioned scene. The following examples represent all of those occurring in the Texts section:

- (22) *ndà dáb á dáb-ú ndà lw-á*  
 go bring 3SG bring-3SG go tell-GO  
*ngùl ngàn*  
 husband 3SG  
 ‘She brought it and then she went to tell her husband.’

*yá í-bà ndà tàtè bíŋ*  
 call PL-ASSC go 3PL.POSS room  
 ‘They went into the room.’

- (23) *séy lù-á dà dà á d-á-ŋ zè*  
 then ask cook cook 3SG cook-GO-3SG EE  
*syì*  
 COM  
 ‘Then the woman asked. It [the calabash] cooked for her [the woman].’

*bàhámán ábè ndá ngàn*  
 Bahaman ASSC go 3SG.POSS  
 ‘Bahaman went home.’

- (24) *ndàd ká n skàn ngàn bāt*  
 lay down PREP thing 3SG take  
 ‘She put it down [and] took her thing.’

*ábè ndá ngàn wùtá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG village  
 ‘Then she returned to her village.’ (She had been in the bush with the stick.)

- (25) *ndà Ʒàgám syì màl á màl-á-ŋ tà*  
 go talk COM hit 3SG hit-GO-3SG 3PL  
*ndè tàtè mà bá syì*  
 strike 3PL there again COM  
 ‘They talked [to the stick]. It started beating them over there again.’

*kàyífi*            *i-bà*            *nd-á*    *tàtàn*  
 strange (F.)    PL-ASSC        go-GO 3PL  
 ‘Never seen before [a stick hitting people on its own]. They left [the court].’

- (26) *áa*    *dámà*    *wàl*                            *wà*    *bà*    *á*  
 ah,    good    woman                        DEM    again    3SG  
*lúw-á-η*            *tàn*  
 say-GO-3SG    3PL  
 ‘‘It’s good,’’ the woman told them again.’

*ábà*    *ndà*    *ngèn*    *n*        *kílvíáf-yîi*  
 ASSC    go        3SG    PREP    trash heap-PL  
 ‘She went to the trash heaps.’

- (27) *í*        *á*        *í-é*                            *tá*    *n*        *fòrám*    *wá*    *dàp*  
 blow    3SG    blow-GO                      GEN    PREP    horn    DEM    only  
 ‘She blew that which was in the horn.’

*vènjéh*                    *túl*        *kwáykwá-yîi*    *tín*        *tín*        *tín*  
 pepper                    spread    hyena-PL        heap        heap        heap  
*màts*  
 dead

‘The pepper spread, and the hyenas were lying around dead.’

*séy*    *màtábù*                    *ábà*    *šì*        *ngèn*  
 except last born        ASSC    flee        3SG  
 ‘except for the last born: he fled.’

If the subject is the same, the sequential clause is marked by the verb *ndá* ‘go’: The next example follows the preceding one in the narrative:

- (28) *ndà*    *dzán*    *á*                            *dzán*    *dàkáy t-yîi*        *dámù*  
 go        find    3SG        find    other    PL        bush  
 ‘He went to search for others in the bush.’

- (29) *mbí*    *fàk*        *á*                            *fàk fàk-á-η*                            *kà*        *ábà*  
 3SG    discard 3SG        discard-GO-3SG                        POS        ASSC  
*nd-á*    *ngèn*  
 go-GO 3SG  
 ‘He left it for him, and he returned.’

The change of scene is not constrained by any temporal factor, as evidenced by the following example, where the subject leaves the scene immediately after he has arrived:

- (30) *tséy mbi kúrák ábà nd-á ngàn*  
 so 3SG descend ASSC go-GO 3SG  
 ‘When he came down, he returned.’

## 8. New action and its consequence

The verb *dīyà* ‘put’ can function as an auxiliary verb. The evidence that the verb *dīyà* is the verb “to put” is provided by clauses where it is followed by the object that is put down:

- (31) *nd-á dīyà í dī kámbáy wá*  
 go-GO put 3PL put stick DEM  
*ká n fádà tá dáp*  
 POS PREP court (F.) DED only  
 ‘They came and put the stick in the court of the chief.’

As an auxiliary, the verb *dīyà* is used under two simultaneous conditions: when the activity is new for the subject and when the listener should expect some follow-up on this activity. Since the proposed category is not commonly encountered in linguistic literature, the following discussion includes all the examples found in the “Texts” appended to the grammar. The support for the proposed hypothesis consists of three arguments: (1) All clauses where *dīyà* is used are followed by another clause that is logically linked with the clause with *dīyà*. (2) The clause with *dīyà* is never used as the last clause of a narrative. (3) The clause with *dīyà* is never followed by the end-of-event marker *za*:

- (32) *séy, áb dùwáj mbéy làkwát m̀*  
 then (H.) ASSC back ANAPH river REL  
*nd-à-y zá*  
 go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘And afterwards a river came.’

*hìd-yù wá í dīy-á káj làkwát*  
 man-PL DEM 3PL start-GO cross river  
 ‘When the men started crossing the river,’

*cikíá*            *tá*      *gwidíŋ*            *ndàv*    *ká*  
 sesame            GEN    single            fall      POS  
 'a single sesame seed fell down.'

Here are two fragments illustrating the logical linkage of the clause marked by *díy-á*. An action of the subject in the first clause brings through consequences described in the second clause:

- (33) *mà*    *mbír*    *mbír*    *ván*    *dê*    *rá*    *díy-á*  
 REL    jump    jump    rain    draw    D.HABstart-GO  
*mbír*    *nà*      *máŋ*  
 jump    PREP    ANAPH  
*cìdék*    *cìdék*    *cìdék*    *cìdék*    *cìdék*  
 ideophone  
 'The one who jumps, when the rain was falling, he started to jump in it.'

*ván*    *ká*      *mbàlém*            *dá*      *skù*  
 rain    INF    touch            exist    NEG  
 'The rain did not touch him.'

- (34) *miá*    *žì*      *míndéŋ*            *mà*    *téwél*    *žámbáy*            *bát*  
 and    then    other            REL    twirl    stick            take  
*žámbáy*            *díy-á*            *téwél*  
 stick            start-GO            twirl  
*díy-á*            *téwél*    *díy-á*            *téwél*  
 start-GO            twirl    start-GO            twirl  
*á*      *tàlàŋ*    *ngàn*  
 PRED    head    3SG  
 'The other, the one who twirls the stick, took the stick and started to twirl, started to twirl, started to twirl [it] above his head.'

*hál*    *tá*      *žámbáy*            *ngàn*    *fúu*    *tàn*  
 limit    GEN    stick            3SG    all      DED  
*ván*    *ká*      *mbàlém*            *dá*      *skù*  
 rain    INF    touch            exist    NEG  
 'The area delimited by his stick, the rain did not touch it.'

The clause with the auxiliary *díyà* may have the same subject as the preceding clause:

- (35) *pàts ntá náy náy náy náy á náy*  
 took one throw throw throw throw 3SG throw  
*tàŋ á nè yèm wàhín*  
 DED PRED PREP water DEM  
 ‘He took one [page] after another and threw them upon the wa-  
 ter.’

*díyà pàts ngàz nè mán pàts pàts pàts pats*  
 start put foot PREP ANAPH put put put put put  
*pàts pàts kàŋ tsám tsám déréwól ngàn*  
 put cross pick up pick up paper (F.) 3SG  
*ká kó mà làb-yí dá skù*  
 POS QUANT REL wet-STAT exist NEG  
 ‘He started to walk on them, walked, walked, until he crossed the  
 river; then he picked up his paper. Not even one page was wet.’

The clause following the clause with *díyà* does not have to be a consequence of the preceding clause. But the listener knows, that if *díyà* is used, something else must follow:

- (36) *mà ndá-y zè á idá séy nd-á*  
 REL go-GO-STAT EE PRED home then go-GO  
*dà*  
 cook  
 ‘When she returned home, she cooked.’

*i díyá zàm*  
 3PL put eat  
 ‘They started to eat.’

*ngùl ngàn zá wàl nàn*  
 husband 3SG COMP wife 1SG  
 ‘Her husband said, “My wife,”

The subject of the auxiliary *díyà* does not have to be agentive:



- (37) *séy wàl ngàn tán á nd rə*  
 so wife 3SG DED 3SG go D.HAB  
*wàcín syì wirnjik dīyà bək-áhà*  
 DEM COM ash start pour-GO  
*cidě' cidě' cidě' cidě' á kətəf*  
 pile pile pile pile PRED road  
 'When his wife was going, ashes poured out in small piles on the road.'

*séy m̀ ng̀l ng̀l tìy á tìy-ú wàl*  
 so REL husband husband see 3SG see-3SG wife  
*tsú z̀ dàmù*  
 went EE bush  
 'So the husband saw that the wife went to the bush.'

The importance of the marker *dīyà* is that it is not predictable from any other element of grammar or discourse.

- (38) *skən nàm dzáŋ skən syì há dīyà gáy*  
 thing 1DU find thing COM 2SG put spoil  
*kà*  
 POS  
 'The thing we found, you are ruining it.'

*nám ká tì tán*  
 1DU INF see DED  
 'We'll see about this.'

The auxiliary with *dīyà* may follow a clause with the inceptive marker *bət* 'take'.

- (39) *séy ɣámáy náka ká bət zá*  
 so stick REM INF take EE  
*dàp*  
 immediately  
 'So the stick took off immediately.'

*hWáp hWáp hWáp dīyà gə̀ld wəl wə̀hín*  
 bap bap bap put hit woman DEM  
 ‘Wap, wap, wap, it started to hit the woman’ (*hW*=plosive with lower lip curled far back behind the teeth. The articulation is accompanied by the voiced velar fricative.)

*wəl wá hən hən kə̀ dāl wə̀ngáyá*  
 woman DEM cry cry INF do how 3SG  
*sən skə̀ bà*  
 know NEG again  
 ‘This woman cried, “What should I do?” She did not know!’

The clause following *dīyà* may describe an event that is the opposite of what one would have expected from the preceding clause but that is nevertheless linked to the preceding clause:

(40) *bə̀hámàn nd-á gər*  
 Bahaman go-GO stand  
 ‘Bahaman went and stood.’

*dīyà séitin go wə̀cíŋ syì*  
 start muezzin’s call DEM COM  
 ‘He started to make the call,’

*kó wəl nd rə̀ skù*  
 but neck go D.HAB NEG  
 ‘but the voice did not go out as before.’

The auxiliary *dīyà* may occur following another verb, but it still retains its function of directing the listener’s attention to the next clause:

(41) *ndà dīyà dà á idá*  
 go put cook PRED home  
 ‘Then she returned home to cook.’

*séy tì á tì á kə̀ mbə̀ŋ*  
 so see 3SG see like that ANAPH  
*á dāl rə̀ skù*  
 3SG do D.HAB NEG  
 ‘Then she saw that one does not do it like that.’

The clause following *dīyà* may have a different subject than the clause with *dīyà*. The link between the two clauses is the unity of time:

(42) *pá* *tà* *ngùl* *ngàn*  
 distribute in parts DED husband 3SG  
 'She gave a part [of the food] to her husband,'

*àa wàží ábá tá ngàn í dīyà zàm*  
 children-PL ASSC GEN 3SG 3PL put eat  
 '[and] to her children, and they started to eat.'

*kwáykwá-yìi í má nd-à-y zá*  
 hyena-PL 3PL REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 'The hyenas came.'

*dīyà lù tà dáp á wàží tük-yìi*  
 put say continue PRED children 2S-PL  
*dáy dáy dáy á tán fīs*  
 a lot a lot a lot PRED 1SG little  
 'He kept on saying, "For your children its a lot, for me it is little."'

*séy hìdì wà zá á fākát*  
 then people DEM COMP INTERJ true (F.)  
 'Then those people [the hyenas] said, "Ha, it is true."'

## 9. Conclusions

The use of the comment-clause marker *syì* is motivated by the speaker's relating the proposition to the expectation that one may have given the discourse line. It reflects the speaker's attitude toward the proposition. Like many other complementizers (cf. Frajzyngier 1995, 1996), even when the marker occurs between two clauses within a sentence, it has a modal function.

The change-of-scene construction consisting of the subject followed by the associative marker *áb* or *íb* for plural subjects indicates that the one of the participants in the event has moved to another place. Thus, the existence of the construction indicates that the unity of place in narration is the norm, and the change of place must be overtly coded.

The auxiliary *dīyà* used before a verb alerts the listener that the clause that follows is directly linked to the clause that has *dīyà*.

## Texts

### Text 1. The year of hunger

- (1) *tár láy tá mítǎs*  
month time GEN hunger  
'The year of the hunger.'
- (2) *hìd-yiì wà<sup>4</sup> í tǎtǎ màkád'*  
man-PL DEM 3PL 3PL three  
'There were three men'
- (3) *í ndà ká bèr-é cìkíd' bùhù ntá*  
3PL go INF sell-GO sesame bag (F.) one  
'They were going to sell one bag of sesame seeds.'
- (4) *ngəd' ngəd' í ngəd' cíké' zà ká*  
count count 3PL count all EE POS  
'They counted all [the sesame seeds].' (The form *ká* was first given when the speaker repeated the recorded sentence.)
- (5) *dzàw í dzàw-ú á dùwán*  
attach 3PL attach-3SG PRED back  
*màdìngwàrzé*  
donkey  
'They attached it to the back of the donkey.'

---

4. In a version of the same story recited by another speaker, instead of the demonstrative *wà*, the phrase final form *wècín* was used.

- (6) *i nd-rá i nd-rá v̀̀n ẁ̀ ẁ̀ ká*  
 3PL walk-D.HAB 3PL walk-D.HAB rain start INF  
*d̀̀*  
 draw water  
 ‘While they were walking, rain started to fall.’
- (7) *d̀̀ d̀̀ á d̀̀ ẁ̀né*  
 draw:GO draw:GO 3SG draw a lot (F.)  
 ‘It rained a lot.’
- (8) *séy, áb d̀̀wáŋ mbéŋ l̀̀kwát m̀̀*  
 then (H.) ASSC back ANAPH river REL  
*nd-à-y zá*  
 go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘And afterwards a river came.’
- (9) *h̀̀d-ỳ̀i ẁ̀á i d̀̀y-á ɓ̀̀ŋ l̀̀kwát*  
 man-PL DEM 3PL start-GO cross river  
 ‘When the men started crossing the river,’
- (10) *c̀̀kíd t̀̀ gwíđiŋ nd̀̀v ká [nd̀̀f]*  
 sesame GEN single fall POS  
 ‘a single sesame seed fell down.’
- (11) *m̀̀ ɓ̀̀m ɓ̀̀m zá c̀̀kíd m̀̀*  
 REL listen listen COMP sesame REL  
*nd̀̀v-ỳ̀i zá*  
 fall-STAT EE  
 ‘The one who was good at listening said, “A sesame seed fell down.”’
- (12) *ɓ̀̀ŋ í ɓ̀̀ŋ zá*  
 cross 3PL cross EE  
 ‘They crossed [the river].’

---

5. In another version of this story, the noun phrase *l̀̀kwátù ẁ̀* ‘the river’ follows the verb.

- (13) *mà ngád ngád pàl á pàl bàtákar*  
 REL count count detach 3SG detach bag  
*ngád ngád*  
 count count  
 ‘The one who was good at counting detached the bag and counted [the seeds].’
- (14) *mà tá gwíđín dǎ skù*  
 REL GEN single exist NEG  
 ‘One grain was missing.’
- (15) *pàl mindéŋ dǎmdǎmà*  
 detach another normal  
 ‘He detached the other—[was] normal.’
- (16) *tsáy mà tíy tíy nd-à nǎstá*  
 then REL look look go-GO enter (F.)  
*nà yàm*  
 PREP water  
 ‘Then the one who was good at looking entered the water.’
- (17) *til á nà yàm tá áb dùwáŋ*  
 go PRED PREP water DED ASSC back  
*mbéŋ tìy tìy á tìy-ú*  
 ANAPH look look 3SG look-3SG  
 ‘He entered into water and he searched for it [the sesame seed].’
- (18) *dzánj á dzán-á mà tá gwíđín náka*  
 find 3SG find-GO REL GEN single REM  
*wèhíŋ*  
 DEM  
 ‘He found the one sesame seed of those [that were counted].’
- (19) *nd-á nǎz á nǎz ká nà láy tàn*  
 go-GO throw 3SG throw POS PREP place DED  
 ‘He went and threw it into its place [in the bag].’
- (20) *mà mbád ví*  
 REL surpass who  
 ‘Who is superior?’

Not on tape. After the tale is told, there may be a discussion, usually concluded by the story teller. The preceding tale may end in the following conclusion:

- (21) *mà mbád dá skù*  
REL surpass exist NEG  
'Nobody is superior!'

or

- (22) *í prák prák*  
3PL equal equal  
'They are all equal.'

## Text 2. The four men

- (1) *hìd-yũ wècín í tètè nfád*  
man-PL DEM 3PL 3PL four  
'There were four men.'
- (2) *míndéŋ à ndí lóm bíŋ*  
another 3SG HAB build house  
'One builds a house.'
- (3) *míndéŋ à ndí téwél ǵámbáy*  
another 3SG HAB twirl stick  
'Another twirls a stick.'
- (4) *míndéŋ à pèdák njúl*  
another 3SG split grass (a certain variety)  
'Another splits a stalk of grass.'
- (5) *míndéŋ à ndí mbìr*  
another 3SG HAB jump  
'Another jumps.'
- (6) *íi zék yàw [žék]*  
3PL make competition  
'They had a competition.'



- (7) *hìdì wèhíŋ à zá ván á n ká*  
 man DEM 3SG COMP rain 3SG PREP INF  
*ḍā á gèr kà nd-á-k kàsám*  
 fall 3SG want INF touch-GO-1SG body  
*skù*  
 NEG

‘This man said, “Rain, when it falls, will not touch me.”’

- (8) *kóo ví zá sà déy á kì*  
 QUANT who COMP 1SG also PRED like  
*mbéŋ*  
 ANAPH

‘Each one of them said, “Same with me.”’

- (9) *ván ḍá rà məná á nà*  
 rain draw:GO D.HAB like PRED PREP  
*lúmò*  
 market

‘It was raining from the direction of the market.’

- (10) *mà lám bíŋ rá driš ngád driš*  
 REL build house dig mud mix mud  
 ‘The one who builds a house dug the mud, mixed the mud,’

*lám bíŋ ʒá hámás nd-à həʒ ká*  
 build house cut straw go-GO thatch POS

*wán ká nà máŋ*  
 lie inside PREP LOC.ANAPH

‘built a home, cut some straw, thatched the roof, and lay down inside it.’

- (11) *ván wílkíl ká ndá-hà*  
 rain fail INF go-GO  
 ‘The rain failed to come in.’

- (12) *mà mbír mbír ván dâ rá dīy-á*  
 REL jump jump rain draw D.HAB start-GO  
*mbír nà máŋ*  
 jump PREP ANAPH  
*cìděk cìděk cìděk cìděk cìděk*  
 ideophone  
 ‘The one who jumps, when the rain was falling, he started to jump in it.’
- (13) *ván ká mbàlém dá skù*  
 rain INF touch exist NEG  
 ‘The rain did not touch him.’
- (14) *miá žì míndéŋ mà téwél žámbáy bát*  
 and then other REL twirl stick take  
*žámbáy dīy-á téwél dīy-á téwél*  
 Stick start-GO twirl start-GO twirl  
*dīy-á téwél á tàlàn ngàn*  
 start-GO twirl PRED head 3SG  
 ‘The other, the one who twirls the stick, took the stick and started to twirl, started to twirl, started to twirl [it] above his head.’
- (15) *hál tá žámbáy ngàn fúu tàn*  
 limit GEN stick 3SG all DED  
*ván ká mbàlém dá skù*  
 rain INF touch exist NEG  
 ‘The area delimited by his stick, the rain did not touch it.’
- (16) *mà pàdák njúl bát pàdák á pàdák-á*  
 REL split grass take split 3SG split-GO  
*nástà ngàn nà máŋ*  
 enter (F.) 3SG PREP LOC.ANAPH  
 ‘The one who splits grass split a stalk of grass and entered it.’
- (17) *tsú ngàn ká nà mán ván ká*  
 go 3SG inside PREP LOC.ANAPH rain INF  
*mbàlém dá skù*  
 touch exist NEG  
 ‘He entered it [the grass], and the rain did not touch him.’

- (18) *mà mbád žì ví*  
REL surpass then who  
'Who is superior?'
- (19) *dá skù*  
exist NEG  
'Nobody.'
- (20) *fúu tàn í kàlkàl*  
all DED 3PL equal (F.)  
'All are equal!' ('equal' in Mina is *prák prák*)

### Text 3. The three men

- (1) *hìdì-yì wèhìy í tàtè màkád*  
man-PL DEM 3PL 3PL three  
'There were three men.'
- (2) *í ndà ká bàd-á wàlà*  
3PL go INF woe-GO woman  
'They went to woo a woman.'
- (3) *míndí wàcín màllúm*  
other DEM teacher  
'One is a teacher.'
- (4) *míndí wàcín gáw*  
other DEM hunter  
'Another is a hunter.'
- (5) *míndí wàcín màšíl*  
other DEM thief  
'Another is a thief.'
- (6) *í nd-rá í nd-rá í nd-rá*  
3PL go-D.HAB 3PL go-D.HAB 3PL go-D.HAB  
*ndè dzán làkwát mà nd-à-y zá*  
go find river REL go-GO-STAT EE  
'They were going, going, going, till they came to a river, which was filled up.'

- (7) *nòk kà dāl žì vāngáy kà žāŋ*  
 1PL INF do then how INF cross  
*lākwát wācín*  
 river DEM  
 ‘‘How are we going to cross this river?’’ (*žì* phrase-internal, *žèn* phrase-final)
- (8) *màllúm zá á táŋ wérèh-nà*  
 teacher COMP PRED GEN:1SG trick-1SG  
*dāhà*  
 exist  
 ‘The teacher said, ‘‘As for me, I have my means.’’
- (9) *ḃàt á ḃàt déftá ngàn*  
 take 3SG take Koran (F.) 3SG  
 ‘He took his Koran.’
- (10) *pàts ntá nāz nāz nāz nāz á nāz*  
 took one throw throw throw throw 3SG throw  
*tāŋ á nà yàm wāhīŋ*  
 DED PRED PREP water DEM  
 ‘He took one [page] after another and threw them upon the water.’
- (11) *dīyà pàts ngàz nà mán*  
 start put foot PREP ANAPH  
*pàts pàts pàts pats pats pàts*  
 put put put put put put  
*žāŋ tsám tsám déréwól ngàn ká kó*  
 cross pick uppick uppaper (F.) 3SG POS QUANT  
*mà làḃ-í dā skù*  
 REL wet-STAT exist NEG  
 ‘He started to walk on them, walked, walked, till he crossed the river; then he picked up his paper. Not even one page was wet.’
- (12) *gáw zá á táŋ déy sà n ká*  
 hunter COMP PRED 1SG also 1SG PREP INF  
*žāŋ táŋ*  
 cross DED  
 ‘The hunter said, ‘‘Me too, I will cross it.’’

- (13) *bàt á bát gàdéf ngàn bál bál bál á*  
 take 3SG take arrow 3SG shoot shoot shoot 3SG  
*bál á nà làkwát wà cù`r rá*  
 shoot PRED PREP river DEM straight (F.) D.HAB  
 ‘He took his arrows and shot them straight into the river.’
- (14) *á ndá-r báts án ngáz ánè mèn*  
 3SG walk-PROG put PREP foot PREP L.ANAPH  
*báts àn ngáz ánè mèn ðán ngàn*  
 put PREP foot PREP L.ANAPH cross 3SG  
 ‘As he was walking, he stepped on them, one after another, [and] he crossed the river’
- (15) *màšíl wà déù á nzà ká*  
 steal DEM remain 3SG stay POS  
*á zá í fká-kw á s*  
 3SG COMP 3PL leave-GO-1SG PRED 1SG  
*ží vù (u fronted)*  
 then Q  
 ‘The thief remained there and said, “Is it me that they left alone?”’
- (16) *bán bán á bán wècin skàn wà*  
 think think 3SG think DEM thing DEM  
*á nd-r ká mbád-á-ŋ sémbè*  
 3SG go-PROG INF surpass-GO-1SG strength (F.)  
 ‘He thought and thought about it, “This thing is going to surpass me.”’
- (17) *séy bát á bát màšíl á màšíl yim*  
 then take 3SG take steal 3SG steal water  
*wà náz á náz ngán ká nà jíbà*  
 DEM throw 3SG throw 3SG PREP PREP pocket  
*ðán á ðán zà*  
 cross 3SG cross EE  
 ‘Then he up and stole the water, threw it into his pocket, and crossed [the river].’

- (18) *bàt á bát yim wà fàk á fàk-á*  
 take 3SG take water DEM throw 3SG throw-GO  
*i-bà ndà tàtàn*  
 3PL-ASSC go 3PL  
 'He took the water, threw it into the river, and they went away.'
- (19) *ndá dzán wàl wèhín ví fú á*  
 went find woman DEM all all 3SG  
*gíž-ù á gíž tá ngàn*  
 say-3SG 3SG say GEN 3SG  
*á gíž tá ngàn*  
 3SG say DED 3SG  
 'They went to find the woman. They were talking, and each of them was telling his story.'
- (20) *séy wàl wà zá wà sá n ká*  
 then woman DEM COMP but 1SG PREP INF  
*máy wá ží ví hí fú tàñ hí*  
 choose DEM then who 2PL all DED 2PL  
*kákkál*  
 equal (F.)  
 'Then the woman said, "Who am I going to choose? You are all equal."'

#### Text 4. In the time of famine

Speaker Ahmadu Umaru

Text recorded and translated by Adrian Edwards, typed and first analyzed by Eric Johnston. Tonal transcription and present analysis by Zygmunt Frajzyngier.

Language Assistant: Saibu

- (1) *tàr láy tá mìtiš*  
 month time GEN hunger  
 'In a time of famine:'
- (2) *hìdì wàcín i-bà wàl ngàn*  
 man DEM PL-ASSC wife 3SG  
 'This man with his wife'

- (3) *mbù mbù i mbù wàží gwádf*  
give birth(x2) 3PL give birth children many  
'had many children.'
- (4) *wàl ngàn à ndí ndà kà dá típíd*  
wife 3SG 3SG HAB go INF draw termites  
'His wife had the habit of going to look for termites.'  
(*dá* 'to fetch, to draw'. A clay pot filled with fresh cow dung, cut sorghum stems and dead leaves put next to a termite hill overnight. The next morning it is filled with termites which can be drawn out like water from a well.)
- (5) *ká ndà zá fú ndà dzánj záván-yii*  
INF go EE always go find guinea fowl-PL  
*i m̀ar rà*  
3PL graze D.HAB  
'Each time she went, she found guinea fowl grazing.'
- (6) *záván-yii zá f̀ad-á ná*  
guinea fowl-PL COMP shave-GO 1PL  
*tàlàn ká gí*  
head POS please  
'The guinea fowl said "Shave our heads, please."'
- (7) *séy à ndí f̀ad-á-η t̀ t̀làn*  
so (H.) 3SG HAB shave-GO-3SG 3PL head  
*f̀ad f̀ad f̀ad*  
shave shave shave  
'So, she would shave their heads. Shave, shave, shave.'
- (8) *l̀im-é té gwídf̀ngà ká b̀ah ká*  
see-GO one only break POS hide POS  
'Each time she would kill just one and hide it.'
- (9) *t̀úm à ndí d̀al kà mbéj*  
always (F.) 3SG HAB do PREP ANAPH  
'She always did that.'

- (10) *mà nd-à-y zá á idá séy*  
REL go-GO-STAT EE PRED home then  
*nd-á dà*  
go-GO cook  
'When she returned home, she cooked [it].'
- (11) *í díy-á zàm*  
3PL put-GO eat  
'They started to eat.'
- (12) *ngùl ngàn zá wàl nàn*  
husband 3SG COMP wife 1SG  
'Her husband said, "My wife,"
- (13) *hà ndí dzán-á nám skàn mèná wà*  
2SG HAB find-GO 1DU thing like DEM  
*tíkì*  
where  
'where do you find us things like this?''
- (14) *dà sá hítdìb-é-h kràp*  
wait 1SG sew-GO-2SG shoe  
'"Wait, let me sew you some shoes."'
- (15) *hítdìb hítdìb á hítdìb-é-η kràp wàhíη*  
sew sew 3SG sew-GO-3SG shoe DEM  
'He sewed and sewed and sewed the shoes for her.'
- (16) *bàk bàk á bàk-á-η b̀à wírnjìk ká*  
fill fill 3SG fill-GO-3SG ASSC ash POS  
*nà m̀əη*  
PREP LOC.ANAPH  
'He filled them with ashes.'



- (17) *séy wàl ngàn táŋ á nd rà*  
 so wife 3SG DEM 3SG go D.HAB  
*wàcín syì wírnik díy-à b̀̀k-áhà*  
 DEM COM ash start-GO pour-GO  
*cìdè' cìdè' cìdè' cìdè' á k̀̀təf*  
 pile pile pile pile PRED road  
 'When his wife was going, ashes poured out in small piles on the road.'
- (18) *séy m̀̀ ng̀̀l ng̀̀l t̀̀y á t̀̀y-ù*  
 so REL husband see 3SG see-3SG  
*wàl tsú z̀̀ d̀̀m̀̀*  
 wife went EE bush  
 'So the husband saw that the wife went to the bush.'
- (19) *b̀̀t á b̀̀t ̀̀ám̀̀b̀̀y ng̀̀n díyà ̀̀éb*  
 get 3SG get stick 3SG put follow  
*t̀̀n*  
 DED  
 'He got his stick and went to follow her.'
- (20) *ng̀̀z̀̀ wá tú wàl ǹ̀n ng̀̀z̀̀ wá tú wàl*  
 foot DEM GEN wife 1SG foot DEM GEN wife  
*ǹ̀n ng̀̀z̀̀ wá tú wàl ǹ̀n*  
 1SG foot DEM GEN wife 1SG  
 'That is my wife's foot, that is my wife's foot, that is my wife's foot.'
- (21) *̀̀éb ̀̀éb á ̀̀éb-ù nd̀̀ dzáŋ wàl*  
 follow follow 3SG follow-3SG go find wife  
*ng̀̀n nákáhà*  
 3SG REM  
 'He followed and followed and found his wife,'
- (22) *ká d̀̀ t̀̀p̀̀d tsáy z̀̀*  
 INF gather termites finish EE  
 'who had finished getting termites'

- (23) *à fàd-á-η tálàn tá*  
 3SG shave-GO-3SG head GEN  
*záván-yüi r bàhá*  
 guinea fowl-PL D.HAB again  
 ‘and was shaving the heads of the guinea fowl again.’
- (24) *séy á nà hámbáy ngèn bət*  
 so 3SG PREP stick 3SG grab  
 ‘Then he grabbed his stick.’
- (25) *bət á bət-á-η ñdǎ n záván-yüi*  
 get 3SG get-GO-3SG beat PREP guinea fowl-PL  
*wàcíŋ*  
 DEM  
 ‘He grabbed it [his stick] and beat those guinea fowl.’
- (26) *ká lim ntá*  
 INF see one  
 ‘He hit one.’
- (27) *záván-yüi í-bə fir tətəŋ*  
 guinea fowl-PL PL-ASSC flight 3PL:POSS  
 ‘The guinea fowl flew away.’
- (28) *wəl ngèn zá áu sə däl-á-h*  
 wife 3SG COMP INTERJ 1SG do-GO-2SG  
*məná wà mí*  
 like DEM what  
 ‘His wife said, “What did I do to you?”’
- (29) *íbə ndà tətə wütá*  
 ASSC:PL go 3PL.POSS village  
 ‘They went home.’
- (30) *ndá zəm zəm náká wà zá*  
 go eat eat REM DEM EE  
 ‘They returned and ate that one’ (i.e. the guinea fowl that the man killed).

- (31) *wàl wá à ndí tàl ngàn dáp*  
 wife DEM 3SG HAB walk 3SG only  
*à ndí tàl ngàn dáp á dàmù*  
 3SG HAB walk 3SG still PRED bush  
 ‘The wife still took walks in the bush’ (despite the fact that her husband had killed the guinea fowl).
- (32) *séy ndà dzáŋ á dzáŋ-á kàdám*  
 so go find 3SG find-GO calabash  
*á dàmù*  
 PRED bush  
 ‘While walking, she found a calabash in the bush.’
- (33) *lù á lùw-á-ŋ nà kàdám wàcín*  
 say 3SG say-GO-3SG PREP calabash DEM  
 ‘She addressed this calabash.’
- (34) *kàdám vl-à-k wùd gí*  
 calabash give-GO-1SG food POL  
 ‘‘Calabash, could you give me some food?’’
- (35) *séy kàdám wá dà dà á*  
 so calabash DEM cook cook 3SG  
*d-á-ŋ wùd máná wà mbá*  
 cook-GO-3SG food like DEM so  
*pè té té té té á*  
 much spread(4 times) PRED  
*mà kàbám ngàŋ*  
 mouth face 3SG  
 ‘So the calabash made a lot of food for her [and] spread [it] in front of her.’
- (36) *zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
 ‘She ate and ate and ate’ (until she was satisfied).’
- (37) *á n kàdám ngàn bət*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘She took her calabash.’

- (38) *á n kàdǎm ngàn bāt*  
 3SG PREP calabash 3SG take  
 ‘She took her calabash.’
- (39) *ábà nd-á ngàn wùtá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG.POSS village  
 ‘She returned home.’
- (40) *ndà dáb á dáb-ú ndà lw-á*  
 go bring 3SG bring-3SG go tell-GO  
*ngùl ngàn*  
 husband 3SG  
 ‘She brought it and then she went to tell her husband.’
- (41) *yá í-bà ndà tètà bíŋ*  
 call PL-ASSC go 3PL.POSS room  
 ‘They went into the room.’
- (42) *ngùl-yù s kà dzán-á nám skàn zá*  
 husband-PL 1SG INF find-GO 1DU thing EE  
 ‘‘My husband, I found us something.’’
- (43) *mhm kàdǎm wàcín*  
 mhm calabash DEM  
 ‘‘Here is the calabash.’’ (woman still talking)
- (44) *hà n ká lùw-á-ŋ vàngáy*  
 2SG PREP INF tell-GO-3SG how  
 ‘‘How do you talk to it?’’ (man talking)
- (45) *hà n ká zá lùw-á-n mák*  
 2SG PREP INF COMP tell-O-3SG what  
 ‘‘What do you say to it?’’ (error)
- (46) *kàdǎm vl-à-k wùdá gí tsáy dáp*  
 calabash give-GO-1SG food POL finish only  
 ‘‘Calabash, could you give me some food?, just like that.’’  
 (woman talking)

- (47) *tsáy dáp*  
 finish only  
 ‘‘That is all.’’
- (48) *mà ngùl ngùl zá kàdám*  
 DEM husband husband COMP calabash  
*vl-á-k wùdá gí*  
 give-GO-1SG food POL  
 ‘So, her husband said, ‘‘Calabash, could you give me some food?’’
- (49) *kàdám wá dà dà dà dà á*  
 calabash DEM cook cook cook cook 3SG  
*d-á-ŋ wùdá máná wà kám*  
 cook-GO-3SG food like DEM TOP  
 ‘The calabash made him a lot of food like that.’
- (50) *gwád tsáy zá*  
 fill finish EE  
 ‘filled completely’
- (51) *zàm zàm zàm á zàm zá*  
 eat eat eat 3SG eat EE  
 ‘He ate a lot.’
- (52) *píč wà ndà gár á gár ká dáp*  
 Sun DEM go stand 3SG stand POS only  
 ‘Under this sun he went out and stood.’
- (53) *ká fák wəl zá*  
 INF give neck EE  
 ‘He started to yell’ (from joy).
- (54) *séy míd fák*  
 then wind give  
 ‘Then a wind blew.’

- (55) *báy zá ngwáy t̀ar láy tá mitíř*  
 chief COMP INTERJ month time GEN hunger  
*m̀aná ẁacíŋ*  
 like DEM  
 ‘The chief said, “Oh, during the time of hunger like this,’
- (56) *syì b̀ahámán à dz̀è ẁúl ẁacíŋ syì*  
 COM Bahama 3SG cry neck DEM COM  
 ““Bahaman is yelling over there.””
- (57) *à ġim-é ǹòk mí*  
 3SG listen-GO 1PL what  
 ““What has he heard for us?”” (What kind of news does he have for us?)
- (58) *hí nd̀à déf-é-ŋ mà*  
 2PL go show-GO-3SG mouth  
 ““Go call him.”” [lit. ‘show him mouth’]
- (59) *nd̀à yá í y-ú*  
 go call 3PL call-3SG  
 ‘And they called him.’
- (60) *B̀ahámán t̀il á nd-á*  
 Bahaman go 3SG go-GO  
 ‘Bahaman left’ (immediately after he was called).
- (61) *ah B̀ahámán á túk b̀ákà syì ták*  
 oh Bahaman PRED GEN:2SG today COM all  
*píč ẁahín syì*  
 sun DEM COM  
 ““Oh, Bahaman, for you, with all this heat?””
- (62) *à túk há b̀áŋ r̀è sk̀ù ẁúl*  
 for you 2SG think D.HAB NEG neck  
*b̀éř r̀à ẁá syì*  
 break D.HAB DEM COM  
 ““You are not thinking, you are yelling with joy.””

- (63) *hà lim-é nòk mí*  
 2SG see-GO 1PL.INCL what  
 “‘What have you found for us?’”
- (64) *àa bàrkàmà wàl nà kà dzán-á*  
 ah chief wife 1SG INF find-GO  
*skàn pár zá dáhà*  
 thing strange EE exist  
 “‘Ah, my chief, there is something my wife found.’”
- (65) *skàn tá nzá vàngáy*  
 thing GEN be how  
 “‘What is the form of this thing?’” (‘What is this thing?’)
- (66) *à à dzán-á kàdám*  
 ah 3SG find-GO calabash  
 “‘She found a calabash.’”
- (67) *àa ndà b̀at-à nòk skú syì*  
 ah go get-GO 1PL NEG COM  
*á vàngáy*  
 PRED how  
 “‘Ah, go bring it to us, otherwise what can we do?’”
- (68) *à zá hí k-áη hìdì*  
 3SG COMP 2PL send-3SG man  
 ‘He [the man] said, “Send somebody.’’
- (69) *k-áη í k-áη hìd-yì ndá b̀at í*  
 send 3PL send man-PL go get 3PL  
*b̀at-á-η kàdám wàcìη dà í*  
 get-GO-3SG calabash DEM bring 3PL  
*dà-há-w*  
 bring-GO-3SG  
 ‘They sent people and they went and got the calabash for him and brought it.’

- (70) *wà ká lùw-á-η n kàdǎm tá*  
 but INF say-GO-3SG PREP calabash GEN  
*ží vǎngáy bàhámán*  
 then how Bahaman  
 ‘‘What do you say to the calabash, Bahaman?’’
- (71) *bàhámán zá hí n ká*  
 Bahaman COMP 2PL PREP INF  
*lùw-á-η syì bǎrkàmà*  
 say-GO-3SG COM chief  
 ‘Bahaman said, ‘‘You say to it, my chief.’’
- (72) *kàdǎm vl-à nà wùdà gí*  
 calabash give-GO 1PL.EXCL food POL  
*tsáy dǎp*  
 finish only  
 ‘calabash give us food.’ That is all.’
- (73) *séy báy zá kàdǎm vl-à nà*  
 so chief COMP calabash give-GO 1PL.EXCL  
*wùdà gí*  
 food POL  
 ‘So the chief said, ‘‘Calabash, give us food.’’
- (74) *dà á dà d-á-η tǎ wùdà*  
 cook 3SG cook cook-GO-3SG 3PL food  
*wàcǐη syì*  
 DEM COM  
 ‘Then it made food for them.’
- (75) *hìd tá nfád-yì zǎm zǎm fǎk-á*  
 man GEN palace (F.)-PL eat eat leave -GO  
 ‘The men of the palace all ate and left the remains.’
- (76) *báy nà kàdǎm ngàn bǎt déb á*  
 chief PREP calabash SG take carry 3SG  
*déb ká á idá*  
 carry POS PRED home  
 ‘The chief<sub>i</sub> took his<sub>j</sub> calabash and carried it home.’



- (77) *ígà nákáhà ká ndà ká n kà*  
 long time (F.) REM INF go PURP PREP INF  
*òtá wàcín*  
 take DEM  
 ‘A long time after, he went to take the calabash.’
- (78) *wàl wà lù lù á lùw-á-η á*  
 wife DEM say say 3SG say-GO-3SG PRED  
*n kàdám wá má dà-η gwád*  
 PREP calabash DEM DEB cook-3SG a lot  
 ‘The woman told the calabash to cook a lot for her.’
- (79) *dà dà á dà-η nà wàl*  
 cook cook 3SG cook-3SG PREP woman  
*wà á n wùdá ngàn dzàgád*  
 DEM 3SG PREP food 3SG gather  
*dzàgád báh ká*  
 gather hide POS  
 ‘It [the calabash] cooked for the woman, and the woman gathered the food and hid it.’
- (80) *séy báy déb zá ngàn ká á idá*  
 then chief take EE 3SG POS PRED home  
 ‘Then the chief took the calabash home.’
- (81) *ndà lù-á nà wàl ngàn màdàràf*  
 go say-GO PREP wife 3SG favorite  
 ‘He went and said to his favorite wife,’
- (82) *sá skàn wà syì há ká lùw-á-η zá*  
 here thing DEM COM 2SG INF say-GO-3SG EE  
*kàdám vl-á nòk wùdà gí*  
 calabash give-GO 1PL food POL  
*syì à ndí dá tà dáp*  
 COM 3SG HAB make DED only  
 ‘‘Here you have this thing. If you say to it, ‘Calabash make us food, please,’ then it just cooks.’’’

- (83) *séy lùá dà dà á d-á-ŋ zá*  
 then ask cook cook 3SG cook-GO-3SG EE  
*syì*  
 COM  
 ‘Then the woman asked. It [the calabash] cooked for her [the woman].’
- (84) *bàhámán ábè ndá ngèn*  
 Bahaman ASSC go 3SG.POSS  
 ‘Bahaman went home.’
- (85) *wàl ngèn zá dámà bàhámán hà yám*  
 wife 3SG COMP good Bahaman 2SG also  
*ská vù*  
 NEG Q  
 ‘His wife said, “Good, Bahaman, you also [can do it?].”’
- (86) *ták tər láy tá mìtíř məná wàcīŋ ní*  
 in month time GEN hunger like DEM EXCL (F.)  
 “‘In the time of the famine like this,””
- (87) *skən nàm dzáŋ skən syì há dīyà gáy*  
 thing 1DU find thing COM 2SG put spoil  
*ká*  
 POS  
 “‘the thing we found, you are ruining it.””
- (88) *nám ká tìy tàŋ*  
 1DU INF see DED  
 “‘We’ll see about this.””
- (89) *áb dùwáŋ mbí á tál ngèn*  
 ASSC back ANAPH 3SG walk 3SG.POSS  
*r dám tà dàp*  
 D.HAB bush DED still  
 ‘After that, she continued to walk in the same bush.’

- (90) *à táI-à à táI-à ndà dzán á*  
 3SG walk-PAST 3SG walk-PAST go find 3SG  
*dzán-á ǰámbáy lèkwíd lèkwíd lèkwíd lèkwíd*  
 find-GO stick straight straight straight straight  
 ‘She walked and walked and she went to find (and found) a very straight stick.’
- (91) *à zá ǰámbáy ɲɔ-à-k jí*  
 3SG COMP stick beat-GO-1SG POL  
 ‘She said, “Stick, beat me, please.”’
- (92) *séy ǰámbáy náka ká bāt zá*  
 so stick REM INF take EE  
*dàp*  
 immediately  
 ‘So the stick took off immediately.’
- (93) *hWáp hWáp hWáp dīyà gèld wàl wàhín*  
 bap bap bap put hit woman DEM  
 ‘Wap, wap, wap, [it] started to hit the woman.’ (hW plosive with lower lip curled far back behind the teeth. Articulation is accompanied by the voiced velar fricative.)
- (94) *wàl wá hàn hàn kà dál vàngáy*  
 womanDEM cry cry INF do how  
 ‘This woman cried, “What should I do?”’
- (95) *á sən skə bà*  
 3SG know NEG again  
 ‘She did not know anymore!’
- (96) *séy čáp à mál ǰámbáy*  
 then INTERJ 3SG stop stick  
*wà ká dàp*  
 DEM POS only  
 ‘Then chap! she stopped the stick.’
- (97) *ndəd ká n skən ngən bāt*  
 lay down PREP thing 3SG take  
 ‘She put it down [and] took her thing.’

- (98) *ábà ndá ngàn wùtá*  
 ASSC go-GO 3SG village  
 ‘Then she returned to her village.’
- (99) *nd-á yà ngùl ngàn á bíṅ*  
 go-GO call husband 3SG PRED room  
 ‘and called her husband into the room.’
- (100) *déḅ á déḅ-é-ṅ ǰámbáy náká*  
 bring 3SG bring-GO-3SG stick REM  
*wà bíṅ*  
 DEM room  
 ‘She brought him the stick in the room.’
- (101) *à zá ngùl-yiì ǰámbáy tá*  
 3SG COMP husband-PL stick GEN  
*màcíṅ lùw-á-ṅ màk*  
 DEM say-GO-3SG would you  
 ‘She said, “My husband, this stick, say to it,”’
- (102) *ǰámbáy ṅd-á-k gí syì à n kà*  
 stick hit-GO-1SG POL COM 3SG PREP INF  
*dál-á tàṅ*  
 do-GO:2SG DED  
 ‘“stick, hit me,’ and it will do it to you.”’
- (103) *há n tsàf skù syì wàl-yiì*  
 2SG PREP lie NEG COM woman-PL  
 ‘“You’re not lying, my woman.”’
- (104) *há lùw-á-ṅ ngási ǰámbáy n-dí dāl*  
 2SG say-GO-3SG like that stick go do  
*tá vù*  
 DED Q  
 ‘“You say to it just like that, ‘Stick, do it’?”’
- (105) *tíl á ndá zá bíṅ*  
 depart 3SG go EE room  
*à n mì bíṅ dzáṅ á dzáṅ ká*  
 3SG PREP mouth room close 3SG close POS  
 ‘He went to the room and closed the door.’

- (106) *báhámàn*      *là*      *á*      *lúw-á-η*      *nè*      *ǰámbáy*  
 Bahaman      say      3SG      say-GO-3SG      PREP      stick  
*nákà wà*  
 REM      DEM  
 ‘Bahaman spoke to the stick’
- (107) *ǰámbáy*      *wà*      *mál*      *á*      *mál-á-η*      *ndè*  
 stick      DEM      catch      3SG      catch-GO-3SG      beat  
*ngèn bíη məcíη*  
 3SG      room      DEM  
 ‘The stick started to beat him in the room.’
- (108) *hàη*      *hàη*      *hàη*      *á*      *hàη*      *mèná*      *wəcíη*  
 cry      cry      cry      3SG cry      like that      DEM  
*syì*  
 COM  
 ‘He cried a lot like that.’
- (109) *tús*      *η*      *ǰàtsà*  
 right      like      that  
 ‘rightly like that’ (*tús* ‘right, well’)
- (110) *wàl*      *wà*      *rèz*      *mèbíη*      *ndè*      *tsáp*      *á*      *mèl*  
 wife      DEM      open      door      go      tsap      3SG      catch  
*ká*  
 POS  
 ‘the woman opened the door, went and tsáp caught [it].’
- (111) *séy*      *báhámàn*      *wurtə*      *páláh*      *à*      *zá*  
 then      Bahaman      leave(F.)      out      3SG      COMP  
*ndè séytiinà bá dáp*  
 go      ‘call’      again  
 ‘Then Bahaman went out. She said to him, “Go make that call again.”’ (*séytiinà* ‘name in Fula of Muezzin’s call in the morning’)
- (112) *báhámàn*      *nd-á*      *ǰàr*  
 Bahaman      go-GO      stand  
 ‘Bahaman went and stood’ (at the same place from which he had yelled before).

- (113) *dīyà séyṭīn*                      *go wàcīŋ syì*  
 start muezzin's call                      ? DEM COM  
 'He started to make the call.'
- (114) *kó wəl nd rə skù*  
 even (F.) neck go D.HAB NEG  
 'but the voice did not go out as before.'
- (115) *báy zá ngwáy bàhámàn bákà bá dzàn-á*  
 chief EE people Bahaman today still find-GO  
*nòk mí*  
 1PL what  
 'The chief said, "People, what else did Bahaman find us today?"'  
 (*ngwáy* 'plural addressee')
- (116) *hí ndə lùw-á-ŋ mə ndà-hà*  
 2PL go say-GO-3SG DEB go-GO  
 "Go tell him to come here."
- (117) *ndá yà í y-ù*  
 go call 3PL call-3SG  
 'One went to call him.'
- (118) *tíl á nd-á á r báy tàŋ*  
 go 3SG go-GO PRED PREP chief DED  
 'He went to the chief's.'
- (119) *á vāŋgáy bàhá*  
 PRED how still  
 "How still?" (i.e., What's new?)
- (120) *áá wəl nè kə dzàn-á skən pár*  
 ah wife 1SG INF find-GO thing another  
*zá bàdáp*  
 EE again  
 "Ah, my wife found another thing again."

- (121) *kə dzán-á nòk skən pár zá*  
 INF find-GO 1PL thing another EE  
*bádàp*  
 again  
 ‘‘She found us something else again?’’
- (122) *áa kə dzán-á nòk zá bàrkámà*  
 yes INF find-GO 1PL EE chief (F.)  
 ‘‘Yes, she found us something, my chief.’’
- (123) *à zá hí ndə lùw-á-ŋ má*  
 3SG COMP 2PL go say-GO-3SG DEB  
*dà-há-w*  
 bring-GO-3SG  
 ‘He said, ‘‘Go tell her to bring it here.’’’
- (124) *zàgíy tìl ndə bət í bət-áhà-w*  
 courtiers (F.) go go take 3PL take-GO-3SG  
*gád bə wəl wà táŋ*  
 push with woman DEM DED  
 ‘The courtiers went and brought the calabash with the woman.’
- (125) *nd-á dīyà í dī ħámbáy wà*  
 go-GO put 3PL put stick DEM  
*ká n fádə tə dáp*  
 POS PREP court (F.) DED just  
 ‘They came and put the stick in the court of the chief.’
- (126) *ee, wà n ká lùw-á-ŋ vənǵáy*  
 well, but PREP INF say-GO-3SG how  
 ‘‘But what does one say to it?’’

Another language assistant does not accept the the preposition *nin* in the above clause, and wants the sentence to be:

[*ee, wà ká lùw-á-ŋ vənǵáy*  
 well, but INF say-GO-3SG how  
 ‘‘But what does one say?’’]

- (127) *áa hí lùw-á-η mək hí n kà*  
 aa, 2PL tell-GO-3SG won't you 2PL PREP INF  
*zá ǰámbáy wà tál há ñd̂à hìdì*  
 say stick DEM try 2SG hit man  
*gí*  
 POL

‘‘Tell him, you will tell him, ‘Try to hit someone.’’’

- (128) *lù í lùw-á ηgù ǰámbáy wà*  
 say 3PL say-GO 3SG stick DEM  
*məl á məl-á-η t̂à ñd̂à t̂àt̂à*  
 catch 3SG catch-GO-3SG 3PL hit 3PL  
*mècìŋ*  
 there

‘They said [it] to the stick, and the stick went on to hit them there.’

- (129) *mə ndà ká šì ví syì ká ñd̂à zá*  
 REL go INF run who COM INF hit EE  
*mə ndà ká šì ví syì ká ñd̂à zá*  
 REL go INF run who COM INF hit EE  
 ‘The one who wants to run away, he hit him.’ (repeated twice)

- (130) *ɓət á ɓət káyya hí məl ká*  
 start 3SG start INTERJ 2SG catch POS  
 ‘He started, ‘‘Yikes! Stop (PL) it!’’’

- (131) *séy ndà mál wəl wá məl ká*  
 so go catch womanDEM catch POS  
 ‘So the woman went and stopped it.’

- (132) *báy ɓət zá ngàn déb ká idá*  
 chief get EE 3SG carry POS home  
 ‘The chief took it [the stick] and carried it home.’

- (133) *ndà lù-á t̂àt̂à ŝà slúɗ b̂à*  
 go say-GO 3PL PREP two ASSC  
*màdáràf ngàn*  
 favorite 3SG

‘He went to speak, between the two of them (privately), with his preferred wife.’



- (134) *màná í ká fàt kàdám bàhá*  
 how 3PL INF take calabash again  
 ‘In the same way in which they took the calabash’
- (135) *ndà ǵàgám syì mál á mál-á-ŋ tá*  
 go talk COM hit 3SG hit-GO-3SG 3PL  
*ndá tətə mà bá syì*  
 strike 3PL there again COM  
 ‘They talked [to the stick]. It started beating them over there again.’
- (136) *kàyífi í-bà ndà tətəŋ*  
 strange (F.) PL-ASSC go 3PL  
 ‘Never seen before [a stick hitting people on its own]. They left [the court].’
- (137) *séy wəl wá kám ká nàz tál dá*  
 the womanDEM TOP INF stop walk exist  
*skù dáp*  
 NEG only  
 ‘Then, that woman [the one who found the calabash] did not stop taking her walks.’
- (138) *án ndà ngən ká təl áa təl təl*  
 3SG go 3SG INF walk 3SG walk walk  
*təl təl*  
 walk walk  
 ‘She walked and walked and walked.’
- (139) *ndà dzán kwáykwá-yìi í kà ngá ǵì zá*  
 go find hyena-PL 3PL INF break meat EE  
*syì*  
 COM  
 ‘She went and found some hyenas who had caught some meat.’
- (140) *káyà díyà wàllə tə bə də*  
 INTERJ (F.) start help (F.) 3PL ASSC cook  
*təŋ*  
 DED  
 ‘She started to help them cook.’

- (141) *tséy ká dà-ŋ tà zá syi à*  
 so INF cook-GO-3SG 3PL EE COM 3SG  
*ndá ngèn*  
 go:GO 3SG  
*rá án t̥íp šék ngèn ʒi nákáhá*  
 D.HAB ? ? ? 3SG meat REM  
*ngaara díyà á n kàdám*  
 break and carry in hand put PRED PREP calabash  
 ‘So when she finished cooking for them, she returned home, she tore off a piece of that meat, carried it [home], and put it in a calabash.’

- (142) *ndà díyà dà á idá*  
 go put cook PRED home  
 ‘Then she returned home to cook.’

- (143) *séy t̥iy á t̥iy á kà mbáŋ á*  
 so see 3SG see like that ANAPH 3SG  
*dál r̥è skù*  
 do D.HAB NEG  
 ‘Then she saw that one does not do it like that.’

- (144) *kwáykwáy-yiì wà zá nígè há*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP if 2SG  
*mbàl-ù há yàn á kàciŋ*  
 want-3SG 2SG move PRED here  
 ‘Those hyenas told her, “If you want, you can move in here.”’

- (145) *à zá ááá mbi sè n kí yàn*  
 3SG COMP ah, myself 1SG PREP INF move  
*á tàn àmmá sè bá idá*  
 PRED DED but 1SG ASSC house  
 ‘She said, “I want to move but I have a house.”’

- (146) *ngùl nè dáhà wəži n-yiì*  
 husband 1SG exist children 1SG-PL  
*dáhà*  
 exist  
 ‘“I have a husband, I have children.”’

- (147) *kwáykwá-yiì bá í zá á tùk*  
 hyena-PL ASSC 3PL COMP PRED GEN.2SG  
*kám hí ndà-há hí fú tàn*  
 TOP(F.) 2PL go-GO 2PL all (F.) DED  
 ‘As for the hyenas, they said, “Come, all of you.”’
- (148) *tèbéŋ tá ndìr dáhà*  
 granary GEN sorgho exist  
 ‘‘There is a granary of sorghum’’ (i.e., ‘we have granary of sorghum’).
- (149) *tèbéŋ tá kàkàs dáhà*  
 granary GEN beans exist  
 ‘‘There is a granary of beans.’’
- (150) *tèbéŋ tá wàndàn dáhà*  
 granary GEN peanuts exist  
 ‘‘There is a granary of peanuts.’’
- (151) *tèbéŋ tá cikíá dáhà*  
 granary GEN sesame exist  
 ‘‘There is a granary of sesame.’’
- (152) *fú dà fú á r tìn wà dáhà*  
 all kind (F.) PRED PREP 1PL DEM exist  
 ‘‘We have all kinds of things.’’
- (153) *séy á tèt kám í ndí ngà*  
 then PRED 3PL TOP (F.) 3PL HAB catch  
*ḡì-yiì zà ká ndá kà dá tàn*  
 meat-PL EE INF go INF cook DED  
 ‘Then, as for them [the hyenas], they just catch the meat [and] bring it for cooking’
- (154) *èe hìd-yiì wá í-bà yán tètè*  
 ah man-PL DEM 3PL:ASSC move 3PL:POSS  
*á màcìŋ*  
 PRED there  
 ‘Those people moved over there.’ (i.e., the woman with her family)

- (155) *í nz-ù í nz-ù í nz-ù í*  
 3PL stay-PL 3PL stay-PL 3PL stay-PL 3PL  
*nz-ù*  
 stay-PL  
 ‘They stayed there a long time.’
- (156) *kwáykwá-yiì wá zá bákà ɓì dā skù*  
 hyena-PL DEM COMP today meat exist NEG  
 ‘‘The hyenas said, ‘‘today, there is no meat.’’
- (157) *gèlbé kám há pàts-á nòk mbà ntá hà*  
 better TOP(F.) 2SG take 1PL child one 2SG  
*dá nòkòŋ*  
 cook 1PL  
 ‘‘You better take one of your children and cook it for us.’’
- (158) *skú syì ká zàm skàn-yiì wà bà*  
 NEG COM INF eat thing-PL DEM ASSC  
*mí*  
 what  
 ‘‘Or else what will we eat those things with?’’
- (159) *áa dāmà wàl wà bà á*  
 ah good woman DEM again 3SG  
*lúwá-ŋ tán*  
 say-GO-3SG 3PL  
 ‘‘It’s good,’’ the woman told them again.’
- (160) *ábà ndè ngàn n kilvíd-yiì*  
 ASSC go 3SG PREP trash heap-PL  
 ‘She went to trash heaps.’
- (161) *ndá tsàm tsàm tsàm á tsámà kiringít-yiì*  
 go gather gather gather 3SG gather bone-PL  
 ‘She went and gathered bones.’
- (162) *wàži-yiì gán ngùl-yiì rà rà*  
 children-PL even man-PL dig dig  
*í r jíb ciméd ká*  
 3PL dig hole around POS  
 ‘Children and also men dug a hole.’

- (163) *áb dùwáŋ mbi á n mívàŋ*  
 ASSC back ANAPH 3SG PRED stone  
*tá tápá bát*  
 GEN tobacco take  
 ‘Afterwards, they took the tobacco stone’
- ká ndá kà pék mì jíḅ náka bə*  
 INF come INF cover mouth hole REM ASSC  
*béŋ*  
 ANAPH  
 ‘to go and cover the entrance to the hole with it.’
- (164) *séy híd-yù wà mə ndá-y zà*  
 then man-PL DEM REL go-STAT EE  
 ‘Then those people [the hyenas] came.’
- (165) *áa wùdə fkáy á sà wùdə sá dà*  
 ah food where 3SG voici food voila bring  
 ‘‘Ah, where is the food? Here is the food, here is the food, she brought it.’’
- (166) *èe á ná mbán té gwíḍíŋ nàz ká jíḅ*  
 ah 3SG PREP child GEN one throw in hole  
 ‘Then [she took] one child [and] threw it into the hole.’
- (167) *tám á kə mbíŋ*  
 always (F.) PRED like that  
 ‘[She] did like that each time.’ (I.e., each time when she was asked to cook one of her children, she did the same, she hid it in the hole.)
- (168) *ká dál zá syì á n mbə pá*  
 INF do EE COM 3SG PREP child another  
*náz ká jíḅ*  
 throw PREP hole  
 ‘Each time she did that, she took one child and threw it into the hole.’
- (169) *ndə tsám tsám kíringit ndà dá*  
 go gather gather bone go cook:GO  
 ‘She went to gather bones and cooked [them] there.’

- (170) *ká dál zá sà hàà tí píç*  
 INF do EE 3SG until GEN day  
 ‘She did this until the day . . .’

*wəži túwád zà*  
 children finish EE  
 ‘when there were no more children.’

- (171) *ii zá bákà syí*  
 they COMP today COM  
*há n ká dá tàlàn tükóŋ*  
 2SG PREP INF cook head 2SG  
 ‘They said, “Today you will cook yourself.”’

- (172) *á zá á dāmà sà dá zà*  
 3SG COMP 3SG good 1SG cook EE  
 ‘She said “Good, I will cook.”’

- (173) *tíl á ndè zá ndè tsàm tsàm á*  
 go 3SG go EE go collect collect 3SG  
*tsám-à kíringít-yü nd-á ták cíkíd*  
 collect-GO bone-PL go-GO crush sesame  
*dál dál á dál cíkè kà*  
 do do 3SG do all POS  
 ‘She went and collected bones, returned, and crushed sesame;  
 she did all that.’

- (174) *dà dà á dè zá díy á*  
 cook cook 3SG cook EE put 3SG  
*díyà-n tàtè ká*  
 put-GO-3SG 3PL POS  
 ‘She cooked, cooked, and she put [it] for them [hyenas].’

- (175) *séy miné ngùl ngèn židèp*  
 then remain husband 3SG still  
 ‘Her husband was still left.’

- (176) *hìd-yü wà táŋ kè dà tàlàn ngèn zá*  
 man-PL DEM return INF cook head 3SG EE  
 ‘Those people returned, and she cooked herself.’

- (177) *àskà tsú ngàn bàr wùž ii*  
 ? went 3SG side children  
 ‘but actually she went to be with her children.’
- (178) *bákà sàì á t̀ t-kóŋ ngùl*  
 today ah, GEN GEN-2SG husband  
*hà n ká dá tàlàn tàkóŋ*  
 2SG PREP INF cook head 2SG  
 (wife talking) “‘Today, it is for you husband, you will cook yourself.’”
- (179) *í yò d́ámà*  
 yes, o.k.  
 ‘Well, o.k.’ (husband answers)
- (180) *kwàikwà-ỳi wà í tsù ká tàĺáa ká*  
 hyena-PL DEM 3PL went INF walk INF  
*tàĺáa ñkù-ngàn-ỳi syì bàs kùhú kú*  
 walk goat -SG-PL COM lit fire when  
*ká kàd́áw syì áw wá ngwáy*  
 INF burn COM INTERJ PL.ADRSE  
*wàl ǹ ndí d ksám ngàn vàngáy*  
 wife 1SG go cook body 3SG how  
 ‘When the hyenas went to tend their goats, the man lit the fire, and when the fire was burning well, the man screamed, “How did my wife cook herself?”’
- (181) *l’heur tá skàn-ỳi wà mbé k̀*  
 time (Fr.) GEN thing-PL DEM close INF  
*ndà-hà*  
 come -GO  
 ‘When the time of their return was approaching,’
- (182) *áǹ píč mbé ká nd-á wáciì[n]*  
 PREP day close INF go-GO DEM  
 ‘When the day of their [the hyenas’] return was approaching,’
- (183) *wàl wà báf á bàf-áhà bà náf dàp*  
 wife DEM leave 3SG leave-GO ASSC heart only  
 ‘the wife left abruptly with a lot of courage.’

- (184) *ndá dà dà dà á dè wírú wá*  
 go cook cook cook 3SG cook gravy DEM  
*fú zà*  
 food (F.) EE  
 ‘[She] came to cook the gravy for the food.’

- (185) *bat á bat vènjéh hèz hèz hèz hèz tók*  
 take 3SG take pepper crush crush crush crush fill  
*fòram*  
 horn  
 ‘She took pepper and crushed, crushed, crushed [it], and filled the horn.’

- (186) *hé dāl í-bà ndà tətə á jíb*  
 ? do PL-ASSC go 3PL PRED hole  
 ‘They went into the hole,’

*á bār wəži ngən-yii nákáhà*  
 PRED side children 3SG-PL REM  
 ‘next to her children’

- (187) *pá tá ngùl ngən*  
 distribute in parts GEN husband 3SG  
 ‘She gave a part [of the food] to her husband,’

*àa wəži ábà tá ngən*  
 [hesitation] children-PL ASSC GEN 3SG  
*í díyà zəm*  
 3PL put eat  
 ‘[and] to her children, and they started to eat.’

- (188) *kwáykwá-yii í má nd-à-y zá*  
 hyena-PL 3PL REL go-GO-STAT EE  
 ‘The hyenas came.’

- (189) *í zəm rá í zəm rá í*  
 3PL eat D.HAB 3PL eat D.HAB 3PL  
*zəm rá syì*  
 eat D.HAB COM  
 ‘They were eating, they were eating, they were eating.’



- (190) *séy m̀ ngùl ngùl ká ẁ*  
 then REL husband husband INF start  
*kédéŋ ng̀n tá z̀ bá d̀p*  
 stupidity 3SG DED EE again only  
 ‘Then the man started again with his stupidity.’
- (191) *ngwáy á ẁží t̀k-ỳi dáy*  
 PL addressee PRED children 2SG-PL much  
*dáy á tán f̀š*  
 much PRED 1SG small  
 ‘‘Say, for your children it is a lot, for me it is little.’’
- (192) *à ẁží t̀kí dáy dáy dáy à tán*  
 PREP children 2SG much much much PREP 1SG  
*f̀š*  
 little  
 ‘‘For your children it is a lot, for me it is little.’’
- (193) *séy v̀z, kwáykwá-ỳi ẁ í k̀ tím*  
 then perhaps hyena-PL DEM 3PL INF hear  
*z̀*  
 EE  
 ‘Then, the hyenas heard.’
- (194) *ngwáy sk̀n-ỳi ǵ̀gám r̀ d̀hà*  
 ‘say’ thing-PL talk D.HAB exist  
 (one of hyenas talking) ‘‘There is something talking there.’’
- (195) *d̀yà l̀ t̀ d̀p á ẁží*  
 put say continue PRED children  
*t̀k-ỳi dáy dáy dáy á tán f̀š*  
 GEN.2SG-PL a lot a lot PRED 1SG little  
 ‘He kept on saying, ‘‘For your children it is a lot, for me it is little.’’
- (196) *séy h̀d̀ ẁ z̀ á f̀kát*  
 then people DEM COMP INTERJ true (F.)  
 ‘Then those people [the hyenas] said, ‘‘Ha, it is true.’’

(197) *kwáykwá-yiì wà lù žéŋ í zà*  
 hyena-PL DEM say RECIPR 3PL COMP  
*hìdì wà kà dá dáp̀dàp̀*  
 people DEM here exist only  
 ‘The hyenas said to themselves, “There are some people in here.”’

(198) *m̀̀l í m̀̀l-á-ŋ g̀̀r t̀̀t̀̀ŋ*  
 catch 3PL catch-GO-3SG search 3PL  
 ‘They started looking for them.’

(199) *hók nív̀̀ŋ hók nív̀̀ŋ hók nív̀̀ŋ hók nív̀̀ŋ*  
*hók nív̀̀ŋ*  
 remove stone (X 5)  
 ‘They removed one stone, removed another, another, and another.’

(200) *í hók r̀̀ ẁ̀c̀̀iŋ séy ẁ̀l ẁ̀ b̀̀t*  
 3PL lift D.HAB DEM then wife DEM take  
*á b̀̀t f̀̀r̀ám náka b̀̀ v̀̀ǹjéŋ d̀̀yà á d̀̀*  
 3SG take horn REM ASSC pepper put 3SG put  
*ká ná mà*  
 in PREP mouth  
 ‘When they were lifting [the stones], the wife took the horn that contained the pepper and put it in her mouth.’

(201) *séy áb dùẁ̀án m̀̀bí í n ká n ká*  
 then ASSC after that 3PL PREP INF PREP INF  
*ndá-hà k̀̀ hók nív̀̀ŋ-yiì*  
 go-GO INF lift stone-PL  
 ‘After they came to lift the stones,’

*íf á íf-é t̀̀ n f̀̀r̀ám ẁ̀ d̀̀p̀*  
 blow 3SG blow-GO GEN PREP horn DEM only  
 ‘she blew that which was in the horn.’

(202) *v̀̀ǹjéŋ túl kwáykwá-yiì tíŋ tíŋ tíŋ m̀̀ts*  
 pepper spread hyena-PL heap heap heap dead  
 ‘The pepper spread, and the hyenas were lying around dead.’

*séy mətábù ábà ʃì ngən*  
 except last born ASSC flee 3SG  
 ‘except for the last born: he fled.’

(203) *ndà dzáŋ á dzáŋ dákáy t-yii dàmù*  
 go find 3SG find other DEM-PL bush  
 ‘He went to search for others in the bush.’

(204) *séy nástə ngən tsákà pàrii*  
 the enter (F.) 3SG inside (F.) others  
 ‘He went in with the others.’

(205) *wəl nákà báf ngən páláh gər*  
 wife REM jump 3SG out stand up  
*kàts á kàts ábà wəzi táŋ*  
 gather 3SG gather ASSC children DED  
*ábə ngùl táŋ ndà dzáŋ*  
 ASSC husband DED go find  
*kwáykwáy-yii nákà*  
 hyena-PL REM  
 ‘The woman jumped out, stood up, gathered her children and her husband, and went to find the hyenas.’

(206) *kwáykwáy-yii nákà fú má mbàd-i*  
 hyena-PL REM all (F.) REL transform-STAT  
*ká wír židép áb tèbéŋ-yii nákáhà*  
 PREP gravy only ASSC granary-PL REM  
 ‘The hyenas became [meat for] her gravy, and also the granaries [belong to her].’

### Text 5. A frog and a buffalo

(1) *gómbòk í-bə bàkàlàf i dál*  
 frog PL-ASSC buffalo 3PL make  
*gáabà*  
 conversation  
 ‘A frog and a buffalo had a conversation.’

- (2) *bàkàlàf*      *zá*      *nà*      *gómbòk*      *hà*      *kúl*      *kà*  
 buffalo      COMP PREP      frog      2SG      able      INF  
*ší*      *skù*  
 run      NEG

'The buffalo said to the frog, "You cannot run."'

- (3) *túm*      *hà*      *tspádàp*      *á*      *nì*      *yàm*  
 always 2SG      remain crouched      PRED PREP      water  
*dáp*      *áz*      *tàm*      *k*      *ší*      *yámàk*  
 only go      1GEN.DU      INF      run      also

"'Every day you remain crouched in the water. Let's also run.'"

- (4) *gómbòk*      *zá*      *hà*      *r*      *ví*  
 frog      COMP 2SG      insult      who  
 'The frog said, "Who are you insulting?"'

*sà*      *n*      *k*      *ší*      *dáy*      *kóhóŋ*  
 1SG      PREP      INF      run      surpass      2SG  
 "I will run better than you." [buffalo talking]

- (5) *à*      *ǵá*      *hà*      *tsàf*  
 3SG      say      2SG      lie  
 'He [the frog] said, "You are lying."'

- (6) *tò*      *à*      *ǵá*      *s-tsàf*      *kám*      *à*  
 okay      3SG      say      1SG-lie      TOP(F.)      PRED  
*pàt*      *káfkáfá*      *áz*      *tàm*  
 tomorrow      morning      go      1GEN.DU  
*ká*      *sĩ*      *táŋ*  
 INF      run      DED

"'Okay, he said, "If I lie--tomorrow morning let's run.'"

- (7) *gómbòk*      *zá*      *tò*  
 frog      EE      well (H.)  
 'The frog said, "Okay.'"

- (8) *ábù tskòh mbéŋ gómbòk tàr*  
 ASSC evening ANAPH frog ask  
*tàr á tàr á ngámbù*  
 ask 3SG ask PRED friend  
*ngàŋ-yìì*  
 3SG-PL  
 ‘That evening, the frog asked for help from his friends.’
- (9) *dī dī á dī tətə ká ciké á*  
 put put 3SG put 3PL POS all PRED  
*màkám làkwát*  
 shore river  
 ‘He put them all on the shore of the river.’
- (10) *à ká bàkàlàf kə dəf hínə mù*  
 3SG say buffalo INF show 2PL mouth  
*zá gómbòk kám tété òhók*  
 COMP frog TOP answer yes  
 ‘He said, “If the buffalo calls you frog, answer yes.”’
- (11) *í ká tó*  
 3PL say okay  
 ‘They said, “Okay.”’
- (12) *gàrà káfkáf žíŋ bàkàlàf mà*  
 during morning return buffalo REL  
*ndà-y zá*  
 go:GO-STAT EE  
 ‘The next morning, the buffalo returned.’
- (13) *á ká áz təm ká šì táŋ*  
 3SG say go 1GEN.DU INF run DED  
 ‘He said, “Let’s run.”’
- (14) *á ká tó*  
 3SG say well (H.)  
 ‘He said, “Okay.”’
- (15) *bàkàlàf šèee šé šé šé á šì*  
 buffalo run run run run 3SG run  
 ‘The buffalo ran and ran.’

- (16) *gómbòk*      *óhòk*  
 frog              yes  
 “‘Frog?’” “‘Yes.’”
- (17) *à*    *ḡà*    *á*    *kàbám ká*    *mbàd-à-k*              *zá*  
 3SG    say    3SG    ahead INF    surpass-GO-1SG      EE  
 ‘He [the buffalo] said, “He[the frog] is ahead. He surpasses me.’”
- (18) *á*    *ṣì*    *dàp*    *á*    *ṣì*    *dàp*  
 3SG    run    only    3SG    run    only  
 ‘He runs, he runs.’
- (19) *gómbòk*      *òhók*  
 frog              yes  
 “‘Frog?’” “‘Yes.’”
- (20) *á*    *ṣì*    *dàp*    *á*    *ṣì*    *dàp*  
 3SG    run    only    3SG    run    only  
 ‘He runs, He runs.’
- (21) *gómbòk*      *òhók*  
 frog              yes  
 “‘Frog?’” “‘Yes.’”
- (22) *à*    *ḡà*    *kái*                      *à*    *ṣì*    *dáy*  
 3SG    say    INTERJ              3SG    run    surpass  
*kà*    *sáj*  
 PREP 1SG  
 ‘He said, “Hey, he runs faster than me.’”
- (23) *à*    *ràmú*              *à*    *ràmú*              *à*    *ràmù*  
 3SG    run fast            3SG    run fast            3SG    run fast  
 ‘He runs fast, fast, fast.’
- (24) *gómbòk*      *óhòk*  
 frog              yes  
 “‘Frog?’”      “‘Yes.’”

- (25) *bàkàlàf*      *dàbàrày*      *ngàŋ*    *dá*      *skà*    *bà*  
 buffalo      strength      3SG    exist    NEG    ASSC  
*židép*  
 still  
 ‘The buffalo doesn’t have strength anymore.’
- (26) *gés*    *kà*    *bà*    *páy*    *mà*    *màts-yí*    *zà*  
 lean    PREP    ASSC    tree    REL    die-STAT    EE  
 ‘He leans against a tree; he is dead.’
- (27) *gómbòk-yîi*    *cibéw á*      *páláh nà*      *fàt*    *fàt*    *í*  
 frog-PL      all      PRED    outside go      skin    skin    3PL  
*fàt*    *ɣì*    *tàtáŋ*  
 skin    meat    GEN:3PL  
 ‘All the frogs went outside and skinned their meat.’
- (28) *tò*    *kwáykwáy*    *kà*    *ndá*    *ngàŋ*    *r*  
 okay    hyena      INF    come    3SG    D.HAB  
*zá*      *hí*    *dál*    *mí*    *hì*    *n*  
 COMP      2PL    make    what    2PL    PREP  
*kà*    *dzán-á*      *nók*    *ɣì*    *zá*    *yà*  
 INF    find-GO      1PL    meat    EE    isn’t it  
 ‘Okay, a hyena came and said, “What are you doing? You found us meat; how nice of you!”’
- (29) *háá*    *nók*    *kà*    *dzán-á*      *nók*    *ɣì*    *zá*  
 yes    1PL    INF    find-GO      1PL    meat    EE  
 ‘“Yes, we found the meat for ourselves.”’
- (30) *há*    *áz*    *tòk*                      *ká*    *ɓàm*    *tá*  
 so    go    GEN:1PL.INCL      INF    eat    DED  
*židép*    *skà*    *vú*  
 at last    NEG    Q  
 ‘So, aren’t we going to eat at last?’’
- (31) *í*    *ɣá*    *káy*  
 3PL    say    INTERJ  
 ‘They said, “Hey!”’

- (32) *wà kà bám bà mí lèbék lèbék wà kà*  
 but INF eat ASSC what something raw but INF  
*bám v̀̀ngáy*  
 eat how  
 ‘‘But how can one eat it raw?’’
- (33) *tò áz tòk ká grà*  
 okay (H.) go GEN:1PL.INCL INF find  
*kúhú*  
 fire  
 ‘‘Okay, let’s find fire.’’
- (34) *wá mà ká ndà ká g̀̀d-á nòk*  
 but REL INF go INF take-GO 1PL  
*kú ví*  
 fire who  
 ‘‘but who will go to find us fire?’’
- (35) *kwákwáy zá hí ndà*  
 hyena COMP 2PL go  
 ‘The hyena said, ‘‘You go!’’
- (36) *kái í ̀̀já nà ĺ́ ̀̀jí nìnà̀̀*  
 INTERJ 3PL say 1PL own meat 1PL  
*ká ndà*  
 INF go  
 ‘‘Look, they said, ‘‘We who own the meat, it is we who go?’’
- (37) *kwákwáy à ndà dáp nà gr-á*  
 hyena 3SG go only PREP find-GO  
*nòkò̀̀*  
 1PL  
 ‘‘Just the hyena goes to find it for us.’’
- (38) *kwákwáy tà̀̀ ká ndà ká grà kúhú*  
 hyena DED INF go INF find fire  
 ‘The hyena went to find fire.’



- (39) *mà zá báytà gómbòk-yì zà syì*  
REL EE large frog-PL COMP COM  
*hí kám fú tàṅ hí wàn kà*  
2PL TOP all DED 2PL sleep:IMPER POS  
*mùkàdkádāṅ sùlúḍ sùlúḍ*  
upside down two two  
'The largest of the frogs said, "You all lie down on your backs in pairs."'
- (40) *sà bó sà n kí mìn s tátà*  
1SG also 1SG PREP INF stay 1SG alone  
'"I also will stay alone."'
- (41) *sà n ká ǰá bìǰáf ká dzà dàkàit-yì*  
1SG PREP INF say God INF kill other-PL  
*tsáy zà à mín s tátà*  
completely EE 3SG remain 1SG alone  
'I will say God killed all the others; I alone remain'
- (42) *tò kwáykwáy tàṅ z ká gàḍ-á*  
okay hyena go EE INF take-GO  
*kúhú*  
fire  
'Okay, the hyena went to get fire.'
- (43) *à ndá syì tàtè fú tàṅ í mà*  
3SG go:GO COM 3PL all DED 3PL REL  
*wàn-yí sùlúḍ sùlúḍ mùkàdkádāṅ*  
sleep-STAT two two upside down  
'She came -- all of them were sleeping on their backs in pairs.'
- (44) *kwáykwáy ḍǎḍ í wàn sùlúḍ sùlúḍ*  
hyena ask 3PL sleep two two  
*wá mà ḍál-á-ṅ tàtè mí*  
but REL make-GO-3SG 3PL what  
'The hyena asked, "They sleep in pairs, but what happened to them?"'

- (45) *à* *ǰá* *bǰáf* *ká* *dzà* *tàtə* *ciké'* *kà* *à*  
 3SG say God INF kill 3PL all POS 3SG  
*fin* *nàmú* *nám* *ká* *tì* *tàŋ*  
 remain 1DU 1DU INF see DED  
 'He said, "God has killed them all; there remains only us, we will see.'"
- (46) *kwáykwáy* *zá* *mèd'*  
 hyena COMP swear  
 'The hyena said, "Swear!"'
- (47) *à* *ǰá* *káy* *à* *fin* *nàm* *tátà*  
 3SG say INTERJ 3SG remain 1DU alone  
*mbémbé* *wá* *à* *n* *ká* *ndá* *tàŋ*  
 immediately but 3SG PREP INF go:GO DED  
 'He said, "Look, there remains only us, but very soon he [God] will come.'"
- (48) *kwáykwáy* *zà* *mbíŋ* *kám* *ǰì* *tikiniŋ*  
 hyena COMP ANAPH TOP meat GEN:2PL  
*má* *nzà* *hín* *kà*  
 REL stay you here  
 'The hyena said, "If it is like that, your meat should remain with you.'"
- (49) *ká* *bàt* *šì* *zá* *gómbòk-yù* *wàhín*  
 INF take run EE frog-PL DEM  
*ábə* *dùwəŋ* *fədəh* *tətəŋ*  
 ASSC after wake up 3PL  
 'She took flight; those frogs then woke up.'
- (50) *ǰì* *tətəŋ* *fú* *təŋ* *dəb* *í* *dəb* *ká*  
 meat GEN:3PL all DED bring 3PL bring INF  
*n* *yəm*  
 PREP water  
 'They brought all of their meat into the water.'

- (51) *kwáykwáy*    *žíŋ*    *bà*    *dùwán syì*    *í*    *cikè*  
 hyena            return ASSC back COM 3PL all  
*í*    *tsù*    *tàtə̀*    *nə̀*    *yəm*  
 3PL went 3PL PREP water  
 ‘The hyena returned after they all had gone into the water.’
- (52) *à*    *sé*    *í*    *dzək-á-kù*  
 3SG then 3PL cheat-GO-1SG  
 ‘She said, “They cheated me.”’
- (53) *ndiká*            *mànjé wàhíŋ sə̀*    *n*    *kə̀*    *dzáŋ*  
 better (F.)        now DEM 1SG PREP INF find  
*gómbòk*            *zá*  
 frog                EE  
*sá*    *n*    *ká*    *ndráŋ*            *mbàd’*            *wirnjík*  
 1SG PREP INF smash            become            ash  
 ‘“From now on, when I find a frog, I will smash it to ashes.”’



## Index

- [+human] nouns as locatives, 148
- addressee, 5, 51, 53, 83, 133, 381-384, 403, 478, 489
- adjectival modifier, 48
- adjectival predicates, 278
- adjectives, 5, 35, 41, 43
- adverb bà 'again', 168
- adverbs of manner, 166, 170
- adverbs of time, 162
- Amharic, 260
- anaphor and switch reference, 340
- apodosis, 405-417
- argument coding, 77
- aspect in specific interrogatives, 292
- aspect in the comment on the topic, 363
- associative, 156-163, 170
- associative preposition, 35, 63, 64
- associative through adverbial expressions, 158
- asyndetic conjoining, 369
- attributive functions, 54
  
- barrier to vowel harmony, 4, 32
- barriers to fronting, 20
- Besleri, 1
  
- change of scene, 441
- clause-final demonstratives, 430
- clusters, 4
- cognate adverbs, 169
- Cohen, Marcel, 260
- comment clause, 437, 246, 437
- comment in parataxis, 438
- comment on topic, 437
- comment with
  - complementation, 439
- comparative, 423-426, 430
- complementation, 381, 397,
- complementizer, 381-391, 401, 403, 440
- complements of verbs of saying, 381, 403, 381, 403
- compounding, 57
- Comrie, Bernard, 3, 239
- conclusion clause, 421
- conditional apodosis, 415
- conditional clauses, 405, 410
- conditional protasis, 410, 412
- conjunction *mǝd*, 372
- consonantal system, 4, 7, 32
- coordinating construction, 63
- co-participant, 157-160
- copula, 259, 273, 285, 297
- coreferentiality, 101
- counterexpectation, 247
- cross reference, 387

- Daba, 2  
 dative, 104-120, 133, 151-153  
 debitive, 231, 238-244, 260  
 debitive mood, 238  
 deduced referent, 328, 345  
 deictic modification, 309  
 deixis, 307  
 deontic complements, 390  
 dependent aspect, 349, 351  
 dependent future, 181, 183, 196  
 dependent past, 194, 195  
 determiner *tá*, 47, 50, 116  
 Dieu, Michel, 1  
 direct object, 6, 92, 104, 106-116, 128, 130  
 direct speech, 385  
 direction toward the indirect object, 151  
 disjoint reference, 387, 388  
 domain of known referent, 323  
 dubitative, 228, 248  
  
 embedded clause, 358, 359, 381, 387-403, 420  
 emotive modality, 229  
 end-of-event, 10, 19, 28, 68, 70, 92, 116, 121, 128, 249-260, 265-266, 278, 293, 300  
 epistemic modality, 227  
 equal comparisons, 423  
 equational clauses, 273-278, 285, 297  
 event anaphora, 344  
 exclusion of other participants, 69  
 existential predication, 78, 285  
  
 focus, 259, 276, 295, 313, 316, 319, 347, 348-355  
 focus on object pronouns, 350  
 focus on the object, 349  
 focus on the predicate, 351  
 focus on the subject, 347  
 fronting, 4, 18, 19, 21, 32, 52, 60, 73, 81, 97-100, 107  
 fronting vowel harmony, 4, 32, 52, 73  
 future, 177-187, 196, 208, 218, 222  
 future through the verb *gàr* 'want', 186  
  
 genitive construction in the locative phrase, 133  
 genitive marker, 25, 44-48, 54, 55-56, 69, 126, 134-141, 151  
 Gieger, Martha, 2  
 glide formation, 27  
 glides, 2, 14, 27  
 glottal stop, 9  
 goal orientation, 171-178, 188, 203, 212  
  
 habitual, 252, 262, 267, 271, 296, 349, 354  
 headless genitive constructions, 55  
 hedging, 228  
 Hoffmann, Carl, 2  
 Hutchison, John, 260  
  
 identificational clauses, 275  
 independent past, 191  
 imperative, 231, 235  
 inceptive aspect, 224  
 independent aspects, 367  
 independent past, 188-191, 197  
 infinitival complements, 401

- infinitive, 243-245, 258, 270,  
 279, 296, 301, 320  
 internal state of the subject, 102  
 interrogative, 237, 246, 264,  
 268-269, 287-304, 349, 353  
 interrogative complements, 391  
 iterative aspect, 222  
  
 Kanuri, 260  
 kinship terms, 51, 53, 63  
 Krech, Holly, 259  
  
 labial voiceless stop, 8  
 lateral fricatives, 7  
 leftward vowel harmony, 4, 32  
 Lienhard, Ruth, 2  
 locative complement, 78, 126-  
 133, 145, 152  
 locative complements, 6, 78, 92,  
 125, 127, 136-152  
 locative predication, 6, 125, 126,  
 127, 130-136, 152  
 locative source, 145  
 locative verbless proposition,  
 284  
  
 Mirzayan, Armik, 259  
 Mithun, Marianne, 441  
 modal adverbs, 245, 248  
 modality, 227  
 modification by intensifiers, 58  
 modification by quantifiers, 65  
 modification through  
   juxtaposition, 56  
 modifier, 35, 40-45, 55-56, 59,  
 61, 67, 69, 74, 135, 148  
 modifying constructions, 40, 59  
 Mouchét, Jean, 1  
 negation, 261-271, 284  
  
 negation and dependent aspect,  
 264  
 negation and future tenses, 263  
 negation of existential clauses,  
 263  
 negation of the habitual, 267  
 negation of the perfect, 265  
 negation of verbless clauses,  
 262  
 negation with interrogative, 269  
 negative, 179, 181, 186-187,  
 191, 195, 204, 209-212, 221  
 new action, 446  
 Newman, Paul, 1, 86, 142, 177,  
 199  
 nominal plural, 50  
 non-propositional topics, 362  
 noun as a modifier, 45  
 noun phrase, 5, 6, 35, 55, 60-66,  
 68-70, 77, 78, 84, 98, 116,  
 126, 134, 146, 312  
 noun phrase as subject, 312  
 noun phrase object, 319  
 number, 158, 162  
  
 object, 6, 14, 19, 22, 28-32, 38,  
 46, 55, 58, 62, 71, 78, 81, 92-  
 116, 122-123, 126, 128,-134,  
 145-146, 151-153, 173, 174,  
 178, 180, 186, 191, 192, 195,  
 199-203, 216, 223, 224, 227,  
 233-237, 249-250, 260, 270,  
 291, 295-297, 308-312, 319-  
 322, 328-329, 342-343, 347,  
 349, 350-351, 355  
 object coding in hypothetical, 93  
 object in sequential clauses, 95  
 object-to-object raising, 397

- obligation, 231, 238-239, 243-245
- parataxis, 369
- past, 179, 188-190, 193, 196, 202, 210, 214, 219-222, 225
- perfect, 252, 255, 271, 316
- phrase-final position, 4
- phrase-internal position, 4, 7, 11, 13, 15, 21-32, 37, 41, 48, 49, 51, 56, 87, 98, 101, 107-109, 117, 140, 142, 144, 145, 150
- pleonastic subjects, 91, 275
- plural, 2, 5, 10, 12, 21, 24, 35, 37, 50-54, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 69, 78, 81-84, 97-109, 114
- plural suffix, 60
- Podlewski, Gaston, 2
- point of view of subject, 31, 117-118, 121
- polar questions, 392
- polite orders, 237
- possessive predicate, 276
- possessive pronouns, 48-53, 58, 62, 65, 95, 112, 114
- possessive propositions, 282, 286
- possessive subject pronouns, 86-90
- pragmatically dependent clause, 192, 196, 199, 208, 219
- preposition dùwǎŋ 'back', 147
- preposition gèdǎŋ 'under', 146
- preposition í, 145
- preposition ká, 142
- preposition mbéh, 144
- preposition ndòŋ 'bottom, inside', 147
- preposition tá, 35, 45, 47, 56, 133
- prepositional form of pronouns, 141
- prohibitive, 261, 268, 269
- pronominal objects, 97, 116
- pronouns in reference system, 315
- proper names, 312-313
- property concept predicates, 43
- propositional relator ko, 378
- protasis, 374, 405-417, 424
- proximate deixis, 307
- purpose clause, 419, 421
- questions about dative and benefactive, 297
- questions about locative adjuncts, 297
- questions about manner, 296
- questions about possessor, 393
- questions about purpose and reason, 301
- questions about quantity, 302
- questions about the instrumental, 303
- questions about the object, 295
- questions about the place, 394
- questions about the possessor, 299
- questions about time, 300
- questions about topic, 394
- reason, 165
- reason clauses, 420, 421, 420, 421
- recipient, 110, 111



- reciprocal, 114, 115  
 reduplication, 5, 16-17, 44, 74, 76, 98, 166-167, 170, 188, 191, 195-200, 208, 220-225  
 reference system, 305  
 referential, 430, 431, 430, 431  
 relative clause, 393, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 393, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436  
 relativization of locative and temporal adjuncts, 435  
 relativization of possessor, 435  
 relativization of the dative, 434  
 relativization of the instrumental, 434  
 relativization of the object, 432  
 relativization of the topic, 435  
 remote deixis, 311  
 Renaud, Patrick, 1  
 Ross, Wendy, 36  
 rounding, 23, 24, 45, 99  
  
 schwa, 4, 8, 9, 14, 20-26, 32, 41, 45, 48, 55-57, 63, 72, 74, 76, 125  
 scope of negation, 262  
 Seignobos, Christian, 2  
 sequential, 369, 373-379, 445  
 sequential through the auxiliary *ndà* 'go', 373  
 Shay, Erin, 177-199  
 singulative prefix, 40  
 speaker's empathy, 121  
 specific interrogatives, 392  
 specific questions, 264, 290  
 specific time relationships in temporal clauses, 409  
 stative form of the verb, 72  
 subject, 2, 6, 22, 24, 28, 31, 41, 61, 64, 67, 71, 74-112, 116-126, 129, 134, 145, 146, 152, 233, 236, 239, 242, 243, 250, 268, 271-286, 291-296, 310-318, 337-351, 355  
 subject phrase, 273  
 subject pronouns, 43, 81  
 subject-to-object raising, 399  
 syllabification, 24  
  
 temporal apodosis, 408  
 temporal clauses, 405, 407, 408, temporal protasis, 405, 407  
 tense, 177, 179, 180, 184, 188-196, 208, 210, 218-225  
 time in equational clause, 277  
 topic, 357-367, 394, 435  
 topic of a narrative, 357  
 topic within a discourse, 358  
 topicalization, 357, 360, 364, 366, 367  
 topicalization of adjuncts, 366  
 topicalization of pronominal subject, 360  
 topicalization of the object, 364  
  
 underlying glides, 4, 14, 27  
 underlying vowels, 17  
 unequal comparison with verbal predicates, 426  
 unequal equational, 425  
 unmarked aspect, 194, 199, 224, 225  
 unmarked tense/aspect, 192, 193, 194

verb of existence, 262, 263, 283,  
338

verbal stem, 71

verbless clauses, 256, 261, 273,  
285

verbs of emotional states, 103,  
104

verbs of knowing, 381, 400

verbs of perception, 381, 397,  
403

volitional verbs, 381, 394, 396,  
403

vowel fronting, 18, 19, 20

## References

Cohen, Marcel

1937 *Traité de langue amharique*. Paris: Institut d'ethnologie.

Dieu, Michel and Patrick Renaud, (eds.)

1983 *Atlas linguistique de l'Afrique Centrale. Le Cameroun*. Yaoundé: ACCT-CERDOTOLA-DGRST.

Frajzyngier, Zygmunt.

1977 On the Intransitive Copy Pronouns in Chadic. *Studies in African Linguistics*. Supplement 7.73-84

1985a Truth and the Indicative Sentence. *Studies in Language* 9.2.243-254.

1985b 'Causative' and 'benefactive' in Chadic. *Afrika und Übersee* 68. 23-42.

1985c Ergativity, number, and agreement. *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistic Society*. Ed. by Mary Niepokuj, Mary VanClay, Vassiliki Nikiforidou, and Deborah Feder, 96-106.

1987a Truth and the compositionality principle: A reply to Palmer. *Studies in Language* 11.1.211-217.

1987b Relative clause in Proto-Chadic. *Proceedings of the 4th International Hamito-Semitic Congress*. Ed. by Herrmann Jungraithmayr and Walter W. Müller. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 425-450.

1987c Encoding locative in Chadic. *Journal of West African Languages* 17.1.81-97.

1991e A man and a woman: or gender marking in a nominal system. *Les relations hommes femmes dans le bassin du Lac Tchad*. Ed. by Nicole Echard, vol. II, 35-46. Actes du IV colloque Méga-Tchad. Paris: ORSTOM.

1993 *A Grammar of Mupun*. Berlin:Reimer.

1995 Functional theory of complementizers. *Modality in Grammar and Discourse*. Ed. by Joan Bybee and Suzanne Fleischman. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 473-502.

1996 *Grammaticalization of the Complex Sentence: A case study in Chadic*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins. Complementary Series to the Study in Language.

1997 Grammaticalization of number: From demonstratives to nominal and verbal plural. *Linguistic Typology* 1:193-242.

1999 Domains of point of view and coreferentiality: system interaction approach to the study of reflexives. *Reflexives: Forms and Functions*. Frajzyngier and Curl, (eds). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamins.

- Frajzyngier, Zygmunt and Robert Koops  
 1989 Double epenthesis and N-class in Chadic. *Current Progress in Chadic Linguistics*. Ed. by Zygmunt Frajzyngier. Benjamins: Amsterdam, 233-250.
- Frajzyngier, Zygmunt and Wendy Ross  
 1991 Methodological issues in applying linguistics to the study of pre-history. *Unwritten testimonies of the African Past*, *Orientalia Varsoviensia* 2. ed. by Stanisław Piłaszewicz and Eugeniusz Rzewuski. 21-44.
- Frajzyngier, Zygmunt, Holly Krech and Armik Mirzayan  
 2002 Motivation for copula in equational clauses. *Linguistic Typology*, 6.2, 155-198.
- Haiman, John and Sandra A. Thompson (eds)  
 1988 *Clause combining in grammar and discourse*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Hoffmann, Carl  
 1971 Provisional list of Chadic languages. *Chadic Newsletter*. Special Issue.
- Hutchison, John  
 1984 *The Kanuri language*. Madison, WI.: African Studies Program.
- Hyman, Larry and John Watters  
 1984 Auxiliary focus. *Studies in African Linguistics* 15.3.233-273.
- Jungraithmayr, Herrmann  
 1994 'Zweite Tempora' in Afrikanischen Sprachen- Ägyptisch-Tschadische Gemeinsemkeiten. In Bietak, Manfred, Johanna Holaubek, Hans Mukarowsky and Helmut Satzinger (eds). *Zwischen den Beiden Ewigkeiten. Festschrift Gertrud Thausing*. Wien: Institute für Ägyptologie der Univesität Wien.
- Lienhard, Ruth and Martha Giger  
 1975 *Daba (parler de Pologozom). Description phonologique*. Yaoundé: Société Internationale de Linguistique.
- Mithun, Marianne  
 1988 The grammaticization of coordination. In Haiman and Thompson 1988, 331-360.
- Mouchét, Jean  
 1967 *Le parler daba. Esquisse grammaticale précédée d'une note sur l'ethnie daba, suivie de lexiques daba-français et français daba*. Yaoundé: Recherches et Études Camerounaises 10.
- Newman, Paul  
 1971 Transitive and intransitive in Chadic languages. In *Afrikanische Sprachen und Kulturen.—Ein Querschnitt*, ed. Veronika Six et al., pp. 188-

200. (Hamburger Beiträge zur Afrika-Kunde, 14.) Hamburg: Deutsches Institut für Afrika-Forschung.
- 1990 Chadic. *Encyclopedia of Linguistics*. Ed by. William Bright. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 2000 *The Hausa Language. An encyclopedic reference grammar*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Podlewski, André Michel
- 1965 *La dynamique des principales populations du Nord-Cameroun*. Yaoundé: Institut de Recherches Scientifiques du Cameroun.
- Schuh, Russell, Galen
- 1981 Types of genitive constructions in Chadic. *Studies in African Linguistics. Supplement 8*, 117-121.